

Table of Contents

| Section 1. Plan Overview1 |
|--|
| Section 2. Basin Overview |
| Section 3. Overarching Themes7 |
| Section 4. Basin Challenges and Achievements |
| Section 5. Supply, Demand, and Potential Water Needs |
| Section 6. Goals, Strategies, and Measurable Outcomes |
| Section 7. Future Basin Projects |
| Section 8. Education and Outreach |
| Section 9. Strategic Vision for the Future101 |
| Appendix A. South Platte River Basin Current and 2050 Planning Scenario Water Supply and Gap Revised Results |
| Appendix B. SPECIAL STUDY: Environmental and Recreational Benefits of Irrigated Agriculture in the Lower South Platte Basin |
| Appendix C. Project Tiering Matrix |

ACRONYMS

| ASR | aquifer storage and recovery | gpcd | gallons per capita per day |
|-------|---|--------|--|
| ATM | alternative transfer method | GWMD | Ground Water Management District |
| BIP | Basin Implementation Plan | IWR | irrigation water requirement |
| BRT | Basin Roundtable | JSC | Joint Steering Committee |
| CCWCD | Central Colorado Water Conservancy District | LE | Local Expert |
| CDPHE | Colorado Department of | RRWCD | Republican River Water Conservation District |
| | Public Health and Environment | SMWSA | South Metro Water Supply Authority |
| CGWC | Colorado Ground Water Commission | SPROWG | South Platte Regional Opportunities |
| CPW | Colorado Parks and Wildlife | | Water Group |
| CWCB | Colorado Water Conservation Board | SWSI | Statewide Water Supply Initiative |
| DPR | direct potable reuse | USGS | United States Geological Survey |
| DWR | Division of Water Resources | WISE | Water Infrastructure and Supply Efficiency |
| GC | General Contractor | WSRF | Water Supply Reserve Fund |



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This update to the South Platte Basin Implementation Plan (South Platte BIP) could not have been developed over the past eighteen months without the involvement and guidance of many individuals, committees and organizations with deep commitments to comprehensive water management in the South Platte and Republican River Basins. The Local Expert Team of HDR Engineering, LRE Water, and Stantec Engineering Consultants are very grateful for the generous support provided to us. First, thank you to the South Platte and Metro Roundtables for entrusting to us the important work of developing this update. Second, we especially appreciate the direction of the Joint Steering Committee (JSC) and three Subcommittees – Municipal and Industrial, Environmental and Recreational, and Agricultural — who were assigned by the roundtables to do the "heavy lifting" during the development, writing and editing of this update. The balanced and thoughtful advice of the JSC and Subcommittee members listed below provided important perspectives for this update.

The South Platte BIP Update builds on the 2015 South Platte BIP and the Technical Update to the Colorado Water Plan prepared by the Colorado Water Conservation Board. We are very appreciative of the support provided to us by CWCB Staff including Russ Sands, Kathryn Weismiller, Sam Stein, Alex Funk, and JoJo La.

List of Roundtable Members

This page recognizes the contributions of basin roundtable members.

Metro

- David Allen Broomfield City/ County Rep.
- Tom Arnold At-large •
- Darren Beck Recreation Rep. ●
- Anne Beierle Jefferson County Rep.
- Barbara Biggs At-large Water Quality Rep./Chair •
- Sarah Borgers Jefferson County Muni Rep. •
- Cortney Brand El Paso County Rep.
- Jessica Brody CWCB Board Member
- Devon Buckels At-large •
- Blair Corning Industrial Rep.
- Loretta Daniel Arapahoe County Rep.
- Lisa Darling Douglas County Muni Rep.
- Casey Davenhill At-large/PEPO ●
- Alexandra Davis Arapahoe County Muni Rep.
- Chris Douglass At-large
- Kim Gortz El Paso County Muni Rep. 🔵
- Jim Hall Nonvoting Out-of-Basin Rep.
- Eric Hecox At-large Development Rep.
- Emily Hunt Adams County Muni Rep.
- **Dawn Jewell** Arapahoe County Muni Rep. (alternate)
- David Kamin At-large
- John Kaufman Local Domestic Water Provider Rep. (alternate)
- COMMITTEES
- Joint Steering Committee
- Municipal and Industrial

- Jim Lochhead Legislative Appointment
- Ken Lykens At-large Development Rep. (alternate)
- Morgan Lynch At-large Stormwater & Drainage Rep. ●
- Rick Marsicek Denver City & County Rep.
- Rick McLoud Local Domestic Water Provider Rep.
- Shaden Musleh At-large 🔴
- Susan Nedell At-large Business Rep.
- Dave Nickum Environmental Rep. 🔵 🔵
- Andy Nye At-large
- Steve O'Dorisio Adams County Rep.
- Bob Peters Legislative Appointment (alternate) • •
- Lauren Pulver Douglas County Rep.
- David Rausch Adams County Rep. (alternate)
- Andrea Rogers Forest Service (liaison)
- Rob Sakata Agriculture Rep.
- Lesley Sebol Colorado Geological Survey (liaison)
- Anita Seitz At-large
- Sam Stein CWCB Staff
- Dave Wissel Upper South Platte Water Conservancy

.....

....

UTTER.

- Scott Winter El Paso County Muni Rep. (alternate)
- Jan Yeckes Arapahoe County Rep. (alternate)



iv



- Lynn Baca Adams County Rep.
- Rich Belt At-large Industrial Rep. 🔵 🔵
- Dan Brown At-large 🗕
- Audrey Butler Boulder County Rep. (alternate) • •
- Sean Chambers Weld County Municipal Rep. ● ●
- Sean Cronin At-large, Non-Voting, IBCC Rep.
- Loretta Daniel Arapahoe County Rep.
- Deb Daniel Republican River Water Conservation District ● ●
- Casey Davenhill Public Ed., Participation & Outreach Liaison • •
- Donnie Dustin Larimer County Muni Rep. 🔶
- Frank Eckhardt Central Colorado Water Cons. Dist. ●
- Dick Elsner Park County Rep.
- Daylan Figgs Larimer County Rep. •
- James Ford Gilpin County Muni Rep.
- Joe Frank Lower South Platte Water Conservancy District ● ●
- Bruce Gerk Sedgwick County Muni Rep.
- Scott Griebling St. Vrain & Left Hand Water Cons. Dist.
- Jim Hall Northern Colorado Water Cons. Dist., 2nd Vice Chair ● ●
- Kelsea Holloway At-large Environmental Rep. •
- Ken Huson Boulder County Muni Rep.

- Lynda James Upper S. Platte Water Cons. Dist., 1st Vice Chair • •
- Scott James Weld County Rep. (alternate)
- Matt Jones Boulder County Rep.
- John Kolanz Legislative Appointment
- Stephen Larson Broomfield Rep.
- Lisa Leben Clear Creek County Rep.
- Kevin Lusk El Paso County Rep. 🔵
- Gene Manuello At-large Agricultural Rep.
- Shane Miller Logan County Water Conservancy District
- Brent Nation Morgan County Muni Rep.
- Randy Ray At-large
- Larry Rogstad At-large Recreational Rep.
- Lori Saine Weld County Rep.
- Robert Sakata CWCB Board Member
- Joel Schneekloth Colorado St. Univ. Ext. Serv. (liaison)
- Sam Stein CWCB Staff
- Kent Swedlund Logan County Rep.
- Garrett Varra At-large, Chair 🛡 🔍 🔍
- Kirk Vincent At-large
- Robin Wiley Yuma County Rep.
- Allyn Wind Morgan County Rep.
- Christy Wiseman Basin Roundtable Recorder
- Jim Yahn At-large, IBCC Rep. ●

COMMITTEES

- Joint Steering Committee
- Municipal and Industrial
- Environmental and Recreational
- Agricultural

Additional Municipal and Industrial Subcommittee Members/Support

- Chris Douglass
- Laura Belanger
- Austa ParkerKevin Reidy
- John Rehring
- Jenny Bishop

- Shannon Spurlock
- Winston Swicord

Additional Environmental and Recreational Subcommittee Members/Support

- Jordana Barrack
- Abby Burk
- Jennifer Shanahan
- Josh Kuhn Christina Burri
- Hattie Johnson Fay Hartman
- Ian Stafford



HDR Engineering, Inc.

- Matt Cook, PE Project Manager
- Kayla Ranney, PE, CFM Deputy Project Manager
- Jonathan McAnally, PE, CFM Project Engineer
- Marlissa Essells, EIT, CFM Project Engineer



LRE Water

- Mary Presecan, PE Technical Advisor
- Dick Wolfe Technical Advisor
- Dave Colvin Technical Advisor



Stantec Engineering Consultants, Inc.

• Chip Paulson, PE – Technical Advisor







General Contractor

The Colorado Water Conservation Board selected Brown and Caldwell to serve as the general contractor (GC) to assist the CWCB and basin roundtables with the update of Basin Implementation Plans and the Colorado Water Plan.

The HDR team served as the Local Expert (LE) for the South Platte and Metro Roundtables and provided direct support to the roundtables during the Basin Implementation Plan update process. The LE was a subcontractor to the GC.

DISCLAIMER

The Analysis and Technical Update to the Colorado Water Plan and the Basin Implementation Plan (BIP) provide technical data and information regarding Colorado's and the basin's water resources. The technical data and information generated are intended to help inform decision making and planning regarding water resources at a statewide or basinwide planning level. The information made available is not intended to replace projections or analyses prepared by local entities for specific project or planning purposes.

The Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and basin roundtables intend for the Technical Update and the BIP to help promote and facilitate a better understanding of water supply and demand considerations; however, the datasets provided are from a snapshot in time and cannot reflect actual or exact conditions in any given basin or the State at any given time. While the Technical Update and BIP strive to reflect the CWCB's best estimates of future water supply and demands under various scenarios, the reliability of these estimates is affected by the availability and reliability of data and the current capabilities of data evaluation. Moreover, the Technical Update and BIP cannot incorporate the varied and complex legal and policy considerations that may be relevant and applicable to any particular basin or project; therefore, nothing in the Technical Update, BIP, the associated Flow Tool, or Costing Tool is intended for use in any administrative, judicial, or other proceeding to evince or otherwise reflect the State of Colorado's or the CWCB's legal interpretations of state or federal law.

Furthermore, nothing in the Technical Update, BIP, Flow Tool, Costing Tool, or any subsequent reports generated from these datasets is intended to, nor should be construed so as to interpret, diminish, or modify the rights, authorities, or obligations of the State of Colorado or the CWCB under state law, federal law, administrative rule, regulation, guideline, or other administrative provision.

Section 1. Plan Overview

What is Basin Implementation Planning?

The Basin Implementation Plan (BIP), developed in a collaborative process by basin stakeholders, focuses on the current and future water needs in the South Platte and Republican River Basins, the vision for how individuals and organizations can meet future needs, and the goals and projects that provide a pathway to success. The initial South Platte BIP was completed in 2015, and this is the first update of that plan.

THE SOUTH PLATTE BASIN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN CONSISTS OF TWO VOLUMES:

| VOLUME 1: | A summary of the South Platte Basin's current and future water resources, focusing on goals, projects, and a strategic vision to meet future water needs. |
|-----------|---|
| VOLUME 2: | A more comprehensive description of Basin achievements, challenges, goals, and strategic vision for meeting future water needs as well as legacy and specific information on technical analyses, project data, and case studies. Note that Volume 2 is organized in a slightly different order than Volume 1. |

THE SOUTH PLATTE BIP IS A JOINT EFFORT

The South Platte BIP was jointly developed by both the Metro Roundtable and the South Platte Basin Roundtable. It focuses on the water needs of northeast Colorado and encompasses both the South Platte and Republican River Basins.



The South Platte Basin Implementation Plan is organized to maintain a "line of sight" between all plan elements. The plan begins with a basin overview that characterizes the general water supply and demand situation and current environmental conditions. Considering these conditions, four overarching themes were developed to help guide the water supply planning effort. The remainder of the plan falls under these four overarching themes.

The plan describes the general current and future water supply challenges the Basin faces. Concurrently, it highlights key Basin achievements that have occurred since the 2015 Basin Implementation Plan that helped address these basin challenges. The plan also describes South Platte Basin supply, demand, and potential water needs.

Based on the identified challenges and water needs, the plan then describes goals, strategies, and measurable outcomes that were developed by the Metro and South Platte Basin Roundtables to address (maintain and overcome) challenges and measure progress over the next 5 years.

Finally, the plan describes specific projects that have been identified for managing the future water supply in the South Platte Basin.



Figure 1-1. South Platte Basin Implementation Plan Organization

Section 2. Basin Overview

There are diverse factors affecting water management in the South Platte River and Republican River Basins, including the diversity of demographics and water uses for the urban portion of the South Platte River Basin in the Denver Metro area versus the needs of agricultural users and smaller communities in other portions of the Basins. These factors were deemed significant enough by the Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) that the river basins were divided into two separate basin roundtables: the **Metro Roundtable** represents the Denver Metro region of the South Platte River Basin; the **South Platte Basin Roundtable** represents the remainder of the South Platte River Basin along with the Republican River Basin in Eastern Colorado. Given the integrated water needs of the two basin roundtables, the South Platte Basin Roundtable and Metro Roundtable decided to develop a single Basin Implementation Plan (BIP) for the South Platte and Republican River Basins. *This area is hereinafter referred to as the South Platte Basin*.

The South Platte Basin (Figure 2-1) comprises about 27,660 square miles in northeast Colorado. Because the South Platte River and Republican River Basins have distinct water supply challenges, separate descriptions are provided for each basin.



Figure 2-1. Map of the South Platte River, Metro, and Republican River Basins



South Platte River Basin

The South Platte River Basin waters originate in the mountain streams along the Continental Divide in the northern portion of the Front Range. The South Platte River emerges from the mountains southwest of Denver and moves north through the Denver metropolitan area where it is joined by numerous tributaries such as Cherry Creek, Clear Creek, Coal Creek, Bear Creek, Boulder Creek, St. Vrain Creek, Big Thompson River, and Cache La Poudre River. It then flows northeast across Colorado's High Plains, eventually crossing the Colorado-Nebraska state line near Julesburg.

The topographic characteristics of the South Platte River Basin are diverse. The western portions of the basin and its mountainous and subalpine areas are mostly forested, while the High Plains region is mainly grassland and planted or cultivated land with highly urbanized areas.

The hydrology of the South Platte River Basin is highly variable, with an approximate average annual native flow volume of 1.4 million acre-feet/year. Water supply in the South Platte River Basin is supplemented by approximately 400,000 acre-feet/year of trans-basin diversions from the Colorado River Basin and 100,000 acre-feet/year from the Arkansas, North Platte, and Laramie River Basins. In addition, over 30,000 acrefeet/year are pumped from nontributary groundwater aquifers to supplement supplies. The South Platte River Basin's surface water diversions average approximately 4.0 million acre-feet annually, with an additional annual average of 450,000 acre-feet of groundwater withdrawals. The amount of diversion in excess of native flow highlights the return flow-dependent nature of the basin's hydrology, and the efficient use and reuse of water supplies across the basin. On average, only 400,000 acrefeet leave the South Platte River Basin annually.

The South Platte River Basin is the most populous basin in the state. Seventy percent of Colorado's population resides here, and the Front Range area of the basin is Colorado's economic engine. Four of the top five and 17 of the top 20 largest cities in Colorado are in the South Platte River Basin. As a result, the South Platte River Basin has the highest municipal and industrial water use in the state.

The South Platte River Basin includes about 40 percent of the statewide self-supplied industrial demand with approximately two-thirds of that demand occurring in the Denver Metro region. These demands in the South Platte River Basin are associated with the Large Industry, Snowmaking, and Thermoelectric sub-sectors.

The South Platte River Basin also has the greatest concentration of irrigated agricultural lands in Colorado. Approximately 854,000 acres are irrigated in the South Platte River Basin. It is the highest producing basin in the state in terms of the value of agricultural products sold. Irrigated lands are located along and adjacent to the South Platte River and its tributaries and stretch to the state line.

The amount of irrigated land in the basin is anticipated to decrease in the future. Urbanization will impact irrigated lands in and around the basin's municipalities by 2050. Most of the urbanization of irrigated land (60 percent) is projected to occur in the St. Vrain River, Big Thompson River, and Cache La Poudre River basins. These basins have some of the highest concentrations of irrigated land adjacent to municipalities projected to increase in population.

Urban agriculture is an established and growing movement in the Denver Metro area that produces local food and other agricultural products, some of which occurs in historically underserved communities.

Environmental and recreational features in the basin are important to Colorado's quality of life and tourism economy.

The South Platte River Compact of 1923 (South Platte Compact) established a legal framework within which the water of the South Platte River is allocated to water users in both Colorado and Nebraska. Specifically, the South Platte Compact requires the Colorado State Engineer to curtail diversions east of the Washington County line that are junior to June 14, 1897 when flow in the river is less than 120 cubic feet per second from April 1 through October 15.

Republican River Basin

The headwaters of the North Fork and South Fork of the Republican River and the Arikaree River originate in the northeastern high plains of Colorado. The Republican River Basin in Colorado encompasses approximately 7,760 square miles, which represents 31 percent of the total Republican River Basin located in Colorado, Nebraska, and Kansas.

The topographic characteristics of the Republican River Basin are similar to the high plains region of the South Platte River Basin, consisting mainly of grassland and planted/cultivated land. The Republican River Basin in Colorado is underlain by the High Plains Aquifer (also known as the Ogallala Aquifer), which is one of the largest waterbodies in the United States and extends from South Dakota to Texas.

The Republican River Compact of 1942 (Republican River Compact) apportions the waters of the Republican River Basin between Colorado, Nebraska, and Kansas. The Republican River Compact makes allocations for beneficial consumptive use in each state. Colorado is allocated the entire water supply of the Frenchman Creek and Red Willow Creek drainage basins in Colorado. It is also allocated 54,100 acre-feet, which is further allocated as follows:

- North Fork of the Republican River drainage basin 10,000 acre-feet; Arikaree River drainage basin – 15,400 acre-feet.
- South Fork of the Republican River drainage basin 25,400 acre-feet; Beaver Creek drainage basin 3,300 acre-feet.

The allocations decrease with declining streamflow. In 2002 the U.S. Supreme Court determined that groundwater use impacts streamflow and those impacts must be accounted as part of a state's allocation. The 2002 Final Settlement

Stipulation sets out the complicated accounting needed to determine those groundwater impacts and to determine each states' compliance.

In 2004 the Republican River Water Conservation District (RRWCD) was established for the purpose of cooperating with and assisting the State of Colorado with Republican River Compact compliance. Administration of surface water in the Republican River Basin is separate from groundwater administration. Much of the groundwater located within the basin has been authorized as being in a Designated Ground Water Basin. The Colorado Ground Water Commission (CGWC) has established eight Designated Ground Water Basins - Northern High Plains, Kiowa Bijou, Southern High Plains, Upper Black Squirrel Creek, Lost Creek, Camo Creek, North Kiowa Bijou, and Upper Crow Creek – and 13 Ground Water Management Districts (GWMD) within such basins. GWMDs are local districts that have additional administrative authority. Much of the Republican River Basin lies within the Northern High Plains Designated Ground Water Basin and eight GWMDs.

The Republican River Basin has nearly 580,000 irrigated acres, making it one of the highest producing basins of irrigated crops in the state. The basin has very limited surface water supplies. As a result, irrigators rely on groundwater supplies from the High Plains Aquifer. While all of the pumping in the basin is subject to the Republican River Compact, the Compact accounting recognizes that most of that pumping comes from storage in the High Plains Aquifer and not the stream system. Groundwater pumping is managed by the groundwater management districts in the basin.

Colorado, Kansas, and Nebraska reached an agreement in August 2016 in the long-standing conflict over water from the Republican River Basin, as the Republican River Compact Administration signed two resolutions. Representatives from the three states met monthly for over two years to change the approach and improve how they manage interstate water matters. This effort has created a new focus on transparency and certainty as all three states work to serve their water users. The intent of these resolutions is to provide long-term certainty to water users.

This agreement provides a path to resolve Kansas's concerns regarding streamflow on the South Fork Republican River, which flows through Cheyenne County in northwest Kansas. Colorado agreed to retire 25,000 groundwater-irrigated acres within the South Fork Republican River basin to address Kansas's concerns. Colorado and Kansas also agreed to work together to develop options to maximize the use of Bonny Reservoir, which was drained in 2012. The current amount of irrigated land in the basin is expected to decline in the future and irrigated lands will need to be retired to maintain compliance with the Republican River Compact. In addition, declining saturated thickness in the High Plains Aquifer will also lead to the retirement of groundwater-irrigated lands.

The Republican River Basin contains less than 1 percent of the statewide population and does not account for any of the State's self-supplied industrial demands.

Relationship with Statewide Water Needs

The South Platte Basin is the most populous in the state, making up approximately 70 percent of Colorado's population and is expected to experience the largest municipal growth in the state by 2050. It also represents large portions of the agricultural, recreational, and tourism sectors of the State's economy. Given the interdependence of the State's regional economies, it is critical to Colorado's prosperity that future water demands in the South Platte Basin, as well as in all the basins, be satisfied.

In addition to economic interdependency between the State's river basins, there are many other important inter-relationships impacting the approach to addressing statewide water supply issues:

Political Inter-relationships. Solving Colorado's longterm water supply problems will take collaborative political processes in the General Assembly and in the State's water and natural resource planning, regulatory, and funding agencies. Many potential in-state approaches require that new legislation be applied uniformly and equitably across the State. Interaction with the nineteen states that receive water originating in Colorado must be led by State water managers. Interaction with federal water management and regulatory agencies must be handled consistently across river basins to maintain the authority of the State's water administration. The degree to which the State can speak with a unified voice on potential future federal legislation and/or executive orders may also greatly affect how water supply solutions are implemented.

Hydrographic, Environmental, and Recreational

Interconnections. The existing and potential future diversions of water from the Colorado to the South Platte and Arkansas Basins receives intense attention and scrutiny; however, there are also many other water-related and environmental interconnections and co-dependencies that will benefit from continued collaborative statewide efforts. These include threatened and endangered species recovery programs, input on proposed changes to federal land and water management programs, including designation of additional special use areas (e.g., wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, national recreation areas, etc.), forest management and fire response planning, invasive species migration and control, and many other watershed and water quality programs that should be consistently applied across the state. The State and water users must consider that water diversions and uses are interconnected and interdependent with environmental and recreational flows and wetlands areas. Much of Colorado's economy and quality of life depends on these environmental and recreational attributes. The South Platte Basin is home to many important environmental and recreational attributes that require thoughtful consideration during water planning processes.

Cultural and Social Interconnections. Coloradoans take great pride in their state. Colorado is renowned worldwide for its natural beauty and hospitality. Its residents share a culturally rich heritage, founded in western individualism and pragmatism. As a result, Coloradoans seek practical and collaborative solutions that respect this culture, especially in federal legislative and executive agency interactions. These cultural traits tend to unite Coloradoans across river basins, allowing them to relate to each other's challenges and potential solutions. Moreover, as the state's demographic trends show, regional affiliations are progressively fluid with offspring of West Slope residents increasingly finding employment and raising families in new South Platte River Basin communities.

Section 3. Overarching Themes

The South Platte BIP has four overarching themes that guide the plan's future water supply planning. These overarching themes are described in Table 3-1.

| Overarching Themes | | Descriptions | |
|--------------------|--|---|--|
| 1 | A Good Colorado Plan Needs a Good South Platte Basin Plan | A South Platte Basin Implementation Plan will need to be consistent with the values represented in the Colorado Water Plan. Comprehensive and reliable solutions to meeting the South Platte Basin's consumptive, environmental, and recreational water supply needs benefit all of Colorado. The continued and unmitigated loss of agricultural production through "buy-and- dry" is not in Colorado's overall interest. | |
| 2 | South Platte Solutions Must be Pragmatic | An effective South Platte Basin Implementation Plan must address the needs of a variety of water interests. Potential solutions must be pragmatic and embrace the realities of Colorado's prior appropriation doctrine, state and federal regulatory/permitting nexus, increasing project costs, and environmental and socioeconomic impacts. | |
| 3 | The South Platte Basin Will Continue its Leadership Role and Performance in Efficient Use and Management of Water | The state's future, and the future of each of its river basins, depends on efficient and sustainable use and management of all available water supplies. The South Platte Basin will continue to lead the state of Colorado in maintaining, promoting, and implementing efficient use of water through municipal and industrial planning, conservation, and reuse, and in adoption of innovative and efficient water use practices across all categories of water users. | |
| 4 | The South Platte Basin Must Sustain its Economy, Culture, and Environment | Whether it's an urban center, a rural agricultural community, or a mountain resort, – Coloradans value their way of life, the financial engines that drive their communities, and the environment. The South Platte Basin will manage its water resources and develop projects to honor these unique values throughout the basin and the state. | |

Table 3-1. Overarching Themes



Section 4. Basin Challenges and Achievements

The word that best encapsulates the water-related challenges faced by the South Platte Basin at the time of the BIP update is **scarcity**. The current challenges of meeting municipal and industrial and agricultural water needs while maintaining or enhancing environmental and recreational resources have inherent conflicts and led to water users having to make hard choices to meet their existing and future needs. Water scarcity, combined with anticipated growth in the basin, will increase the stress on already limited water resources in the future as the gap between sustainable supply and demand for all consumptive and non-consumptive uses grows. Scarcity concerns vary geographically within the basin, with the portion of the basin from the Denver Metro area downstream being at greater risk than the portion of the basin upstream of the Denver Metro area.

Examples of South Platte Basin challenges related to water scarcity include the following:

- Competition among water users to capture the limited remaining native water supplies.
- The basin is increasingly susceptible to influences of climate change on drought frequency and severity, wildfires, and forest health.
- Concerns about the sustainability of the Denver Basin Aquifer have driven many municipalities to pursue costly renewable surface water supplies.
- Agricultural water users face frequent water shortages, as well as pressure from urbanization and water acquisitions by municipal water providers.
- Protecting critical species habitat, water quality, and recreational opportunities will become more difficult as the gap between urban and agricultural water needs and available supplies increase.

Conversely, addressing challenges related to water scarcity has led to many creative and innovative solutions in the South Platte Basin such as:

- Collaborative projects like WISE (Water Infrastructure and Supply Efficiency), NISP (Northern Integrated Supply Project), Parker/Lower South Platte Project, and South Platte Regional Opportunities Water Group (SPROWG)
- Water reclamation and recycling by Denver Water, Aurora Water, and others
- Indirect potable reuse through projects like Prairie Waters
- Water efficiency improvements through municipal water conservation programs.

This chapter describes the key challenges facing the South Platte Basin as identified by the South Platte and Metro Basin Roundtables. All these challenges may be viewed as a direct or indirect result of **water scarcity** in the basin relative to existing and future needs.

Table 4-1 gives a brief summary of the challenges the South Platte basin faces and the goals that mitigate those challenges. Goals are further defined in Section 6. Following Table 4-1, the remainder of this section discusses each of the 16 challenges presented in the table in more detail with descriptions of illustrative projects and programs that have been done or are currently being done in response to the challenge. The description of the South Platte Regional Opportunities Work Group program is placed before the challenge sections because this comprehensive concept addresses many of the challenges.

Table 4-1. Basin Challenges and Goals

| CHALLENGES | | BIP GOALS | | |
|----------------|--|-----------|--|--|
| | Competition for Scarce South Platte Basin Water Resources | Goal 2: | Maximize Development of Native South Platte Supplies | |
| 699 | | Goal 5: | Maintain and Improve Irrigated Agriculture | |
| | | Goal 9: | Support Collaborative Development and Management of Supply Options Outside of the South Platte Basin | |
| T.O | Degree of Successive Water Use in the South Platte Basin | Goal 4: | Maintain and Promote Reuse | |
| | | Goal 5 | Maintain and Promote Reuse | |
| | | Goal 5. | Maintain and improve imgated Agriculture | |
| \overline{O} | Expanding Non-potable and Potable Water Reuse | Goal 4: | Maintain and Promote Reuse | |
| | Municipal and Industrial Water Use Efficiency | Goal 3: | Maintain and Promote Municipal and Industrial Conservation and Efficiency | |
| _ | Additional Use of | Goal 1: | Encourage Implementation of Projects | |
| | Denver Basin Aquifer System Water | Goal 2: | Maximize Development of Native South Platte Supplies | |
| T O | Aquifer Storage and | Goal 1: | Encourage Implementation of Projects | |
| | Recovery (ASR) in Denver Basin Aquifer System | Goal 2: | Maximize Development of Native South Platte Supplies | |
| | Use of the Alluvial | Goal 1: | Encourage Implementation of Projects | |
| | Aquifer along the South Platte River | Goal 2: | Maximize Development of Native South Platte Supplies | |
| \wedge | Water Quality | Goal 2: | Maximize Development of Native South | |
| \bigcirc | Management | | Platte Supplies | |

| CHALLENGES | | BIP GOALS | | |
|--|---|-----------|---|--|
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | Future of Agriculture | Goal 5: | Maintain and Improve Irrigated Agriculture | |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | Republican River Basin Water Use Constraints | Goal 5: | Maintain and Improve Irrigated Agriculture | |
| Ś | Need for Protection and Enhancement of Forest and Watershed Health | Goal 6: | Protect and Enhance Watershed Function | |
| È | Diverse Environmental Water Needs and Concerns | Goal 7: | Protect and Enhance Environmental Attributes | |
| | Diverse Recreational Water Needs and Concerns | Goal 8: | Protect and Enhance Recreational Attributes | |
| | Time and Cost to Obtain Regulatory Decisions on New Water Supply Projects | Goal 12: | Improve Efficiency and Effectiveness of Water Project Permitting | |
| | The Roles of Elected Officials, the Business Community and the General Public in Water Supply Planning | Goal 11: | Broaden South Platte Communications, Outreach, and Education Programs | |
| Ś | Climate Change | Goal 10: | Utilize Scenario Planning to Better Manage Uncertainty of Future Water Needs | |
| | | | | |



Figure 4-1. SPROWG Concept

The 2015 South Platte BIP included a discussion of opportunities to create a multipurpose, multiparticipant project in the lower South Platte River basin (see Section 4.6.2 in the 2015 BIP). To advance this idea, a consortium of municipal and agricultural water users formed the ad-hoc South Platte Regional Opportunities Working Group (later South Platte Regional Opportunities Water Group, or SPROWG) to explore concepts for capturing, storing, and conveying junior water rights, reusable return flows and agriculture to municipal (ATM) transfers between Denver and the state line. Following several informal technical studies, SPROWG used a Water Supply Reserve Fund (WSRF) grant to complete a Feasibility Study in March 2020 that refined project alternatives, produced enhanced modeling of options, refined alternative cost estimates, and outlined potential governance structures for owning and operating a multi-participant project.

Alternative concepts were developed to provide an average of 50,000 to 80,000 acre-feet per year of yield for municipal participants and 5,000 to 20,000 acre-feet per year of yield for agricultural participants. Alternatives included 215,000 to 409,000 acre-feet of reservoir or managed groundwater storage in several locations. Life-cycle costs for the alternative concepts vary from \$25,800 to \$33,300 per acre foot for raw water and \$44,100 to \$58,300 per acre foot for treated water.

Discussions are continuing among SPROWG members regarding next steps. At this stage no firm commitments for participation have been received or solicited; however, there is broad consensus that the concept warrants continued evaluation and that outreach to other potential municipal, agricultural, and environmental, and recreational participants should be conducted.





Municipal and industrial and agricultural water providers in the South Platte Basin face daunting challenges to meeting their customers' needs considering the forecasted supply-demand gap in the basin. These include existing water shortages, anticipated future growth, climate change, threats to water quality, cost of new supplies, legal complexities, and environmental permitting hurdles. The fact that municipal and industrial and agricultural providers individually need

to protect existing supplies and plan for future needs has created competition in the basin for the same limited resources, driving up the cost and complexity of developing remaining water resources. While new supplies are needed, the basin also needs to maintain, protect, or improve environmental and recreational water uses. The need to develop new supplies while protecting environmental and recreational uses sets up a tension that threatens the basin's ability to address future environmental and resource needs.

Competition among municipal water providers for scarce South Platte Basin supplies poses several threats to overall basin health, including:

- Increased pressure for municipal entities to acquire agricultural water through "buy-and-dry" strategies that could affect the health of agriculture and rural communities and the environment in the South Platte Basin.
- Inequities in the reliability of supplies available to South Platte Basin residents based on where they live, and the water supply portfolios owned by their water providers.
- Increased stress on a regional economy that depends on having reliable water supplies and healthy environmental and recreational resources for all its interconnected urban and rural communities.

This is not just a South Platte Basin issue. Similar factors related to competition for scarce water resources affect conditions at the state level and interstate level as well.

Future competition for scarce water resources will increase the difficulty and cost of developing additional in-basin supplies for all water user categories. However, this competition allows for the opportunity and need for multipurpose projects. An example is the Chatfield Reservoir Reallocation Project.





The Chatfield Reservoir Reallocation Project reallocated 20,600 acre-feet of storage space in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers managed facility. Chatfield Reservoir was created in 1975 for flood control and water storage and draws more than 1.6 million visitors annually while providing water supply to Denver and the Front Range. The reallocation project allows 20,600 acre-feet of storage space that was previously reserved for flood storage to be used for water supply storage under specified conditions.

To provide the additional water supply storage in the Chatfield Reservoir, the normal allowable water surface elevation was raised 12 feet, requiring modifications to state park amenities such as the floating marina, boat ramps, the swim beach, bike trails, parking lots, tree thinning, and forest floor clean up on walking trails. Onsite environmental mitigation included restoring sections of Plum Creek and ponds adjacent to the South Platte River to control erosion and improve habitat. Throughout the 2-year construction period, the park was kept open with limited disruptions to maintain the park's \$2 million annual revenue.

This project enjoys broad support from municipal, agricultural, and environmental interests. The project brings environmental, agricultural, and outdoor recreational benefits along with new, critical multi-purpose water storage capacity for growing Front Range communities, including Highlands Ranch, Castle Rock, and Castle Pines.

Environmental Pool. The Chatfield Environmental Pool was created as part of the agreement between the water providers and Colorado Parks and Wildlife to allow for strategic releases out of Chatfield Reservoir to enhance stream flows and water quality in the South Platte River below the reservoir. The environmental pool has now been expanded to 2,100 acre-feet of the reallocated space because of the generosity of a partnership of 22 public and private, foundation and nonprofit entities and individuals. The environmental pool is a great example of the type of project called for in the 2015 Colorado Water Plan for maximizing water resources through projects that provide multiple benefits for multiple users.

Agricultural. Releases from storage in Chatfield Reservoir for use by Central Colorado Water Conservancy District (CCWCD) will typically be left in the river for augmentation purposes, saving energy costs by operating a gravity flow reservoir system. CCWCD is the only agricultural stakeholder in the Chatfield Storage Reallocation Project. CCWCD subdistricts supply augmentation water to more than 1,000 irrigation wells over a surface area of more than 360,000 acres in Adams, Morgan, and Weld Counties.





With continued Front Range growth, Boulder, Left Hand Water District, Berthoud and Longs Peak Water District agreed to fund a second phase of the Southern Water Supply Project for secure, year-round water deliveries of their Colorado-Big Thompson and Windy Gap water. Northern Water constructed the first phase in the 1990s for Broomfield and other Front Range communities. Some of the second phase pipeline participant entities previously depended on seasonally operated open canals for water deliveries, which at times are subject to water quality concerns. In addition, the pipeline reduces losses in transporting water to the participating entities increasing the overall availability and reliability of supply in the basin.

The Southern Water Supply Pipeline II traverses from Carter Lake south to the Boulder Reservoir Water Treatment Plant, a total distance of over 20 miles and has a maximum flow rate of 50 cubic feet per second. The diameter of the steel pipeline is primarily 36-inches. The first 12 miles parallel the first Southern Water Supply Project pipeline (constructed in 1995) from Carter Lake to St. Vrain Road near Longmont's Vance Brand Municipal Airport. The pipeline's last 8 miles deliver water to Left Hand Water District and Boulder.

Northern Water's commitment to protecting sensitive species meant that scheduling had to be very nimble to deploy construction teams to ensure the protection of raptors and endangered species, while robust enough to get work completed on time. Through work with partner agencies in the corridor, Northern Water was able to accomplish the goal of completing the project promptly, on budget, while ensuring all environmental constraints were satisfied.

PROJECT PROPONENTS:

Northern Water, Boulder, Left Hand Water District, Berthoud, and Longs Peak Water District

TIMELINE: Start 2018, Completion 2020

COST: \$38 million for construction; \$44 million in total including design, permitting, administration, management, and rightof-way.

Degree of Successive Water Use in the South Platte Basin

Water in the South Platte River Basin is used successively several times before it reaches the state line. Only a portion of most diversions is consumed, and the remaining portion, or "return flow", returns to surface water streams and is part of the supply for downstream water users. The degree of successive downstream water use is complicated and affected by many factors. While all the goals and strategies of the BIP are seeking desirable outcomes, the timing and extent that each of them occurs will influence the degree of successive use. Some examples include:

- The implementation of future projects will diminish the amount of unappropriated or free river water available in the stream.
- The maximization of native supplies along with the effects of climate variability can lead to decreases in streamflow and return flows.
- Increasing municipal and industrial conservation, efficiency and reuse can affect long-term return flows within the basin.

The efforts to improve watershed health and environmental attributes (including retiming of streamflow through recharge projects) are important and can have localized benefits, including the successive use by downstream users. Irrigated agriculture within the South Platte River Basin from both surface water and groundwater continues to see increases in irrigation efficiencies through the conversion from flood irrigation to sprinkler irrigation. This continued development will result in reduced return flows within the basin, thus impacting the degree of successive use.

Opportunities for developing additional water supplies from the lower reaches of the South Platte River exist, but there are major economic and water quality, treatment and permitting challenges.





Municipal and Industrial Water Use Efficiency

Water reuse as a non-potable supply or indirect or direct potable supply is an important component of the water supply portfolio of many municipal water users in the South Platte Basin. Typically, only nontributary groundwater, the consumptive use portion of agricultural transfer water, and most water imported from another river basin (the C-BT Project is an important exception) can be reused. Challenges to expanding water reuse as a strategy for reducing the water supply gap in the South Platte Basin are described below.

Regulatory Challenge

- The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) Regulation 84 was recently modified to expand the types of allowed uses. Further regulatory improvements, including expanding reclaimed water uses, could promote additional nonpotable reuse.
- In 2015, CDPHE Regulation 86, which governs the use of graywater, was created. However, it requires separate adoption by each local agency that desires to implement graywater reuse. At the time of the BIP update, the only entities in the Metro Basin that have accomplished this adoption are the City and County of Denver and the City of Golden. A CDPHE Regulation 86 stakeholder process recently kicked off to solicit input on potential changes.
- Municipal plumbing codes may need to be changed to allow graywater reuse.
- Current regulations make implementing direct potable reuse (DPR) a challenge.

Return Flow Management Challenge

- Many larger water users in the South Platte River Basin currently capture and reuse a significant portion of their reusable return flows.
- Reuse is accomplished in several different ways; each water user must determine the most beneficial approach to reuse based on their own water rights and system configuration. This can include separate nonpotable water systems, potable reuse, graywater recycling, and meeting augmentation obligations.
- Reuse decisions for water users can be complex because beneficial short-term and long-term options may be different (e.g., they may allocate return flows for augmentation water now but plan on recovering them for DPR in the future).
- Additional reuse by municipal water providers in the Denver Metro and North Front Range areas could reduce streamflows historically relied on for agricultural supplies and environmental flows, and by upstream entities to accomplish exchanges.
- Downstream water quality changes such as increased salinity could result from more reuse by Front Range entities. Basin water users acknowledge there may be consequences of upstream water reuse and will consider the trade-offs of additional reuse against other supply alternatives.
- As South Platte Basin municipalities consider various reuse options, concerns about possible temporary reductions in Colorado River supplies available due to curtailment under the Colorado River Compact must be considered. Additionally, any voluntary involvement in a future Colorado demand management program could also temporarily decrease reusable Colorado River supplies

Pathways to Direct Potable Reuse

- The CDPHE recently initiated a stakeholder process for developing a new rule to govern DPR.
- DPR is becoming a more technically and financially feasible option for municipal and industrial water supply portfolios in the South Platte Basin.
- State regulations for DPR are under development and will provide the regulatory clarity desired by municipalities to consider DPR as a water supply strategy, as well as a proactive approach to addressing public acceptance.
- Technical issues related to distribution systems will need to be addressed, including dual distribution system issues and changing water types in pipes.

Research Challenge

- Additional research is needed to support implementation of reuse applications such as graywater use and DPR.
- Support will be needed to identify and implement the most pertinent research for the South Platte Basin. Research on reuse for agricultural crops and tapping into funds not typically used for water projects may be a useful strategy for research involving reuse and agriculture.

Public Education as a Priority

- Additional education is needed to provide accurate information and confidence-building on water reuse to the public and elected officials, especially related to DPR.
- A method of frequently gauging the status of public and elected official acceptance of reuse and DPR is needed.
- A consistent strategy is needed for entities to use when engaging with the public and elected officials such as WateReuse Colorado's "Communications and Outreach Plan for DPR in Colorado." Research must be translated into understandable terms for the general public.
- Additional emphasis is needed on the various qualityof-life benefits from reuse, including reliable water supply, economic benefits, and drought protection.

CDPHE Resource Challenge

- CDPHE has experienced challenges with funding and staffing levels to support the regulatory processes needed to facilitate new reuse strategies such as new uses under Regulation 84, DPR, and graywater use.
- Outside resources from other state agencies (CWCB and Water Resources and Power Development authority), basin roundtables, water users, and others were needed to accomplish recent regulatory changes and to develop DPR stakeholder materials. Similar and more reliable resources are expected to be needed in the future, including for administering a new DPR rule.

Technical, regulatory, and public acceptance challenges all present obstacles to expanding water reuse in the South Platte Basin, but similar obstacles have been overcome in the past and can be addressed in the future. The potential for future adoption of DPR by major South Platte Basin municipal water users could significantly change the water resources situation in the region.

DIRECT POTABLE REUSE DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS



Photo 1. The PureWater Colorado Mobile Demonstration is housed in a 28-foot trailer, capable of operating in communities across the state.



Photo 2. 2018 PureWater Colorado Demonstration

Front Range utilities, several of which are in the South Platte River Basin, are evaluating the potential incorporation of DPR into their future water supply portfolios. Two demonstration projects provide a first-hand, tangible illustration of the viability of DPR as a safe and sustainable water supply option. Both projects were supported by CWCB grants as key steps toward meeting the water supply and reuse goals of Colorado's Water Plan and the South Platte BIP. The 2018 PureWater Colorado Demonstration Project and the new PureWater Colorado Mobile Demonstration facility support community engagement, regulatory development, operator training, and research and educational aspects of DPR implementation in Colorado.

In anticipation of DPR implementation in Colorado, the 2018 WateReuse Colorado DPR Project developed a DPR regulatory framework for Colorado and a communications and outreach plan to support engagement with regulators, utility leaders, community leaders, and the public. In tandem with the 2018 WateReuse Colorado DPR Project, the 2018 PureWater Colorado Demonstration Project was operated in spring 2018 as a regional initiative. Denver Water hosted the demonstration at its recycling plant site. The project used a carbon-based treatment train to purify secondary-treated reclaimed water from the Metro Water Recovery's Robert W. Hite Treatment Facility. The demonstration produced water compliant with all drinking water standards and removed unregulated trace organics to concentrations below detection or orders of magnitude below health-based levels determined in national-level research. The demonstration process did not produce a brine waste stream that would require disposal. Dozens of groups toured the facility and sampled the purified water it produced. Beer and wine were also produced with the purified water, further expanding the reach of community engagement efforts.

Building on the success of the 2018 PureWater Colorado demonstration, Colorado Springs Utilities spearheaded an effort to design and construct a demonstration with similar processes. Recognizing the ongoing multiple benefits of a demonstration facility (e.g., community engagement, regulatory development, operator training, and research and education), Colorado Springs Utilities commissioned a mobile demonstration capable of traveling across the state. The 5 gallon per minute process was constructed by Colorado School of Mines staff in a 28-foot trailer. It is the world's first mobile DPR demonstration that purifies reclaimed water without reverse osmosis technology. After operating at Colorado Springs Utilities' JD Phillips Water Resource Recovery Facility throughout much of 2021, the trailer will be housed at the Colorado School of Mines to support potable reuse research and education; it can then be used at other communities for advancement of DPR across the state.



Municipal and Industrial Water Use Efficiency

Municipal and industrial water providers in the South Platte Basin have long been champions of driving more efficient use of the scarce water resources in the region. Per capita water use rates continue to decline, particularly in the area of residential water use. There is, however, a limit to savings that can be achieved through water efficiency measures and future gains in efficiency will be more difficult to achieve than those in the past. This section highlights the key challenges to increased water efficiency in the South Platte Basin.

Limits on Conservation Effectiveness

- Most municipal water providers in the South Platte Basin have already implemented major water conservation programs, some of which are nationally recognized as "best-practices."
- Plumbing codes continue to drive passive conservation through replacement of older fixtures and appliances with new and more efficient products.
- Further gains in water use efficiency may be more difficult and expensive to achieve and may require more societal changes.
- Indoor per capita water use continues to trend downward, but how low is practical and sustainable in Colorado?
- Reducing per capita indoor water usage also reduces return flows available for irrigation and reuse downstream on a per capita basis. However, this may be more than compensated for by the increased return flows associated with increased population.
- Land use decisions are often not made by the same entity that is tasked with providing water for new development.

Demand Hardening

- Significant reductions in outdoor water use in the South Platte Basin have occurred as a result of landscape changes, new building ordinances, and improved irrigation system efficiencies.
- As everyday water use efficiency improves, the ability to use outdoor-focused demand management strategies such as lawn watering restrictions as a drought or emergency shortage strategy become more challenging and less effective, especially as new customers are added and use the water that was saved via prior efficiency improvements.

Climate Change

- A future hotter and drier climate will increase water demand for outdoor landscaping.
- Urban landscapes, parks, and irrigated open spaces that provide important recreational and aesthetic amenities will be more difficult to maintain and will need to adapt to changing conditions. These urban areas are important for communities (especially disadvantaged) without access to other opportunities for recreation and enjoyment of nature

Industrial Use

- Commercial and industrial water users in the South Platte Basin have done their part in improving the water efficiency of their operations.
- Several important local industries have high water use needs that cannot be significantly reduced using current best-practices (e.g., livestock operations, food processing, beverage production, energy production, and oil, gas, and mineral extraction).

Measurement Challenges

- Municipal entities across the South Platte Basin have different water use category make-ups (e.g., more industrial use, larger residential lot sizes), or have different demand drivers such as climate and weather.
- Entities report water use data in different ways. This makes it difficult to compare municipal and industrial water use between entities and track water efficiency trends using standard metrics like gallons per capita per day.
- Further standardization of the term "per capita water use" and improvement in the understanding of the factors impacting water consumption rates can help the Basin and State better understand the ways that conservation programs and reductions in per capita water consumption can help meet supply gaps.
- Differences in precipitation and temperature each year or over a series of years increase difficulty in measuring progress in reducing per capita usage.
- The time frame involved in collecting water use and conservation data, reporting data to the state in 1051 reports, and then having the state analyze the data creates difficulty in determining progress in a timely manner.



Improving municipal and industrial water use efficiency will remain a key element of water resources management in the South Platte Basin, but significant gains will be more difficult to achieve due to technical, societal, and climate factors.



Photo 3. Greeley Municipal Water Conservation Program

The City of Greeley and its citizens continue to have one of the most robust water conservation programs in Colorado. This South Platte Basin municipal water provider demonstrates water innovation through water conservation and efficiency.

Highlights of Greeley's current water conservation program include:

- Water Budget Rate Structure To promote and reward water efficiency, rates for Greeley's homes are personalized – determined by family size, landscape size, and current weather conditions. This helps Greeley residents use only the water needed and pay the lowest water rate. Higher rates are charged to residents whose use exceeds their water budgets.
- **2021 Drought Emergency Plan** This plan created tools to reduce water use and build water savings during a drought, built-in equity among customers, and minimalized financial impacts and flexibility to adapt.
- Water Audits Residential, commercial, industrial, and institutional water audits identify water waste and provide targeted recommendations for repairs and upgrades.
- **Rebates and Discounts** Greeley incentivizes devices like irrigation system parts, toilets, and commercial devices. Even professional landscape contractors' certifications can be rebated. Garden in a Box discounts are offered to allow easy upgrades to residential landscapes.

- Life after Lawn This "cash-for-grass" rebate incentivizes residents to remove turf for more xeriscape landscapes, beautifying Greeley and saving water at the same time.
- Education and Outreach Greeley's landscape lecture series, local teachers' trainings, and tours provide education on water conservation at every level for the community.
- Online Water Efficiency Tools Customers can login to their Water Budget and WaterSmart portals to see and compare their water use over time. Greeley's new advance metering infrastructure provides near real-time data eliminating surprise water bills.
- Water and Land Use Planning Water conservation standards are built into City code and criteria. Adoption of landscaping/irrigation requirements serves long-range water savings and promotes water smart development.

RESOURCE CENTRAL – CONSERVATION MADE EASY

For 45 years, Resource Central has been putting conservation into action throughout Colorado. Resource Central is a nonprofit social enterprise based out of Boulder, Colorado. Resource Central's conservation programs have helped more than 600,000 people save water, conserve energy, and reduce waste since its founding in 1976. Of the 41 water providers that partnered with Resource Central in 2020 to support and implement programs, only three were located outside the South Platte Basin.



Since 2000, the water conservation programs supported by Resource Central have saved more than 1.5 billion gallons of water, greatly contributing to its partners' ability to meet their water conservation and efficiency goals.

The 25,000 community members served through the Garden in a Box program started in 2003 has resulted in more than 2.5 million square feet of lawn converted to xeriscape landscape. This program offers professionally designed, waterwise garden kits tailor-made for Colorado's climate, flora, and fauna.

The Waterwise Landscape Webinar Series started in 2013 has hosted over 139 seminars as of 2020, providing education about water conservation practices to more than 6,200 participants. Water provider partners sponsor these free webinars for the public and their residents.

The organization supports two different Slow the Flow programs. Slow the Flow-Outdoor, started in 2004, focuses on providing free, one-on-one, water-saving sprinkler inspections to individual homeowners. Resource Central estimates 9 million gallons have been saved annually as a result of the subsequent recommendations and changes to irrigation practice as the result of this program. Similarly, Slow the Flow-Indoor has resulted in 1.3 million gallons saved annually as a result of changes to indoor water use. The majority of water savings realized through the Slow the Flow-Indoor program is the result of the installation of over 5,316 low-water aerators and low-flow showerheads (suspended in 2020 and 2021 due to COVID-19).

Since its inception in 2016, the Grass to Garden program has removed 108,000 square feet of grass and replaced it with waterwise landscaping, resulting in 9 million gallons of water saved. Under this program, individuals submit an application (including a project plan) and phone consultation with a Resource Central staff member and, if approved, the individual receives two free Garden In A Box kits in exchange for the removal of at least 200 square feet of watered and maintained grass. In 2021, participating water utilities include the cities of Boulder, Fountain, Lafayette, Louisville, Westminster, and Little Thompson Water District.

The newest program, Lawn Removal Service, started in 2020 and has been a huge success with over 100 projects and 42,000 square feet of turf removed in the first year of the program. For a fee of just \$2 per square foot, Resource Central Lawn Remove Service physically removes and composts a section of watered and maintained lawn (minimum of 200 square-feet). Participating water providers added a limited number of discounts, up to \$500 off, for qualified customers in their service area on a first come, first served basis. Utilities offering discounts on Lawn Removal Service in 2021 include Arvada, Aurora, Fountain, Lafayette, Thornton, Westminster, Little Thompson Water District, and Willows Water District.

In 2019 alone, Resource Central's conservation programs resulted in a water savings of over 2.9 million gallons, which was a 15% increase from the prior year. And 2020 saw further reductions in water usage as the result of continued and increased program success and partner participation. As a result of putting conservation into action across Colorado's Front Range, Resource Central is committed to shifting conservation from a hope to a habit.



Additional Use of Denver Basin Aquifer System Water

The Denver Basin Aquifer system is a high quality, droughtproof water supply particularly important to Front Range areas with limited surface water supply options. The Denver Basin Aquifer system has the advantage of consistent water quality that typically requires less treatment than surface water. Many areas south of Denver such as those within the South Metro Water Supply Authority (SMWSA) service area, have been able to develop to their current density because of the Denver Basin Aquifer system. However, the supplies are nonrenewable and nontributary; therefore, there are a range of challenges associated with continued and expanded use of the Denver Basin Aquifer system.

Declining Water Levels Challenge

- Historical and ongoing pumping has caused significant declines in system water levels, particularly in the SMWSA area. Declining water levels decrease well yields, increase pumping costs, and threaten the future availability of system supplies.
- Continuation of current withdrawals or potential expanded use of this important regional asset (or both) are constrained by declining water levels in large areas of the Denver Basin Aquifer system. Predictions for depletions in the Denver Basin Aquifer system range from 1 to 13 feet per year.

Water Rights Administration Uncertainty Challenge

- There is disagreement over the application of the statute directing allocation of nontributary groundwater, including in the Denver Basin. The 1973 Senate Bill 213 and 1985 Senate Bill 5 legislation state that permits "shall allow withdrawals on the basis of an aquifer life of one hundred years" and that the amount of "groundwater available for withdrawal shall be that quantity ... underlying the land owned by the applicant". For many wells and decrees, the end of that 100 years is now coming within the planning horizon; there is currently disagreement over water users pumping quantities in excess of the total volume underlying the land, which could only occur after 100 years, or longer, depending on the rate water was pumped. A lawsuit over this disagreement is pending in Division 1 water court case number 21CW3046.
- Many suppliers that were previously solely reliant on Denver Basin Aquifer system supplies have begun transitioning to renewable supplies. Some counties are also requiring new Denver Basin Aquifer system development to be based on a 200- or 300-year aquifer life.

To maximize development of native South Platte River Basin supplies, Denver Basin supplies may be coupled with 1) Denver Basin Aquifer system Aquifer Storage and Recovery, 2) limited agricultural water transfers, especially alternatives to traditional 'buy-and-dry," and 3) transbasin water from either existing or new projects.

Further development of Denver Basin Aquifer system supplies is still an opportunity to consider; however, the challenges of declining water levels and water rights administration will need to be addressed. Denver Basin Aquifer system supplies can be particularly useful when used with conjunctive use strategies where renewable sources supply water in average and wet years and the Denver Basin Aquifer system is used to provide safe yield in dry years. In addition, studies conducted by the USGS, the SMWSA and the Douglas County Water Resource Authority suggest that certain areas of the Denver Basin Aquifer system may still be safely developed as new supplies.

Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR) in Denver Basin Aquifer System

In addition to the opportunities as a continued or expanded water supply, the Denver Basin aquifer and other aquifer systems have even more potential when utilized for aquifer storage and recovery. When compared to surface water storage, ASR has many advantages, including minimized land use, reduced evaporation, minimal environmental impacts, lower cost, and faster permitting. However, there are a range of current challenges associated with development and use of ASR.

ASR Regulatory Challenges

- Recharge implemented using wells, such as ASR implemented in the Denver Basin and other bedrock aquifers, has unique regulatory challenges related to EPA underground injection control permitting. Many of the water sources identified for bedrock aquifer ASR are reuse water or are impacted by upstream sources of pollutants that may make underground injection control permitting challenging.
- In addition to drinking water parameters, the EPA is currently requiring extremely low or no detections of micro-pollutants such as NDMA (N-nitrosodimethylamine). There is uncertainty in how the EPA will treat emerging contaminants such as PFAS in the future.
- In 2018, the Colorado Division of Water Resources (DWR) updated the Artificial Recharge Extraction Rules (2CCR 402-11), which govern ASR in nontributary aquifer systems, including the Denver Basin. The rule updates include different management requirements for confined and unconfined aquifer conditions. Unconfined aquifer conditions at the time of application (or in the future because of water level declines) require smaller distances between injection and recovery wells.
- 2020 DWR updates to the Designated Basins Rules (2CCR 410-1) included new requirements for ASR systems. Baseline characterization, groundwater modeling, and water flow/quality monitoring are now required for ASR plans. The Designated Basins ASR rule also includes restrictions on distances between recharge and recovery, potentially limiting the amount of water stored and/or the length of storage time.

ASR Water Quality Challenges

• When integrated with existing systems dominated by surface water supplies, ASR water quality needs to be carefully managed to avoid treatment and distribution disruptions. Recharge water mixing with native groundwater and aquifer matrix materials needs to be characterized and factored into treatment and delivery planning.

ASR Infrastructure Challenges

- Source water spatial and temporal availability may also present ASR challenges. If the location of source water is not matched with optimal hydrogeologic conditions in the Denver Basin Aquifer system, costly conveyance infrastructure may be required.
- The location of ASR wells may not be located near demand centers, requiring more conveyance infrastructure.
- Regional coordination projects, such as the WISE Authority, demonstrate that source water, ASR, and demand center geographic disparities can be successfully addressed.
- ASR wells are rate limited for water injection/recovery, and experience well-to-well interference if densely spaced. These limitations require ASR systems to be constructed over large, dispersed areas and/or operated with long periods of injection and recovery.

ASR is a particularly attractive opportunity when combined with other water supply and storage solutions. Relative to surface water storage, ASR is scalable, offering early storage (even during pilot system testing), and system expansion provides subsequent opportunity to optimize design and operation based on subsequent aquifer characterization. The associated challenges are manageable, and ASR offers water providers the operational flexibility to optimize groundwater supplies for drought resiliency.

CENTENNIAL WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT CONJUNCTIVE USE PROGRAM

Centennial Water and Sanitation District has a conjunctive use water supply and storage system that maximizes the resiliency of renewable surface water supplies by integrating Denver Basin groundwater supplies for use during drought and other periods of decreased surface water



https://centennialwater.org/water-wastewaterservices/water/#resources

availability. Centennial has a network of water supply wells that access nontributary Denver Basin groundwater, some of which have been equipped for ASR injection of treated excess surface water supplies.

To date, Centennial has used ASR to store over 14,000 acre-feet of water in Denver Basin aquifers. Their conjunctive use system allows them to set a goal of an average 90 percent renewable surface water supply use. This goal limits their reliance on Denver Basin groundwater, reduces water level declines, and preserves groundwater use for drought periods. In addition to conserving groundwater within their own boundaries, Centennial participates in regional planning efforts such as the the regional South Metro Water Supply Authority and the WISE project.

CITY OF GREELEY – TERRY RANCH AQUIFER STORAGE AND RECOVERY



During the City of Greeley's alternatives analysis for National Environmental Policy Act permitting of the Milton Seaman Reservoir enlargement project, ASR emerged as a more economical and environmentally friendly alternative. In 2020, Greeley performed a due diligence investigation of Terry Ranch, a bison ranch that covers approximately 13 square miles (8,410 acres) of rolling green hills near the Wyoming border. The feasibility study confirmed the feasibility of the Terry Ranch ASR project and in 2021 Greeley finalized the purchase of the Terry Ranch groundwater rights, which will add 1.2 million acre-feet of supply and storage to Greeley's surface water sources.

Terry Ranch overlies decreed nontributary groundwater in the Laramie Formation. The nontributary groundwater has advantages over surface water because it has a consistent water quality and is not susceptible to water quality degradation such as those from wildfire impacts to surface watersheds. Access to this supplemental water source is being planned far in advance and Greeley's treatment plants will be upgraded to maintain safe, high-quality water deliveries.

Although Terry Ranch provides near-term supply expansion, Greeley views the site primarily as an underground storage project. Greeley will be able to pump excess treated surface water supplies to Terry Ranch for storage during wet periods. This recharged water will add to native groundwater for use during drought. When compared to surface storage, ASR has the benefits of eliminating evaporative losses, minimal land surface disturbance, and faster permitting with much lower costs. Additionally, the system can be phased and doesn't require a large, all-in capital investment such as reservoir expansion work to start storing water. More information is available at: https://greeleygov.com/services/ws/trpgreeley/s-water-future.





Use of the Alluvial Aquifer along the South Platte River

The South Platte River Basin uses approximately 450,000 acre-feet per year of alluvial groundwater primarily for irrigation but also municipal, industrial, and environmental purposes. While alluvial groundwater is a very useful resource, pumping of alluvial groundwater creates lagged depletions to streamflows that, if unmitigated, reduce available supplies and can injure senior surface water rights. To prevent injury to senior water rights, augmentation plans have been developed to replace streamflow depletions caused by pumping of alluvial groundwater. Augmentation plans can be challenging to develop and implement. They are sometimes controversial and can be expensive to shepherd through the water court process. Acquisition of reliable, senior supplies to replace depletions can be cost prohibitive. Many augmentation plans rely on alluvial aquifer recharge projects that divert surface water when available under a junior priority, deliver the water to recharge basins for infiltration, and then use the resulting lagged streamflow accretions to offset pumping depletions.

Recharge projects have many benefits: they facilitate conjunctive use of surface and groundwater supplies, enhance waterfowl habitat, and they can be less expensive than purchasing senior water rights. However, recharge projects typically divert under junior water

rights that are not in priority when streamflows are low and water demands are high. Additionally, recharge projects have contributed to high water table conditions in some areas along the South Platte River. The Groundwater Subcommittee of the South Platte Basin Roundtable held numerous meetings to examine high water table issues and explore mitigation strategies. In 2018, the subcommittee released a report with recommendations for mitigating high water table issues. Another challenge associated with recharge projects is the imprecise timing of when lagged recharge accretions and pumping depletions affect streamflows. It is impossible to perfectly match the timing and amount of recharge accretions with pumping depletions. Augmentation plans must ensure that recharge accretions are equal to or greater than pumping depletions; as a result, augmentation plans often have recharge accretions that are unused because they are greater than pumping depletions. The Northeast Colorado Water Cooperative was formed in part to establish a framework or market for leasing and more fully utilizing unused recharge accretions; however, legal issues and a lack of available infrastructure for managing and retiming the accretions have been a challenge.

While challenges with alluvial aquifer groundwater exist, the South Platte and Metro Roundtables remain committed to maximizing its legal use in the South Platte Basin.

Water Quality Management

All water users are facing the challenges of using lower quality and more distant water sources. They are meeting this challenge through technological innovation, shared risk through collaborative projects, programs and research and, in some cases, significant impact to their rate structures and customers. After current projects are implemented, greater use of the lower quality water sources may be significantly constrained depending on whether the industry's technological advancements satisfy regulatory requirements for disposal of highly concentrated waste streams from advanced water treatment processes. In some cases, water agencies with adequate volumes of higher quality water may be able to blend them with lower quality supplies for their next major increment of water supply and avoid the advanced treatment technologies that result in concentrated brine streams. After this next increment of supply, the challenges of inland brine disposal could be a major issue for South Platte water suppliers—both due to financial challenges and environmental impacts.

The challenge of developing high quality water supplies will increase in the future, and practical, cost-effective treatment technologies will be needed.



Future of Agriculture

The future of agricultural use within the South Platte Basin appears strong but will continue to face many challenges. However, economic strength is influenced by local, state, national and global issues – not the least of which is commodity prices and demand for agricultural products. The continued demand for water to meet the ever-growing population– particularly along the Front Range–continues to drive up the value of water rights, increasing the motivation of water right owners to sell. This is exacerbated by the ever-increasing costs of maintaining, improving, and replacing aging infrastructure within the agricultural sector, constraining the ability to sustain farming as an occupation.

The ability to meet the full irrigation demand by agriculture remains an issue; efforts to address it have generally been unsuccessful due to high costs to develop new or additional water supplies. Meeting this full demand in the future will be even more challenging in the face of climate uncertainty and a declining aquifer in the Republican River Basin. The amount of irrigated land within the South Platte River Basin continues to decline due to urban growth onto irrigated land and acquisition and conversion of water rights from ATM and industrial uses. Agricultural within urban areas is a growing movement but faces challenges, primarily access to affordable land.

A vibrant agricultural sector within Colorado can be impacted in positive and negative ways by existing and potential new laws and regulations at the local, state, and national levels.

It will require the collaborative efforts of all stakeholders to ensure that agriculture remains a prominent and important sector within all of Colorado as envisioned with the Colorado Water Plan.





Photo 4. Little Thompson Farm

In 2016, Larimer County was approached by a willing landowner who offered the County the ability to purchase and conserve 211 acres of prime farmland with scenic vistas, wildlife habitat, a community buffer, historic value, and almost \$7 million in associated water rights. The County partnered with City and County of Broomfield (Broomfield) to develop the first perpetual ATM in Colorado, allowing Larimer County the opportunity to conserve its agricultural, historic, scenic, community separator, and educational values and Broomfield a dependable water supply for its growing population.

In August 2017, Larimer County and Broomfield entered into a water-sharing agreement whereby Larimer County could conserve and maintain as viable irrigated agriculture the 211-acre farm in perpetuity and Broomfield could acquire a dependable water supply and reliable drought, drought-recovery, and emergency water supply at a reduced cost. Development and implementation of this alternative transfer method was made possible, in part, by an Alternative Agriculture Water Transfer Methods Grant from CWCB. The CWCB grant was used to fund a team of experts to help Larimer County and its citizen advisory boards compile the water, agricultural, and legal knowledge needed to design an agreement that would work for both the farm and a municipality, while meeting the County's goal of preserving and protecting irrigated agriculture, which provides scenic and community buffers, wildlife habitat, local food supply, and a major source of income and opportunity to the local economy.

More information can be found at: <u>https://www.larimer.org/naturalresources/openlands/acquisitions/little-</u> thompson-farm.


The following information is from https://ccwcd.org/walker-recharge/

The Walker Project is moving along as expected; the first water is planning to be pumped during 2021. The Walker Project is in Weld and Morgan Counties between the towns of Orchard and Wiggins. Phase 1 of the project includes a surface diversion from the Weldon Valley that diverts water from the South Platte River, a recharge pond near the north bank of the river, a well field located on the south bank, and a pipeline to deliver water from the wellfield to the recharge ponds. Phase 2 will include development of additional surface diversions, wellfields, pipelines and recharge ponds. On completion it is anticipated that the project will be able to divert and recharge up to 30,000 acre-feet per year at rates up to 100 cubic feet per second from the South Platte River for delivery to recharge ponds located at distances of up to 3 miles from the river. Locations of individual recharge ponds will be staged at different distances to achieve different timing of accretion patterns and optimize project efficiency.

During 2020:

- There were 13 high-capacity wells drilled with an expected pumping rate over 50 cubic feet per second.
- Approximately 3 miles of 54-inch steel pipe associated with the project was installed.
- The manifold pipeline construction (to tie the wells into the steel pipeline) is underway.
- Three recharge ponds associated with the project have been constructed with a combined surface area of approximately 30 acres.

In June, CCWCD's Board of Directors awarded AB Underground, located in LaSalle, Colorado, the construction contract for Phase 3A of Central's Walker Recharge Project. Phase 3A of the Walker Recharge project encompasses the manifold pipeline that will tie together the 13 alluvial wells located on Central's riverfront property to the 54-inch steel pipeline, for delivery to recharge ponds on top of Bijou Hill. As part of Phase 3A, CCWCD will install approximately 6,500 feet of high density polyethylene pipe that ranges in size from 12-inch to 42-inch. The design capacity of the manifold pipeline from the 13 alluvial wells is approximately 65 cubic feet per second.

This project has a variety of benefits. Overall, it represents a project that efficiently uses South Platte River water. It does this by allowing for retiming of South Platte River flows and by putting water back into the river for appropriations. The project was also provided Water Plan Grant money.





Farmers under the North Sterling Irrigation District have successfully entered two alternative transfer methods whereby irrigation water stored in the North Sterling Reservoir is used to provide supplies for industrial use. Voluntary subsets of North Sterling Irrigation District landowners have entered into agreements with two separate energy companies: one for use as a drought supply; the second as a primary source for energy production.

In 2005, Point of Rocks Water Company, a Limited Liability Company comprised of North Sterling Irrigation District farmers representing 84 percent of the land within the District, entered into a 25 year agreement with Public Service Company of Colorado (Xcel Energy) that allows for water normally stored in North Sterling Reservoir to be exchanged approximately 10 miles upstream of the North Sterling Irrigation District diversion structure where it is made available for operation of the Pawnee Station power plant. This lease of water is available to Xcel Energy from November 1 through March 31 and reduces the amount of water stored in North Sterling Reservoir, which, in turn, reduces the amount of water available for irrigation the next season. Under the option contract, the Point of Rocks Water Company receives an annual fee, and an additional fee per acre foot of water delivered in years the agreement is exercised. The annual contract volume for Point of Rocks is up to 3,000 acre-feet per year.

Similarly, under Point of Rocks II, BNN Energy can use up to 6,800 acre-feet per year of North Sterling Irrigation District supply in connection with oil production. The 10-year agreement between Point of Rocks Water Company II LLC and BNN Energy began in late 2016. On signing of the agreement, BNN constructed a 37 mile pipeline with four pump stations to deliver water from North Sterling Reservoir to holding ponds in northern Weld County, where it is then distributed through an existing smaller system of pipelines to various oil production locations. Participation in Point of Rocks Water Company II LLC by farmers was, once again, completely voluntary, and 98.8 percent of the irrigated land in North Sterling Irrigation District is represented.

Under both agreements, irrigators under the North Sterling Irrigation District earn extra money to offset reductions in farming revenue as a result of decreased crop yield, and industrial water users receive water supply security.



Republican River Basin Water Use Constraints

The Republican River Compact between Colorado, Nebraska, and Kansas places severe constraints on Colorado's citizens living and working in this basin. In addition, the Republican River Basin is physically distinct from the South Platte River Basin and the Rocky Mountain snowmelt feeding the South Platte River does not benefit the Republican River Basin. The Ogallala Aquifer that spans eight Great Plains states supplies the basin's agricultural economy (Yuma, Kit Carson, Phillips, and Washington Counties are ranked in the top ten agricultural-producing counties in the state according to the 2012 USDA agricultural census). Irrigation with Ogallala Aquifer water contributes to superior crop yields; however, a declining groundwater table raises concerns about how much longer or to what degree the Republican River Basin will be able to benefit from this water source. Additionally, recent declines in aguifer levels have caused concern about water quality.

Aquifer recharge from rainfall is limited due to the Republican River Basin's soils. Opportunities for conservation and public education have been pursued by the Republican River Water Conservation District; however, it is the overwhelming desire of well owners in the basin that mandates not be placed on conservation and that strategies be pursued on an individual voluntary basis. Efforts continue to reduce the amount of irrigation from both surface and groundwater in the basin through surface water buyouts and land retirement programs. The draining of Bonny Reservoir in 2012 was necessary to meet compact compliance; however, this action resulted in the loss of a vital recreation area and fishery within the region. All these efforts are necessary to reduce the amount of water consumption within the basin to comply with the Republican River Compact and related agreements between the three states. Compliance with the Republican River Compact is mandatory and not discretionary. Any future violations could have severe consequences to the economic vitality of the basin.

The basin will continue to be challenged with maintaining compact compliance and economic prosperity as the primary natural resource continues to be mined. Additionally, there are diverse geographic interests within the basin that continue to challenge universal consensus on regulatory and administrative actions for conservation and compact compliance. The agricultural users in the basin work diligently on a variety of fronts to minimize the rate of decline of this precious resource.

The collective efforts of all stakeholders in the basin are needed to meet these challenges and to ensure a prolonged agricultural economy.

Ś

Need for Protection and Enhancement of Forest and Watershed Health

Residents throughout the South Platte Basin recognize that healthy forest and watersheds support the economy, environment, and quality of life, and that continued functioning of these systems is critical to municipal water users, agricultural water users, ecological processes, and recreational uses. Experience in the South Platte Basin, including the Buffalo Creek Fire of 1996 and a subsequent rain event that brought intake-clogging debris into Strontia Springs Reservoir (a primary intake for Denver Water and Aurora Water) highlights potential vulnerabilities of municipal water systems to service disruptions.

With concerns over increasing hydrologic variability, extreme weather events, periods of prolonged drought, and the hydrologic response of the watersheds due to forest health issues, the potential for irrecoverable impairment to ecological health is being recognized. Water supply agencies in the South Platte Basin continue to develop a broader understanding of the critical function the forests and watersheds provide and recognize the need to protect and enhance the health of the forests and watersheds on which they rely. Challenges to protecting and enhancing forest and watershed health as a strategy for preserving ecological health while meeting the increasing water supply needs in the South Platte Basin are described below.

Diversity in land ownership in forests and watersheds

- Forests and watersheds are owned by a variety of people and entities, making collaborative management difficult in protecting and enhancing all areas.
- Protection of watersheds require management of significant swaths of land, much of which is difficult to access.
- Large-scale projects and programs can be extremely costly and difficult to implement due to the large number of potential stakeholders with varying opinions and levels of interest.

Limited data and information about general river health and watershed health

- The assessment of river, forest, and watershed health requires analysis of a wide range of data. Some, but not all, of the necessary data is readily available; however, much of the data needs to be compiled or developed before it can be used for evaluation.
- The collection and analysis of data to assess river, forest, and watershed health takes significant time and money, both of which are not often readily available to the entities and organizations who lead these types of projects.
- There is limited baseline data related to river, forest, and watershed health, which is a challenge in developing and achieving measurable outcomes.
- There is a need for data-driven projects, specifically in the urban areas, to gain support for funding and participation in stream restoration projects. Additionally, some urban areas have a lack of stream assessment data to help inform project phasing.

Climate change, increased drought, and increased water demands may impact forest and watershed health

- A future hotter and drier climate may increase the likelihood of forest fires and impairment of watershed health.
- Warmer temperatures and drought conditions may increase the amount of forest insect and disease activity in the forests
- Increased drought and hotter temperatures will increase water temperature, which may negatively impact aquatic health.
- The future impacts of changing climate and increased demands to meet agricultural, industrial, and municipal needs on environmental flows and watershed health is unknown. Additional data and research are needed to evaluate potential impacts and support activities and actions that may mitigate negative impacts.
- Headwater ecosystems directly impact downstream water quality and water uses. Headwater restoration projects in critical or sensitive areas may be beneficial in reducing risk from wildfires and minimizing negative impacts to downstream users.

Deterioration of forest health results in an increase in wildfire risk

- There is a significant amount of deadwood in forests as a result of the spread of insects and disease. Without implementing science-based forest management, these areas present increased risk for wildfire.
- Increased wildfire risk and post wildfire remediation can result in closures of popular recreational areas. Management practices that are used with closures are challenging to implement and cost is significant.
- Additional research is needed to understand the connection between broad-scale declines in forest health and pre-/post-wildfire watershed health.
 Potential areas for additional research could include but are not limited to impacts due to high tree densities and bare understories, or the connection between canopy interception and evaporation and decreased groundwater storage.

There is a conflict with increasing municipal and industrial water demands and the impact that competition for water may have on forest and watershed health

- As municipal and industrial demand continues to increase, there will be more pressure on environmental and recreational attributes. A holistic watershed management approach would be beneficial in finding balance between the increasing demand for water by municipal and industrial users and supporting flows and ecosystems that are beneficial to the health of forests and watersheds. The protection and enhancement of environmental and recreational attributes typically rely on water, which is hard to come by because of the competing interest in the supply (competition by Municipal & Industrial and agricultural users)
- The price for water continues to be being driven up by both municipal and industrial and oil and gas users, making it difficult for environmental interests to compete and obtain the water needed or desired.

Limited funding for watershed and forest health-related projects and programs

- When compared to municipal and industrial suppliers who send out a monthly bill that is tied to a measured amount of water usage, capturing fees from individuals associated with recreating or using land and resources within a watershed is challenging. Watershed and forest health-related projects and programs must rely on funding from alternative sources that can be limited in number or available funding amounts. Through the water court process there are changes of water rights and plans for augmentation or exchange of water that result in changes in streamflow. Oftentimes, the time and place to be actively engaged in the decisions and outcomes related to changes in streamflow as a result of a water court case is by being an objector in a water court case. The cost for participating in water court can be high, which in turn prevents forest and watershed health advocacy groups from participating in the water court process.
- Many forest and watershed heath projects are led by nongovernmental entities who have a small staff among other limited resources preventing an increase in both the amount and scale of projects.

Collaborative approaches will be needed to address numerous risks to watershed and forest health while meeting the basins water supply needs.



IMPLEMENTATION OF STREAM MANAGEMENT PLANS

There have been numerous stream management plans adopted within the South Platte River Basin:

- St. Vrain and Left Hand Creek (https://svlhwcd.org/streammanagement-plan/)
- Poudre ISF Augmentation Plan (Colorado Water Trust)
- South Boulder Creek
- Middle South Platte
- Clear Creek
- Upper South Platte Watershed Assessment
- Lower South Platte EAP 9 Element Plan
- Upper Cache la Poudre River
- Mile High Flood District Master Plans: Plum Creek, First Creek, Second Creek (https://mhfd.org/services/ watershed-master-planning/)





WATERSHED MANAGEMENT MASTER PLANNING

Watershed planning is an important way to help protect people and property against flooding, erosion, and stormwater quality problems caused by watersheds. These plans contain a strategy and work plan that includes the following information and solutions for the watershed management issues:

- Identifying remedial stormwater quality and flood risk management projects for construction.
- Guiding new land development projects on regional drainage and flood control needs.
- Supplying valuable input to watershed maps and the MHFD 5-Year Capital Improvement Program.
- Providing help with the identification and acquisition of rights-ofway for future capital improvements and areas for preservation.

VIEW COMPLETED WATERSHED MASTER PLANS

POUDRE RIVER ASSESSMENT AND REPORT CARD (CITY OF FORT COLLINS)

Source: https://www.fcgov.com/poudrereportcard/

This case study represents the first holistic ecological assessment of Poudre River health. This tool benchmarked progress on Fort Collins 2016 Strategic Plan for a healthy and resilient Cache La Poudre. The assessment has an online mapping tool allowing users to explore river health results by specific locations. It is designed to mitigate stress or to enhance benefits such as consistent and clean water supplies, flood mitigation, fish and wildlife habitat, and diverse recreational opportunities. It evaluates river health using the following indicator groups: flows, sediment, river channel, water quality, aquatic life and riparian corridor.





RIPARIAN RECONNECT

Riparian Reconnect is a restoration effort managed by Colorado Open Lands. The program aims to improve riverscape health and function. Their approach affirms riverscapes as integrated systems of interworking parts: channels, floodplains, terraces, riparian communities, and wetland all function together. When these components become disconnected, riverscape health suffers and ecosystem benefits diminish.

Colorado Open Lands is the administrative lead of Riparian Reconnect and the project manager. Riparian Reconnect takes a uniquely ecological approach to restoration, viewing it as a systemic healing process rather than design-build of engineered habitat. At each site, causes of impairment are diagnosed and treatments are prescribed that will alleviate the sources of ecological stress. Treatments are site-specific and vary, but all share the goal of promoting natural processes that do the hard work of restoration over time.

Their methodology improves hydrologic resilience in headwaters ecosystems by reconnecting incised streams with valley floor floodplains to restore riparian and wetland vigor using a variety of cutting-edge treatments. Once reconnected, these systems sustain habitat, store groundwater and recharge aquifers, and maintain water quality. As extreme drought and record wildfires besiege Colorado, these restored systems provide critical landscape resilience to floods, wildfires, droughts, and climate change.

EcoMetrics leads Riparian Reconnect's planning, design, and implementation. The low-tech, process-based methodology applied by EcoMetrics is data driven and focused on results.

Gillilan Associates, Inc. works closely with EcoMetrics. Typical projects include those focusing on hydrologic resiliency, aquatic and wetland restoration and innovative approaches to land conservation. focusing on hydrologic resiliency, aquatic and wetland restoration and innovative approaches to land conservation.

Johnson Environmental Consulting, led by Dr. Brad Johnson, brings expertise in wetland ecology, assessment, restoration, and plant taxonomy. Brad developed the federally required Functional Assessment of Colorado Wetlands, the Functional Assessment of Colorado Streams (both with EcoMetrics), and the Colorado Watershed Approach to Mitigation Planning.

In 2016, JEC and EcoMetrics completed a comprehensive baseline inventory of aquatic resources and a framework for tracking gains and losses to aquatic functions using the Functional Assessments for Colorado Streams. Most recently, JEC and EcoMetrics partnered with the Colorado Natural Heritage Program to pilot a watershed-scale analysis of the potential for beaver restoration across Park County using the Beaver Restoration Assessment Tool and field surveys of beaver activity.

The Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP) offers a wide range of related services including biological monitoring, research, mapping, and conservation planning services.

Riparian Reconnect works closely with USFS staff at the South Park Ranger District led by District Ranger Josh Voorhis. Additional funders of Riparian Reconnect projects have included: LWTF, Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW), GOCO, CWCB & William F. Donner Foundation.



Riparian Reconnect is a group of scientists, practitioners, and conservationists dedicated to restoring and improving Colorado's headwater riverscapes.



Diverse Environmental Water Needs and Concerns

The process of quantifying the environmental "gap" is challenging

- Collection and analysis of data to assess river health and environmental factors takes significant time and money, both of which are not readily available to the entities and organizations who lead these types of projects.
- Limited baseline data presents a challenge in establishing measurable outcomes.
- There is a lack of public awareness around the meaning of an environmental gap and potential consequences.
- The metrics traditionally used are outdated and can be difficult to measure and use for monitoring. New types of metrics are needed to establish what determines minimum flow needs and to assess overall watershed health. It is also necessary to determine who can provide monitoring and recording rapidly and efficiently.

Determining how water supply decisions impact environmental attributes

- There is a lack of a qualitative and quantitative framework providing connection between water supplies decisions and impacts to environmental attributes.
- The National Environmental Policy Act process does not ensure early and meaningful engagement among stakeholders, agencies, and project proponents.

Obtaining water rights for environmental projects

- Local support for environmental uses is hard to come by. There is often a perception that an environmental water right is in competition or could injure other water users. The focus is typically on direct benefits owners can get from the land (money from development, crops from irrigation, etc.) and there is rarely a collective drive to improve the environment.
- The environmental community may not have the funding necessary to participate in water court/ change cases, or to acquire water. Project costs are also a burden.
- Limitations on the instream flow methodology generally used by CWCB. The ability of the CWCB to appropriate water for instream flow outside mountain regions has faced challenges, as there are many streams on which

flows are simply too low to support an appropriation, or local entities have opposed instream flow appropriations as a matter of local interest.

• Inherently an environmental water right is going to be junior and due to enhanced demands alongside the effects of climate change this threatens environmental attributes.

Potential for changes in listing may impact State's ability to manage species

- Greenback cutthroat trout may go from threatened to endangered species.
- Small plains fishes have potential to be listed.

Federal regulations do not provide enough protection

- Federal roll backs to the Clean Water Act threaten protection of sensitive aquatic and nonaquatic habitat.
- Liability risks under the Clean Water Act and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act severely limit the work Good Samaritans can do to reduce pollution from abandoned hard rock mines.

Potential for negative impacts to environmental attributes as the result of climate change and increased drought

- Environmental and recreation attributes may be impacted before municipal and industrial water users are impacted by climate change.
- There is no good uniform and consistent toolbox for environmental management and mitigation in response to climate change. Impacts to environmental attributes can vary significantly from location to location and may change over time based on the amount of water, quality of water, and changes in the watershed both upstream and downstream.
- Obtaining input from the general public and stakeholders on potential for impairment to environmental and recreational attributes is difficult and can take a significant amount of time and resources.
- Increased drought and hotter temperatures will increase water temperature, which may negatively impact aquatic health.



WATSON LAKE FISH BYPASS

A fish ladder at Watson Lake was constructed in 2019 to fulfill one of the promises made by Northern Integrated Supply Project participants to improve the Poudre River. The new fish ladder reconnects over 2 miles of river habitat by providing upstream movement opportunities for fish that had not existed at the Watson Lake Diversion Structure location since it was built in the 1960s.

Several species of fish, including longnose suckers and rainbow trout, will now be able to use the ladder to access spawning grounds. This greater freedom of movement for the fish will also help restore the natural ecosystem. The Watson Lake Fish Bypass is the first fish ladder constructed on the Poudre River as part of a long-term plan to mitigate human impact on the river's health. Partners hope that this project will pave the way for the construction of additional fish ladders and other initiatives to help support



Source: https://www.nps.gov/articles/ poudrefishladder.htm

and protect the river's ecosystem. Colorado Parks and Wildlife tagged 71 fish in 2019 to monitor the effects of the fish ladder and have deemed the project a success with over half of the tagged fish detected ascending the entire structure.

LOWER SOUTH PLATTE WETLAND CONSERVATION

The Lower South Platte River Basin contains some of the most important migratory bird habitat in the state and is a priority area for the Colorado Parks and Wildlife and many partner organizations. Private land makes up over 80 percent of the land within 8 miles of the South Platte River corridor, making it essential that private landowners be involved in the conservation of this precious resource. Natural Resource and Conservation Service provides technical and financial support to help landowners restore former wetlands, re-establish native wetland wildlife habitat and retire marginal land from agricultural production on their property through the voluntary Wetlands Reserve Program. The program has established over 50 easements along the lower South Platte River providing valuable wetland habitat.

FORESTS TO FAUCETS

Denver Water's Forest to Faucets partnership began in 2010 with the Rocky Mountain Region of U.S. Forest Service to respond to the costly impacts of reactive forest management. Post-wildfire rainfall events brought sediment and debris deposits into Denver Water's collection system, causing service disruptions following the 1996 Buffalo Creek and 2002 Hayman wildfires. Capitalizing on the mutual benefits to lead proactive forest management, enhance forest resilience to fire, restore burned forestland, and protect water supply collection systems, the Forest to Faucets partnership expanded in 2017 to include the Colorado State Forest Service (CSFS) and the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). Collaboration among local, state, and federal agencies developed a methodology to analyze and rank wildlife hazards, flooding and debris risks, soil erodibility, and water use. This widely accepted methodology prioritizes "at-risk" watersheds for hazard reduction treatments and watershed protection measures. Denver Water has committed \$33M towards the \$66M partnership with the US Forest Service, CSFS, and NRCS for forest and watershed health projects in critical watersheds, including the South Platte. Over 100,000 acres have been treated in critical watersheds through the Partnership.



Streams become hazardous when public infrastructure, houses, businesses, and other investments are placed in locations where fluvial processes naturally occur. To address the unrecognized hazards associated with erosion, sediment deposition, and other dynamic stream processes, the CWCB has developed a program that can be utilized within the South Platte Basin to identify and map the hazards posed by these natural stream processes and develop tools to help communities and landowners better understand the hazards associated with flood events. In addition fluvial hazard zone maps can be a valuable tool for communicating potential hazards associated with rainfall after wildfires.



CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER INSTREAM FLOW AUGMENTATION PLAN



Augmentation plans are usually purposed for taking water out of the river. A coalition of northern Colorado water users, including the cities of Fort Collins and Greeley, lobbied state lawmakers to create a new tool for keeping water in the Cache la Poudre River to help the environment. HB20-1037, voted into law in March of 2020, authorizes the CWCB to augment stream flows to preserve or improve the natural environment to a reasonable degree by use of an acquired water right that has been previously quantified and changed to include any augmentation use, without a further change of the water right being required. The Cache la Poudre River Augmentation Plan represents the first application proposed to be filed under section 37-92-102(4.5).

The proposal would allow municipalities, water conservancy districts, farmers, and others to sell or lease water they own for use in the Poudre Flows Project. The Poudre Flows Project will use that water to reconnect the river at the seven dryup locations, and bring flows back up to healthy levels. Participating water users can receive reimbursement, providing options to diversify their income if desired. A board of stakeholders will strategically pool and distribute the water to restore needed flows, all the while protecting existing water uses.



Diverse Recreational Water Needs and Concerns

Impacts to water quality, water quantity, and wildlife movement corridors as a result of urbanization

- Increased habitat fragmentation as a result of urbanization leads to decreases in wildlife reproductive possibility, decreased opportunities for humans to view and enjoy wildlife in a natural and unobstructed environment, and increases in wildlife versus human interaction and confrontation.
- Hunting and recreational opportunities (from which the State and local communities derives a significant source of income) can be impacted or even lost due to urbanization.
- Urbanization, and resulting increases in storm runoff quantity, may lead to decreased water quality and corresponding negative impacts to the health and aesthetics of riparian habitats.
- Urbanization may result in an increase in invasive species, adversely impacting native population and riparian aesthetics.
- Urbanization, and the resulting increase in human presence near or within natural areas, will put increasing demands on habitats of sensitive species and have a negative impact on select species that are particularly sensitive to humans (i.e., Kingfisher).

Establishment of trails along riverways and riparian areas can result in negative impacts to wildlife and recreational opportunities

- As trails are established, they tend to be built along the stream. Placement of trails directly adjacent to riverways and riparian areas has a negative impact on wildlife (wildlife will often vacate the area, leaving the area void of wildlife and corresponding wildlife habitat). What once was an area abundant in wildlife and offering good wildlife viewing can become void of all wildlife.
- Instead of bisecting critical wildlife areas, trails should be designed and positioned to help protect wildlife and establish buffers between critical areas.

- Improperly locating trails along or within floodplains, or along irrigation ditches, can result in infrastructure damage, increased costs in operation and maintenance to support recreation, conflicts with agricultural operations, and safety hazards.
- Implementation of dry-up provisions in water court cases that require buy-and-dry or irrigated agriculture can result in negative impacts to recreational attributes.
- The removal or transition of fields from irrigated land to other uses, and implementation of dry-up agreements in change cases, will reduce food sources and shelter critical to migratory and wintering bird populations.
- Reduction in the productivity of land will alter the behavior and use by waterfowl, reducing availability for hunter harvest, which in turn will adversely affect local economy and farm income.

Increased pressures on existing recreational sites due to population increases and higher usage

- Use of recreational sites will continue to increase as population in the state increases. Meeting the demands for increased recreational opportunities while protecting the resources on which these opportunities depend will be a significant challenge.
- Maintenance, management, enforcement, and costs associated with the protection of recreational sites may be difficult to implement and enforce but are critical to supporting the long term success of recreational opportunities.
- There exists a lack of readily available and widespread public education around land stewardship.
- There are always new forms of recreation being introduced; while these new recreational activities provide opportunity, they may conflict with traditional recreation

The general challenges related to increased pressure on recreation sites can lead to water quality and watershed health issues

- Compared to municipal and industrial water suppliers, there is less opportunity to capture fees for recreational usage. Further, there is significant competition among recreation sites for capturing fees, with each entity trying to capture revenue for long-term O&M. Increased competition to capture fees results in recreation sites choosing to reduce fees below competition so they have a better chance of attracting paying users.
- Nonrecreational use of recreational sites results in additional costs for operation, maintenance, law enforcement, and conflict with recreational users. One nonrecreational use of concern (and resulting cost) is long-term occupancy by the homeless community.

- Impact on recreational opportunities as a result of CPW leases expiring
 - CPW relies on long-term leases for many recreation sites. As leases expire, CPW may be unable to renew these leases as the result of competition from other individuals or private entities willing to pay more. The resulting loss of CPW leases to private organizations or individual interests may limit public recreation opportunities.
 - A change in ownership and management of the current CPW lands may result in significant impacts to wildlife habitats as a result of the lack of protection or alteration of lake/stream frontage or land use.
 - New ownership of lands previously managed by CPW has the potential to change what is allowed on the property, limiting or eliminating recreational opportunities.

Tools and mechanisms available to protect and provide opportunities for recreation (such as recreation in-channel diversions, instream flow water rights, or water provider-supported management strategies to support recreation), can be costly to obtain and implement.



The Charlie Meyers State Wildlife Area (SWA) Habitat Enhancement Project is located in South Park in a 1.5-mile stretch of channel between Spinney Mountain Reservoir and Eleven Mile Canyon Reservoir. An initial habitat enhancement project occurred at the SWA over a 3-year period from 2013- 2015. This was Phase V of a larger restoration project on the Dream Stream to improve fish and aquatic invertebrate habitats. In June of 2015 and again in July 2016, the Upper South Platte River watershed experienced record-breaking flood flows during runoff that moved through the project reach and damaged portions of the project that were intended to provide bank stabilization and toe protection for riparian plantings to establish. A subsequent maintenance project repaired the damages.

The overall habitat improvement project aims to restore natural river processes, provide bank stabilization and create "living streambanks" by planting treated bank locations with deep-rooted vegetation and willows. Some areas were fenced to prevent people from trampling small willow plantings. The treatments are intended to stabilize bank erosion caused from recent major floods and from years of erosion due to the position of the project reach downstream of the reservoir, which has drastically reduced the natural upstream sediment supply.

The large woody material used in stabilizing outside bends, adjacent to lateral scour pools, is expected to provide cover from predators and reduce stream temperature for the recreational trout populations already established within the project reach.

Monitoring creel populations and increased fish biomass are planned and monitoring water temperature reduction is being discussed. Similar monitoring has been conducted on nearby streams. Colorado Parks and Wildlife will also monitor treatment longevity and evaluate stability over time.

This project will help the Dream Stream section of the South Platte River remain a world-class Gold Medal fishery while addressing bank instability and erosional issues.

PROJECT PROPONENTS: Colorado Parks and Wildlife, Park County Land and Water Trust Fund Board, Aurora Water, Colorado Department of Corrections, United State Forest Service, Denver Water, Trout Unlimited, Boy Scouts

TIMELINE: Start 2013, Completion 2022

CONSTRUCTION COST: \$560,000

https://cpw.state.co.us/aboutus/Pages/News-Release-Details.aspx?NewsID=7944





Time and Cost to Obtain Regulatory Decisions on New Water Supply Projects

A key challenge in the South Platte Basin is the ability of water supply agencies to plan for reliable sources of future supply due to the time and cost of complying with the National Environmental Policy Act, preparation of federal agency-led Environmental Impact Statements and finalizing the regulatory decisions and mitigation plans. Many recent and ongoing federal permitting processes for new water supply projects have taken more than 10 years to complete, at costs exceeding \$10 million each. Several of these projects offer opportunities for lessons learned and new strategies for balancing diverse needs such as the development of multiparty agreements like the Chatfield Reservoir Mitigation Company, Eagle River Memorandum of Understanding, and Windy Gap Firming. A high success rate for the implementation of these types of water supply projects is key to the South Platte Basin meeting its future water supply needs.

Federal and state regulatory processes need to continue to be streamlined so appropriate projects can be implemented more quickly while preserving and protecting important environmental resources.



The Roles of Elected Officials, the Business Community and the General Public in Water Supply Planning

Solutions to South Platte and statewide water supply issues continue to be more technologically complex and expensive. More compromises and agreements are required to allocate water among competing municipal, industrial, agricultural, environmental, and recreational needs. There is an opportunity to engage the creative input of diverse parties to help develop solutions consistent with a combined vision for the South Platte Basin and the State. Support for identified solutions among elected officials, the public, and the business community will increase if those stakeholders feel they have been engaged in a collaborative process. Broader awareness of the South Platte BIP and the statewide roundtable process will help create a successful and unified plan.

There is an opportunity for the roundtables to create guidelines for stakeholder engagement to help create a less cumbersome stakeholder engagement process. In addition, the use of the South Platte Basin website to house a variety of tools, best practices, and lessons learned can help provide necessary information to allow for science-informed public policy.

SOUTH PLATTE BASIN WEBSITE

Colorado Watershed Assembly staff completed updates to the website, including coordination with the Open Water Foundation to develop a 'home' for the South Platte Data Platform. Three 'story maps' can be found at www.southplattebasin.com. Colorado Watershed Assembly staff monitors the site and the associated e-mail southplattebasin@coloradowater.org.



In 2020 www.southplattebasin.com was maintained and enhanced with a fresh, modern color palette. Large blocks of text were eliminated in favor of more photos and links. The main two audiences of the website were deemed to be roundtable members and engaged public citizens. Pages were reorganized for clarity and ease of use.

- The Home page was simplified, which brought forward photos of the South Platte River "working hard and being pretty", roundtable meetings, and stories. There is a link to the BIP on the Home page.
- The Learn More page was updated to have more in-depth information for interested citizens, including a page for Educational Resources. The Educational Resources page highlights curricula from the Colorado Foundation for Agriculture. Curricula are split into themes such as Understanding Water and Colorado Water and Agriculture.
- The Documents page houses impactful, pertinent content for basin roundtable members and other water professionals. Individual pages were created for the BIP, the SPROWG, and Roundtable Guiding Documents for both Metro and South Platte members. Also, statewide documents for Colorado's Water Plan and Statewide Water Education Action Plan are housed on their own pages.
- The Grants page was created to be a straightforward resource for visitors to apply for money from the WSRF. Applications to the Metro Roundtable WSRF grant cycle are housed within this page as an easy place for roundtable members to read and prioritize proposals.

The Education Committee of the roundtables expressed the importance of having technical documents available on www.southplattebasin.com. The website is flexible and can be utilized to advertise and administer Requests for Proposals for a variety of roundtable-related projects. Grant funds have been received to staff the maintenance and administration of the website and host a dedicated email address southplatte@coloradowater.org

Once a month, a snapshot of website analytics is taken to determine how many visits the website received, the duration of visits, and peak days of traffic.



45



Climate Change

Climate change is a challenge that is superimposed and exacerbates all other water resources challenges in the South Platte Basin, and in Colorado as a whole. Most climate change forecasts for the South Platte Basin show an increase in temperature and either an increase or decrease in precipitation. The planning scenarios and climate projections included in the Technical Update reflect these forecasted trends. With respect to water supply planning, climate change could have the following effects:

- Lower yields from historical water rights
- More rain and less snow
- Lower snow water equivalent in annual snowpack and less snowmelt runoff
- Earlier annual peak runoff
- Increased irrigation demand in agricultural and urban irrigated areas
- Increased frequency and severity of extreme weather events such as droughts and floods
- Increased frequency and severity of wildfires
- Increase in invasive species
- Changing watershed conditions and declining watershed health
- Degraded water quality

The exact nature and pace of these potential effects are uncertain, creating planning challenges for South Platte Basin water providers and water users in all sectors.

The Colorado Climate Plan identifies Colorado's statewide goals relative to climate change; to identify opportunities to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, and to promote state policy recommendations and actions that increase Colorado's state agencies level of preparedness for unavoidable impacts. Climate change is anticipated to affect water quantity and quality, energy development, transportation, public health, tourism, and agriculture, all of which can impact the South Platte Basin.

Climate change is a primary concern that affects all water use sectors and dimensions and creates substantial uncertainty for South Platte Basin water planners.

WESTMINSTER WATER SUPPLY PLAN

The Westminster Water Supply Plan resulted in five policy decisions that guide water supply planning and implementation. The key takeaways of the plan include:

- 1. Conservation is the single biggest lever in impacting water supply. The City has started to increase funding in conservation programs by four-fold over the last couple of years.
- 2. Infrastructure maintenance and construction of water supply facilities continues to be important to using every drop of water to its maximum efficiency. These activities continue.
- 3. Drought response is critical to protecting the City from severe droughts that are likely to become more significant as time goes on. Westminster is closely watching current drought restrictions and drought response was a major part of modeling efforts to ensure they know the right time to implement these restrictions.
- 4. In general, the City can support the existing approved land use plan; however, they cannot support major deviations from this plan and still stay within the existing water supply of the City. This is why the Water Supply Plan and the Comprehensive Plan (which is the land use plan for the City) were so closely coordinated. The two plans were created together and are integrally linked.

Some additional findings from the study include:

- Drought is the greatest threat to the city's water supply. The city's water supply can vary by as much as 11,100 acrefeet depending on weather and drought.
- Conservation measures by customers are the best way for the city to secure its water supply. Adding or changing development types can impact how much water the City will need. Moving to a high-conservation, xeric-landscaping future could save the City between 3,690 acre-feet and 4,200 acre-feet depending on land use trends. Moving to a low-conservation future would cost the city between 8,660 acre-feet and 9,343 acre-feet depending on land use trends; increased demand of this magnitude would result in significant water supply shortfalls.
- In a future scenario with a weak economy and land use less dense than is anticipated in the current Comprehensive Plan, the City would save between 930 acre-feet and 1,435 acre-feet. If the city becomes significantly denser than is anticipated in the current Comprehensive Plan, the City would spend an additional 1,340 acre-feet to 2,020 acre-feet more water. This quantity of water impact is not insignificant; however, it is not as impactful as conservation trends.

What is the Water Supply Plan?

A computer model driven by policies Council approves through the Comprehensive Plan, Water Efficiency/Conservation Plan, and Drought Management Plan.





Section 5. Supply, Demand, and Potential Water Needs

The South Platte Basin supports a wide range of water needs, including municipal, industrial, and agricultural, as well as important water-dependent environmental and recreational attributes. Coloradoans and tourists regularly enjoy the recreational opportunities provided by the many environmental features of the basin.

Native South Platte River Basin Supplies

Overview

The basin's water supply is highly dependent on both trans-basin diversions and return flows. Along with a native flow of about 1.4 million acre-feet per year from precipitation, mostly in the form of snow melt form the Rockies, the basin benefits from another half-million acre-feet of trans-basin diversions. The South Platte River Basin's surface water diversions average approximately 4.0 million acre-feet annually, with an additional annual average of 500,000 acre-feet of groundwater withdrawals.

The South Platte River Basin is fully appropriated. Any remaining water is available only during spring runoff in wetter than average years. The development of water rights within the South Platte River Basin generally started in the local tributaries and mainstem of the South Platte River upstream of Greeley. These early irrigation systems were inherently inefficient, resulting in large, lagged return flows. Consequently, more and more junior irrigation water rights were developed on the lower reaches of the South Platte River as a result of successive use of these return flows. Thus, the ultimate degree of successive use within the basin is largely influenced by the amount of water available to divert by any individual water right and the extent of return flow from that use. Many factors will influence the amount of water available, used, and successively used within the basin in the future as outlined below.

Limited water supplies also drive overall water use efficiency in the basin. As an upstream water user (municipal or agricultural, for example) diverts and uses water in accordance with their established water rights, a portion of that water returns to the South Platte River or its tributaries and is subsequently available for the next most senior downstream water right owner to use. It is generally understood that water is currently used up to seven times before it leaves Colorado at the Nebraska state line, and as population in the South Platte River Basin continues to grow, the degree of successive water use is likely to increase. This degree of successive downstream water use results in a degradation of certain water quality constituents, including salinity, as water flows downstream.

Groundwater

Groundwater in the South Platte River Basin occurs most commonly in alluvial aquifers composed of unconsolidated granular sediments and sedimentary aquifers composed of consolidated and semiconsolidated granular sedimentary bedrock formations. The USGS identifies three principal aquifers in the South Platte Basin: The South Platte Alluvial Aquifer, High Plains Aquifer, and Denver Basin Aquifer System.

The alluvial aquifer is made up of mainly silt, sand, and gravel deposits of alluvial and aeolian origin that cover an area of over 4,000 square miles of the South Platte River and its tributaries. In the mountainous areas, alluvial deposits tend to be discontinuous and serve as a water resource on a limited basis. The maximum saturated in the upstream region near Denver is 20 to 40 feet. In the eastern plains, the alluvial deposits thicken and become a continuous aquifer network with a maximum saturated thickness of more than 200 feet near Julesburg. The lower South Platte alluvial aquifer is a major source of water in the basin. It holds as much as 8.3 million acrefeet of water in storage. Infiltration into the alluvial aquifer is from precipitation, canal seepage, and pond seepage recharge. It is then typically discharged into the main channel, creating the base flow of the river. Currently, the South Platte River Basin is successfully using approximately 450,000 acre-feet of alluvial groundwater; however, greater use of this water supply is constrained due to the effects lagged depletions have on river flows in acquiring augmentation sources. There is limited availability of augmentation water to offset the effects of groundwater pumping.

The High Plains Aquifer is a regional aquifer that underlies approximately 174,000 square miles of the Great Plains states, including South Dakota, Wyoming, Nebraska, Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Texas. A portion of it underlies the eastern border of Colorado. The aquifer ranges from a thickness of 50 feet to approximately 500 feet in Colorado. The aquifer's primary source of recharge is from infiltration of precipitation, which is limited due to low precipitation and high evaporation rates common to the eastern plains. The aquifer lies in a closed basin that does not benefit from snowmelt.

Colorado has four statutory groundwater classes: tributary, nontributary, not-nontributary (specific to the Denver Basin), and designated groundwater. Groundwater that is hydrologically connected to a surface stream and can influence the amount or direction of flow of water in that stream is referred to as tributary. Nontributary groundwater is physically separated from surface water by impermeable layers in the aquifer. It is also considered nontributary when groundwater is at such a great distance from the surface water that it has little or no hydraulic connection with it.

Designated groundwater is a category of groundwater under Colorado law that has been created by the Colorado legislature and is governed by the Groundwater Management Act and managed and controlled by the Colorado Ground Water Commission (CGWC). It is defined as "groundwater which in its natural course would not be available to and required for the fulfillment of decreed surface rights, or groundwater in areas not adjacent to a continuously flowing natural stream wherein ground water withdrawals have constituted the principal water usage for at least fifteen years preceding the date of the first hearing on the proposed designation of the basin, and which in both cases is within the geographic boundaries of a designated ground water basin".

The Denver Basin Aquifer system is a unique geologic formation along the Front Range reaching from Greeley on the north to Colorado Springs on the south, and from the foothills on the west to Limon on the east. The Denver Basin Aquifer system is composed of bedrock aquifers, or water bearing formations, that lie one on top of the other in layers. Progressing from top to bottom, the aquifers in the Denver Basin are the Dawson, Denver, Arapahoe, and Laramie-Fox Hills Aquifers. Northern portions of the Dawson and Arapahoe Aquifers are differentiated into upper and lower aquifers. Between each aquifer there is a confining layer that isolates the individual aquifers from each other. There are two types of groundwater with the Denver Basin Aquifer system, both allocated according to overlaying land ownership, with the State Engineer overseeing permitting and administration: Non-tributary and Not Non-Tributary. Non-tributary groundwater does

not significantly impact flows in a natural stream due to the lack of a hydraulic connection. Not Non-tributary groundwater does influence surface streams due to their locality; however, not as significantly as tributary groundwater.

Because of the nature of the confining layers and because of the limited connection between these aquifers and surface water, the groundwater in the aquifers is not renewable and threatened by continuation or expansion of current withdrawal rates. The result is declining water levels and well productivity in large areas of the aquifer. Conjunctive use of renewable supplies and the Denver Basin Aquifer system could provide promising opportunities for Metro municipalities to better manage water supplies through drought conditions and hydrologic variability.

Storage

Stream flows vary dramatically in the South Platte Basin seasonally and year-to-year. Storing water during wet year or free river conditions for use in times of shortage is a vital water management strategy. Multipurpose reservoir operations in the South Platte Basin are the norm. Many reservoirs are now operated in part to benefit the environment and recreational users. Most of the water storage in the South Platte Basin has been in existence for decades and reservoir operations have typically evolved over the years as the owners collaborate with others, legally change historic consumptive use to other beneficial uses such as municipal and industrial uses and respond to environmental regulations and public desires. Many reservoirs are now operated to bypass (not store) water under certain conditions and maintain downstream flows.

The larger municipalities in the basin, including Denver, Aurora, Fort Collins and Greeley, have complex water resources supply systems that include multiple reservoirs. These supply systems allow the water agencies the ability to manage the delivery of water to meet peak municipal and industrial demands, maintain stream flows and be prepared for extreme weather events.

Aquifer storage and recovery is another viable storage and water supply alternative. The process involves direct injection of surface water supplies to replenish groundwater stored in aquifers which can later be recovered for beneficial use. The amount of water injected into the aquifer is highly dependent on surface water yields from rivers and streams. Centennial Water and Sanitation District's Denver Basin Aquifer system ASR program has a long history of storing excess treated water from South Platte surface water supplies within the Denver Basin Aquifer system for future use (see Section 4.7.1 for additional details). Other water providers, including the SMWSA are investigating and implementing ASR programs to provide additional storage to form existing water supplies.

ASR can provide a very cost-effective, flexible method of storing surface water when excess water treatment capacity is available and a water supplier has access to areas with favorable geologic conditions. Typically, peak demands for water during the summer are two to three times higher than the demand in off-peak periods, allowing for the excess treatment capacity in off-peak times to be used to treat and store available water. ASR also can provide cost-effective storage compared to surface water alternatives in many situations. The cost and time required to permit and construct a surface water storage unit today can cost tens to hundreds of millions of dollars and may require decades to complete. The permitting of ASR is simple and requires minimal surface area. In addition, 100 percent of the injected water is recoverable with no evaporative losses. Surface water storage evaporative losses can reach thousands of acre-feet per year.

Representation of Existing Transmountain Diversions in the Technical Update

Transmountain diversions, particularly from the West Slope to East Slope, are a critical component of the water supply necessary to meet Colorado's municipal and agricultural water demand now and into the future. Many factors influence the amount and timing of transmountain diversions (TMDs), including water availability and storage in both the source and destination basins, demands, availability of other water supplies owned by water providers, and operational considerations. All of these factors may change in the future, particularly under climate-impacted conditions.

The Technical Update analyzes Colorado's current and potential future water supplies and demands in the context of five future planning scenarios that were described in the Colorado Water Plan. The planning scenarios do not specifically describe how TMDs may change in the future, though they do describe changes to drivers that impact water availability for TMDs. For example, the planning scenarios that incorporate climate change project a general decrease in streamflow, which could result in a reduction in water available to TMDs. Additionally, the planning scenarios predict an increase in demands, which could result in an increase in TMDs, up to their physical and legal diversion limits, to meet the growing demand. Large storage facilities on both sides of the Continental Divide further affect how TMDs may operate in the future.

Understanding how these changing factors may impact the future amount and timing of TMDs is complicated from both technical and legal perspectives. The Technical Update, therefore, assumes that historical levels of TMDs and current operations will continue into the future. Previous planning efforts, including the 2010 Statewide Water Supply Initiative (the precursor to the Technical Update) and the 2015 basin implementation plans, also incorporated this assumption. In future Technical Updates, water providers with major transmountain diversions should be further consulted to understand how their operations could change on both the West and East Slopes under the conditions assumed in the planning scenarios.

Results in the Technical Update were recently revised due to changes in reservoir operations and demands in the West Slope basins. Results can be found in Appendix A.

Water Management and Water Administration

The Colorado Division of Water Resources, which includes the State Engineer, division engineers, and water commissioners, has the authority to administer the waters of the state and Colorado's water sharing agreements with other states. Water administration is the enforcement of the priority system of water rights, which includes curtailment of junior water rights that are not in priority and not operating in accordance with augmentation plans or administrative approvals. The Colorado Ground Water Law of 1957 established the permitting requirement of groundwater wells. Since 1969, surface and groundwater rights have been administered with a recognition of the connection between the two. Every new well in the state that diverts groundwater must have a well permit. If wells do not meet statutory exemptions and their priority dates are not senior enough to divert in priority, they may be able to operate pursuant to an Augmentation Plan decreed by the water court.

Interstate Compacts

The South Platte River Compact divides the waters of the South Platte River between Colorado and Nebraska. During the irrigation season, defined as April 1 through October 15, Colorado must curtail water rights in Water District 64 that are junior to June 14, 1897 if flows at the Colorado-Nebraska state line drop below 120 cubic feet per second. The State Engineer is authorized to administer the compact. In addition, compliance with federal programs for threatened and endangered species recovery also results in interstate water management commitments.

The Republican River Compact apportions the estimated virgin water supply of the Republican River Basin between Colorado, Nebraska, and Kansas based on beneficial consumptive use. Colorado was allocated 54,100 acrefeet, which accounts for approximately 10 percent of total pumping in the basin. The compact includes provisions for adjustments to the virgin water supply and allocations based on future records and changing conditions. In 2004, the RRWCD was established for the purpose of cooperating with and assisting the State of Colorado with Compact compliance. Administration of surface water in the Republican River Basin is separate from groundwater administration. Although the State Engineer has authority to administer both surface water and groundwater for Compact compliance purposes, the water courts have judicial authority regarding surface water rights, whereas the CGWC has regulatory and adjudicatory authority over the management and control of designated groundwater. The CGWC has established eight Designated Groundwater Basins and 13 Groundwater Management Districts within such basins. GWMDs are local districts that have additional administrative authority.

Endangered Species Recovery Programs

The most notable species protection program in the South Platte River Basin is the Platte River Recovery Implementation Program. This three-state program, established in 2007 through an agreement between Colorado, Nebraska, Wyoming, and the U.S. Department of the Interior, is designed to help listed species and provide Endangered Species Act coverage for water use in the Platte River Basin. The Platte River Recovery Implementation Program does this by providing programmatic benefits (through land protection, water management, and financial support) for three federally listed species and their associated habitats in the central and lower Platte River in Nebraska. (Additional information on the Platte River Recovery Implementation Program can be found at **Platteriverprogram.org**)

On December 19, 2019, Congress passed the Platte River Recovery Implementation Program Extension Act. This legislation extends the program by an additional 13 years. The legislation was developed by the three state representatives on the Governance Committee and enjoys broad bipartisan support from lawmakers, water users, conservation groups, and the administration.

Designated Groundwater Basins

All groundwater in Colorado is presumed to be tributary and regulated under the prior appropriation system unless shown to be nontributary to a surface stream. Unlike tributary groundwater, designated groundwater is regulated by the CGWC and is not subject to court adjudication. The CGWC uses a modified appropriation system to allocate designated groundwater outside of the Denver Basin Aquifer system on a permit-by-permit basis. As described previously, there are eight designated basins in eastern Colorado, five of which are in the South Platte Basin. Within the eight designated basins, there are 13 GWMDs that have additional administrative authority within their boundaries. Ground Water Management Districts (GWMDs) are authorized to adopt additional rules and regulations to help administer groundwater within their district.

Water resources located in Colorado's Denver Basin Aquifer system are subject to additional rules and regulations. Withdrawal of groundwater from the Denver Basin Aquifer system is tied to ownership or control of the overlying land. Well users are limited to withdrawing up to 1 percent of the water estimated beneath their land, thus preserving the aquifer's 100-year life for any given parcel. In 1985, Colorado's General Assembly provided further clarification for Denver Basin Aquifer system groundwater administration under Senate Bill 85-05 (SB 85-05). This bill required the State Engineer to promulgate rules and regulations governing the withdrawal of groundwater from the Denver Basin aguifers by December 31, 1985, which eventually became known as the Denver Basin Rules. Specifically, SB 85-05 resulted in the adoption of a rule to preserve the aquifer's 100-year reliability through the administration of pumping rights. This administration



attempts to deal with issues such as impacts for adjacent pumping, decreased well yields with decreased aquifer levels, and other factors.

Potential Impacts and Benefits to Environmental and Recreational Attributes

Preservation and enhancement of the environmental and recreational aspects of the South Platte River is important to Colorado's economy and quality of life. Water is needed to maintain aquatic, riparian, and wetlands habitats that are essential for ecological diversity. In addition, flows in streams are essential to many recreational economies, including fishing, waterfowl hunting, skiing, flatwater and whitewater boating, and for general aesthetics near waterways, including greenways, trails, and wildlife viewing. The important environmental and recreational values in the South Platte Basin must be considered when planning for Colorado's water future. Many of these attributes currently suffer due to current water diversions and infrastructure operations.

Maintaining or enhancing environmental and recreational attributes can be a constraint on potential future water development; however, many opportunities exist to maintain these attributes while concurrently developing water supply projects. Multipurpose projects or agreements for cooperative operation of existing projects to help benefit these important attributes should be considered when projects are planned to help meet water needs. Additional projects to address these needs should be considered, including environmentally friendly diversion structures, restoration of habitat and stream channels, environmental pools in reservoirs with release timing to benefit the environment, and additional instream flow water rights.



Figure 5-1. Illustration of Scenario Planning Concepts

Updates to Supply, Demand, and Potential Water Needs

Following the 2015 launch of the Water Plan and BIPs, the CWCB began a process of updating the underlying water supply and demand analyses. The work included collaboration with technical advisory groups who helped outline the methods to be used in the Analysis and Technical Update to the Colorado Water Plan, hereafter called Technical Update. Compared to previous Statewide Water Supply Initiative (SWSI) efforts, the Technical Update offers a more scientifically rigorous and robust analysis by including scenario planning, climate change considerations, water rights, and surface water modeling.

As part of the South Platte BIP, the LE/GC Team worked with a subcommittee to further refine the municipal and industrial supply, demand, and potential water needs.

Scenario Planning

Colorado's Water Plan uses scenario planning to consider a wide range of possible futures according to the best available science and stakeholder input. The approach embraces inherent uncertainties in future climate conditions, social conditions (such as values and economics), and supply-demand conditions (e.g., energy, agricultural, municipal and industrial, and environmental and recreational needs).

Scenario planning and adaptive management allow decision makers and water users the flexibility to track environmental and social changes over time that provide insights into which future conditions might become more likely as time passes (Figure 5-1). The scenario planning method varies from a more simplistic application of high, medium, and low stress conditions (used in SWSI 2010) by acknowledging that the future holds a degree of uncertainty, depending on a variety of environmental and social drivers.

Through a multi-year stakeholder process, the Interbasin Compact Committee developed five scenarios that represent how Colorado's water future might look in 2050 influenced by the following nine high impact drivers.



Figure 5-2.Illustration of High Impact Drivers Associated with Five Planning Scenarios

- 1. Population/Economic Growth
- 2. Urban Land Use /Urban Growth Patterns
- 3. Climate Change/Water Supply Availability
- 4. Energy Economics/Water Demand
- 5. Agricultural Economics/Water Demand
- 6. Availability of Water-Efficient Technologies
- 7. Social/Environmental Values
- 8. Level of Regulatory Oversight/Constraint
- 9. Municipal and Industrial Water Demands

The five planning scenarios are summarized in the 2015 Water Plan with names portraying each scenario's respective depiction of the future. A summary graphic (Figure 5-2) shows the relative increase and decrease for nine main drivers compared to current levels. Refer to the Technical Update for a more detailed explanation of how the various drivers were quantified and how the drivers relate to one another and across scenarios.



Climate

Of the five planning scenarios, three include some level of stressed future climate change. Climate stress was modeled from both a supply perspective by incorporating climate-adjusted hydrology from the Colorado River Water Availability Study and a demand perspective by adjusting the irrigation water requirement (IWR) with assumed average annual temperature and precipitation changes.

Population

Population projections are a primary driver in the municipal and industrial demand projections. As part of the Technical Update, population forecasts for each of the five planning scenarios were developed by applying the assumptions regarding future demographic growth to the estimated probabilistic range. The existing State Demography Office population forecasts were utilized as the middle projection. The high and low growth projections were estimated based on the historical and State Demography Office implied growth rate and the historical standard deviation in population growth rates by decade.

The South Platte Basin is currently the most populous basin and includes about 70 percent of the statewide population. The Metro Region holds the majority of the population at 51 percent of the statewide total. Between the years 2015 and 2050, the South Platte Basin is projected to grow from 3.8 million people to between 5.4 million and 6.5 million people in the low and high growth scenarios, respectively, which represents an increase in population of 42 to 70 percent.

Municipal and Industrial

This section describes the municipal and industrial water demand and water supply analysis for the South Platte BIP. It includes a brief review of the 2015 BIP gap analysis, results of the Technical Update analysis of water demand in the South Platte Basin, and an assessment of how the Metro and South Platte municipal and industrial water providers plan to meet their future water demands.

Gaps are a characterization of the potential risk that water supplies will not be adequate to meet future demand.

Summary of 2015 BIP Findings

The 2015 BIP estimated municipal and industrial water demands in the South Platte Basin in 2050 and assumed those demands would be met with a combination of existing supplies and new projects (termed IPPs in the 2015 BIP). A demand gap was forecast in 2050 based on a shortage of supply from IPPs to meet the full 2050 demand. The IPPs included planned projects, estimates of yield from agricultural transfers, and growth into existing supplies. Future available supplies were estimated at the regional level within the South Platte Basin.

The future yield from IPPs was estimated using a "Success Rate" to account for uncertainty of the specific projects being fully implemented and delivering the full planned yield by 2050. In the base portfolio, a success rate of 88 percent was used for Metro projects and a success rate of 66 percent was used for projects in the rest of the South Platte Basin. This resulted in a 2050 gap of approximately 196,000 AFY (see Figure 5-3; Figure-4-23 in the 2015 SIP BP).



Figure 5-3. South Platte Basin and Metro Basin Municipal and Industrial and SSI Gap Summary (2015 BIP)

Three alternative South Platte Portfolios were proposed for meeting future demands, as shown in Figure 5-4 (Figure 5-2 in the 2015 BIP). Each provided approximately 375,000 AFY of new supply and 50,000 AFY of reduced demand through active conservation.



Figure 5-4. Portfolio Scenarios to Meet 2050 Municipal and Industrial and SSI Gap with 50 percent of Active Conservation applied to the Gap (2015 BIP)

Methodology for Potential Future Water Needs Analysis

The South Platte BIP Update includes an update to the analysis of potential future water needs based on the results of the Technical Update, the updated Project Database (see Section 7), and input from the BRTs. The updated analysis incorporated the following methodology and assumptions:

- The estimated increase to municipal and industrial demand beyond current conditions (2015) is based on the Technical Update analysis. These assumptions are further described in the next section.
- The analysis is applied to each of the five Technical Update Planning Scenarios to explore a range of future demand and supply conditions.
- The analysis is conducted at a basin-wide level. This approach was selected as information on projected demands and yields from new projects (particularly agricultural transfers) cannot reasonably be estimated on a regional basis.
- The analysis provides a discrete estimate of yield from planned projects, conceptual projects, and other future supplies. Examples include agricultural transfers and additional water efficiency practices.
- A "Realization of Yield" factor, similar to the Success Rate concept in the 2015 BIP, is applied to adjust the estimated yield from future supplies across the Planning Scenarios.

The analysis concludes with a qualitative discussion on potential options to address potential unmet demand in all Planning Scenarios.

Technical Update 2050 Municipal and Industrial Demands

This section summarizes the current and future municipal and industrial demands for each of the five Planning Scenarios based on revised information developed in the Technical Update. The following is a summary of assumptions applied in the Technical Update:

- The 2050 projected municipal demands were developed based on a range of future population projections multiplied by a per-capita usage rate adjusted to reflect potential future conditions under five planning scenarios. The planning scenario percapita usage rate adjustments capture impacts from climate, varying levels of conservation, urban land use, technology, regulations, and social values.
- The 2050 projected industrial demands rely on current levels of industrial demands, adjusted to reflect conditions projected in the five future planning scenarios.
- Population change, one of the largest drivers in the 2050 municipal and industrial demand, was also taken into account. Between the years 2015 and 2050, the South Platte Basin is projected to grow from approximately 3.8 million people to between 5.4 million and 6.5 million people, depending on the planning scenario. Population projections were county based. Population regions referenced in the 2015 South Platte BIP are presented in Figure 5-5.



Figure 5-5 South Platte and Metro Sub-basins (Figure 4-19 in 2015 BIP)

• All existing supplies are assumed to be allocated to meet current levels of municipal and industrial demand into the future. Therefore, the 2050 municipal and industrial demands used in the South Platte BIP analysis



represent the increase in projected municipal and industrial demands beyond current (2015) conditions for the South Platte River Basin ("Increase in Municipal and Industrial Demand")

 Additionally, the 2050 projected municipal demand assumes that current storage reserves or contingency supplies need to be maintained into the future (i.e., no existing supplies would be allocated to meeting demands from future growth)

The projected total 2050 Increase in Municipal and Industrial Demand beyond current (2015) conditions for the South Platte basin ranges across the five planning scenarios from approximately 280,000 AF/year under the Weak Economy scenario to over 543,000 AF/year under the Hot Growth scenario (see Figure 5-6). The increase in population by region from 2015 to 2050 is presented in Table 5-1. The largest Increase in Municipal and Industrial Demand in the South Platte Basin is projected to occur the Northern Region (Larimer, Weld, and Boulder counties), which also corresponds with the largest projected increase in population. (The Northern Region population is projected to increase from approximately 500,000 to 1,200,000 depending on the Planning Scenario).





Total 2050 Increase in Demand

| | TECHNICAL UPDATE PLANNING SCENARIO | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Region | Business as Usual | Weak Economy | Cooperative Growth | Adaptive Innovation | Hot Growth |
| Northern Region | 789,800 | 537,800 | 868,800 | 1,214,400 | 1,061,100 |
| Upper Mountain Region | 15,800 | 7,100 | 12,600 | 20,700 | 25,100 |
| Denver Metro Region | 833,800 | 675,000 | 770,600 | 940,100 | 999,600 |
| South Metro Region | 460,000 | 373,900 | 383,200 | 453,400 | 550,000 |
| Lower Platte Region | 21,800 | 10,200 | 17,500 | 28,400 | 34,300 |
| High Plains Region | 3,200 | -900 | 1,700 | 5,600 | 7,600 |
| Basin Total | 2,124,400 | 1,603,100 | 2,054,400 | 2,662,600 | 2,677,700 |

Table 5-1. Increase in South Platte Basin Population by Region: 2015 to 2050

Methodology to Analyze Strategies to Meet Increase in Demand By 2050

This section describes the range of potential strategies that may be utilized to meet the projected 2050 Increase in Municipal and Industrial Demand in the South Platte Basin and the estimated average annual yield that may be derived as a result of those strategies. These strategies include:

- Conservation Practices
- Recently Completed/Partially Utilized and Planned Projects
- Conceptual Projects
- Agricultural Transfers

An adjustment factor termed "Realization of Yield" was applied to each category of future projects (Planned and Conceptual) and each Technical Update scenario. This adjustment factor takes into account that future projects: may not be implemented, may not produce the anticipated yield, and/or may not operate at their full capacity by 2050.

Additionally, many of the strategies are not tied to a specific location. Therefore, supply was analyzed on a basin-wide level instead of at a regional level.

Portion of Increase in Demand Met by Conservation

The 2015 BIP recognized the success of long-standing conservation practices throughout the South Platte Basin. As summarized in Table 4-4 of the 2015 BIP, between

2000 and 2010, the overall municipal and industrial per capita water use in the portion of the basin represented by the Metro Roundtable declined from 191 gpcd to 155 gpcd. During the same 10-year period, municipal and industrial per capita water use throughout the South Platte basin dropped from 220 gpcd to 188 gpcd.

As part of the Technical Update, municipal water demands were further evaluated and presented for 2015 baseline conditions and for the five planning scenarios. For the Metro Roundtable, the Technical Update found the per capita municipal and industrial water demand under 2015 Baseline conditions to be 141 gpcd, an additional 9% total reduction in gpcd since 2010. For the Remaining South Platte Basin, the Technical Update found the per capita municipal and industrial water demand for 2015 Baseline conditions to be 181 gpcd, an additional 4% reduction in gpcd since 2010. It should be noted that, outside of the Metro Roundtable, reporting of water usage changed between 2010 and 2015, and as a result the actual decrease in per capita water use over this time period may differ from what is reported in the Technical Update.

The Technical Update assumes additional conservation savings will occur between 2015 and 2050 from further implementation of indoor and outdoor conservation measures at levels commensurate with each of the planning scenario narratives. This includes the effect of both active and passive conservation measures. These conservation benefits are embedded in the



demands reported for each planning scenario in the Technical Update. As summarized in Table 5-2, the reduction in additional demand in 2050 as the result of conservation varies among the five planning scenarios, ranging from approximately 3,000 AF/year to as much as 191,000 AF/year.

Table 5-2. Reduction in Additional Demand Between 2015and 2050 due to Conservation

| Technical Update Planning Scenario | Reduction in Additional Demand Between 2015 and 2050 due to Conservation (AF\year) |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Business and Usual | 24,900 |
| Weak Economy | 30,500 |
| Cooperative Growth | 115,100 |
| Adaptive Innovation | 191,500 |
| Hot Growth | 2,900 |
| | |

Projected Supply from Recently Completed/Partially Utilized and Planned Projects

Recently Completed/Partially Utilized Projects

Several water supply projects were completed following the Technical Update and were therefore not included in the estimates of available supply to meet the 2050 projected Increase in Municipal and Industrial Demand over 2015 baseline conditions. These projects have been included in the estimates of available supply under the category of "Recently Completed/Partially Utilized Projects". These projects are further defined as:

• Projects classified as Completed in the Project Database (see Section 7 for more details on the Project Database that was updated/developed during the BIP update) and identified by stakeholders as being completed since 2015 and/or partially utilized as of the modeling completed in support of the Technical Update.

Recently Completed / Partially Utilized Projects

- Chatfield Storage Reallocation Project
- Plum Creek Diversion & WPF Upgrades
- Prairie Waters Expansion
- Rueter Hess Reservoir Enlargement
- WISE Project
- Westminster Agreement
- It was assumed that 50 percent of the Recently Completed/Partially Utilized Projects yield for municipal providers was available to meet the 2015 baseline demand estimate (i.e., considered as existing supply). The remaining 50 percent of the municipal yield would be available to meet the Increase in Municipal and Industrial Demand beyond 2015 conditions (i.e., considered as future supply).

Planned Projects

Planned Projects include municipal and industrial water supply and reuse projects are defined as:

- Projects classified as Implementing or Planned in the Project Database
- Projects with a yield greater than 1,000 AF
- Projects classified as Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 with a high likelihood of implementation by 2050 in the Project Database (Project tiers focused on project timing and availability of data. Tier 1 projects are ready for implementation and have good data. Lower tier projects are further from implementation and may not have as much descriptive data. See Section 7 for more information on project tiers).

Planned Projects

- Eagle River Joint Use Project
- NISP
- Northern Water Supply Project (ECCV)
- Windy Gap Firming
- Gross Reservoir Enlargement
- Halligan Reservoir Enlargement
- Denver Water Gravel Pits (D/S Exchanges)
- Parker/LSPWCD Phase 1
- Parker/LSPWCD Phase 2
- Thornton Water Project
- Arvada Highway 93 Lakes
- Terry Ranch ASR
- Firestone Reservoirs
- Castle Rock Reuse
- South Platte and Beebe Draw Well Project Reuse
- Thornton Reuse
- Wild Horse Reservoir
- Westminster Reclaimed Water

Planned Project yield was based on the estimated portion of the project used for municipal and industrial purposes. Reuse projects assume the estimated annual yield estimate represents the portion of the reuse project yield available to satisfy municipal and industrial needs, and does not include any portion of project yield that may be utilized to satisfy return flow obligations associated with changed water rights.

Realization of Yield for Planned Projects reflects an adjustment for uncertainty associated with project construction and potential for full utilization of projects by 2050, or operational limitations. The following assumptions were used to estimate Realization of Yield factors for Planned Projects:

• Business as Usual. A 90 percent Realization of Yield was assumed by 2050 under Business as Usual, and was adjusted for other scenarios relative to Business as Usual. 90 percent is a reasonable reduction factor under the Business as Usual planning scenario because the projects considered in this category include those identified and currently being pursued by water providers. The importance of these projects to meeting the projected Increase in Municipal and Industrial Demand is supported by the size of the gap and the recognition that, even if these projects are

implemented, there will continue to be a remaining gap between projected demand and supply.

- Weak Economy. 80 percent Realization of Yield under Weak Economy reflects a potential decrease in capital available to fully construct projects by 2050 and potential slower project development as the result of lower-than-expected population growth.
- **Cooperative Growth.** Cooperative Growth Realization of Yield was assumed to be similar to Business as Usual (90 percent). While there will likely be county-level differences between Business as Usual and Cooperative Growth, the statewide population growth trends under the two planning scenarios are similar.
- Adaptive Innovation and Hot Growth. Adaptive Innovation and Hot Growth were assumed to have 100 percent Realization of Yield. It is assumed the demand for water will be urgent for these planning scenarios due to higher than predicted population growth and hotter climate.

In addition, reduction factors reflect a high likelihood of project completion given the current level of commitment to these projects by water providers and the possibility that the full yield of planned projects may not come online by 2050.

Projected Supply from Conceptual Projects

Conceptual Projects include municipal and industrial projects defined as:

- Projects classified as Concept in the Project Database
- Projects with a yield greater than 1,000 AF
- Projects classified as Tier 3 or 4 in the Project Database

Conceptual Projects

- Northglenn New Storage Projects
- Coffintop
- Westminster Gravel Storage
- Bear Creek Reallocation
- Ovid Reservoir
- SPROWG
- Group of Projects <1,000 AF

Conceptual Project yield was based on the portion of the project dedicated to municipal and industrial uses. The Project Database included a number of conceptual projects having an estimated municipal and industrial yield of less than 1,000 AF. To reflect the benefits of many of these types of smaller projects being constructed, and in recognition of the fact that use of supply derived from agricultural transfers will require additional projects,



an additional aggregated conceptual project having a projected yield of 10,000 AF was included in the Conceptual Project strategy.

Realization of Yield for Conceptual Projects is an adjustment in yield across planning scenarios to reflect potential reduction in yield due to inability to fully construct and utilize project yield by 2050, operational limitations, reductions due to permitting and/or Water Court decisions, or reductions in yield due to impact of climate change. The following assumptions were used to develop the Realization of Yield factor for Conceptual Projects.

- **Business as Usual.** The Business as Usual scenario was assigned a Realization of Yield factor of 75 percent. This is lower than the value of 90 percent adopted for Recently Completed/Partially Utilized Projects and Planned Projects to reflect the greater uncertainly around Conceptual Projects.
- Weak Economy. Under a Weak Economy scenario, it is assumed that completion and full utilization of Planned Projects has slowed down in response to limited funding capacity and lower demand. Compared to the slow down assumed for Planned Projects, it is assumed that construction and completion of Conceptual Projects have an even greater reduction. Therefore, a 25 percent Realization of Yield was adopted for the Weak Economy planning scenario.
- Adaptive Innovation and Cooperative Growth. The Adaptive Innovation and Cooperative Growth scenarios were assigned a Realization of Yield of 75 percent because both assume creative solutions that enable high Realization of Yield while balancing other multi-use needs.
- Hot Growth. The Hot Growth Realization of Yield at 80 percent is reflective of population continuing to grow at a rate exceeding Business as Usual and a hotter climate increasing water demands.

Projected Supply from Agricultural Transfers

This category of future water supply includes water supplies from agricultural transfers due to urbanization on irrigated lands (Urbanization Yield) or anticipated agricultural transfers in Districts 1 and 64 (Municipal Transfer Yield). Many of the projects included in the Completed/Partially Utilized, Planned, and Conceptual project categories rely on agricultural water transfers for a portion of their supply. Therefore, the estimated yield in this strategy includes only additional water supply from agriculture for municipal and industrial exceeding what may already be captured in Completed/ Partially Utilized, Planned, and Conceptual projects. It is recognized in the South Platte River Basin that there is a significant amount of irrigated agriculture land that has already been purchased for municipal use but has not yet been changed in water court or removed from irrigation for transfer to municipal and industrial use. For these reasons, the actual yield from agricultural transfers available to satisfy the 2050 projected Increase in Municipal and Industrial Demand may be higher or lower than presented.

Urbanization Yield

Urbanization Yield is the result of conversion of irrigated lands to developed land due to future urbanization. It represents the historical consumptive use of irrigated acreage located within or directly adjacent to current municipal boundaries.

The maximum estimated yield from this source was based on assumed conversion of 105,900 acres of irrigated land to urban development between 2015 and 2050, as identified in the Technical Update. As presented in the Technical Update, the estimated yield from urbanization is lower under Adaptive Innovation and Hot Growth, primarily due to potential reductions in water supply due to climate adjusted conditions in these scenarios. A lower basinwide total of converted irrigated land was estimated under the Adaptive Innovation and Hot Growth scenarios. This is primarily due to projected reductions to water supply due to climate adjusted conditions in these scenarios.

Urbanization yield was adjusted to reflect potential double accounting of yield with Planned/Conceptual projects, potential for reduction of yield in Water Court, lower potential yield as a result of junior priorities, acknowledgement that acreage may not be urbanized by 2050, or the potential for large portions of land adjacent to current municipalities to remain in agriculture due to open space and land conservation policies. For example, Boulder County and Larimer County have a vision/goal for preservation of agriculture and a planning approach that focuses on using irrigated agriculture buffers around municipal areas.

A 30 percent Realization of Yield was adopted for Business as Usual based on the assumption that not all water from irrigated land will get transferred to municipalities. Some will stay on land, some will not be feasible to transfer, and some will be used for non-municipal purposes. The same assumption was applied to Weak Economy and Cooperative Growth. The Realization of Yield is higher for Adaptive Innovation and Hot Growth (50 percent) because entities may push harder under these scenarios to utilize this source water if population growth and demand are higher than predicted.

Municipal Transfer Yield

Municipal transfer yield is the estimated municipal and industrial supply from irrigated agriculture served by surface water in Water Districts 1 and 64 that originates from acquisitions, leases, or ATMs. The assumed yield represents the estimated historical consumptive use from 10 to 30 percent (varies across the Planning Scenarios) of irrigated acreage served by surface water in Water Districts 1 and 64.

A Realization of Yield of 50 percent was applied to all scenarios except Weak Economy to reflect the potential for double accounting with yield of Planned and Conceptual projects, and the potential for ATM programs to produce yield that is not fully available for municipal and industrial use by 2050. The lower Realization of Yield in the Weak Economy scenario suggests that water supplies may not be fully needed by 2050 due to lower than predicted population growth and potential lack of funding to support project implementation (including water court administration and infrastructure required to store, convey, and treat transferred agricultural water).

Increase in Supply and Demand By 2050

The combined yield from all identified projects with the estimated 2050 Increase in Municipal and Industrial Demand are presented in Figure 5-7. In this figure, Completed/Partially Utilized and Planned projects are combined, and both sources of Agricultural Water (urbanization and municipal transfers) are combined. The Reduction in Demand due to Conservation reflects the additional conservation savings above 2015 conservation levels. Municipal and industrial water providers will have to invest resources in current and future water conservation programs to achieve these water savings, and in this respect those programs are similar to proposed infrastructure projects in the other strategy categories.

Several observations can be made regarding the South Platte Basin's plans for meeting its future water needs.

- The largest category of sources of future yield is from projects that are currently Completed/Partially Utilized or Planned. Municipal entities have invested considerable resources in identifying and advancing the projects needed to meet a substantial portion of their anticipated 2050 water needs.
- A significant component of future supply will also come from transfers of agricultural water to the municipal sector via a variety of mechanisms



Figure 5-7. Comparison of Municipal and Industrial Strategies to Total 2050 Increase in Demand



including acquisitions, leases, ATMs, and growth over irrigated lands as a result of urbanization. The specific agricultural supply yield shown in the future significantly underrepresents the full amount of water that will be transferred from agriculture to municipal use because many of the Planned and Conceptual projects rely on agricultural supply for their yield. In addition, municipal and industrial water providers are expected to continue to look to agriculture as an important source of supplemental water to improve system resiliency and redundancy, beyond the amounts needed to meet their basic demands.

• Water reuse is an important part of the Basin's water supply strategy. Reuse projects are not highlighted specifically, but make up some of the Completed/ Partially Utilized, Planned, and Conceptual projects shown in the figure.

Based on this analysis, there continues to be remaining Unmet Demand after the yield from all currently identified projects and planned conservation is considered under the Business as Usual, Adaptive Innovation, and Hot Growth Planning Scenarios. The Unmet Demand varies from approximately 6,000 AF to 161,000 AF. This analysis suggests that sufficient supply has been identified and can be developed to meet the expected 2050 increase in demand under the Weak Economy and Cooperative Growth Planning Scenarios. However, a decrease in the Realization of Yield by as little as 5 percent for Planned Projects under Weak Economy, or 10 percent decrease in Realization of Yield under Cooperative Growth, could result in a supply shortage. The sensitivity of this water shortage analysis to the estimated Realization of Yield factor demonstrates the importance of implementing the previously identified projects while continuing to identify and implement additional water supply projects and strategies. Also, while the basinwide shortage analysis suggests that, in some scenarios, water providers have identified water supply strategies that will meet their collective 2050 needs, individual water providers could struggle to find adequate water supplies and may need to rely on strategies like additional agricultural water transfers or more aggressive water conservation to meet their needs.

Because this analysis only considered the projected 2050 increase in demand above current (2015) conditions, this analysis also demonstrates **the importance of continued operation and maintenance of existing water projects** so the full yield can continue to be realized. Deterioration of existing municipal and industrial water projects or further operational restrictions used by municipal and industrial water providers would increase the water shortage.

In addition to implementing the previously identified projects, South Platte Basin municipal and industrial water providers could engage in a variety of additional strategies to address the Unmet Demand. Some of these strategies may include:

- Implement more aggressive conservation measures above and beyond those already included in the planning scenario descriptions, including severe watering restrictions during droughts and more limiting landscape ordinances.
- Pursue additional agricultural water transfers either through acquisitions or ATMs.
- Implement additional water reclamation for indirect or direct potable reuse projects that further maximize the benefits of reusable return flows for the municipal and industrial sector.
- Develop additional storage opportunities, conjunctive use projects, and other strategies to capture excess supplies in wet years and make them available during drought years.
- Working collaboratively with the State of Colorado and entities in other basins to develop water supplies outside of the South Platte Basin for the benefit of the entire state.

The particular mix of these and other strategies to address the Unmet Demand will vary by water provider and may change over time in response to new technical innovations, regulatory changes, or other factors (e.g., the technical and social viability of direct potable reuse). It is noted that any of these supplemental strategies could have adverse consequences in the basin related to impacts on the agricultural community, environmental resources, or customer lifestyles, and would involve difficult choices to be made by the municipal water providers and basin stakeholders.

Agriculture

Over three-guarters of the total demand in the South Platte River Basin is associated with irrigated agriculture. Irrigated acreage in the South Platte River Basin steadily increased between the 1950s to the 1980s, driven by the development of supplemental trans-basin and groundwater supplies, reaching over 1 million acres. Irrigated acreage in the basin then began to decline, due in part to the transfer of agricultural water rights over to municipalities (i.e., "buy-and-dry"). The drought of the mid-2000s resulted in another decline in irrigated acreage as augmentation supplies were not sufficient to cover well depletions and acreage served solely by groundwater was taken out of production. Currently about 850,000 acres are irrigated using a mix of groundwater pumping and surface water diversions driven primarily by return flows from upstream irrigators.

Although large population increases are anticipated in and around the Denver Metropolitan area, the concentration of irrigated land that could be urbanized has decreased. Acquisition of senior water rights by "buy-and-dry" methods is also expected to reduce the amount of irrigated land in the basin.

The Republican River Basin has nearly 580,000 irrigated acres, making it one of the highest producing basins of irrigated crops in the state. The basin has very limited surface water supplies and irrigators rely on pumping supplies from the High Plains Aquifer. Nearly all of the fields are served by sprinklers, making efficient use of the pumped supplies. The current amount of irrigated land in the basin is expected to decline in the future. Pursuant to the 2016 agreement between Colorado, Kansas, and Nebraska, irrigated lands will need to be retired to maintain compliance with the Republican River Compact. In addition, declining saturated thickness in the High Plains Aquifer will also lead to the retirement of groundwater-irrigated lands.

The Technical Update agriculture diversion demand represents the amount of water that would need to be diverted or pumped to meet the full crop Irrigation Water Requirement (IWR) or full crop consumptive. This differs from the SWSI 2010 demand, which only reflected water consumptively used by the crops. The diversion demand does not reflect historical irrigation supplies because irrigators often operate under water short conditions and do not have enough supply to fully irrigate their crops.

The current agricultural diversion demand served as the foundational "baseline" for the Technical Update analysis. The five scenarios factor in the pressures of increased urbanization of irrigated lands, increased municipal conversions of agricultural water supplies, limited augmentation supplies, and higher irrigation demands due to a warmer climate by adjusting baseline irrigated acreage and IWR to varying degrees. For the South Platte River Basin, all five scenarios assume 105,900 acres of surface water and 4,800 acres of groundwaterirrigated acreage will be taken out of production due to municipal transfers from urbanization and a lack of augmentation supplies, respectively. An additional 10 to 30 percent reduction in irrigated acreage from potential future agricultural to municipal water transfers was applied to the Lower South Platte based on the planning scenario assumptions. For the Republican River Basin, a 25 percent reduction in irrigated acreage was applied to all scenarios to account for potential losses in acreage from declining High Plains Aquifer water levels. For the three scenarios that assumed a hotter and drier climate, IWR was increased 4 to 24 percent. Varying



Average Annual Demand Demand in Maximum Gap Year Gap





Section 5. Supply, Demand, and Potential Water Needs



Figure 5-9. Republican River Basin-wide Agriculture Annual Demands and Gaps (developed from Tables 4.8.10 and 4.8.13 from Tech Update Volume 1)

reductions in future IWR were applied based on input provided by the Agricultural Technical Advisory Group to represent increases in irrigation efficiency and advances in agronomic technologies.

The agricultural gap represents the amount of additional water that would need to be diverted or pumped to meet the remaining crop shortages, i.e., the difference between the amount of water the crops need to meet full IWR and the amount of applied water. The resulting average agricultural gap and gap during critically dry years relative to the demand is reflected in Figure 5-8 and Figure 5-9 for the South Platte and Republican River Basins, respectively.

Future agricultural diversion demands in both the South Platte and Republican River Basins are anticipated to be lower in the future due primarily to the loss of irrigated land. While assumptions of a warmer climate increase IWR in Cooperative Growth, Adaptive Innovation, and Hot Growth, the loss of irrigated land may offset the additional IWR demand, resulting in lower future demands. The agricultural gaps on average are substantial and are projected to more than double during critically dry years. Note, however, that despite the decline in agricultural demand, the percent gap for all scenarios is similar to baseline conditions, indicating the remaining irrigated acreage projected gap levels are consistent with currently experienced shortages.

The largest separation of results across the Planning Scenarios is experienced by the Adaptive Innovation and Hot Growth, which tend to project larger gaps during the average to above-average hydrological year. Agricultural diversion demands in the South Platte Basin are relatively consistent in wet, average, and dry years due to surface water irrigation system efficiencies that fluctuate in differing hydrologic conditions. In addition to hydrologic conditions, gap results are also impacted by the availability of supplemental storage, groundwater, and trans-basin supplies. Republican River Basin irrigation is provided from groundwater, and system efficiencies of wells do not fluctuate. As a result, agricultural diversion demands in the Republican River Basin change to a greater degree in response to weather conditions.

Environmental and Recreational

Reduction in stream flows due to further development of water supplies as well as the reduction of return flows from agricultural and municipal uses can impact aquatic, riparian, and wetland habitat. Hydrologic connectivity is important for many aquatic species, as it allows passage both up and downriver. Dry-up locations along the South Platte River and its tributaries break hydrologic connectivity and habitat is fragmented.

The Colorado Environment and Recreation Flow Tool (Flow Tool) was developed as part of the Technical Update to refine, categorize, and prioritize environmental and recreational projects and methods through an improved understanding of flow needs and potential flow impairments, both existing and projected. The Flow Tool uses hydrologic data from Colorado Decision Support System (CDSS), additional modeled hydrologic data for various planning scenarios, and established flow-ecology relationships to assess flow-related risks to environmental and recreational attribute categories at preselected gauges across the state.

The Flow Tool estimates the relative risk to environmental and recreational attributes in rivers under various hydrologic scenarios. Data-derived relationships were developed for riparian/wetland plants, coldwater fish, warmwater fish, and plains fish. Other metrics were
developed with basic, well-established relationships between hydrology and stream ecology. Eight water allocation model nodes were selected for the Flow Tool within the South Platte Basin to visualize changes in flow regime and risks to environmental and recreational attributes under existing and future conditions associated with the five planning scenarios. A map of the Flow Tool is presented in Figure 5-10.

In several locations in the mountains and foothills, Cooperative Growth, Adaptive Innovation, and Hot Growth project variable responses to peak flows, in some cases increasing peak flow (thus improving or maintaining risk to plants and fish habitat) and in other cases diminishing peak flows and increasing risk to riparian/wetlands and fish habitat to high or very high. In the mountains and foothills, Cooperative Growth, Adaptive Innovation, and Hot Growth project diminished midand late-summer flows, increasing risk to fish. This risk may remain moderate; however, the metric used to assess risk for fish does not include the month of July because historically July flows are sufficient.

Under Cooperative Growth, Adaptive Innovation, and Hot Growth, July flows may drop substantially, increasing risk for fish. On the plains, especially east of Interstate 25, flow conditions are projected to be poor for all aspects of ecosystem health. Peak flows for riparian/wetlands are high risk under baseline conditions and are projected to remain so under all scenarios. Mid- and late- summer flows are very high risk for plains fishes and risk is projected to increase under all future scenarios. The recreational in-channel diversions may be met less often in the future.



South Platte & Metro Basins

Figure 5-10. Flow Tool Map



Focus Area Mapping

Since the 2005 passage of the Colorado Water for the 21st Century Act, the nine BRTs and the CWCB have worked to characterize Colorado's E&R water needs. The effort has included extensive inventory, analysis, and synthesized mapping of each basin's E&R attributes. Through this process, each basin created Focus Area maps that identify streams or watersheds where environmental and recreational attributes are located and/or where these attributes may be at risk. The Focus Area maps were included in the 2010 version of the Statewide Water Supply Initiative and were updated by some basins during the development of the 2015 BIPs.

During the 2015 BIP effort, the South Platte and Metro BRTs reviewed and updated their Focus Area maps to incorporate additional focus areas, including:

- Several areas near canyon mouths of various Front Range tributaries to the South Platte River
- Reaches in Park County with significant riparian plant communities as well as recreational attributes not previously mapped

During the current BIP update effort, the E&R subcommittee of the roundtables determined that new focus areas should be added that reflect recreational reservoirs (e.g., Cherry Creek, Spinney, Jackson); wetland habitats and warm water sloughs along the Lower South Platte; and the Poudre headwaters (recovery area for threatened Greenback cutthroat trout). The subcommittee is compiling updated attribute mapping, and the resulting focus areas will be added in the future. Figure 9 shows the current Focus Area map for the South Platte and Metro BRTs. The E&R subcommittee also expressed a need to consider additional spatial data (e.g. burn scar mapping and areas vulnerable to future fires) during future updates to the Focus Area maps or during the development of analysis tools that could provide supplemental information useful for prioritizing SMPs and other proactive watershed health enhancement activities.

The South Platte and Metro BRTs see the Focus Area map as a tool for communicating where the roundtables would like to prioritize projects, helping to align goals and funding for projects, and collaborating with water project proponents on ways to create multi-benefit projects.



The Focus Area maps were created to:

- 1. Help guide water supply planning
- 2. Help identify where projects could reduce risks to E&R attributes
- 3. Identify potential collaborative projects

More information on the Focus Area map and details on specific focus area reaches are included in Appendix B of the 2015 South Platte BIP.





Figure 5-11. Focus Area Map



Section 6. Goals, Strategies, and Measurable Outcomes

The South Platte Basin has adopted 12 goals for managing the water resources of the basin. The South Platte BIP outlines strategies to meet each of these goals. Where applicable, strategies include measurable outcomes as a mechanism to track goal achievement. To monitor implementation activities that are conducted by either the roundtable or stakeholders, a joint South Platte and Metro BIP Implementation Committee will be formed to track and support implementation of strategies and measurable outcomes within the authority of the roundtables (example committee support activities: funding projects consistent with measurable outcomes, identifying common objectives among stakeholders and fostering joint project efforts, encouraging stakeholders to pursue projects and strategies that are consistent with measurable outcomes).

This section describes each goal and lists the associated strategies and measurable outcomes. In addition, the subsection for each goal includes a discussion of unique opportunities for achieving that goal in the South Platte Basin.



Figure 6 1. Updated BIP Organization

POINT OF VIEW FOR MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

The measurable outcomes for each goal and strategy were written as viewed from a future standpoint. In other words, the measurable outcome describes what was achieved through the successful implementation of the strategy.

Goal 1: Encourage Implementation of Projects

The South Platte Basin will encourage the implementation of identified projects that meet existing and future municipal and industrial, agricultural, and environmental/recreational water needs.

| STRATEGIES | Image: Second state Image: Second stat < |
|--|--|
| 1.A: Promote implementation of identified projects for all water user categories, with emphasis on Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 projects. | Developed a system consistent with state guidance to check in with project proponents, track the status of identified projects, incorporate additional project data into the projects database, and communicate the status of project implementation back to the South Platte and Metro Roundtables. Developed a system consistent with state guidance to add new projects to the projects database. Tracked ongoing challenges related to project implementation. Tracked advancement of projects across tiers (i.e., projects moving from Tier 3 to Tier 2 or Tier 2 to Tier 1). |
| 1.B: Work with project proponents to identify project funding opportunities. | Documented successful funding mechanisms applied to projects within the basin. Documented successful collaborations and partnerships that resulted in project implementation. |

Opportunities for Regionalization and System Interconnections

In the South Platte Basin there may be options for regionalization and additional system interconnections, such as the WISE Project developed jointly by Denver Water, Aurora Water, and the South Metro Water Supply Authority, that will help share water supply risks. Unlike previously developed system interconnections and regional water projects, development and implementation of future projects is projected to be more challenging and take longer as a result of limited and dispersed water supplies, increased cost, permitting and regulatory challenges, and the increased amount of stakeholder engagement required throughout the project lifecycle. The underlying issues have existed for decades and considerable effort has been applied and will continue to be required to identify and implement creative solutions involving regional or interconnected systems.





Figure 6-2. WISE System (https://www.denverwater.org/your-water/water-supply-and-planning/wise)

Goal 2: Maximize Development of Native South Platte Supplies

The South Platte Basin will collaboratively develop new projects to maximize the use and effectiveness of existing native surface and groundwater supplies.

| STRATEGIES | (O) MEASURABLE OUTCOMES |
|---|--|
| 2.A: Collaboratively develop multi-purpose (e.g., municipal, industrial, agricultural, environmental, and recreational) projects. These projects may include storage, conveyance, and system interconnections that promote basinwide water use efficiency and enhance supply reliability while maintaining compliance with the prior appropriation doctrine. | Documented multi-purpose projects on the projects database, specifically the resulting yield for different water uses and the project partners. Supported funding requests to advance multipurpose projects. |
| 2.B: Develop methods and projects to more effectively use available groundwater supplies to supplement existing developed supplies and/ or to provide additional yield and resilience using conjunctive surface/groundwater storage strategies (ASR and alluvial recharge). | Documented projects on the project database that use available groundwater supplies to supplement existing developed supplies and/or create additional resilience via conjunctive surface/ groundwater storage strategies. |
| 2.C: Encourage sharing of data and information related to best practices, effective methods, and technological advancements that support the maximized use of existing native South Platte supplies. | Asked Water Supply Reserve Fund (WSRF) grant recipients to describe best practices, effective methods, and/or technological advancements that supported the maximized use of existing native South Platte supplies in their deliverables. Created a library of WSRF grants and other projects success stories, lessons learned, best practices, effective methods, and technological advancements and shared on the South Platte Basin website. |

Opportunities for Multi-Purpose Projects

Cooperative, multipurpose projects provide benefits to more than one type of water user in the basin and can benefit diverse water needs including one or more of the following: municipal, industrial, agricultural, recreational and environmental.

Multipurpose projects can assist in protecting or enhancing environmental and recreational attributes. Project proponents of municipal and industrial projects and new Colorado River supply projects can work with environmental and recreational interests to potentially identify additional funding sources to construct projects that enhance attributes in the project area. Irrigation of agricultural lands and return flows from such irrigation often provide habitat or streamflows that can benefit environmental and recreational uses. Opportunities also exist for cooperative operation, optimization, and enhancement of infrastructure to assist in enhancing environmental and recreational attributes.



Goal 3: Maintain and Promote Municipal and Industrial Conservation and Efficiency

Municipal and industrial water users in the Metro and South Platte Basins will maintain their leadership role in conservation in the state of Colorado, recognizing that limited water supplies and a robust population drive the application of conservation best practices within the basin and throughout the state. Future conservation and efficiency efforts can reduce adverse environmental and social impacts of new supply development, help mitigate the impacts of climate change, and also maintain or improve valuable environmental and social benefits of urban landscapes.

STRATEGIES

3.A: Establish baselines against which future efficiency improvements can be assessed for effectiveness, recognizing the range of use characteristics across the basin, levels of efficiency improvements already achieved, and the challenges/tradeoffs of achieving additional efficiencies

3.B: Support the development and distribution of educational materials to promote "best management practices" for municipal and industrial water providers

3.C: Encourage innovation and efficiency improvements

- S MEASURABLE OUTCOMES
- Developed appropriate water efficiency baseline data and methods; data will be available for use in the next BIP update.

- Posted links to CWCB-supported municipal water efficiency guidance documents on the South Platte Basin website.
- BRTs supported funding requests for innovative water efficiency studies and demonstration projects.
- Performed analysis of efficiency goals (from both water-savings and economic standpoints) for different areas in the South Platte Basin using available data on existing use.
- Developed specific efficiency recommendations in concert with water providers for different areas in the South Platte Basin using available data on existing use.
- Supported funding requests for continuation and expansion of existing water efficiency programs, particularly in under-resourced communities.
- Supported education related to on-site, non-potable supply alternatives, graywater use and rainwater capture.
- Supported locally-driven funding requests for on-site water supply alternatives such as graywater and rainwater capture that are appropriate given the differing water portfolios and use constraints in basin communities.



S MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

3.D: Identify and evaluate the range of benefits provided by urban landscapes and green spaces to make informed decisions on the most appropriate conservation and efficiency measures

• Conducted study of the benefits of urban landscapes and green open spaces (e.g., parks, playgrounds, trails, urban agriculture, among others) in the South Platte Basin, which recognizes the importance of urban landscapes to communities (especially disadvantaged) without access to other opportunities for recreation and enjoyment of nature; study will be available for use in the next BIP update.

3.E: Promote and encourage implementation of wise land use planning strategies that provide a desirable quality of life while minimizing the demand for water for existing and new development

- Provided links to CWCB guidance documents on integrating water resources and land use planning on the South Platte Basin website.
- Tracked the increase in the number of municipal water providers that included land use strategies in water supply plans.
- Tracked the increase in the number of municipal water providers or municipalities that incorporated water efficiency measures in land use planning.
- Supported funding requests to further local integrated water and land use planning efforts (e.g., educational workshops, Comprehensive Plan updates, landscaping standards, etc.).

3.F: Support and promote water efficient landscaping

- Supported funding requests for development, evaluation, or implementation of updated landscaping standards that promote water efficient landscaping and include elements such as limits on non-essential turf in new development, funding for local turf replacement programs, and targeted education and outreach on water efficient landscaping opportunities.
- Supported funding of research on vegetation appropriate to potentially warmer and/or drier future conditions in urban/ suburban landscapes and best-practices for landscape replacement and maintenance.
- Supported feasibility study of state-funded program to provide matching funds for local turf replacement rebate programs.
- Supported developing a catalog of resources on South Platte Basin website on water-efficient landscaping, including, for example, guidance on replacement of non-essential turf, water efficient landscaping standards, financing and funding opportunities to promote turf replacement, and economic data on water-efficient landscapes.
- Tracked the increase in the number of municipalities that developed or implemented non-essential turf replacement incentive programs.
- Supported funding requests for landscape and irrigation professional certification courses that include climate-specific best practices.
- Tracked the increase in municipalities that supported and/or offered landscape and irrigation professional certification courses.



Conservation Opportunities

Passive savings are those water savings that result from the impacts of plumbing codes, ordinances and standards that improve the efficiency of water use such as those that promote or require the use of high efficiency water fixtures and appliances.

Active conservation savings are the result of education and outreach, financial incentives, and other programs by municipal water users or other organizations designed intentionally to affect water user behavior and water use practices. Both types of conservation savings are achievable by municipal water providers in the South Platte Basin.

Water Efficiency Plans

Water efficiency plans for municipal water providers in the South Platte Basin typically incorporate a mix of the following strategies.

Water Rates and Tap Fees. Water efficiency pricing has been one of the most effective methods in influencing customer behavior and reducing water use.

System Loss Management and Control. Leaks in water distribution systems can reduce the system's effectiveness and impact overall profitability.

Data Tracking. Metering and data collection have been shown to have the effect of reducing customer water use by informing customers of their uses and the impact of water charges. It makes sense from a practical business perspective to initially invest in a means to track water usage and identify areas where water efficiency can be improved. These areas can then be targeted with other demand management activities.

Targeted Technical Assistance and Incentives. A

collection of activities that rely on indoor water-efficient technologies and water-wise outdoor practices.

Ordinances and Regulations. A series of ordinances and regulations that promote or enforce water efficiency.

Educational Activities. A variety of techniques and venues to convey water efficiency information to the public.

There are currently 40 water providers within the South Platte Basin with formal water efficiency plans filed with the CWCB; each plan is tailored to conditions of the community and the system.

Conservation Successes

The Metro and South Platte Basin have long-standing conservation practices that are nationally known for their rigor and have documented success. The 2015 South Platte BIP demonstrated reductions in gallons per capita per day (gpcd) by 15 to 19 percent from 2000 to 2010. Results from the Technical Update demonstrate continued reduction of 4 to 9 percent between 2010 and 2015, and a total of 18 to 26 percent in 2015 compared to 2000.

Table 6-1. Total GPCD for Metro and South Platte Basinsfrom 2000 to 2015

| Year | Metro | South Platte |
|-------------------|-------|--------------|
| 2000 ¹ | 191 | 220 |
| 2010 ¹ | 155 | 188 |
| 2015² | 141 | 181 |
| ¹ SWSI | | |

² Technical Update

It is noted that per capita water use values for municipal entities expressed as gallons per capita per day can vary widely between entities based on a number of factors, including the mix of residential and nonresidential customers, the presence of large commercial or industrial water users (e.g., factories, universities), and differences in how they compute and report the value. Thus, a simple comparison of gpcd as a metric of water use efficiency can be misleading without further background and justification.

Integrating Land and Water Resource Planning

Traditionally, responsibility for municipal water resource management and land use planning has been siloed in different departments or entities. However, the way new development occurs can have a major impact on municipal water demand as well as the health of the watershed in which it resides. This section highlights the importance of bringing water resource management and land use planning in line with one another in the South Platte Basin as indicated in Strategy 3E, and in doing so, shifting the focus from supply to demand side management. Colorado's growing population puts pressure on the state's already limited water resources. This is especially true in the fast-growing and water-scarce South Platte Basin. Growing demands for water escalate the costs of operating local utilities, accelerate the need for enhanced and expanded infrastructure, and increase the competition and cost for acquiring new water sources. In the past, water resource managers and water providers have turned to supply side management to meet growing demand by investing in water acquisition, treatment, and storage and distribution projects. However, these options come with a significant price tag and can be time and resource intensive.

An alternative to these costly investments is more efficient use of existing supplies. Increasingly, communities are turning to demand side management an approach that seeks to reduce the demand for water.

One of the more promising strategies in water demand management is integrating land use planning with water conservation and efficiency. Communities throughout the west have found that by pursuing aggressive conservation programs like increasing development density, installing climate-appropriate landscapes, and promoting technological advancements, they can continue to grow while reducing and delaying the need for acquiring new supplies. Water-smart development employing efficiency and conservation measures can reduce the negative financial impacts of increased water demand prior to, during, and after construction. This approach increases the cost-to-benefit ratio of capital investments in waterrelated infrastructure by using the same amount of water and infrastructure to serve more people. This approach benefits the environment, ensures a more sustainable future, and is good for the bottom line.

STRATEGIES AND RESOURCES

A number of resources have been used by South Platte Basin entities to promote the concept of integrated water and land use planning. Four are described briefly in the following sections.

Colorado WaterWise. Colorado WaterWise prepared a Guidebook of Best Practices for Municipal Water Conservation in Colorado (2010). The Guidebook highlights three best practices for regulations applicable to new development and redevelopment that link land development decisions to efficient water use.

| Best Practice | Description |
|---|--|
| Rules and regulations for landscape design and installation and certification of landscape professionals | This best practice creates landscapes that are "water smart from the start." Creating rules for new landscape and irrigation system design and installation is a relatively inexpensive way for the utility to affect landscape water use. Minimum training requirements and certification for landscape irrigation professionals help ensure that landscapes and irrigation systems meet mandated standards |
| Water efficient design, installation, and maintenance practices for new and existing landscapes | Design, installation, and maintenance of landscapes and irrigation systems can greatly impact water use. This best practice maximizes water efficiency through the proper design, installation, and maintenance of new and existing landscapes and irrigation systems. |
| Rules for new construction – residential and nonresidential | Water conservation measures that are "built in" to new buildings can help slow the growth of new water demands. This best practice describes water efficiency specifications that water utilities can make voluntary or mandatory for new residential and non-residential development within their service areas. |

Table 6-2. Colorado WaterWise Guidebook

Source: Colorado WaterWise, Guidebook of Best Practices for Municipal Water Conservation in Colorado, Table 3-2.



Colorado Water Conservation Board. CWCB followed up with an addendum in 2019 titled Best Practices for Implementing Water Conservation and Demand Management Through Land Use Planning Efforts. This document describes the foundational activities of:

- Coordinating between the water provider and the planning department
- Aligning data and information used for water and land use planning
- Establishing procedures for post-occupancy monitoring and enforcement
- Integrating water considerations into the development review process
- Integrating long-term water supply and land use planning

American Planning Association. American Planning Association has developed a Policy Guide on Water in 2016 that emphasizes the importance of integrated land and water supply planning. The Policy Guide recognizes:

"...the importance of water as a central and essential organizing element in healthy environments along with the importance of planning to ensure that land-use, environmental and infrastructure planning for water will increase resilience to extreme events and climate change. However, the report also acknowledges that new mechanisms for interdisciplinary efforts are critical to effective water management and the protection of the water environment."

The Policy Guide includes the following objective for integrated planning of land and water development:

"Land development plans should seek to achieve development that results in sustainable land use patterns coupled with the efficient use of scarce and/or oversubscribed water supplies, by: protecting surface and groundwater supplies; promoting efficient water conveyance and use; protecting water quality; and facilitating water conservation, water reuse, nutrient, and energy recovery; and local storage."

Growing Water Smart. Growing Water Smart is an outreach program of the Sonoran Institute to promote integrated land and water planning (Sonoran Institute 2021). Growing Water Smart workshops have been conducted annually in Colorado for several years. The

workshops are focused on city and town officials, water providers, and planners. They offer tools, knowledge, and resources to increase sustainability and create water smart communities even as populations grow. The Growing Water Smart program introduces communities to the full range of communication, public engagement, planning, and policy implementation tools available to realize their watershed health and community resiliency goals.

SOUTH PLATTE BASIN HIGHLIGHTS

Growing Water Smart Workshops. Several communities in the northern Front Range portion of the South Platte Basin have participated in the Growing Water Smart workshops.

Table 6-3. Communities that have Participated in GrowingWater Smart Workshops

| Community (year participated) | Action Plan Goals and Outcomes |
|---|--|
| Weld County (2019) | Increased water provider coordination and a water section update for their comprehensive plan. |
| Town of Platteville (2020) | Draft landscape code and comprehensive plan update |
| City of Longmont (2020) | Align City plans, programs, and processes with water efficiency goals. |
| City of Greeley (2019) | Increased regional collaboration and information sharing |
| City of Evans (2019) | Completion of the City's Water Efficiency Plan |
| City of Fort Collins (2017) | Increased coordination among water providers; updated City Comprehensive Plan and the North Front Range Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Plan |
| Boulder County (2019) | A roadmap for developing a Comprehensive Water Supply Study |
| Town of Berthoud (2020) | Add demand management policies to their comprehensive plan |

Goal 4: Maintain and Promote Reuse

Municipal and industrial water users in the South Platte Basin will explore opportunities to expand their existing reuse practices and programs. Limited native water supplies drive the need for a broad application of water reuse practices of reusable supplies within the South Platte Basin

| STRATEGIES | Solution (Contempt and Contempt |
|---|--|
| 4.A: Support and promote opportunities to increase current levels of municipal and industrial water reuse, including adoption of new DPR regulations, while considering other water users | Supported state-adopted new DPR regulations by 2023. Advanced South Platte Regional Opportunities Water Group (SPROWG) and other regional water sharing/reuse projects/ concepts. New and updated integrated water resource plans and water efficiency plans were evaluated and integrated reuse where feasible, specifically with a focus on new reuse opportunities. |
| 4.B: Support studies to help municipalities evaluate the trade-offs of new reuse projects, including the impacts of: Additional municipal and industrial water conservation on water available for reuse Additional municipal and industrial water reuse in relation to water available for exchanges Additional reuse on agricultural and environmental and recreational water uses within the South Platte Basin. The sudden reduction or loss of access to first use trans-basin reusable water supplies (e.g., Colorado River Compact administration), to assess the level of risk from overreliance on reuse, to explore acceptable levels of reuse in this context, as well as ways that such risk may be managed and mitigated | BRTs supported funding requests that identify trade-offs of new reuse projects and consider ways to mitigate negative impacts. |
| 4.C: Advocate for policy, funding, and regulations that promote safe and effective reuse throughout the South Platte Basin and Colorado. Consider supporting legislation that is supportive and helpful to advance reuse while preserving autonomy, flexibility, and options. | BRTs supported funding requests for activities that inform non-potable reuse risk analysis to ascertain regulatory requirements necessary for Regulation 84 to expand the use of reclaimed water and protect public health and the environment BRTs supported funding requests for activities that assist in DPR rulemaking |
| 4.D: Support outreach efforts to improve policymaker and public understanding of water reclamation and reuse | Considered environmental and social justice issues in key reuse stakeholder processes, reuse projects, and regulations Achieved robust and diverse stakeholder participation in regulatory processes, new pilot projects, new research, and new use evaluations Applied previously created outreach and communications tools that |



help shape outreach efforts and gauge public acceptance of reuse

STRATEGIES

4.E: Promote research and implementation of new innovations, technologies, processes, and collaborations that could be adopted by municipal and industrial water providers to increase reuse opportunities

MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

- BRTs supported funding requests for pilots that highlight proof of concept for new reclaimed water uses and technologies, with emphasis on those that will likely have the most meaningful impact
- 4.F: Support and promote efforts to secure additional and reliable funding of state, county, or municipal regulatory processes and ongoing administration that facilitate increasing reuse.
- State and local regulatory agencies were adequately funded to implement DPR regulations by 2024
- Local entities and reuse organizations continued to provide financial support to regulatory agencies for updates to regulations

Reuse Opportunities

Many municipal and industrial users in the South Platte Basin have existing consumable return flows that may be reused to the maximum extent practicable. Colorado water law defines what water supplies can be reused, and to the extent each source can be reused. Currently there are a limited number of sources that can legally be reused in Colorado. They include:

- Nonnative water
- Agricultural-municipal water transfers
- Nontributary groundwater

 Other diverted water with a decreed reuse right Reusable water can be recovered after first use using a variety of mechanisms, including river exchanges, engineered conveyance systems, recycled water plants, and onsite grey water and black water systems.

Reusable return flows may be put to beneficial use in several different ways, including:

- Non-potable applications (outdoor landscape irrigation, industrial water)
- Indirect potable reuse
- Direct potable reuse
- Augmentation supply for replacing out-ofpriority diversions

In the Denver Metro and North Front Range areas, reuse is being pursued by many water providers that own reusable supplies. The potential for future water rights exchanges of effluent will be considerably less in the Denver and South Metro areas as most of the exchange potential has already been allocated by existing exchange water rights applications. These exchanges, however, will continue to be made when and where feasible.

Direct reuse of effluent has been largely focused on nonpotable uses, such as irrigation of parks and golf courses, though other nonpotable uses are becoming more prevalent (e.g., power plant cooling water supply). Examples include Denver Water's Reclaimed Water Treatment Facility, Westminster's Reclaimed Water Facility, and Aurora's Sand Creek Recycling Plant.

Examples of indirect reuse for potable purposes include Aurora's Prairie Waters project and the WISE project, which both capture reusable return flows in the South Platte River below Denver and pump them back for use by Aurora and South Metro entities after blending with other supplies.

Limitations of Reuse

Several factors will limit the amount of potential reuse that can be used to meet future demands in the South Platte Basin.

- Losses within water supply systems (e.g., river transit losses, ditch and reservoir losses) and losses within the reclaimed water collection, treatment, and distribution systems all reduce the amount of available reusable return flows.
- There is a difference in timing between when during the year supply is available and when it is needed.
- High-quality blending water is often needed to offset the poor-quality reuse sources to achieve reuse or treatability objectives.
- Expensive advanced water treatment and brine disposal processes are needed to treat lower South Platte River water for potable use or ASR.
- Additional municipal conservation will reduce the percentage of return flows originating from reusable supplies.
- A warmer or drier climate could substantially reduce supplies and increase water use, which impacts the ability to operate river exchanges.

On a local level, reuse can increase supply for the water user reusing its return flows. However, on a larger basin scale, reuse does not have the same benefit of increased supply. In an over-appropriated system such as the South Platte Basin, downstream users, including agricultural, municipal, and environmental and recreational users, rely on return flows from upstream water users for a portion of their supply. Increased reuse by an upstream entity may occur at the expense of a downstream user that has historically relied on those return flows, who then must seek another supplemental supply. Reuse in this case may not increase the total supply available in the basin.

Changing Perspectives on Reuse

Since the 2015 South Platte BIP, DPR has received more attention as a viable reuse process for South Platte Basin municipal water providers. The 2015 South Platte BIP recommended several actions to advance the DPR concept:

- Begin to develop an appropriate regulatory framework addressing DPR
- Continue to promote/monitor research into new costeffective technologies for brine disposal
- Promote and monitor research of non-reverse osmosis treatment of recycled water suitable for DPR
- Improve public understanding of advantages of potable reuse

These same ideas can be seen reflected in the Reuse strategies.

Despite the limitations and challenges, there are many encouraging opportunities to expand water reuse in the South Platte Basin to address a portion of the municipal and industrial water supply gap.

- Adoption of a statewide DPR regulation by CDPHE will create opportunities for that reuse solution that do not currently exist.
- Future regional water development projects in the lower South Platte River Basin such as SPROWG would make it possible to capture reusable return flows from many South Platte River Basin entities.
- ATM projects can enhance reuse opportunities through partnerships between agricultural and municipal and industrial water users.
- New water rights filings can include the intent to reuse any return flows.
- Continued education on water reuse will help remove social barriers to IPR and DPR. Existing programs by Water Reuse Colorado and Water Education Colorado can be used to change negative perceptions by adopting positive and supportive language around IPR and DPR.
- Continued water reuse research will create reuse opportunities through use of new technology and more cost-effective solutions such as zero liquid discharge advancements for brine disposal.

Goal 5: Maintain and Improve Irrigated Agriculture

Due to the importance of agriculture to the future well-being of the South Platte River Basin, the Republican River Basin, and the State of Colorado, both basins support measures to maintain, and where legally, physically, and economically possible, improve and increase irrigated agriculture in the face of increasing municipal and industrial demand.

| STRATEGIES | (O) MEASURABLE OUTCOMES |
|---|--|
| 5.A: Minimize traditional permanent buy-and-dry of irrigated by implementing other strategies, including implementation of multipurpose projects, maximizing use of native South Platte River Basin supplies, conservation, reuse, implementation of ATMs, and other innovative water-sharing measures | Supported development of a baseline of irrigated acres that have been dried up (possibly via a review of aerial imagery). Supported review and documentation of the purchase of agricultural water rights and/or land to evaluate the status of buy-and-dry. Supported review and documentation of agricultural land annexed by municipalities. |
| 5.B: Develop multipurpose projects to address agricultural water shortages | Tracked multi-purpose projects that address agricultural water shortages and documented the resulting yield. |
| 5.C: Continue support of measures to maintain the economy and agricultural production of the Republican River Basin. | In coordination with federal, state, and local entities, continued revitalization of Bonny Reservoir State Wildlife Area, which includes improvements to riparian and fish habitat, hydrology, recreation, hunting, and camping. Expanded collaboration with other Ogallala Aquifer users and project sponsors. Considered and documented other alternative existing and developing economic opportunities (e.g., wind turbines, ethanol production, alternative high-value crops). |
| 5.D: Continue support of measures for long-term compliance with the Republican River Compact. | Retired an additional 25,000 acres within the South Fork of the Republican River Basin after August 2016 by 2029. 10,000 acres by 2024 Additional 15,000 acres by 2029 Quantified additional surface water right buyouts conducted pursuant to compact compliance efforts. Initialized a water conservation program to reduce the amount of water consumed. Maximized and tracked funding of federal conservation programs, including Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program and Environmental Quality Incentive Program (EQIP) with Republican River Water Conservation District (RRWCD) cost share. Continued to evaluate the need for Compact Compliance Pipeline expansion of appropriation. |



Alternative Transfer Method Opportunities

Alternative Transfer Methods have the potential to reduce the amount of irrigated acres permanently dried up through the traditional "buy-and-dry" method. This can reduce the adverse recreational and environmental impacts associated with permanent dry-up. Additionally, mechanisms can be included with Alternative Transfer Methods to provide further environmental and recreational protections. For example, agricultural conservation easements can be used to provide further insurance that agricultural lands will remain in production. Off channel regulating reservoirs, needed for some Alternative Transfer Methods, may be designed and operated in a manner to provide fishery, habitat, wildlife and recreational benefits.

Augmentation and Recharge Opportunities

The augmentation of out-of-priority groundwater pumping has increased due to stricter groundwater administration in the South Platte Basin requiring court-approved augmentation plans. Recharge facilities are increasingly being used in the South Platte River Basin to recharge the underlying alluvial aquifer with augmentation replacement supplies. While additional diversions to recharge can negatively impact streamflows, recharge can, at times, be an effective means to maintain instream flows by replacing historical return flows, out-ofpriority groundwater pumping depletions, etc. Typically recharge diversions remove water from the stream system during times when there are high flows, and retime the recharge return flows to the river to times when there is less flow in the river. Therefore recharge projects typically provide streamflow benefits to environmental and recreational attributes.



Recharge facilities can also be specifically designed to provide environmental habitat benefits. For example, Ducks Unlimited has partnered with a variety of entities in designing recharge facilities that also provide wetland habitat while complying with water rights decrees and accounting requirements. Several other water supply agencies and environmental groups have also incorporated multi-benefit components in their projects and programs. Some potential impacts from recharge projects are the reduction in large flows that provide benefits including sandbar scouring and reconnection of slough habitat.

Protection of Agriculture in the Republican River Basin

The South Platte and Metro BRTs will continue to support the Republican River Basin's compliance program and its largely agricultural economy, which is undergoing dramatic changes in water management as it complies with the requirements of the interstate water compact.

The Republican River Water Conservation District (RRWCD) was created by the Colorado Legislature in 2004 to foster local involvement in Colorado's efforts to comply with the requirements of the Republican River Compact. The RRWCD has been active in utilizing federal and local funding to provide assistance for retiring irrigated acres as a tool for compact compliance. In addition, the RRWCD constructed the Compact Compliance Pipeline, which conveys water from groundwater wells north of Laird, Colorado to the North Fork of the Republican River just upstream of the Colorado-Nebraska state line. The water delivered by the pipeline offsets groundwater consumed by irrigation wells and allows continued pumping while maintaining compliance with the compact.

The Colorado Master Irrigator program is another strategy Republican River Basin irrigators are using to protect agriculture in the basin. The program, which is supported by CWCB funding, is an educational course that equips irrigators with training and tools for increasing water conservation and irrigation efficiency in the basin. The course is designed to be collaborative and useful in establishing connections that are long-term and beneficial for participants. The objective of the program is to help irrigators find ways to apply less irrigation water while maintaining a profitable farming operation and prolonging the life of the aquifer. The Basin will continue to be challenged with maintaining compact compliance and economic prosperity as the primary natural resource continues to be mined. However, the recent approval of an agreement with Kansas and Nebraska along with adoption of compact compliance rules will ensure long term agricultural viability in the basin. The collective efforts of all stakeholders in the basin are needed to meet these challenges to ensure a prolonged agricultural economy.

SPECIAL STUDY:

Environmental and Recreational Benefits of Irrigated Agriculture in the Lower South Platte Basin

As part of the South Platte BIP update, the South Platte and Metro BRTs funded a special study that focused on the E&R benefits of irrigated agriculture in the Lower South Platte River Basin (study area). The purpose of this study was to research and document potential beneficial interactions among agricultural, environmental, and recreational water uses within the study area with a spatial data analysis and case study review. The study area was defined as the portion of the basin associated with the mainstem of the South Platte River from downstream of Denver to the Nebraska state line.

Goal 6: Protect and Enhance Watershed Function

Healthy watersheds support economies, environment, and quality of life by enhancing the ability of rivers and streams to provide clean drinking and irrigation water, productive fisheries, quality habitat, and outdoor recreation. Healthy watersheds also help mitigate the impacts of climate change by reducing wildfire risk. To benefit the ecological health of all the watersheds within the Basin, as well as to meet water supply, economic, and water quality demands, the South Platte Basin will recognize the importance of and encourage strategies that enhance watershed functions and hydrologic processes.

STRATEGIES

S MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

6.A: PROTECT AND IMPROVE WATER QUALITY THROUGHOUT THE WATERSHED

| 6.A.1: Promote forest health through forest restoration and wildfire risk-reduction activities. | Provided and supported outreach and education efforts to urban and rural communities about the connection between forest health, wildfire risk reduction, and sustainable drinking water supply. Provided and supported outreach and education efforts to communities and water providers vulnerable to wildfire about federal, state, and local organizations and best practices that restore forest health and reduce wildfire risk. |
|--|---|
| | Provided and supported outreach and education efforts to communities and water providers vulnerable to wildfire regarding potential funding opportunities for forest restoration. Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document forest restoration and wildfire risk-reduction projects. |
| 6.A.2: Control erosion and sedimentation. | Compiled a library of best management practices, tools, and methodologies related to agriculture and wildfire mitigation and shared on the South Platte Basin website. Provided and supported outreach and education efforts for agriculture and forestry practices that improve soil health using federal, state, and local organization knowledge and resources. |

A common working definition of restoration as promulgated by the Society for Ecological Restoration is "the process of assisting the recovery of an ecosystem that has been degraded, damaged, or destroyed".

-- International Principles and Standards for the Practice of Ecological Restoration, 2016



STRATEGIES

6.A.3: Consider holistic impacts to water quality and watershed health during project development and implementation.

O} MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

- Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document projects that include management of stormwater through natural-based solutions for urban, rural, and headwaters areas, and other means to minimize addition of pollutants to rivers and streams to the fullest extent possible.
- Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document collaborative, integrated water resource management projects, including those that coordinate land use and water resource planning and management.
- Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document agricultural projects that incorporate considerations for water quality and quantity.
- Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document agricultural projects that directly support environmental attributes.
- Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document environmental projects that directly support continued agriculture. Examples include augmentation ponds, ditch systems that provide water for environment and recreational benefits, and agricultural buffer zones between crop fields and waterbodies.
- Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document environmental projects that directly support continued agriculture. Examples include augmentation ponds, ditch systems that provide water for environment and recreational benefits, and agricultural buffer zones between crop fields and waterbodies.

6.A.4: Identify, assess, and implement actions, programs, and measures that aim to minimize the adverse effects on wetlands, lakes, streams/rivers, and associated ecosystems from water pollution, nutrient overload, reduced streamflows, and filling or dredging.

- Compiled a library of actions, programs, and organizations that have resources (e.g., money, technical skill, institutional knowledge) to assist with minimizing negative effects on the identified waterbodies and shared on the South Platte Basin website.
- Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document collaboration among land managers and recreational and related interest groups to develop strategies to divert recreationists from high-concern areas.
- Supported the creation of a basinwide assessment to determine locations of headwater, floodplain, and wetland restoration projects. This study may be used to select locations for pilot projects that can be monitored for at least 5 years to determine ecological and hydrological benefits.
- Supported WSRF grants for projects that minimize impacts of adverse effects on wetlands, lakes, streams/rivers, and associated ecosystems from water pollution, nutrient overload, reduced streamflows, and filling or dredging.

6.A.5: Apply adaptive management strategies for wildfire mitigation.

- Supported projects to identify ponds for firefighting in rural areas, and investigated strategies to bring those ponds into compliance, if necessary, with Colorado water law.
- Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document forest and recreational management plans, especially those that focus on adaptive management.
- Supported, tracked, and leveraged the applicable work of other organizations to document collaboration among land managers and recreational and related interest groups to develop strategies to divert recreationists from areas with high wildfire risk.
- Tracked efficacy of fire-mitigation treatments.



6.A.6: Conduct restoration projects and promote innovative strategies to improve water quality in impaired areas and downstream impacts.

(O) MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

- In conjunction with the similar Measurable Outcome in Strategy 6.A.4, supported the creation of a basinwide assessment to determine locations of headwater, floodplain, and wetland restoration projects. This study may be used to select locations for pilot projects that can be monitored for at least 5 years to determine ecological and hydrological benefits.
- Supported the use of process-based restoration which seeks to restore the natural hydrologic, biologic, and geomorphic processes that contribute to a stream's ecological dynamics. One strategy of process-based restoration is reconnecting incised streams with their floodplains to restore functions such as sediment filtration, floodwater attenuation, and habitat. When there is not room to restore the footprint that a river could occupy or influence in a wide variety of flow conditions due to development and infrastructure, supported the use of form-based restoration which promotes modification of stream channels to improve in-channel habitat conditions and bank stability.
- Supported the documentation of best management practices and challenges of planning and implementing science-based headwaters restoration work. This might include lack of equitable fund distribution and/or lack of awareness around benefits to water supply, recreation, and quality.
- Supported projects to improve water quality in impaired waters, with outcomes tracked through the biennial 303(d) and Monitoring and Evaluation lists to identify when waters are removed and/or added to the lists.
- Supported a diversity of projects to evaluate threats to water temperature or assess impairment to waters due to increased water temperatures, and identified opportunities to address impairments.
- Identified and documented projects that improve water quality in coordination with public agencies (conservation districts and the NRCS, among others).
- Supported public education related to land stewardship and the responsible use of land and water. Examples are the Greenway Foundation, Colorado Trout Unlimited, and Leave No Trace.
- Compiled a library of best management practices, tools, and methodologies related to watershed health metrics. Examples include U.S. Forest Service Watershed Condition Framework. Shared this library on the South Platte Basin website.
- Compiled a library of best management practices, tools, and methodologies related to stream health assessments, stream restoration methods, and sciencebased solutions for wetlands and headwaters areas as well as other South Platte Basin aquatic ecosystems. Examples may include Colorado Stream Health Assessment Framework, the Flow Tool,
- Colorado Riparian Association Stream Restoration Network, and Colorado Natural Heritage Program's Colorado Conservation Data Explorer (CODEX). Shared this library on the South Platte Basin website.
- Documented and tracked the long-term trends of stream health and associated restoration methods (e.g., Colorado Stream Health Assessment Framework) for the South Platte Basin.
- Supported policy and regulatory changes that will help to scale up headwater stream and wetland restoration throughout Colorado as an important tool for water management, E&R, and increasing resiliency to floods and drought.





{O} MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

6.A.7: Identify, assess, and implement actions, programs, and measures that address post-fire impacts.

- Supported and conducted research and pilot projects investigating the effects of mitigation, forestry, and other treatments on burnt landscapes.
- Compiled information on best management practices and lessons learned to scale up and implement actions that restore forest and hydrologic function to burnt areas.

6.B: ASSESS, IDENTIFY, AND PRIORITIZE RELATIONSHIPS AND MULTILATERAL IMPACTS THAT AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY HAVE ON THE WATERSHED RELATED TO:

| 6.B.1: Impacts on surface water and groundwater quality. | • Supported research investigating the effects of forestry treatments on hydrology. Specifically, more research is needed to discern how these effects differ among treatment types (e.g., forest restoration vs. fire-mitigation treatments). |
|---|--|
| 6.B.2: Impact on streams, lakes, floodplains, riparian areas, wetlands, and wet meadows. | • Compiled a library of best management practices, tools, and methodologies related to agricultural practices that improve water quality. Examples include no-till, reduced pesticides, buffer strips, groundwater recharge, and wetland creation. Shared the library on the South Platte Basin website. |
| 6.B.3: Impacts of water quality on irrigated agriculture infrastructure. | • Supported the identification of and listed the impacts salt, sediment, and increased chemicals may have on irrigated agricultural infrastructure. |

6.C: THROUGH EDUCATION AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION, IDENTIFY, PRIORITIZE, AND DEVELOP STREAM MANAGEMENT PLANS WITHIN THE SOUTH PLATTE BASIN

6.C.1: Plans should address and be based around biological, hydrological, geomorphological, and other data to assess the flows, water quality parameters, recreational opportunities and other physical conditions that are needed to support collaboratively identified environmental and/or recreational values.

6.C.2: Encourage implementation of projects and programs identified within these stream management plans.

- Supported the development of 80% of the locally prioritized lists of rivers with stream management plans, and 80% of critical watersheds with watershed protection plans, all by 2030.
- Identified locations where stream management plans are most needed using tools and studies such as focus area mapping and a basinwide assessment of the best opportunities for headwater floodplain and wetland restoration projects.
- Highlight completed stream management plans on the South Platte Basin website.
- Supported implementation of projects identified in stream management plans through WSRF grants.

Watershed Function Opportunities

Currently, multiple water providers, organizations, governmental groups, and public groups participate in watershed health programs in the South Platte Basin. However, the Basin is not only reliant on the watershed health in the South Platte basin, but also on other Colorado basins' watershed health due to transbasin diversions. Watershed health assessments should be considered at a statewide level that will involve collaboration between basins to achieve statewide watershed health.

Forest Management

Fire suppression in recent years has led to excessive vegetation density, abundant fuel, and species declines, providing extensive fuel for wildfires. Reducing vegetative competition and enhancing appropriate age and species diversity through forest management can reduce the risk of damaging wildfire in high priority watersheds. Management techniques vary by forest type and are largely accomplished by selective thinning to reduce tree stress and competition, but may include other options such as clear cutting, controlled burns, or other forest restoration activities, depending on forest type and desired outcome. Reducing fuel and implementing defensible space around homes and structures can significantly reduce the risk to people living on the wildlife-urban interface.

Colorado's forests are experiencing intense insect and disease activity. Parts of the South Platte River Basin have experienced significant loss of forested areas due to insect and disease, specifically Mountain Pine Beetle and Spruce Beetle. Once infestation has begun, management options to mitigate intensity and spread are limited. Infested forests can be thinned to prevent the spread of beetle kill. Trees can be sprayed with carbaryl to prevent the infestation, however, this process is time consuming and expensive. There is no effective means of mitigation large areas of infected forests.

The vast majority of beetle-killed forests are inaccessible to harvesting operations primarily because of steep topography, lack of road access, and weak timber market economics; the untreated forests that recover are likely to support a mixture of conifer species and an increased amount of subalpine fir compared to harvested areas. The limited amount of post-bark beetle treatment and salvage harvests should be targeted at stands that pose the greatest risk as fuels for wildfire. Mountain ecosystems are expected to experience the most severe ecological impacts from climate change and/ or other causes of more severe variability in temperature and the timing and magnitude of rain and snowfall.

Water Quality

Watershed resources management includes stormwater and flood control. Innovative projects are being developed in the South Platte Basin that provide water quality and flood control benefits. In addition, numerous studies have dealt with water quality characterization and/or management for large parts of the South Platte River Basin or for the entire Basin.

There are a wide range of water quality monitoring data and related information available for various subareas of the South Platte Basin. A number of the subareas surrounding the Denver metropolitan area, including plains and mountain tributaries, have watershed plans, monitoring reports, source water protection plans, and other investigation reports describing specific issues of concern in water quality or watershed health. The concept of sustainable watershed water resources management underlies many of the watershed or subarea-based studies cited in this review.

Sustainable management for environmental and recreational attributes is interrelated with water supply complexities and land use changes affecting water quality and land cover, the latter factor being especially critical in the forested, mountain tributary streams flowing into the South Platte River. In this respect, institutional consideration (e.g., Federal vs. private land ownership) plays a role. The role of land management Federal and State agencies, as well as the water resources and environmental protection agencies requiring compliance with the NEPA, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), and the Clean Water Act (CWA) regulations is critical to the goal of sustainable water resources management. In addition, the Colorado Department of Health and Environment (CDPHE) monitors water quality throughout the State.



Goal 7:

Protect and Enhance Environmental Attributes

Throughout the South Platte Basin, the importance of ecological processes and environmental attributes will be fully recognized. The South Platte Basin will implement strategies that protect and enhance environmental attributes for ecologically, socially, and economically important habitats and focus areas.

STRATEGIES

7.A: Continue to develop, promote, and apply best management practices, tools, and methodologies to adequately assess what is needed to maintain, increase, or enhance the following throughout the South Platte Basin:

- General river health
- Aquatic, riparian, floodplain, wetland, and wet meadow habitats
- Instream flows
- Water quality and impacts associated with temperature and other pollutants
- Riverine connectivity, including biological, hydrological, geomorphological processes, and stormwater impacts

7.B: Identify, assess, and implement actions, programs, and measures that aim to promote restoration, recovery, and sustained support of:

- Endangered species
- Threatened species
- State species of special concern
- Imperiled aquatic, riparian, terrestrial, and wetlanddependent species and plant communities

S MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

- Supported, documented, and tracked (leveraging the work of others where applicable) continued monitoring of South Platte waterbody health.
- Created or updated current mapping of connectivity between streams and identified physical and hydrologic barriers.
- Compiled a library of best management practices, tools, and methodologies for outcomes listed above. Examples include Proper Functioning Condition, Colorado Stream Health Assessment Framework, and Stream Visual Assessment Protocol (SVAP). Shared on the South Platte Basin website.
- Based upon the assessment and/or existing knowledge of where the best opportunities for headwater floodplain and wetland restoration are located, identified and monitored (for at least 5 years) a few restoration pilot projects to better understand the ecological and hydrological results of restoration work.
- Supported the development of a database(s) to document current (baseline) conditions, and established metrics for measuring future changes, maintenance impacts, and decrease and/or increase of:
 - Occupied habitat for federally and state-listed threatened and endangered species and plant communities.
 - Habitat in the environmental and recreational focus areas that support or can support imperiled species and plant communities, and strive to secure the species in these reaches, if appropriate. Sources include Colorado Parks and Wildlife and Colorado Natural Heritage Program.
 - Wetland, lake, or stream habitat used by migratory, wintering, and breeding birds and aquatic life.
 - Wetland, lake, or stream habitat used by aquatic life.
- Identified and documented programs and partners aimed at restoration, recovery, and sustained support (e.g., Colorado Parks and Wildlife, Wetlands for Wildlife, Corners for Conservation, Colorado Open Lands, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Partners for Fish and Wildlife).
- Identified and documented on the South Platte Basin website threatened and endangered species, state-listed sensitive state species, and systems related to focus areas.

STRATEGIES

7.C: Identify, assess, and implement actions, programs, and measures that aim to protect, maintain, and improve conditions and long-term sustainability of streams, lakes, floodplains, riparian areas, wetlands, and wet meadows for self-sustaining fisheries and functional waterfowl, beaver, and other aquatic habitats.

MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

- Supported the development of tools to document current (baseline) conditions, encouraged use of recently developed tools, and established metrics for measuring future changes, maintenance impacts, and decrease and/or increase of:
 - The number of stream miles or surface area of streams, lakes, floodplains, riparian areas, wetlands, and wet meadows that are in proper functioning condition.
 - Fish habitat by:
 - Providing habitat enhancements and improvements to water quality and temperature
 - Reducing non-natural dry-up points
 - Promoting riverine connectivity
 - Adopting collaborative programs to sustain and/or improve instream flow
 - Increasing the ecological and hydrologic function of stream and wetland areas

7.D: When and where buy-and-dry projects occur, project proponents are encouraged to restore or manage the formerly irrigated land in a manner that promotes ecological health, function, and diversity.

• Supported, documented, and tracked (leveraging the work of others where applicable) the restoration of habitats before water is removed from the land.

Environmental Opportunities

Instream Flows and Natural Lake Level Water Rights²

Instream flow water rights and natural lake level water rights can only be held by the CWCB. These water rights allow for the CWCB to hold a water right for a specific amount of instream flow within a specified reach or a specified lake level to assist in protecting the environment. An instream flow water right is a relatively junior water right that can call for water to benefit instream flows within a specified reach. However, instream flow water rights can also be donated to the CWCB and converted for instream flow use, allowing for more senior water rights to be used for instream flow purposes. The Colorado Water Trust is a non-profit organization that raises funds to buy water rights in identified reaches with needed flows that can be changed in water court and donated to the CWCB for instream flow purposes. The presence of an instream flow right in a reach does not guarantee streamflows, however, and does not necessarily translate into adequate protection in the reach.

Channel Restoration:

Channel restoration projects can benefit both in-stream aquatic habitat and species as well as riparian species such as wetlands and significant plant communities. Channel restoration projects can also help to improve water quality in certain areas.

Stewardship Projects:

Stewardship projects have protections that include areas near stream riparian areas and protect stream attributes for multiple uses. Examples of stewardship projects include areas protected by federal or state agencies, landowner agreements, and non- governmental organizations. These protections may cover multiple attributes in the areas where they are in place.

Species Reintroduction:

Species reintroduction projects allow for species to be reintroduced to habitat areas where their numbers may have declined. At times additional projects are needed to ensure protection along with species reintroduction projects. Examples of species reintroductions in the South Platte Basin include various projects that include reintroductions of the Boreal toad, cutthroat trout, and plains fish species.

² In 1973, the General Assembly authorized the CWCB to appropriate water rights for instream flows and natural lake levels to preserve the natural environment to a reasonable degree. Since 1973, CWCB has appropriated instream flow water rights on nearly 1,700 stream segments covering more than 9,700 miles of stream, and natural lake level water rights on 480 natural lakes.

89



Goal 8: Protect and enhance recreational attributes

Throughout the South Platte Basin, the importance of water recreational attributes, and the associated economic and public health benefits, to communities will be fully recognized. The South Platte Basin will implement strategies to protect and enhance recreational landscapes and sensitive habitats for future generations.

| Y) | STRATEGIES | |
|----|------------|--|
| | | |

8.A: Continue to develop, promote,

MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

• Compiled a library of best management practices, tools, and methodologies related to recreational opportunities derived from water-related ecosystems and and apply best management shared on the South Platte Basin website. practices, tools, and methodologies • Working with public, private, and governmental partners throughout the state to adequately assess what is needed (i.e., other BRTs), identified opportunities to take a statewide approach to protect to maintain or improve recreational and enhance recreational attributes. opportunities derived from water- Considered the variety of landscapes and recreational opportunities across the related ecosystems throughout the state, encouraging consistent statewide application of assessment tools. South Platte Basin. Identified strategies for responsible stewardship of the landscapes that provide recreational opportunities and access to open space in every community. • Supported efforts to improve management to protect public lands for generations to come. • Supported the development of consistent and comprehensive metrics for 8.B: Identify, assess, and implement measuring and reporting resource impacts. actions, programs, and measures • Supported the development of a database(s) to document current (baseline) that aim to protect and strengthen conditions, and established metrics to measure future changes, maintenance local outdoor recreation economies impacts, and decrease and/or increase of: derived from recreational water uses • Surface area, stream miles, and/or public access areas for sustainable while protecting sensitive habitats. recreational opportunities through cooperative efforts Sustainable recreational opportunities Miles of constructed and maintained trails and greenways to promote aesthetic values and quality of life Public access to recreational opportunities in lakes and streams/rivers, including fishing and boating Successful collaboration and relationships with landowners, nonprofits, for profit, and public entities to ensure projects are funded and completed • Total area of wetlands, lakes, streams/rivers, and associated ecosystems for birding, waterfowl hunting, and wildlife viewing • River miles or flatwater surface acres available to river and flatwater boaters • Location of where and extent to which recreational pursuits conflict with sensitive habitats.

Recreational Opportunities

There are a variety of recreational opportunities within the South Platte Basins. Some include:

- Channel restoration can benefit recreational uses such as fishing, flatwater boating, rafting, and kayaking.
- Creation of alluvial recharge basins for agricultural augmentation plans that also provide waterfowl habitat and hunting opportunities.

Goal 9: Support collaborative development and management of supply options outside of the South Platte Basin

The South Platte Basin will work collaboratively with the state of Colorado and entities in other basins, including the Colorado Basin, to develop and manage all water supplies for the benefit of the entire state.

STRATEGIES

9.A: Collaboratively support ongoing state of Colorado, Department of Natural Resources, and Interbasin Compact Committee leadership in managing the state's supplies within other basins, including the Colorado and Arkansas Basins. South Platte Basin's critical water needs considered by State agencies when making decisions regarding water management in the Colorado and Arkansas Basins

MEASURABLE OUTCOMES



Goal 10: Utilize scenario planning to better manage uncertainty of future water needs

The South Platte Basin will incorporate the five planning scenarios from the Technical Update to better manage uncertainty of future water needs. Scenario planning allows for evaluating inherent uncertainties in future climate conditions, social conditions (such as values and economics), and supply-demand conditions (e.g., energy, agricultural, and municipal needs).

10.A: Promote use of scenario-based planning and the scenarios from the Technical Update in local water supply planning.

- S MEASURABLE OUTCOMES
 - Developed and maintained a database that summarizes the scenario planning approaches taken by water providers.



Goal 11: Broaden South Platte communications, outreach, and education programs

The South Platte Basin will expand on existing communications and outreach programs to improve knowledge and understanding of water challenges and needs for all citizens of the South Platte Basin, and on how these needs are being addressed through the South Platte BIP.

| STRATEGIES | (O) MEASURABLE OUTCOMES |
|---|--|
| 11.A: Support and expand communication and outreach activities of the Public Education, Participation, and Outreach (PEPO) Workgroup of the Interbasin Compact Committee and the Metro/South Platte BRT education liaisons. | Attended and participated with the PEPO Workgroup of the Interbasin Compact Committee. Developed and posted meeting schedules of the joint Metro/ South Platte PEPO Committee. Increased attendance and participation on the joint Metro/ South Platte PEPO Committee. |
| 11.B: Support the South Platte Basin's participation with the Colorado Statewide Water Education Action Planning (SWEAP) process to engage South Platte Basin residents in better understanding the South Platte BIP and in encouraging an active role in their communities to promote informed decisions about critical water issues. | Attended and participated in the SWEAP process meetings. Provided written updates on the SWEAP process to the Metro and South Platte Roundtables. Made written updates available on the South Platte Basin website. |
| 11.C: Support implementation of tools developed by the SWEAP process. | • Outcomes will be measured as consistent with actions outlined in the SWEAP for Colorado 2020-2025. |
| 11.D: Provide annual updates to the South Platte Education Action Plan consistent with goals and strategies of the BIP. | Provided annual updates to the South Platte Basin Education Action Plan. Successfully implemented tasks identified in the South Platte Basin Education Action Plan. |
| 11.E: Continue to develop the network of existing community organizations to increase local awareness of the goals and priorities of the South Platte BIP | • Provided speakers and other communications relating to the South Platte BIP and other helpful facts. |
| 11.F: Expand communication of the goals, strategies, and measurable outcomes of the South Platte BIP. | • Developed and implemented a marketing plan to support BIP implementation. |

Goal 12: Improve efficiency and effectiveness of water project permitting

The South Platte Basin will support the State of Colorado's efforts to improve efficiency and effectiveness in water project permitting by local, state, and federal agencies while properly mitigating negative environmental impacts.

| STRATEGIES | (O) MEASURABLE OUTCOMES |
|--|---|
| 12.A: Proactively engage in and support discussions and actions to improve efficiency and effectiveness of water project permitting. | Reduction in average time and cost for water projects to be permitted. Improved alignment between local, state, and federal permitting processes. Increased resources to conduct permitting activities. |
| 12.B: Encourage the sharing of best practices and lessons learned from water project permitting with other water users in the basin and throughout the state. | Compiled and shared on the South Platte Basin website water project permitting best practices and lessons learned by CWCB. |
| | |



Section 7. Future Basin Projects

Project Database

As part of the South Platte BIP Update, the Identified Projects and Processes Database (herein referred to as Project Database) from 2015 was updated. This included gathering information for consistency with the statewide standard database and confirming the status of projects. Project status is definted as: completed, implementing, planned, concept, or not pursuing (further disucssion on project status can be found in the following subsections). Additional information on the Project Database is available in Volume 2, Section 7 of the Technical Update.

Of the **120 projects from 2015 Plan** that are still relevant (i.e. implementing, planned, or concept), 17 were completed, and 9 are no longer being pursued. In addition, projects identified since 2015 were added to the list. This resulted in **187 new projects** being added to the list. The full project database can be found in Appendix C.

Project Tiering

What the tiering process is:

- An effort to collect project data, including but not limited to project cost information that was missing from the original Water Plan, as noted in Chapter 9.2. The Governor's Wildly Important Goal on water supports this basin-led effort.
- A tool roundtables can use to do a preliminary characterization of their basin projects and associated project readiness. It will be useful for basin-level WSRF grant approval discussions where the data fields describing alignment with BIPs, local planning, and criticality are likely to be considered.
- A tool the CWCB plans to use to better understand the costs of future water projects and how quickly those projects could be implemented, that is, their readiness.
- A means of facilitating a "first-pass" process to help standardize data-gathering for a dynamic project database. This will allow for project updates and movement through the tiers as they advance toward funding by the basin, the CWCB, or other sources.

What the tiering process is not:

- A ranking mechanism that would pit one project against another, one basin against another, or the roundtable against a project proponent. Rather, it groups projects by level of readiness and other factors where Tiers 1 through 3 reflect varying levels of readiness and have some level of basin support.
- An assumption on project funding or the potential for proponents to implement their projects. Any project, regardless of the assigned tier, could move forward if a project proponent advances the effort and can obtain funding (e.g. grant funding).

How will the tiering be used?

- For roundtables, it will be useful for WSRF grant approval discussions where the data fields describing alignment with BIPs, local planning, and criticality are likely to be considered.
- For the CWCB, it will be useful for identifying immediate and long-term project costs and associated funding needs. Data fields describing level of readiness, alignment with the Water Plan, and the amount of available project data will also be considered.
- As a platform for future project tiering.

Tiering Approach

The CWCB developed a Project Tiering Matrix to tier projects identified in the South Platte BIP (Figure 7-1). Based on input from the Joint Steering Committee, the LE Team developed assumptions to systematically apply these criteria across the full Project Database (+300 projects). Due to the variability in project information provided by different project proponents and the variety of project types, these assumptions are designed to help the LE Team apply a consistent approach to the tiering process.

Project Tier Matrix (Roundtable Entry Form)

| | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 4 | Assigned Tier |
|--|---|---|--|---|------------------|
| PROJECT PHASE | | | | | |
| TIMELINE | Ready to launch projects that are immediately implementable (within one year) were funding to be made available or if funds have already been secured; does not apply for a "Concept" project. | Projects that could launch within two years were funding to be made available or if funding is in the process of being secured; does not apply for a "Concept" project. | Needs two to five years to launch were funding to be secured. | Not shown or longer than five years to implementation. | Tier 1 |
| PLAN ALIGNMENT | | | | | |
| BASIN PLANS | Strongly aligns with Basin Implementation Plan. | Somewhat aligned with Basin Implementation Plan. | Not as well aligned with Basin Implementation Plan. | Not shown. | Tier 2 |
| LOCAL | Extensive local planning, organizational support and water rights support the project. | Some local planning or organizational support for the project; water rights may or may not be explicitly identified. | Not clearly identified in any local plan, organizational effort; water rights concerns are noted; may be under consideration or going through a permitting process. | Not shown. | Tier 1 |
| WATER PLAN | Benefits multiple sectors in the Colorado Water Plan including each of the following: agriculture, environment & recreation, and municipal & industrial. May also provide other benefits and cite specific goals or actions in the plan. | Benefits multiple sectors in the Colorado Water Plan including at least 2 of the following: agriculture, environment & recreation, and municipal & industrial. May also provide other benefits and cite specific goals or actions in the plan. | Benefits one sector in the Colorado Water Plan including one of the following: agriculture, environment & recreation, and municipal & industrial. May also provide other benefits and cite specific goals or actions in the plan. | Not shown. | Tier 3 |
| MINIMUM CRITERIA | | | | | |
| MEETS CORE DATA NEEDS | Includes all 21 criteria. | Meets critical subset; (16) designated critical data fields. | Provides only a few details; critical subset is not complete. | Not shown. | Tier 3 |
| NEED | | | | | |
| CRITICALITY | Critical to basin (would cause severe impact to the basin if the project didn't move forward; (Basin Priority and/or Emergency Need); Has clear metrics for tracking and completion date. | Significant basin effort (fully aligns with basin goals); implementation or plan would advance basin goals; has clear metrics for tracking and completion date. | Project could be of basin interest but may not as directly advance basin goals; may not have clear metrics and/or may not have a clear end date or objectives. | Not shown. | Tier 2 |
| Priority categorization is calculated from the tier cumulative ranking above. PRIORITY CATEGORIZATION Tier | | | | | |
| DRT ER | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 4 | |
| SUPPC BY TI | Supported & Ready | Supported & Pursued | Supported & Developing | Considering | |

Figure 7-1. Project Tier Matrix



Project Phase: Timeline for Implementation

The timeline for implementation is based on when a project may be "ready to launch". The LE Team applied the following assumptions to two distinct project types:

- An Infrastructure project is "ready to launch" when the project is ready for construction. This generally includes: design is substantially complete, permitting is substantially complete, there are no outstanding legal or water rights administration issues, and there is overall political support.
- A planning, study, or other nonstructural project is "ready to launch" when the project proponent has the resources available to complete the project. This may include: a draft scope and budget, internal support, etc.

Tiering is dependent on project schedule (provided in the project description), status, and additional background knowledge of project. Many project proponents did not provide a project schedule. In these cases, the following assumptions based on provided project status were generally applied to projects:

If the project proponent indicated the project was in the implementing stage, it was defined as Tier 1 or 2. "Implementing" is defined as: *Project is underway* (*legislation is being drafted, study is underway, project is under construction, etc.*). Note that a Tier 2 was applied to planning, study, or other nonstructural projects if no additional information was provided.

If the project proponent indicated the project was in the Planning stage, it was defined as Tier 3. "Planned" is defined as: *Steps are being taken to move it forward (initiated permitting, seeking funding, forming a committee or group, designing project, etc.).*

If the project proponent indicated the project was in the Concept Stage = Tier 4. "Concept" is defined as: *Project is an idea, there are no specific plans to implement yet.* It should be noted that projects classified as "Concept" will automatically result in an overall Tier 3.

The LE Team understands the assumed Tier based on status may not align with actual project schedule. However, without further information on the anticipated project schedule, the assumptions were be used to apply consistency in tiering across the Project Database.

Plan Alignment

Basin Plan. Most projects were assumed to fall into a Tier 2 as many of the South Platte BIP Goals and Strategies

align with benefiting multiple water sectors, which is evaluated in the "Water Plan" criterion.

Local Plans. Tier 1 included projects whose water rights are decreed or not required and permitting is substantially completed. Planning/Study projects are assumed to be Tier 1 as water rights and permitting are not required.

Tier 2 includes projects with little to no water rights considerations and smaller scale permitting requirements.

Tier 3 includes projects where water rights have not been identified or the water court process has not started and complex permitting has not started or is still occurring (i.e., NEPA, 404, 1041)

Water Plan. Tier 1 included projects that support all three water use categories (Municipal and Industrial, Agriculture, Environmental and Recreation). Tier 2 included projects which support two water use categories, and Tier 3 included projects which support one water use category. Secondary project benefits to other water use categories beyond those identified by the project proponent were considered when applying tiering assumptions. An example includes an environmental and recreational project with a water quality component or ancillary benefit. This type of project would be classified as Tier 2 due to its downstream water quality improvements for municipal and industrial or agriculture needs.

Minimum Criteria: Meets Core Data Needs

This score was based on the type of data provided by the project proponent with respect to the 21 core data needs identified by CWCB.

Criticality

Criticality is a measure of how critical the project is to providing for short term needs that may arise from natural disaster (for example, watershed restoration after a wildfire or food event) or achieving the goals of the BIP Update and Water Plan. Due to the subjectivity of this criterion, most projects were assumed to be a Tier 2. This criterion was applied from the basin-wide perspective – that is, criticality to meeting the overall basin goals and the needs of many water users and the ability of the project to address the current basin-wide supply-demand gap. It is recognized that some projects may have much higher criticality to the individual water users than to the overall basin.

Tiering Results

A total of 307 projects were tiered. Results of the overall tiering are presented in Figure 7-2. Of these projects, 44 percent are classified as Tier 3, and the lowest percentage of projects are in Tier 1 at 13 percent.

Implementing projects primarily fall into Tier 1 (40 percent) and Tier 2 (43 percent) categories. The majority of planned projects fall into Tier 3 (79 percent), while the majority of concept projects fall into Tier 4 (69 percent). A distribution of project status versus tier classification is presented in Figure 7-3.

Project proponents were also asked to provide a "key word" to help describe the project. The majority of projects are classified as Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation (45 percent) and Supply & Demand Gap (36 percent), as seen in Figure 7-4.



Figure 7-2. Distribution of Projects Among the Four Tiers





Figure 7-3. Project Status vs Project Tier



Figure 7-4. Primary Project Types in All Tiers Combined

Section 8. Education and Outreach

The South Platte and Metro Basin Roundtables combine their efforts to carry out Public Education, Participation, and Outreach (PEPO) activities in accordance with a joint Education Action Plan (EAP). The South Platte Basin EAP is updated annually by the PEPO Liaison and approved by the PEPO Committee. The PEPO Liaison has the duty to educate the roundtable and assist the flow of information among the roundtable, the Interbasin Compact Committee (IBCC) and the CWCB. Additionally, the PEPO Liaison coordinates with the basin roundtables to develop potential communications strategies, plans, and programs that support basin outreach and education.

Identifying a clear message is critical to successful implementation of the Education Action Plan

The roundtables provide guidelines for stakeholder engagement. Through the collaborative efforts of roundtable members, a strategy has been developed that reflects a shared vision for the South Platte Basin. Support for identified solutions among all stakeholders will increase if those stakeholders believe they have been engaged in a collaborative process. Broad awareness of the South Platte BIP and the statewide roundtable process will help create a successful and unified plan.

Meetings held over the last several years have led to agreement on a clear message, which focuses on four key elements of the South Platte BIP that support meeting current and future water supply needs in the South Platte Basin:

- 1. A high success rate of currently planned projects.
- 2. The ongoing leadership and advancement of conservation and reuse to efficiently use current and future water supplies.
- 3. The development of ATMs as an alternative to the permanent purchase and dry-up of irrigated agriculture.
- 4. Collaborative work with the State of Colorado and entities in other basins, including the Colorado and Arkansas Basins, to develop and manage all water supplies for the benefit of the entire state.





The South Platte Basin website houses a variety of tools, best practices, and lessons learned to provide necessary information to foster knowledgeable and productive civic engagement. Programs are being created to promote those four key elements and will be monitored to assess their progress. Key metrics to monitor success will include attendance and participation at events, meetings, and field trips. In addition, website and social media analytics will be enhanced to determine the volume, duration, and areas of interest of visitors to **www.southplattebasin.com**.

The communications strategy identified four categories of stakeholders as potential audiences:

- Academic: post-secondary educators and students
- Agriculture: trade organizations, conservancy districts, conservation districts, Colorado Foundation for Agriculture, Colorado Ag Water Alliance, and Future Farmers of America
- Environment and Recreation: County recreation and open space districts, Ducks Unlimited, Elk Foundation, Trout Unlimited, Pheasants Forever, Poudre Learning Center, and more
- Roundtables: members, web presence, CWCB and PEPO Liaison

The Metro and South Platte Basins are home to Northern Water Conservancy District, Denver Water, Aurora Water, and other large water suppliers who have their own outreach efforts that leverage the South Platte BIP and Colorado Water Plan messages.

In the future, the Metro and South Platte Roundtables will expand on existing communications and outreach programs to improve knowledge and understanding of water challenges and needs for all citizens of the South Platte Basin and how these needs are being addressed by the South Platte BIP.

The following outreach strategies will be applied:

- The basin will support and expand communication and outreach activities of the PEPO Workgroup of the Interbasin Compact Committee and the Metro/South Platte Roundtable Education Liaisons.
- 2 The basin will support participation with the Colorado Statewide Water Education Action Planning process to engage South Platte Basin residents to better understand the South Platte BIP and to take an active role in their communities to make informed decisions about critical water issues.
- 3 The basin will support implementation of tools developed by Water Education Colorado in their Statewide Water Education Action Planning process, and provide annual updates to the South Platte Education Action Plan consistent with goals and strategies of the BIP.
- 4 Finally, the basin will continue to develop the network of existing community organizations to increase local awareness of the goals and priorities of the South Platte BIP.

The basin's website provides useful information such as education resources, stories about the basin's water, project reports, and guiding documents.

Visit the site at:

southplattebasin.com

The South Platte and Metro Rountables seek to educate all citizens of the South Platte River and Republican River Basins (including those historically underrepresented) and foster inclusive participation in roundtable activities and funding opportunities. The roundtables will align with recommendations for equity and inclusion that are developed in the Colorado Water Plan.
Section 9. Strategic Vision for the Future

The strategic vision for the future in the South Platte Basin is described in this section. The strategic vision is the amalgamation of the goals, future projects, and desired water future for the basin. The strategic vision for the South Platte and Metro roundtables focuses on four elements:

1. MEET THE MUNICIPAL SUPPLY GAP

2. PROTECT IRRIGATED AGRICULTURE

3. PROTECT AND ENHANCE WATERSHEDS

4. IMPLEMENT PROJECTS

Meet the Municipal Supply Gap

The largest category of future water supply is from projects that are planned and projects that are completed but not fully utilized. Municipal entities have invested considerable resources in identifying and advancing the projects needed to meet a substantial portion of their anticipated water needs in 2050 and beyond. The South Platte BIP's goal to encourage the implementation of projects underscores the importance of this strategy for meeting future demands.

- Water conservation practices are projected to be an important strategy for meeting future demands in scenarios that incorporate high levels of conservation (i.e., the Cooperative Growth and Adaptive Innovation planning scenarios). One of the more promising strategies for fostering water conservation and managing demand is integrating land use planning with water conservation and efficiency. Communities throughout the West have found that by pursuing aggressive conservation programs like increasing development density, installing climateappropriate landscapes, and promoting technological advancements, they can continue to grow while reducing and delaying the need for acquiring new supplies.
- While the South Platte BIP has a goal to protect irrigated agriculture, a significant component of future municipal and industrial supply is projected to come from agricultural-to-municipal water transfers via various mechanisms, including acquisitions, leases, ATMs, and urban growth over irrigated lands. The specific agricultural supply yield shown in the future underrepresents the full amount of water that will be transferred from agriculture to municipal use because many of the planned and conceptual projects rely on agricultural supply for their yield. In addition, municipal and industrial water providers are expected to continue to look to agriculture as a source of supplemental water to improve system resiliency and redundancy, beyond the amounts needed to meet their basic demands.

INTEGRATING LAND AND WATER RESOURCE PLANNING FOSTERS CONSERVATION

Many communities are turning to demand side management—an approach that seeks to reduce the demand for water. A promising strategy for this is integrating land use planning with water conservation and efficiency. Several resources are available to promote and guide the integration of water and land use planning.

ATMs may be a useful way for M&I water providers to access supplemental supplies while preserving some level of irrigated agricultural production.

• Water reuse is an important part of the Basin's water supply strategy. Reuse projects are not explicitly highlighted but make up some of the completed/ partially utilized, planned, and conceptual projects. In the Denver Metro and North Front Range areas, both direct and indirect reuse is being pursued by many water providers that own reusable supplies. Reusable water can be recovered after first use using a variety of mechanisms, including river exchanges, engineered conveyance systems, recycled water plants, and onsite grey water and black water systems. On a basinwide scale, reuse generally does not increase the total supply available in the basin, because additional reuse can in many, but not all cases, reduce supply to downstream water users that have historically diverted the unused, reusable supply. However, on a local level, reuse can increase supply for the water user reusing its return flows. Despite some limitations and challenges, there are many encouraging opportunities to expand water reuse in the Basin.



101

REUSE WILL BE AN IMPORTANT TOOL

Many municipal and industrial users in the South Platte Basin are using and or are pursuing practicable additional use of consumable, reusable return flows. While reuse has limitations, it offers many encouraging opportunities to meet the municipal and industrial supply gap.

In most of the cases, there is a remaining unmet demand for each of the scenarios after the yield from currently identified projects and planned conservation is considered. Current supply strategies are projected to meet 2050 water demands in Weak Economy and Cooperative Growth, but additional supply sources of varying magnitudes are needed to meet 2050 demands in the other scenarios, ranging from 6,000 AFY in Adaptive Innovation to more than 160,000 AFY in Hot Growth. Business as Usual projects that more than 40,000 AFY of additional water supply will be needed by 2050 beyond what has currently been identified.

WATER IS NEEDED BEYOND 2050

While the shortage analysis focused on the year 2050, water demands will continue to grow in the future, and additional water supply strategies and projects will be needed. Storage, conservation, and reuse will be important components.

M&I water providers could engage in a variety of strategies to address the unmet demand. Some of these strategies include:

Conservation: Implementing more aggressive conservation measures above and beyond those already included in the planning scenario descriptions, including increasingly aggressive watering restrictions during droughts and more limiting landscape ordinances.

Storage: Developing additional storage opportunities (such as new storage, expanding or reallocating storage in existing reservoirs, restoring existing storage via sediment removal, and protecting storage through multipurpose floodplain and stream channel restoration that reduce sediment loads and enhance habitat), conjunctive use projects, and other strategies to capture excess supplies in wet years and making them available during drought years.

Agricultural Water Transfers: Pursuing additional agricultural water transfers either through ATMs or acquisitions.

Water Reclamation: Implementing additional water reclamation for indirect or direct potable reuse projects that further maximize the benefits of reusable return flows for the municipal and industrial sector.

The particular mix of these and other strategies to address the unmet demand will vary by water provider and may change over time in response to new technical innovations, regulatory changes, or other factors, such as the technical and social viability of direct potable reuse.

A BALANCED APPROACH IS NEEDED

Meeting future municipal and industrial water demands while minimizing adverse impacts will require a balanced approach. The South Platte BIP's goals provide guideposts for achieving this balance.

Supplemental water supply strategies could have adverse consequences to the agricultural community, environmental resources, or customer lifestyles and would involve difficult choices to be made by the municipal water providers and basin stakeholders. The South Platte BIP's goals and strategies help navigate these difficult choices and collectively provide a vision for a balanced approach to meeting future water demands. The goals encourage implementing projects, maximizing use of native supplies, and promoting conservation and reuse while protecting the environment and agriculture. **The South Platte and Metro BRTs will use their goals as guideposts to encourage balanced and collaborative solutions to meeting future municipal and industrial demands.**

STORAGE IS CRITICAL

New storage, whether above or below ground, will be critical for meeting the future water needs of the South Platte Basin.

THE FUTURE IS UNCERTAIN

The shortage analysis had widely ranging results driven by uncertainty related to demand drivers like population growth and climate change and also the realization of yield from water supply strategies. Basin stakeholders will need to be adaptive and collaborative to meet the challenges of an uncertain future.

Protect Irrigated Agriculture

Several strategies can be pursued to protect agriculture in the South Platte River Basin. Many of these were described in the 2015 South Platte BIP.

PROTECT IRRIGATED AGRICULTURE

It will be critical to protect the South Platte and Republican River Basins' remaining agricultural assets, because they will be pressured by loss of irrigated land and water scarcity.

Support Alternative Transfer Methods

Since the early 2000s, the state of Colorado has focused on ATMs as a strategy that provides water for municipal, industrial, and environmental water purposes by temporarily using agricultural supplies and compensating irrigators for the use of their water. Dryyear leasing has been the primary means of implementing ATMs, but they could potentially be implemented by rotational fallowing, deficit irrigation, or switching to less consumptive crops (though some of these strategies may be more complicated to legally implement). In addition, conservation easements have recently been implemented to preserve agricultural uses of water but also allow periodic leasing or other water sharing agreements to help meet water supply gaps. The South Platte and Metro BRTs support the implementation of ATMs and efforts by the State to promote and provide financial support through the ATM grant program.

Support Multipurpose Infrastructure Projects that Use Native Supplies and Foster ATMs

Agriculture in the South Platte can also be protected by

maximizing the use of native, unappropriated supplies as an alternative to the purchase of senior water rights. Infrastructure such as storage and conveyance facilities are needed to divert and manage native supplies to create firm and reliable yield.

Another strategy for protecting irrigated agriculture is the development of new infrastructure and water supplies for augmenting out-of-priority depletions from irrigation wells. Recharge facilities are increasingly being used in the South Platte River Basin to recharge the underlying alluvial aquifer with augmentation replacement supplies. Typically recharge diversions remove water from the stream system during times when flows are high. In many cases, the aquifer recharge enhances flows in the river at times when flows are low and provide streamflow benefits to environmental and recreational attributes. In addition, recharge facilities can be designed to enhance water fowl habitat, thus providing multiple benefits.

Infrastructure is also needed to implement ATMs on a large scale. If a significant volume of supply were to be generated from ATMs, it would likely come from numerous farms spread over a wide geographic area. Storage and transmission infrastructure are needed to collect and aggregate the ATM supply to facilitate its exchange or conveyance to locations where the supply can be used by municipal water providers.

Multi-purpose infrastructure projects involving municipal, agricultural and environmental water users and stakeholders can help municipalities meet their water needs while helping to protect or enhance agriculture and environmental attributes. The SPROWG concept is a good example of how this could be accomplished: both the South Platte and Metro BRTs supported the investigation of the SPROWG concept, and they support the development and implementation of multi-purpose projects in general.

Use Water More Efficiently in All Sectors

The efficient use of water can help agriculture stretch available supplies and can help delay or prevent the need for cities to purchase senior agricultural water rights. Irrigation efficiency improvements that reduce the nonbeneficial consumption of water can help increase the irrigation supply provided to crops. On the municipal side, increased reuse and conservation as well as a focus on water-wise land use planning can also provide a degree of protection to irrigated agriculture, though it can also impact available supplies. If cities along



the Front Range conserve and reuse more water and reduce water demands for non-essential turf irrigation, they can prevent or at least delay the need to purchase and transfer senior agricultural water rights; however, increased conservation and reuse can also reduce a portion of return flows that supply downstream irrigators. In other words, increased efficiency in all water use sectors is beneficial, but trade-offs should be recognized and, if possible, mitigated.

The South Platte and Metro Roundtables encourage and promote efficient water use in all sectors as a critical strategy for meeting future water needs.

Protection of Agriculture in the Republican River Basin

The South Platte and Metro BRTs will continue to support the Republican River Basin's compliance program and its largely agricultural economy, which is undergoing dramatic changes in water management as it complies with the requirements of the interstate water compact. Stakeholders in the Basin have made great efforts to protect irrigated agriculture.

The Basin will continue to be challenged with maintaining compact compliance and economic prosperity as the primary natural resource continues to be mined; however, the recent approval of an agreement with Kansas and Nebraska, along with adoption of compact compliance rules, will ensure long-term agricultural viability. The collective efforts of all stakeholders in the basin are needed to meet these challenges to ensure a prolonged agricultural economy.

Secondary Benefits of Irrigation

Irrigation is not only important for agriculture and the rural communities it supports. The network of irrigation infrastructure, crop production, and return flow regime also support environmental and recreational attributes in the South Platte Basin. Protecting irrigated agriculture also helps to maintain the secondary benefits of irrigation to environmental and recreational attributes.

Protect and Enhance Watersheds

The South Platte Basin will need to protect and enhance its watersheds and associated environmental and recreational attributes while meeting its future municipal and industrial water needs and preserving agriculture. Doing so will require collaboration, strategic planning, and successful implementation of projects.

The updated goals, strategies, and measurable outcomes collectively embody the South Platte and Metro BRTs' vision with respect to protecting and enhancing watersheds. The goals reflect a spirit of collaboration, planning, and action:

- **Collaboration:** The goals point to collaboration by encouraging the holistic consideration of impacts to water quality and watershed health during water project development and implementation. Documentation and information sharing is encouraged regarding successful approaches on tools and strategies, such as on water quality best management practices, stream restoration methods, and stream health assessment methodologies. Collaboration is critical to identifying the best ways to incorporate environmental and/or recreational enhancements to water projects that can enhance the overall health of South Platte Basin watersheds.
- Strategic Planning: Successful planning requires a solid understanding of existing conditions and challenges. The goals identify data needs that are foundational to understanding the health of streams and watersheds. With an understanding of existing conditions, planning can be better informed and the results of projects or strategy implementation can be assessed. In addition, the goals support the development of stream management plans. These plans are geographically focused, collaborative plans that assess the health of streams and identify strategies and projects that will improve stream health.
- Action: The measurable outcomes associated with the South Platte and Metro BRTs' goals identify a wide variety of actions that are supportive of their goals. The South Platte and Metro BRTs also identified numerous watershed-focused projects in their projects database. The vision of the South Platte and Metro BRTs is to encourage and support the implementation of watershed, environmental, and recreational projects through funding assistance, fostering collaboration, and encouraging multipurpose projects.

Information on collaborative watershed groups is available via that Colorado Watershed Assembly website (www.coloradowater.org) and the <u>Atlas of</u> <u>Collaborative Conservation in Colorado</u>

Implement Projects

Implementation of water development and management projects is the primary means by which municipal water providers, environmental and recreational advocates, agricultural water users, and industry will meet their future water needs. Implementation of projects ties to and will advance nearly all of the goals and strategies identified in the South Platte BIP. Amplifying the importance of projects, the South Platte and Metro BRTs have identified numerous and varied projects to meet future water needs at a total future cost of nearly \$10 billion (an estimate that does not include all identified projects).

The Basin's success in meeting future water needs relates directly to the extent to which water supply projects and strategies are implemented.

The roundtables have long recognized the importance of supporting and implementing projects. In fact, the 2015 South Platte BIP recognized that implementing planned projects is critical to meeting the future water needs of the Basin as well as the state of Colorado. While the 2015 BIP included a focus on implementing municipal and industrial projects, it also promoted the implementation of agricultural and environmental and recreational projects and encouraged collaborative multipurpose projects. The same sentiment was expressed during the BIP update process, and a focus on project implementation is embedded in the goals, strategies, and measurable outcomes.

The degree to which projects are successfully implemented relates directly to the risks associated with meeting future municipal and industrial, agricultural, and environmental and recreational needs. Successful completion of water supply projects is critical to meeting future municipal and industrial demands, as demonstrated earlier in this section. Even if all of the identified projects are mostly implemented by 2050, South Platte and Metro water providers will still need to identify additional strategies to meet all of the projected demand. If water supply projects are not successfully implemented, water providers will be further challenged to find other alternatives to meet demands. Agricultural water users and environmental and recreational stakeholders are also seeking successful project implementation to help meet their current and future water needs. Without successful implementation of agricultural and environmental and recreational projects, risks to food supplies, rural economies, ecosystem health, and recreation will mount.

The 2015 South Platte BIP identified 10 primary challenges to successfully implement solutions to water supply shortages in the Basin. These challenges, summarized below, are still important to consider:

- Future municipal and industrial needs are significant, and meeting them can affect other water use sectors as water is reallocated to meet municipal and industrial needs.
- 2. Agriculture in the South Platte and Republican River Basins is critical to Colorado's economy and culture, and loss of agricultural water supplies can be detrimental.
- **3.** Environmental and recreational attributes should be proactively considered, but a lack of useful environmental data create difficulties in assessing environmental and recreational needs or project benefits.
- 4. Temperature increases and changes in precipitation from climate change could significantly increase demand.
- 5. Achieving extremely high levels of conservation and reuse requires societal buy-in and policy support beyond the purview of water providers.
- 6. Costs for developing additional municipal and industrial and agricultural water supplies is continually increasing due to dwindling local supplies and the need for larger, more complex projects.
- 7. Permitting for new water supply projects can be very lengthy and expensive.
- **8.** Political and social support will be critical to the success of planned supply projects.
- **9.** Front Range job growth benefits Colorado as a whole, and business and political leaders need to recognize that benefit with respect to buy-in on water supply projects.
- **10.** The Interbasin Compact Committee must support implementation of water supply solutions.

The implementation of collaborative, balanced water supply solutions in the South Platte Basin will ultimately benefit all Coloradans.

Collaboration and communication among diverse stakeholders will help overcome these challenges and implement projects successfully. The South Platte BIP's overarching themes and goals support collaborative approaches, and many Basin water users are pursuing innovative projects that will rely on and benefit from collaboration.

The South Platte and Metro BRTs support collaborative approaches to identifying balanced solutions to current and future water supply challenges.



Appendix A. South Platte River Basin Current and 2050 Planning **Scenario Water Supply and Gap Revised Results**







Analysis for Basin Implementation Plans
Technical Memorandum

Prepared for: Colorado Water Conservation Board

Project Title: South Platte River Basin Current and 2050 Planning Scenario Water Supply and Gap Revised Results

Date: June 14, 2021

Prepared by: Wilson Water Group Reviewed by: Brown & Caldwell

Table of Contents

| Section 1 : | Introduction |
|-------------|---|
| 1.1 | Deliverables1 |
| 1.2 | Disclaimer1 |
| Section 2 : | South Platte River Basin Revised Results |
| 2.1 | South Platte River Basin |
| 2.2 | South Platte River Basin Revised Water Supply and Gap Results |

List of Tables

Section 1: Introduction

This technical memorandum summarizes changes to modeling inputs and results from the 2019 Technical Update that were conducted during the Basin Implementation Plan update process. The original model approach and results as well as other water supply related analyses were documented in Volume 2 of the Technical Update in a memo entitled "Current and 2050 Planning Scenario Water Supply and Gap Results".

The approach and results were presented to stakeholders throughout the State and to the Basin Roundtables, and feedback was obtained regarding areas where the approaches to developing the agricultural, municipal, and industrial demands or the modeling could be improved or refined. This technical document summarizes the revisions to inputs and/or results that affect the South Platte, Metro, and Republican Basins.

The following are general notes regarding this effort:

- The revisions were based on stakeholder input and may not include every aspect of the Technical Update. For example, one basin may only have revised M&I demands whereas another basin may only have revisions to modeling operations.
- Revisions to West Slope basins may affect the transbasin import supply gap estimated for basins that receive imports. Revised import supply gaps are also included in the sections below if applicable.
- This document provides a summary of the revisions. Spreadsheets and modeling datasets will be available on the Colorado Water Plan website for further information on revisions.
- The revised information herein supersedes any previously developed information. Documentation and reports relying on the information from September 2019 will reflect a note to this effect, but the documentation will not be updated.
- The revised information will be used in the Basin Implementation Plan Volume 1 and 2 reports and the Update to the Colorado Water Plan.

1.1 DELIVERABLES

The revised model results are provided both within this document and in separate Excel spreadsheets for each basin. The General Contractor Team for the Technical Update has developed several spreadsheets of more localized results at the Water District level for basins that have requested this detail. These spreadsheets have also been updated and provided to the Local Experts in each of those basins. Additionally, revised streamflow results were loaded into the Flow Tool and made available to the Local Experts. Lastly, the model input and output files were delivered to the General Contractor and will be made available via the Colorado Water Plan website. The spreadsheets, modeling datasets, the revised Flow Tool, and this documentation serve as the deliverables for this effort.

1.2 DISCLAIMER

The technical data and information generated are intended to help inform decision making and planning regarding water resources at a statewide or basin-wide planning level. The information made available is not intended to replace projections or analyses prepared by local entities for specific project or planning purposes. The information or datasets provided are from a snapshot in time and cannot reflect actual or exact conditions in any given basin or the state at any given time. While the Technical Update and Basin Implementation Plan strives to reflect the Colorado Water Conservation Board's (CWCB) best estimates of future water supply and demands under various scenarios, the reliability of these estimates is affected

by the availability and reliability of data and the current capabilities of data evaluation. Moreover, the Technical Update and Basin Implementation Plan cannot incorporate the varied and complex legal and policy considerations that may be relevant and applicable to any particular basin or project; therefore, nothing in the Technical Update, Basin Implementation Plan, the associated Flow Tool or Costing Tool is intended for use in any administrative, judicial or other proceeding to evince or otherwise reflect the State of Colorado's or the CWCB's legal interpretations of state or federal law.

Furthermore, nothing in the Technical Update, Basin Implementation Plan, or any subsequent reports generated from these datasets is intended to, nor should be construed so as to, interpret, diminish, or modify the rights, authorities, or obligations of the State of Colorado or the CWCB under state law, federal law, administrative rule, regulation, guideline or other administrative provision.

Section 2: South Platte River Basin Revised Results

The following sections reflect the model revisions and results applicable to the South Platte River Basin. As discussed above, refer to the original 2019 Technical Update documentation for more information on the demands and gaps in each basin.

2.1 SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN

There were no identified revisions in the South Platte River basin, therefore the agricultural and M&I demand and gap remain unchanged from the 2019 Technical Update results. However, revisions in the West Slope basins do impact the simulated availability of water for import into the South Platte River basin, and the estimate of transbasin imports to the South Platte River was revised. As discussed in the 2019 Technical Update documentation, transbasin imports are reflected at their historical levels and a gap in the table below indicates that the historical import could not be diverted in the source basin due to a physical or legal limitation on the water supply at the diverting location. Although not incorporated into the basin-wide gap values, a reduction in transbasin supplies could increase the total South Platte River basin gap by more than the values shown in the table due to reuse of these supplies throughout the basin.

2.2 SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN REVISED WATER SUPPLY AND GAP RESULTS

Revisions to reservoir operations and demands in the West Slope basins led to a decrease in the annual import supply gap. The reduction to the average annual import supply gap ranged from 140 to 5,300 acre-feet across the Planning Scenarios, and larger reductions of 2,150 to 21,600 acre-feet during critically dry years. This is largely a result of the revised operations for Green Mountain Reservoir in the Colorado River basin water allocation model resulting in increased water availability to the Blue River Diversion Project during critically dry years. A slight increase in diversions via Adams Tunnel in dry years also occurred as a result of revisions to the model, which in combination with the Blue River Diversion Project diversions, led to the reduction to the import supply gap in the South Platte River basin.

| | Transbasin Import Supply Gap Results | Baseline | Business as Usual | Weak Economy | Coop. Growth | Adaptive Innovation | Hot Growth |
|-----------------|--|----------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|
| | Average Annual Import Supply (ac-ft) | 392,127 | 392,127 | 392,127 | 392,127 | 392,127 | 392,127 |
| Average | Average Annual Import Supply Gap (ac-ft) | 1,025 | 962 | 962 | 9,339 | 19,208 | 21,942 |
| | Average Annual Import Supply Gap Increase from Baseline (ac- ft) | - | - | - | 8,314 | 18,183 | 20,917 |
| | Average Annual Import Supply Percent Gap | 0% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 5% | 6% |
| աու | Import Supply In Maximum Gap Year (ac-ft) | 359,779 | 359,779 | 359,779 | 405,267 | 508,557 | 339,873 |
| cally Dry Maxim | Import Supply Gap In Maximum Gap Year (ac-ft) | 3,430 | 3,388 | 3,388 | 21,617 | 75,979 | 87,808 |
| | Increase from Baseline Import Supply Gap (ac-ft) | - | - | - | 18,186 | 72,548 | 84,377 |
| Criti | Import Supply Percent Gap In Maximum Gap Year | 1% | 1% | 1% | 5% | 15% | 26% |

Table 1: Summary of Transbasin Imports to the South Platte River Basin

Appendix B. SPECIAL STUDY: Environmental and Recreational Benefits of Irrigated Agriculture in the Lower South Platte Basin



Basin Implementation Plan

Special Study: Environmental and Recreational Benefits of Irrigated Agriculture in the Lower South Platte Basin

June 15, 2021









COLORADO Colorado Water **Conservation Board**



SOUTH PLATTE BASIN

CONTENTS

| SECTION | 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|---------|--|------|
| 1.1 | Purpose | 1 |
| 1.2 | Location | 1 |
| SECTION | 2. SPATIAL DATA REVIEW | 3 |
| 2.1 | Purpose | 3 |
| 2.2 | Data Review | 3 |
| 2.3 | Irrigated Agricultural Lands | 9 |
| 2.4 | Environmental and Recreational Attributes | |
| 2.5 | Analysis | |
| 2.6 | Observations | 22 |
| SECTION | 3. CASE STUDIES | 22 |
| 3.1 | USDA NRCS Agricultural Conservation Easement Program | 26 |
| 3.2 | Platte River Recovery Implementation Program | |
| 3.3 | Ducks Unlimited | |
| SECTION | 4. NEXT STEPS | |
| Case St | tudy 1 | C-1 |
| Case St | tudy 2 | C-2 |
| Case St | tudy 3 | C-1 |
| Case St | tudy 4 | C-2 |
| Case St | tudy 5 | C-4 |
| Case St | tudy 6 | C-5 |
| Case St | tudy 7 | C-6 |
| Case St | tudy 8 | C-12 |
| Case St | tudy 9 | C-18 |

TABLES

| Table 1. Spatial Data Review |
|--|
| Table 2. Wetland Types |
| Table 3. Fish Species |
| Table 4. Bird Species |
| Table 5. Publicly Available Reptile Species |
| Table 6. Publicly Available Mammal Species |
| Table 7. Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Wetlands 12 |



| Table 8. Irrigation Canal Potentially Supporting Wetlands – 100-foot Buffer | 14 |
|---|----|
| Table 9. Existing Case Studies Summaries | 24 |

FIGURES

| Figure 1. Location Map | 2 |
|--|----|
| Figure 2. Available Data | 5 |
| Figure 3. ArcGIS Buffer Tool Schematic | 9 |
| Figure 4. Irrigated Agricultural Lands | 10 |
| Figure 5. ArcGIS Intersect Tool Schematic | 11 |
| Figure 6. Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Wetlands | 13 |
| Figure 7. Irrigation Canal Potentially Supporting Wetlands | 15 |
| Figure 8. ArcGIS Merge Tool Schematic | 16 |
| Figure 9. Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Fish Habitat | 17 |
| Figure 10. Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Bird Habitat | 19 |
| Figure 11. Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Reptile Habitat | 21 |
| Figure 12. Case Studies | 23 |

PHOTOS

| Photo 1. Emergent water from the North Sterling Canal in Morgan County, which provides habitat for wetland dependent wildlife. | : 26 |
|--|---------|
| Photo 2. Wildlife use of the augmentation pond in the early spring | 27 |
| Photo 3. Tamarack Project Recharge Pond | 29 |
| Photo 4. Tamarack Project – Photo provided by DU | 29 |
| Photo 5. Yahn Wetlands | 30 |
| Photo 6. Prewitt Reservoir | 31 |

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Spatial Data Download Appendix B. Irrigation Canal-supported Birds Appendix C. Case Studies



ACRONYMS

| BCR | Bird Conservancy of the Rockies |
|----------|---|
| COL | Colorado Open Lands |
| CPW | Colorado Parks and Wildlife |
| CWCB/DWR | Colorado Water Conservation Board/Division of Water Resources |
| DU | Ducks Unlimited |
| NHD | National Hydrography Dataset |
| PRRIP | Platte River Recovery Implementation Program |
| sq mi | square miles |
| SWA | State Wildlife Area |
| USGS | United States Geological Survey |
| WBD | Watershed Boundary Dataset |



SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE

As part of the South Platte Basin Implementation Plan Update, the South Platte and Metro Basin Roundtables funded a special study that focused on the environmental and recreational benefits of irrigated agriculture in the Lower South Platte Basin (study area). The purpose of this study is to research and document potential beneficial interactions between agricultural, environmental, and recreational water uses within the study area with a spatial data analysis and case study review. These beneficial interactions may include: the creation of irrigated agricultural lands-supported wetlands; wildlife habitat along irrigation canals, ditches, and ponds; wildlife bird habitats; and recreational opportunities such as flatwater boating and fishing. This study did not include field verification or a direct study of the potential beneficial interactions.

The study consisted of two main components: a spatial data review and collection of case studies. The purpose of the spatial data review was to collect publicly available data related to agricultural, environmental, and recreational water use attributes and conduct simple spatial analyses to identify potential locations of beneficial interactions. The case studies provide actual examples of beneficial interactions from various stakeholders within the study area with mechanisms such as recharge and re-timing of South Platte River flows, leasing water, allocating portions of water rights, and conservation easements.

Documenting the potential beneficial interactions of the different water uses provides a greater understanding of the complexity and interdependency of water resources in the study area. Many of the wetlands originally present in the study area were lost and/or degraded either due to land conversion or as a result of various historical land and water management practices. Today, most landowner understand that wetland conservation is critically important for the long-term sustainability of the region's economy and environmental integrity.

1.2 LOCATION

The study area is located within the Lower South Platte Basin, which was defined as a portion of the watershed associated with the mainstem of the South Platte River from the diversion headgate of the Burlington Canal to the Colorado state line (Figure 1). Major tributaries of the South Platte River, including the Cache la Poudre River, Big Thompson River, and St. Vrain River, were not included in the study. The study area is located within Sedgwick, Logan, Weld, Morgan, Washington, Broomfield, Adams, Denver, Arapahoe, Elbert, Douglas, Lincoln, and El Paso Counties in Colorado and encompassed an area of approximately 9,800 square miles (sq mi) based on the United States Geological Survey's (USGS) 2015 Watershed Boundary Dataset (WBD). The topography within the study area generally drains from the southwest to the northeast, with flows draining into the South Platte River.





PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1/GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\SP_BIP_SS_LOCATIONMAP.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 6/1/2021

SECTION 2. SPATIAL DATA REVIEW

2.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of the spatial data review was to collect, review, and document publicly available spatial data related to agricultural, environmental, and recreational water use attributes. Using this data, spatial analyses were completed using ArcGIS to identify locations where datasets of interest overlapped or intersected. For the purposes of this analysis, the areas of data overlap or intersection served to identify **potential** locations of beneficial interactions between agricultural, environmental, and recreational water uses. Field verification or a direct study is needed to confirm if locations represent beneficial interactions and the extent to which these intersections support one another.

2.2 DATA REVIEW

Publicly available spatial data with agricultural, environmental, and recreational attributes was downloaded and reviewed to evaluate potential interactions between the various water uses. The publicly available spatial data downloaded is presented in Table 1 and Figure 2 and includes: wetlands (including riverine and lake); irrigation canals, ditches, ditch service areas; and wildlife habitat boundaries. A detailed summary table for the downloaded spatial data is provided in Appendix A, Spatial Data Download. Additional data sources may be reviewed in future planning studies, including: State and County Parks and Open Space, Wildlife Refuges, 2019 Technical Update Environmental Database, etc.



Table 1. Spatial Data Review

| ltem | Source | Date Published | Data Type | Attribute | Area (acres) | Percentage of Overall Study Area |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Study Area | NHD; USGS National Map | May 1, 2018 | Polygon | N/A | 6,273,900 (9,800 sq mi) | N/A |
| Wetlands | U.S. Fish and Wildlife – National Wetlands Inventory | October 1, 2020 | Polygon | E&R | 184,799 | 3 |
| Ditch Service Areas | CWCB/DWR | November 19, 2020 | Polygon | Agricultural | 867,948 | 14 |
| Canals | CWCB/DWR | November 19, 2020 | Polyline | Agricultural | 1,825 miles | 0.351 |
| Irrigated Lands | CWCB/DWR | April 3, 2019 | Polygon | Agricultural | 469,333 | 8 |
| Total Fish Habitat ² | CPW | December 7, 2020 | Polygon | E&R | 917,991 | 15 |
| Total Bird Habitat ³ | CPW ⁶ | December 7, 2020 | Polygon | E&R | 4,306,240 | 69 |
| Total Reptile Habitat ⁴ | CPW ⁶ | December 7, 2020 | Polygon | E&R | 6,273,900 | 100 |
| Total Mammal Habitat⁵ | CPW ⁶ | December 7, 2020 | Polygon | E&R | 6,273,900 | 100 |

¹ Based on a 100-foot buffer applied to the canal polyline

² Five fish species available within the study area

³ Thirteen bird species available within the study area

⁴ Twenty-five reptile species available within the study area

⁵ Fourteen mammal species available within the study area

⁶ The bird, reptile, and mammal habitats obtained from the same CPW dataset; separated manually

CPW = Colorado Parks and Wldlife; CWCB/DWR = Colorado Water Conservation Board/Division of Water Resources; E&R = Environmental and Recreational; N/A = not appliable; NHD = National Hydrography Dataset; USGS = United States Geological Survey





2.2.1 Wetlands

As noted in Table 2, publicly available wetlands data were available through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife – National Wetlands Inventory. This includes: freshwater emergent, riverine, lake, freshwater forested/shrub, and freshwater pond. There are 184,799 acres of total wetlands within the study area, with freshwater emergent wetland and riverine being the most prevalent. Table 2 provides a breakdown of wetland types found within the study area.

Table 2. Wetland Types

| Wetland Type | Area (acres) | Percentage of Total Wetlands | Percentage of Study Area |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Freshwater Emergent Wetland | 63,505 | 34 | 1 |
| Riverine | 63,430 | 34 | 1 |
| Lake | 25,818 | 14 | Less than 1 |
| Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland | 17,965 | 10 | Less than 1 |
| Freshwater Pond | 11,762 | 6 | Less than 1 |
| Other | 4,552 | 2 | Less than 1 |

2.2.2 Wildlife

As noted in Table 1, publicly available wildlife habitat boundaries were available through Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW). Of the 57 available species, 5 are fish, 13 are birds, 25 are reptiles, and 14 are mammals.

FISH HABITATS

Fish species habitats comprise a total of 917,991 acres, approximately 15 percent of the study area. Of the five species, one is categorized as State Endangered, one is categorized as State Special Concern, and one is categorized as State Threatened, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Fish Species

| Fish Species | Percentage of Total Fish Habitat ¹ | CPW Status |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Suckermouth Minnow | 44 | State Endangered |
| Iowa Darter | 60 | State Special Concern |
| Brassy Minnow | 54 | State Threatened |
| Plains Topminnow | 21 | N/A |
| Orange Spotted Sunfish | 60 | N/A |

¹ Does not add up to 100 percent because species overlap each other.

BIRD HABITATS

Bird species habitat comprise a total of 5,711,790 acres, approximately 91 percent of the study area. Of the available bird species, three are categorized as State Special Concern and one is categorized as State Endangered, as shown in Table 4.



Table 4. Bird Species

| Bird Species | Percentage of Total Bird Habitat ¹ | CPW Status |
|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Bald Eagle | 9 | State Special Concern |
| Lesser Sandhill Crane | 29 | State Special Concern |
| Peregrine Falcon | Less than 1 | State Special Concern |
| Plains Sharp-Tailed Grouse | 3 | State Endangered |
| Bobwhite Quail | 3 | N/A |
| Canada Geese | 38 | N/A |
| Great Blue Heron | 4 | N/A |
| Greater Prairie Chicken | 10 | N/A |
| _Osprey | Less than 1 | N/A |
| Ring-Necked Pheasant | 59 | N/A |
| Snow Geese | 12 | N/A |
| White Pelican | 3 | N/A |
| Wild Turkey | 9 | N/A |

 1 $\,$ Does not add up to 100 $\,$ percent because species overlap each other.

REPTILE HABITATS

Reptile species habitat encompass the entire study area. Of the available reptile species, only one is categorized as State Special Concern, as shown in Table 5. When the available data for reptile species is filtered for those categorized species (e.g., common gartersnake), only 2,484,720 acres, approximately 40 percent of the study area is reflected.

Table 5. Publicly Available Reptile Species

| | Percentage of Total Reptile | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Reptile Species | Habitat1 | CPW Status |
| Common Gartersnake | 40 | State Special Concern |
| Bullsnake | 100 | N/A |
| Coachwhip | Less than 1 | N/A |
| Common Lesser Earless Lizard | 84 | N/A |
| Glossy Snake | 8 | N/A |
| Great Plains Ratsnake | 1 | N/A |
| Great Plains Skink | 2 | N/A |
| Hernandez's Short-Horned Lizard | 71 | N/A |
| Lined Snake | 18 | N/A |
| Milksnake | 100 | N/A |
| North American Racer | 94 | N/A |
| Northern Watersnake | 17 | N/A |
| Ornate Box Turtle | 83 | N/A |
| Painted Turtle | 81 | N/A |
| Plains Black-Headed Snake | 9 | N/A |
| Plains Gartersnake | 100 | N/A |
| Plains Hog-Nosed Snake | 90 | N/A |
| Prairie Lizard and Plateau Fence Lizard | 100 | N/A |
| Prairie Rattlesnake and Western Rattlesnake | 100 | N/A |
| Six-Lined Racerunner | 91 | N/A |



| | Percentage of Total Reptile | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Reptile Species | Habitat1 | CPW Status |
| Smooth Greensnake | 1 | N/A |
| Snapping Turtle | 32 | N/A |
| Spiny Softshell Turtle | 30 | N/A |
| Terrestrial Gartersnake | 45 | N/A |
| Variable Sink and Many-Lined Skink | 100 | N/A |

¹ Does not add up to 100 percent because species overlap each other.

MAMMAL HABITATS

Mammal species habitat encompass the entire study area. Of the available mammal species, one is categorized as State Endangered, one is categorized as Federally Endangered, two are categorized as State Special Concern, two are categorized as State Threatened, and one is categorized as Federally Threatened, as shown in Table 6. When only categorized mammal species are considered (black-footed ferret, black-tailed prairie dog, Preble's meadow jumping mouse, river otter, and swift fox), they also encompass the entire study area.

Table 6. Publicly Available Mammal Species

| Mammal Species | Percentage of Total Mammal Habitat1 | CPW Status |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Black-Footed Ferret | Less than 1 | Federally Endangered; State Endangered |
| Black-Tailed Prairie Dog | 100 | State Special Concern |
| Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse | 38 | Federally Threatened; State Threatened |
| River Otter | 1 | State Threatened |
| Swift Fox | 60 | State Special Concern |
| Albert's Squirrel | 3 | N/A |
| Bighorn Sheep | Less than 1 | N/A |
| Black Bear | 7 | N/A |
| Brazilian Free-Tailed Bat | 12 | N/A |
| Elk | 8 | N/A |
| Mountain Lion | 15 | N/A |
| Mule Deer | 100 | N/A |
| Proghorn | 77 | N/A |
| White-Tailed Deer | 60 | N/A |

¹ Does not add up to 100 percent because species overlap each other.



2.3 IRRIGATED AGRICULTURAL LANDS

To capture the full effects of irrigated agriculture in the study area, "irrigated agricultural lands" was assumed to be a compilation of the following datasets:

- 1. CWCB/DWR Irrigation Canals
- 2. CWCB/DWR Ditch Service Areas
- 3. CWCB/DWR Irrigated Lands
- 4. Additional Agricultural Lands

The CWCB/DWR irrigation canals (irrigation canals) are available as a line feature. To include this data in the irrigated agricultural lands, the "buffer" tool in ArcGIS was used to create a buffer around a polyline to create a polygon, as shown on Figure 3. A 100-foot buffer was applied, representing 50 feet on each side of the centerline, to account for the spatial variability and width of the irrigation canals. Although a 100-foot buffer may not capture the full groundwater benefits of the irrigation ditch, it was assumed to be appropriate to capture the width of the irrigation canal due to limitations in consistently measuring canal width and based on review of aerial imagery.



Figure 3. ArcGIS Buffer Tool Schematic

Additional agricultural lands represent locations between the irrigation canals and the South Platte River that were not captured within the irrigated agricultural lands dataset, which the Agricultural Subcommittee felt were influenced by agriculture.

The resulting irrigated agricultural lands are shown on Figure 4. The irrigated agricultural lands are 1,402,253 acres (ac), representing 22 percent of the overall study area.





PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1/IGISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\AGRICULTURAL_LANDS.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 3/19/2021

2.4 ENVIRONMENTAL AND RECREATIONAL ATTRIBUTES

The following data was assumed to represent environmental and recreational attributes:

- The wetlands boundaries downloaded from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife National Wetlands Inventory. A wetland is defined as a portion of land consistently flooded by water creating the ideal habitat for vegetation, aquatic plants, and wildlife habitat.
- The wildlife habitats downloaded from CPW as these habitats provide opportunity for recreational hunting, fishing, and bird watching.

2.5 ANALYSIS

Three spatial data analyses were conducted to understand the relationship between the three different water uses. These analysis included:

- 1. Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Wetlands
- 2. Irrigation Canal Potentially Supporting Wetlands
- 3. Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Wildlife Habitats

To perform the spatial analyses, the "Intersect" tool in ArcGIS was used. This tool finds the area where polygon datasets overlap and outputs only those overlap areas, as shown on Figure 5. It was assumed that locations of Irrigated Agricultural Lands and Environmental and Recreational attributes overlap represent a positive and beneficial interaction.

No field work or additional analyses were completed to confirm that these intersections are beneficial.



Figure 5. ArcGIS Intersect Tool Schematic

2.5.1 Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Wetlands

Irrigated agricultural lands potentially supporting wetlands was assumed to be the intersection between the irrigated agricultural lands and the wetlands. The analysis concluded that there are **62,398 acres** of land within the study area where irrigated agricultural lands and wetlands intersect, as shown on Figure 6.

This area represents **1 percent** of the overall basin study area, 4 percent of the basin irrigated agricultural lands, and **34 percent** of the basin wetlands. *It should be noted that riverine wetland types are also included in this analysis, which may include the South Platte River*. The most prevalent wetland type is fresh emergent wetlands, making up **51 percent** of the total Irrigated agricultural lands supported wetlands. A breakdown of all wetland types supported by irrigated agricultural lands is shown in Table 7.



Table 7. Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Wetlands

| Wetland Type | Area (acres) | Percentage of Total Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Wetlands |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|
| Freshwater Emergent Wetland | 31,900 | 51 |
| Riverine | 12,440 | 20 |
| _Lake | 6,727 | 11 |
| Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland | 6,220 | 10 |
| Freshwater Pond | 4,936 | 8 |
| Other | 175 | Less than 1 |





2.5.2 Irrigation Canal Potentially Supporting Wetlands

Irrigation canal potentially supporting wetlands was defined as the intersection between the irrigation canals (with the 100-foot buffer) and the wetlands. The analysis indicated a total of **5,664.8 acres** of land within the study area where the irrigation canals and the wetlands intersect, as shown on Figure 7.

This area represents **0.1 percent** of the overall study area, **26 percent** of the basin irrigation canals, and **3 percent** of the basin wetlands. The most prevalent wetland type is riverine, making up **79 percent** of total wetlands supported by irrigation canals A breakdown of the types of wetlands supported by the irrigation canals is shown in Table 8.

| Wetland Type | Area (acres) | Percentage of Total Wetlands Potentially Supported by Irrigation Canals |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Riverine | 4,471 | 79 |
| Freshwater Emergent Wetland | 910 | 16 |
| Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland | 165 | 3 |
| Freshwater Pond | 90 | 2 |
| Lake | 30 | Less than 1 |
| Other | Negligible | Less than 1 |

Table 8. Irrigation Canal Potentially Supporting Wetlands – 100-foot Buffer





PATH: \\DEN-G\SSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECT\$\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOC\$\SPECIAL STUDY\VR_CAN_SUPPORTED_WETLANDS_100-FT.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 6/1/2021

2.5.3 Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Wildlife Habitats

For this analysis, the wildlife habitats were assumed to be the total animal classes (fish, birds, mammals, and reptiles). Each animal class was created using the "merging" tool in ArcGIS. This tool merged together all species of animals that fell into a specific animal class to create one animal class dataset (Figure 8).



Figure 8. ArcGIS Merge Tool Schematic

2.5.4 Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Fish Habitats

Irrigated agricultural lands potentially supporting fish habitat was defined as the intersection between the irrigated agricultural lands and the fish habitat within the study area. Note the CPW fish habitats were developed on the Hydraulic Unit Code 12 basin level, and may over-represent locations of fish habitat.

The analysis indicated a total of **299,372 acres** of fish habitat within the study area where the irrigated agricultural lands intersect, as shown on Figure 9. This area represents **5 percent** of the overall study area, **21 percent** of the basin-irrigated agricultural lands, and **33 percent** of the basin fish species.





2.5.5 Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Bird Habitats

The irrigated agricultural lands potentially supporting bird habitat was defined as the intersection between the irrigated agricultural lands and the bird habitats within the study area.

The analysis indicated a total of **1,008,690 acres** of bird habitat within the study area where the irrigated agricultural lands intersect, as shown on Figure 10. This area represents **16 percent** of the overall study area, **72 percent** of the basin-irrigated agricultural lands, and **18 percent** of the basin bird habitat.

Further spatial analysis of bird habitats by species is presented in Appendix B, Irrigation Canal-supported Birds.





2.5.6 Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Reptile Habitats

The irrigated agricultural lands potentially supporting reptile habitat was defined as the intersection between the irrigated agricultural lands and the reptile habitats within the study area.

When all the reptile species provided in Table 5 were merged together, the final reptile habitat boundary covered the entire study area. To perform the analysis, only CPW categorized reptile species were considered. In this case, only the common gartersnake (tagged as State Special Concern) was reviewed to represent the reptile habitat boundary.

The analysis indicated a total of **2,484,720 acres** of reptile habitat within the study area where the irrigated agricultural lands intersect with the common gartersnake, as shown on Figure 11. This area represents **14 percent** of the overall study area, **64 percent** of the basin-irrigated agricultural lands, and **36 percent** of the common gartersnake habitat.




IRRIGATED AGRICULTURAL LANDS POTENTIALLY SUPPORTING MAMMAL HABITATS

The irrigated agricultural lands potentially supporting mammal habitats was defined as the intersection between the irrigated agricultural lands and the mammal habitats within the study area.

When all the mammal species provided in Table 6 were merged together, the final mammal habitat boundary covered the entire study area, similar to the reptiles (see Irrigated Agricultural Lands Potentially Supporting Wildlife Habitats). Similar to reptiles, the species tagged by CPW were then considered (black-footed ferret, black-tailed prairie dog, Preble's meadow jumping mouse, river otter, and swift fox [Table 6]). However, these species merged together also took up the entire study area, meaning that the habitats would intersect with the entire irrigated agricultural lands.

This demonstrates the positive relationship between mammal habitats and irrigated agricultural lands because **100 percent** of irrigated agricultural lands support mammal habitat.

2.6 OBSERVATIONS

Based on the results from the spatial data review, there are substantial locations of beneficial interaction between agricultural and environmental water uses. From these results, it can be assumed that irrigated agricultural lands are an important source of water that supports environmental and recreational resources in the Lower South Platte Basin. It also demonstrates that agricultural lands and environmental resources should be managed collaboratively. Some statistics to highlight:

- 1. Percentage of basin wetlands potentially supported by the irrigated agricultural lands with the primary wetland type being freshwater emergent wetland: **34**.
- 2. Percentage of basin wetlands potentially supported by irrigation canals when the 100-foot buffer is assumed with the primary wetland type being riverine: **26**.
- 3. Percentage of irrigated agricultural lands that potentially support fish habitat: 21.
- 4. Percentage of basin-irrigated agricultural lands that potentially support bird habitat: 72.
- 5. Percentage of basin-irrigated agricultural lands that potentially support reptile habitat: 64.1
- 6. Percentage of basin-irrigated agricultural lands that potentially support mammal habitat: 100.²

Additionally, all the wildlife habitat supported by agricultural water uses provide recreational benefit, including hunting, fishing, and bird watching.

SECTION 3. CASE STUDIES

Case studies were collected from various stakeholders within the study area to further document beneficial interactions between agricultural, environmental, and recreational water uses. Locations and descriptions of these case studies are presented in Figure 12 and Table 9. Sources of these case studies included:

- 1. United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)
- 2. Platte River Recovery Implementation Program (PRRIP)
- 3. Ducks Unlimited (DU)

Five case studies presented in this section provide examples of beneficial interactions as well as the mechanisms in which agricultural, environmental, and recreational water uses interact with each other such as recharge and retiming of South Platte River flows, leasing water, allocating portions of water rights, and conservation easements. Additional case studies are presented in Appendix C, Case Studies.

² Note that this is only the categorized species species: black-footed ferret, black-tailed prairie dog, Preble's meadow jumping mouse, river otter, and swift fox



¹ Note that this is only the categorized species: common gartersnake



PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\SP_BIP_SS_PROJECTS.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 6/15/2021

Table 9. Existing Case Studies Summaries

| Case Study | Source | Location | County | Project Summary |
|---------------|----------|------------------|---------|---|
| 1 | NRCS | Snyder, CO | Morgan | Property not historically considered a wetland, but considered part of the South Platte River floodplain. A ditch was installed through sandhills but seeps so much that the grounds cannot be used for agricultural purposes anymore. Therefore, land transitioned to be used as a wetlands through a conservation easement with the Wetlands Reserve Program to provide habitat. There are multiple augmentation ponds that serve as water recharge for the South Platte River and wetlands habitat. The property is now leased for recreational hunting. |
| 2 | NRCS | Sterling, CO | Logan | Property is historically farmland, which was then sold to city of Sterling to be turned into wildlife habitat. Property was reseeded and two augmentation ponds were installed to provide recharge to the South Platte River. These ponds are filled during the fall and spring, which benefit migratory bird species. Ground is now included in Wetland Reserve Program. |
| 3 | NRCS | lliff, CO | Logan | Land historically used for grazing due to sub-irrigation providing year-round source of water for forage growth. Agricultural uses not possible on ground due to sandy soils and high possibility of flooding. A conservation easement was created to protect the wetland habitat and protect two recharge ponds that store water from the South Platte River. Landowners now use the land for hunting and quiet enjoyment. |
| 4 | NRCS | Wiggins, CO | Morgan | Property historically dry unless there is a large flood or snow melt. Property was used for grazing, but now has been overgrazed. Two conservation easements were implemented and multiple augmentation ponds were installed throughout the floodplain for the purpose of providing habitat and recharge for the South Platte River. The property is not used for recreational hunting. |
| 5 | NRCS | Atwood, CO | Logan | Property cannot be used for agriculture due to sandy soils and high possibility of flooding. Property historically used for grazing due to sub-irrigation, which provides a year-round source of water for forage growth. A conservation easement was created to protect the wetlands habitat. Property contains warm water slough, which is highly beneficial to waterfowl in the winter because waterbodies do not freeze over. It is noted that without irrigation, the warm water sloughs would likely not see as much water. Landowners can now use the land for recreational hunting and quiet enjoyment. |
| 6 | NRCS | Julesburg, CO | Sedwick | Property cannot be used for agriculture due to sandy soils and high possibility of flooding. Property historically used for grazing due to sub-irrigation, which provides a year-round source of water for forage growth. A conservation easement was created to protect the wetlands habitat. Property contains warm water slough, which is highly beneficial to waterfowl in the winter because waterbodies do not freeze over. It is noted that without irrigation, the warm water sloughs would likely not see as much water. Landowners can now use the land for recreational hunting and quiet enjoyment. |
| 7 | PRRIP/DU | Crook, CO | Logan | The Tamarack Ranch SWA serves as the most important groundwater recharge and retiming along the South Platte River. The project consists of seven shallow-water wetland impoundments along the South Platte River, along with a series of groundwater wells that provide seasonal water inflow into the impoundments. Water is diverted from the South Platte River to the impoundments during free-river periods using existing irrigation rights. The impoundment areas provide seasonal wetland habitat and high quality annual plants improving the conditions for endangered species and enhancing the wildlife habitat in the Tamarack Ranch SWA. |



| Case | | | | |
|-------|--------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Study | Source | Location | County | Project Summary |
| 8 | DU | Sterling, CO | Logan | The Yahn Wetlands are 100 acres of shallow-water wetlands enhanced and restored on the Yahn property. Various agencies, as well as the landowner, contributed funds to complete the conservation of these wetlands. Earthen embankments were added to control irrigation water supply and improve the habitat conditions for waterfowl on the property. The intent of the project was to promote growth of wetlands vegetation, which then promotes the growth of waterfowl habitat. |
| 9 | DU | Prewitt Reservoir | Washington and Logan | This project enhanced and restored 340 acres of wetland habitat associated with the Prewitt Reservoir that are regularly flooded by normal reservoir operations. These areas were enhanced with the excavation of sand dunes providing quality habitat to birds and wetland wildlife that previously did not exist. Additionally, levees with water control structures were constructed within the reservoir basin to provide further shallow water flooding to create habitat for birds and wetland wildlife. This project was part of the Prewitt Wetlands Partnership that conserved and enhanced approximately 3,100 acres of important open water and wetland habitats in the Prewitt Reservoir complex. This partnership includes COL, DU, CPW, Colorado Water Conservation Board, Prewitt Reservoir Company, Prewitt Ranch private landowners, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. |

BCR = Bird Conservancy of the Rockies; DU = Ducks Unlimited; PRRIP = Platte River Recovery Implementation Program



3.1 USDA NRCS AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION EASEMENT PROGRAM

The Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP) helps landowners, land trusts, and other entities protect, restore, and enhance wetlands, grasslands, and working farms and ranches through conservation easements. Under the Agricultural Land Easements component, NRCS helps American Indian tribes, state and local governments and non-governmental organizations protect working agricultural lands and limit non-agricultural uses of the land. Under the Wetlands Reserve Easements component, NRCS helps to restore, protect and enhance enrolled wetlands.

The Bird Conservancy of the Rockies (BDR) provided six sample case studies (Figure 12) where the integration of agricultural, environmental, and recreational water uses proved beneficial for both the landowners and the adjacent bird habitats. To provide an understanding of how the three water uses complement each other, two case studies provided by are highlighted in the report, while the remaining studies are included as summaries in Table 9 as well as in Appendix C, Case Studies.

3.1.1 NRCS: Irrigation Ditch to Wetland

The first case study is located in Snyder, Colorado in Morgan County (Case Study 1 in Table 9).



Photo 1. Emergent water from the North Sterling Canal in Morgan County, which provides habitat for wetland dependent wildlife.

This property was not historically considered a wetland but considered part of the South Platte River floodplain. An irrigation ditch was installed through the sandhills north of the property and seeps so that the ground can no longer be used for agricultural purposes. New land use for environmental and/or recreational benefit: The portion of the property where the irrigation ditch was installed is now used as a wetland to provide wildlife habitat, a natural water filter, and recreational opportunities (Photo 1). Multiple augmentation ponds were added to the property as well, to serve as water recharge for the South Platte River and the wetlands habitat. Since this portion of the property has transitioned to a wetlands, it is used recreationally by the landowners for hunting.

How water rights were obtained to change the beneficial use: This was possible through the Wetlands Reserve Program, which is a USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service easement program that restores and protects wetlands and wildlife.

Case study conclusion: This case study highlights the transition of land that can no longer function as agricultural to land that can benefit both environmental and recreational attributes.



3.1.2 NRCS: Private Property to City

The second case study is located in Sterling, Colorado in Logan County (Case Study 2 in Table 9).



Photo 2. Wildlife use of the augmentation pond in the early spring

This case study highlights where a piece of private property, historically considered farm ground, was turned into a wildlife habitat. How water rights were obtained to change the beneficial use: This property had its water rights sold to the City of Sterling to turn the ground into a wildlife habitat. This was possible through the Wetlands Reserve Program, which is a USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service easement program that restores and protects wetlands and wildlife.

New land use for environmental and/or recreational benefit: The ground was reseeded and two augmentation ponds were installed to provide recharge to the South Platte River. The augmentation ponds are filled during the spring and fall, which benefits migratory bird species, as shown in Photo 2.

Case study conclusion: The ground is now part of the Wetlands Reserve Program and is protected for wetlands and wildlife.



3.2 PLATTE RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM

Colorado, Wyoming, Nebraska and the Department of the Interior formed a unique partnership in 1997 with the goal of protecting threatened and endangered species downstream, while allowing for water uses, by developing a shared approach to managing the Platte River. Water users from the three states, along with local and national conservation groups, joined the effort. Together, these stakeholders developed an innovative approach for improving the management of the Platte River, which considers the health of the ecosystem and the people who depend on it.

The Platte River Recovery Implementation Program is the result of that planning effort and is focused on implementing this shared vision for creating and maintaining targeted species habitats on the Platte River.



3.2.1 Platte River Recovery Implementation Program: Tamarack Ranch State Wildlife Area

The example project is located at the Tamarack Ranch State Wildlife Area (SWA) near Crook, Colorado (Case Study 7 in Table 9). Additional information provided by both Headwaters Corporation and Ducks Unlimited is available in Appendix C, Case Study 7.





Photo 3. Tamarack Project Recharge Pond

Photo 4. Tamarack Project – Photo provided by DU

The mission of the Platte River Recovery Implementation Program is to serve the threatened and endangered species of the Platte River Basin as well as the people living in the basin. The program focus their efforts on the following species:

- Piping Plover
- Interior Least Tern
- Whooping Crane
- Pallid Sturgeon

Their program components include increasing stream flow in the central Platte River during relevant periods; enhancing, restoring, and protecting habitat lands for the target bird species; and implementing management actions to learn and inform program decision-making. New land use for environmental and/or recreational benefit: The State of Colorado and the South Platte Related Water Activities Program implement the Tamarack project, which benefits environmental and recreational water uses proved beneficial to the state, water users, and wildlife habitats. The State Wildlife Area includes managed groundwater recharge facilities and wildlife ponds that retime flows seasonally to the South Platte to benefit and improve conditions for threatened and endangered species, as shown in Photo 3 and Photo 4. These facilities also enhance wildlife habitat and provides habitat for Colorado's minnow Species of Concern. The Platte River Recovery Implementation Program and this project also reduces pressure toward dry up of lower South Platte agricultural lands to meet Endangered Species Act requirements.

How water rights were obtained to change the beneficial use: This project pumps/divert water into recharge ponds through existing irrigation rights when water demands are low, which retimes water back to the South Platte River to benefit threatened and endangered species.

Case study conclusion:. The impoundment areas provide seasonal wetland habitat and high-quality annual plants improving the conditions for endangered species and enhancing wildlife habitat.

Learn more: https://cpw.state.co.us/swa/Tamarack%20Ranch%20SWA

https://cwcb.maps.arcgis.com/apps/Cascade/index.html?appid=5eb94b23dbf042d d8c5d94aecebe57d1



3.3 DUCKS UNLIMITED

The mission of DU is to conserve, restore, and manage wetlands and associated habitats for North America's waterfowl. Their goal is to conserve wetlands sufficient to fill the skies with waterfowl today, tomorrow, and forever.

DU provided three case studies (Figure 12) where the interaction between agriculture, environmental, and recreational water uses proved beneficial for both the landowner and adjacent wildlife habitats. Note DU also provided information for the Tamarack Project (see Platte River Recovery Implementation Program (PRRIP)).

3.3.1 Ducks Unlimited: Yahn Wetlands

The first case study provided by DU is located in Sterling, Colorado in Logan County (Case Study 8 in Table 9). Additional information is available in Appendix C, Case Study 8.



Photo 5. Yahn Wetlands

This case study highlights the Yahn Wetlands, which are 100 acres of shallow-water wetlands enhanced and restored on the Yahn property. The property was historically considered a pastureland for many decades. Upstream development and diversions have reduced overbank flooding events in terms of frequency, duration, and volume effecting wetlands. How water rights were obtained to change the beneficial use: Eight earthen embankments with water control structures were added to control irrigation water supply and improve the habitat conditions for waterfowl on the property.

New land use for environmental and/or recreational benefit: Various agencies, as well as the landowner, contributed funds to complete the conservation of these wetlands.

Case study conclusion: The intent of the project was to promote growth of wetlands vegetation, as shown in Photo 5, which then promotes the growth of waterfowl habitat, which are in decline along the South Platte River.



3.3.2 Ducks Unlimited: Prewitt Reservoir

The second case study provided by DU is located on the Prewitt Reservoir in Washington and Logan Counties (Case Study 9 in Table 9). Additional information is available in Appendix C, Case Study 9.



Photo 6. Prewitt Reservoir

This case study highlights 340 acres of enhanced and restored wetland habitat associated with the Prewitt Reservoir. The restored locations are regularly flooded by normal reservoir operations. In the upper reaches, seasonal inundation and drawdown resulted in emergent wetlands being flooded, effecting dependent migratory bird species. Additionally, shallow areas of the reservoir were cut off by sand dams created by ice flow and topography, limiting wildlife use.

How water rights were obtained to change the beneficial use: These areas were enhanced with the excavation of sand dunes providing quality habitat to birds and wetland wildlife that previously did not exist. Additionally, levees with water control structures were constructed within the reservoir basin to provide further shallow water flooding to create habitat for birds and wetland wildlife. This project increased the quality and quantity of habitat available to nonbreeding waterfowl along the South Platte River.

New land use for environmental and/or recreational benefit: This project was part of the Prewitt Wetlands Partnership that conserved and enhanced approximately 3,100 acres of important open water and wetland habitats in the Prewitt Reservoir complex. This partnership includes COL, DU, CPW, Colorado Water Conservation Board, Prewitt Reservoir Company, Prewitt Ranch private landowners, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Case study conclusion: The intent of this project was to enhance and restore wetlands to provide quality habitat to birds and other wetlands wildlife that previously did not exist.



SECTION 4. NEXT STEPS

Next steps for this analysis could include:

- 1. A field investigation to carry the analysis further beyond a spatial data review. A field investigation could help determine the true extents of the interaction between spatial datasets and determine if that interaction is truly beneficial. A field investigation could be used to properly define the irrigated agricultural lands to ensure that all agricultural lands are being properly captured.
- 2. A data review on the potential impacts for reduced irrigated agricultural lands. It is known that agricultural lands are decreasing within the Lower South Platte Basin, therefore a data review could be completed to understand potential comprehensive impacts to the environmental and recreational water uses.
- 3. Define the locations within the Lower South Platte Basin that are at highest risk for losing water. The data review could be used to determine which locations will have the highest impact on agricultural, environmental, and recreational water users if the water inflow is reduced.
- 4. Review the recreational water uses within the Lower South Platte Basin and quantify the hunting and fishing money brought into the state from these recreational lands supported by agricultural water uses.
- 5. Review what sort of cultural impacts these different water uses have in the rural community of the Lower South Platte Basin.
- 6. Provide a resource of mechanisms used to benefit all three water users to help inform and promote future projects within the Lower South Platte Basin.



Appendix A. Spatial Data Download

South Platte Basin 🔳

Table A-1. Spatial Data Download Summary

| Data Layer | Data Type | Source | Date Published | Date Acquired | Description | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Watershed Boundaries | Geodatabase | NHD; USGS National Map | May 1, 2018 | December 3, 2020 | WBDHU8, WBDHU10, and WBDHU12 | https://viewer.nationalmap. |
| Colorado County Boundaries | ArcGIS Shapefile | CDPHE Open Data | February 19, 2018 | December 3, 2020 | Colorado county boundaries | https://data-cdphe.opendata |
| Wetlands Boundary | ArcGIS Shapefile | U.S. Fish and Wildlife – National Wetlands Inventory | October 1, 2020 | December 3, 2020 | Wetlands boundaries | https://fws.gov/wetlands/Da |
| Division 1 Canals | ArcGIS Shapefile | CWCB/DWR | November 19, 2020 | December 3, 2020 | Irrigation canals, ditches, and ditch service areas | https://www.colorado.gov/p |
| Division 1 Irrigated Lands 2015 | ArcGIS Shapefile | CWCB/DWR | April 3, 2019 | December 3, 2020 | Irrigated lands | https://www.colorado.gov/p |
| Wildlife Boundaries | ArcGIS Shapefile | CPW | December ;8, 202012/8/2020 | December 18, 2020 | All species habitat borders. This includes mammals, reptiles, birds, and amphibians | https://gisftp.colorado.gov/# |
| Fish Habitat Boundaries | ArcGIS Shapefile | CPW | December 7, 2020 | January 15, 2021 | Various fish species presence at the HUC12 level. | https://www.arcgis.com/hon |

CPW = Colorado Parks and Wldlife; CWCB/DWR = Colorado Water Conservation Board/Department of Water Resources; HUC12 = Hydrologic Unit Code 12; NHD = National Hydrography Dataset; USGS = United States Geological Survey

| Link |
|--|
| gov/basic/#/ |
| a.arcgis.com/datasets/66c2642209684b90af84afcc559a5a02_5 |
| ata/State-Downloads.html |
| pacific/cdss/division-1-south-platte |
| pacific/cdss/division-1-south-platte |
| #/State%20Data/DNR/CPW/CPW%20Species%20Data/GIS%20Files/ |
| ne/item.html?id=c1aa2ab573e34dbb86a1a1b6190abeb1 |

Appendix B. Irrigation Canal-supported Birds

Appendix B includes a further analysis of the different breakout categories of the species reviewed. The following tables and figures show the different species habitat boundaries following the irrigation canals. A summary of the species that intersect with the 100-foot buffer is shown in Table B-1.

| Species | Area (acres) | Percentage – Sum of Species |
|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Canada Geese | 21,580.0 | 98.2 |
| Pheasant | 19,242.0 | 87.5 |
| Sandhill Crane | 11,088.4 | 50.4 |
| Snow Geese | 10,465.0 | 47.6 |
| Bald Eagle | 7,855.9 | 35.7 |
| Great Blue Heron | 5,327.7 | 24.2 |
| Bobwhite Quail | 2,730.6 | 12.4 |
| White Pelican | 2,234.4 | 10.2 |
| Osprey | 18.6 | 0.1 |

Table B-1. Bird Species Supported by Irrigation Canals – 100-foot Buffer

Note: The percentages do not add up to 100 percent because many of the habitats overlap each other.



Bald Eagle

The following shows how the Bald Eagle species interacts with the irrigation canals within the study study area. Figure B-1 shows the outline of the Bald Eagle habitat boundary along with the irrigation canals. Figure B-2 and Table B-2 show the details of the species breakout.

Table B-2. Bald Eagle Species Breakout

| Species Breakout | Area (acres) | Percentage |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Bald Eagle Communal Roosts | 884.5 | 0.2 |
| Bald Eagle Nest Sites | 44570.6 | 9.1 |
| Bald Eagle Roost Sites | 35922.2 | 7.4 |
| Bald Eagle Summer Forage | 199721.9 | 40.9 |
| Bald Eagle Winter Concentration | 175328.8 | 35.9 |
| Bald Eagle Winter Forage | 218194.3 | 44.6 |
| Bald Eagle Winter Range | 452218.5 | 92.5 |

Note: The percentages do not add up to 100 percent because many of the breakout habitats overlap each other.





PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECT\$\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOC\$\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\BALDEAGLE.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021



PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\BALDEAGLE_BREAKOUT.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021

Bobwhite Quail

The following shows how the bobwhite quail species interacts with the irrigation canals within the study study area. Figure B-3 shows the outline of the bobwhite quail habitat boundary along with the irrigation canals. Figure B-4 and Table B-3 show the details of the species breakout.

Table B-3. Bobwhite Quail Species Breakout

| Species Breakout | Area (acres) | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Bobwhite Quail Concentration Area | 46053.5 | 27.0 |
| Bobwhite Quail Overall Range | 170565 | 100.0 |

Note: The percentages do not add up to 100 percent because many of the breakout habitats overlap each other.





PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\BOBWHITEQUAIL.MXD + USER: MESSELLS + DATE: 4/8/2021



PATH: \\DEN-G|SSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\BOBWHITEQUAIL_BREAKOUT.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021

Canada Geese

The following shows how the Canada geese species interacts with the irrigation canals within the study area. Figure B-5 shows the outline of the Canada geese habitat boundary along with the irrigation canals. Figure B-6 and Table B-4 show the details of the species breakout.

Table B-4. Canada Geese Species Breakout

| Species Breakout | Area (acres) | Percentage |
|--|--------------|------------|
| Canada Geese Brood Concentration Area | 53,032.7 | 2.4 |
| Canada Geese Foraging Area | 1,888,379.8 | 87.0 |
| Canada Geese Molting Area | 30,765.5 | 1.4 |
| Canada Geese Production Area | 173,236.7 | 8.0 |
| Canada Geese Winter Concentration Area | 177,200.3 | 8.2 |
| Canada Geese Winter Range | 2,166,744.3 | 99.8 |

Note: The percentages do not add up to 100 percent because many of the breakout habitats overlap each other.





PATH: \UDEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\CANADAGEESE_BREAKOUT.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021



Great Blue Heron

The following shows how the great blue heron species interacts with the irrigation canals within the study area. Figure B-7 shows the outline of the great blue heron habitat boundary along with the irrigation canals. Figure B-8 and Table B-5 show the details of the species breakout.

Table B-5. Great Blue Heron Species Breakout

| Species Breakout | Area (acres) | Percentage |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Great Blue Heron Foraging Area | 244,326.4 | 98.9 |
| Great Blue Heron Historic Nest Area | 2,830.9 | 1.1 |
| Great Blue Heron Nesting Area | 4,867.2 | 2.0 |

Note: The percentages do not add up to 100 percent because many of the breakout habitats overlap each other.





PATH: \\DEN-G|SSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\GREATBLUEHERON_BREAKOUT.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021



PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\GREATBLUEHERON.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021

Osprey

The following shows how the osprey species interacts with the irrigation canals within the study area. Figure B-9 shows the outline of the osprey habitat boundary along with the irrigation canals. Figure B-10 and Table B-6 show the details of the species breakout.

Table B-6. Osprey Species Breakout

| Species Breakout | Area (acres) | Percentage |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| Osprey Nest Sites | 503 | 100 |





PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\OSPREY.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021



PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\OSPREY_BREAKOUT.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021

Pheasant

The following shows how the pheasant species interacts with the irrigation canals within the study area. Figure B-11 shows the outline of the pheasant habitat boundary along with the irrigation canals. Figure B12 and Table B-7 show the details of the species breakout.

Table B-7. Pheasant Species Breakout

| Species Breakout | Area (ac) | Percentage |
|---|-------------|------------|
| Ring-necked Pheasant Concentration Area | 870,075.6 | 25.8% |
| Ring-necked Pheasant Overall Range | 3,374,046.6 | 100.0% |

Note: The percentages do not add up to 100 percent because many of the breakout habitats overlap each other.





PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\PHEASANT.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021



PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\PHEASANT_BREAKOUT.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021

Sandhill Crane

The following shows how the sandhill crane species interacts with the irrigation canals within the study area. Figure B-13 shows the outline of the sandhill crane habitat boundary along with the irrigation canals. Figure B-14 and Table B-8 show the details of the species breakout.

Table B-8. Sandhill Crane Species Breakout

| Species Breakout | Area (acres) | Percentage |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Lesser Sandhill Crane Overall Range | 1,666,150 | 100 |





PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\SANDHILLCRANE.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021


Snow Geese

The following shows how the snow geese species interacts with the irrigation canals within the study area. Figure B-15 shows the outline of the snow geese habitat boundary along with the irrigation canals. Figure B-17 and Table B-9 show the details of the species breakout.

Table B-9. Snow Geese Species Breakout

| Species Breakout | Area (acres) | Percentage |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Snow Geese Winter Range | 713,437 | 100 |





PATH: \\DEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECT\$\10234006_\$P_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOC\$\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITAT\$\SNOWGEESE.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021



White Pelican

The following shows how the white pelican species interacts with the irrigation canals within the study area. Figure B-17 shows the outline of the white pelican habitat boundary along with the irrigation canals. Figure B-18 and Table B-10 show the details of the species breakout.

Table B-10. White Pelican Species Breakout

| Species Breakout | Area (acres) | Percentage |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------|
| White Pelican Overall Range | 145,537 | 100 |





PATH: \UDEN-GISSRV1\GISDATA\PROJECTS\10234006_SP_BIP_UPDATE\MAP_DOCS\SPECIAL STUDY\WILDLIFE HABITATS\WHITEPELICAN_BREAKOUT.MXD - USER: MESSELLS - DATE: 4/8/2021

Appendix C. Case Studies

CASE STUDY 1

Source: USDA NRCS Agricultural Conservation Easement Program

Location: Snyder, Colorado. Morgan County.

Project Summary: The property is in the Wetlands Reserve Program which is a conservation easement program that restores and protects wetlands and wildlife. The ground was not historically a wetland but was part of the South Platte River floodplain. A ditch was installed trough the sandhills north of the property and seeps so much that the ground can't be used for agricultural purposes anymore. This has provided the opportunity to use the water for wetlands which provide habitat, a natural water filter, and recreational opportunities. There are also multiple augmentation ponds on the property which serve as water recharge for the South Platte and wetland habitat. The property is now leased out for hunting.

The primary water source for the property comes from seep from the North Sterling Canal during irrigation season. The Canal also supplies water to the augmentation ponds in the late spring and fall. The landowners hold 54.2 shares of the Union Mutual Ditch Company.



Figure C- 1. Emergent water from the North Sterling Canal in Morgan County that provides habitat for wetland dependent wildlife. The wetlands are protected under the Wetlands Reserve Program.



Source: USDA NRCS Agricultural Conservation Easement Program

Location: Sterling, Colorado. Logan County.

Project Summary: The project ground was historically farm ground. The previous landowners decided to sell the water rights to the city of Sterling and turn the ground into wildlife habitat. The ground was reseeded to grassland and two augmentation ponds were installed. The augmentation ponds provide recharge to the South Platte River and are filled during the fall and spring which benefits migratory bird species. The ground was entered into the Wetland Reserve Program and is now perpetually protected for wetlands and wildlife. The aug ponds are fed by the Sterling Irrigation County Ditch 1. The easement also has a well onsite that is used when there are calls on the ditch.



Figure C- 2. Wildlife use of the augmentation pond in early spring.





Figure C- 3. More wildlife use of the augmentation pond in Logan County



Source: USDA NRCS Agricultural Conservation Easement Program Location: Iliff, Colorado. Logan County.

Project Summary: The lower South Platte River's riparian area was historically used for grazing due to its subirrigation providing a yearround source of water for forage growth. Other agricultural uses were not possible due to the sandy soils and the high possibility of flooding. Although the land was used for grazing its greatest use was for wildlife. To improve and protect the conditions of the riparian area the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) partnered with the landowners to enter the ground into a conservation easement. The land remains in private ownership while the government pays for the rights to protect the wetland habitat for migratory bird species and other wildlife. The landowner now uses the property for hunting purposes and other quiet enjoyment. Part of the easement also contains two recharge ponds with water coming from the South Platte River. The well supplies water for augmentation, irrigation, recharge, wildlife, and other. They are allowed 13cfs. As long as there isn't a call on the river the Harris's can fill their ponds but they get more credits at certain times of the year. They hold their own augmentation plan and are part of the Logan Wells Users Group.



Figure C- 4. A multiuse augmentation pond that provides the South Platte with recharge and migrating birds with stopover habitat for forage and rest.



Source: USDA NRCS Agricultural Conservation Easement Program

Location: Wiggins, Colorado. Morgan County.

Project Summary: The project area consists of two conservation easements along the Bijou Creek held by the NRCS under the Wetland Reserve Program. The creek area is typically dry unless there is a large flood event from snow melt and rain. The landowners installed multiple large augmentation ponds throughout the floodplain with the intent of providing habitat for waterfowl and other game species while providing recharge to the South Platte basin. Prior to being in the wetland program the property was used for grazing but provided little forage after years of overgrazing. The property is now used as hunting grounds for the landowners.

The water rights are owned by the Bijou Irrigation District. The irrigation district controls when the water is released into the ponds and how much water flows into the ponds. The water is used for augmentation and recharge for the South Platte River.

The property also has a pivot that was rented for corn production each year. The landowners realized they were losing money by renting water and leasing the ground so they decided to plant half of the pivot back to grasses and forbs for wildlife. After the habitat is established they will cut back water use.



Figure C- 5. An augmentation pond on the Bijou Creek that supplies groundwater to the Bijou Creek and the South Platte downstream. The Bijou Irrigation District controls the water input depending on needs during the year. Timing usually benefits migratory birds.



Figure C- 6. Waterfowl and shorebirds loafing and foraging on an augmentation pond.





Figure C- 7. The owners of this pivot decided to return the ground back to grasses and forbs to benefit wildlife instead of losing money on watering crops.



Source: USDA NRCS Agricultural Conservation Easement Program

Location: Atwood, Colorado. Logan County.

Project Summary: The lower South Platte River's riparian area was historically used for grazing due to its subirrigation providing a yearround source of water for forage growth. Other agricultural uses were not possible due to the sandy soils and the high possibility of flooding. Although the land was used for grazing its greatest use was for wildlife. To improve and protect the conditions of the riparian area the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) partnered with the landowners to enter the ground into a conservation easement. The land remains in private ownership while the government pays for the rights to protect the wetland habitat for migratory bird species and other wildlife. The landowner now uses the property for hunting purposes and other quiet enjoyment. The water is surface flow from the South Platte.

A large benefit of this property is the warm water slough that runs through the riparian area. Warm water sloughs are highly beneficial to wintering waterfowl because they remain open when other bodies of water freeze over in the winter. The water typically comes from deep groundwater seep that fluctuates with irrigation uphill. Without irrigation these sloughs would likely not see as much water.



Figure C- 8. A warm water slough adjacent to the South Platte River. Warm water sloughs are bodies of water that remain open later than other bodies of water in the winter due to their tempurature when they seep out of the ground. They are highly beneficial to wintering waterfowl and provide great hunting opportunities.



Source: USDA NRCS Agricultural Conservation Easement Program

Location: Julesburg, Colorado. Sedgwick County.

Project Summary: The lower South Platte River's riparian area was historically used for grazing due to its subirrigation providing a yearround source of water for forage growth. Other agricultural uses were not possible due to the sandy soils and the high possibility of flooding. Although the land was used for grazing its greatest use was for wildlife. To improve and protect the conditions of the riparian area the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) partnered with the landowners to enter the ground into a conservation easement. The land remains in private ownership while the government pays for the rights to protect the wetland habitat for migratory bird species and other wildlife. The landowner now uses the property for hunting purposes and other quiet enjoyment. The water is surface flow from the South Platte.

A large benefit of this property is the warm water slough that runs through the riparian area. Warm water sloughs are highly beneficial to wintering waterfowl because they remain open when other bodies of water freeze over in the winter. The water typically comes from deep groundwater seep that fluctuates with irrigation uphill. Without irrigation these sloughs would likely not see as much water.



Figure C- 9. A warm water slough in Sedgwick County that acts as a natural water filter and wildlife habitat. Water comes from irrigation seep.



Tamarack Ranch State Wildlife Area





Ducks Unlimited Case Studies – South Platte BIP Special Study

DATE: January 29, 2021

TOPIC: Project Information for various DU projects along South Platte River

 Projects: Yahn Enhancements, Prewitt SWA, TamarackSWA

TAMARACK SWA

Project Description

Tamarack Ranch State Wildlife Area (Tamarack) is the most important groundwater recharge facility on the South Platte River. DU has a long history of work at Tamarack SWA. In the late 1990s and early 2000s, Ducks Unlimited (in cooperation with CPW and a number of other partners) constructed a series of seven shallow-water wetland impoundments along the South Platte River. From west to east, the ponds were named the Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday, and Sunday ponds. See overall map below:



A series of groundwater wells were constructed along the South Platte River to provide seasonal water inflows to the impoundments. Over the years, flooding and erosion along the South Platte River has begun to disrupt the well operations. Recently, bank stabilization work is being constructed to protect the wells and well infrastructure from further flooding and erosion issues.

Project Location

Tamarack SWA is located in Logan County, Colorado approximately 2 miles south of Crook, CO. Tamaracks SWA is a publicly accessible State Wildlife Area managed by Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW).

Project Location Coordinates: LAT 40.8477823, LONG -102.7715575





<u>Photos</u>







Additional Information

- o The project site was developed to provide seasonal moist-soil habitat for migrating waterbirds. Seasonal wetland habitat is one of the most important foraging habitats for migrating birds as they provide high quality annual plants from which waterfowl collect energy from seeds, and are highly productive invertebrate producers, important for protein. Shallow, seasonal wetlands persisted on the SPR floodplain due to regular overbank flooding, however, diversions of water from the river for anthropogenic needs have severely degraded the river's ability to sustain seasonal wetland types and they must now be restored and maintained through intense management practices. In addition, these wetland basins increase habitat diversity by complementing loafing, and roosting habitats in the area such as the river, warm-water sloughs, deep water habitat, and agriculture.
- Pumping water to the basins from the river during free-river periods and using irrigation rights owned by the CDOW provides seasonal habitat for migrating birds in both spring and fall and allows summer to irrigate the wetlands and promote desirable annual plant species. Proper management of water helps control undesirable invasive species and reduce the need to apply chemicals within the basins and delivery ditches as a control measure.

Platte River Recovery Implementation Program

Initial State Project - Colorado

Tamarack I Recharge Project

Source: Various Score: 10,000 AFY Operations started: 2007 Mechanism: Recharge & retiming

History

The Tamarack I groundwater recharge project was Colorado's initial state project for the Program. Development of the project began with the initiation of negotiations for the Platte River Cooperative Agreement in 1994. Colorado applied for water rights in 1996 and 1998; a final decree was issued in 2012. Tamarack I consists of both dedicated project facilities and excess credits leased from private recharge projects, most of which were constructed between 1997 and 2014.

Operations

Located along the last 35 miles of the South Platte River upstream of the Colorado-Nebraska state line, Tamarack I diverts from the river to recharge ponds when there are flows in excess of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) targets at Grand Island, Nebraska and there is simultaneously no call on the South Platte River in Colorado. The sandy soils of northeastern Colorado allow the ponded water to seep into the underlying alluvial aquifer and migrate back to the South Platte River at rates that are much higher than other Program recharge projects in central Nebraska.

Tamarack I includes 16 dedicated riverside wells at the Tamarack State Wildlife Area near Crook, Colorado and the Heyborne project lift station between Ovid and Julesburg. Together, these dedicated projects deliver water to 10 recharge ponds. In addition, recharge



Tamarack Recharge Area #6



Tamarack Recharge Area #2

credits are leased from 10 private wells and from the Peterson and Settlers ditches near Julesburg, which deliver water to about 60 recharge ponds. Diversions to Tamarack I facilities are highest in December and January, and most credits for shortage reductions occur between February and May. About 40% of Tamarack I recharge credits are attributable to dedicated projects and 60% to private recharge projects.

Yield and Score

Tamarack I is credited with a score of 10,000 AF. As shown in Table 1, total accretions from Tamarack I at the Colorado-Nebraska state line averaged 19,350 AFY over 2008-2018. The average operational credit for shortage reduction is 8,340 AFY. This yield is less than the negotiated score due to the lesser availability of divertible winter flows during the First Increment since 2007 compared to the 1947-1994 OPSTUDY hydrology used for the scoring. The possibility of actual project yield being lower than the credited score was recognized in the Program Document and is acceptable because the Tamarack I project was constructed and is operated as planned. At Grand Island, the Tamarack I shortage reduction credits average 7,380 AFY.

Financials

Reductions in shortages to USFWS target flows resulting from Tamarack I are credited to the Program at no cost. Through the South Platte Water Related Activities Program (SPWRAP), Colorado water users pay for the project O&M costs and recharge credit leases.



Platte River Recovery Implementation Program

Initial State Project - Colorado

Table 1: Tamarack I Accounting (all values in AF, rounded to the nearest 10)

| Irrigation Year ¹ | Tamarack I Net Diversions in Reach (Gross-Evap) | Tamarack I Total Accretions in Reach | Tamarack I Total Accretions at State Line | Tamarack I Credits for Shortage Reduction at State Line | Tamarack I Credits Reaching Grand Island |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| 2007 | 16,290 | 12,592 | 4,110 | 3,290 | 2,850 |
| 2008 | 25,990 | 21,801 | 10,890 | 6,760 | 6,020 |
| 2009 | 2,600 | 9,855 | 7,570 | 6,590 | 5,600 |
| 2010 | 27,370 | 20,359 | 16,200 | 7,290 | 6,670 |
| 2011 | 79,310 | 42,631 | 26,230 | 2,740 | 2,470 |
| 2012 | 26,200 | 39,536 | 24,000 | 9,210 | 8,030 |
| 2013 | 17,940 | 23,281 | 12,330 | 6,600 | 5,590 |
| 2014 | 16,780 | 21,481 | 17,130 | 12,930 | 10,770 |
| 2015 | 35,200 | 29,401 | 24,390 | 11,080 | 10,230 |
| 2016 | 49,870 | 38,579 | 25,970 | 8,400 | 7,690 |
| 2017 | 29,240 | 35,744 | 24,860 | 8,620 | 7,730 |
| 2018 | 27,610 | 31,425 | 23,250 | 11,510 | 10,350 |
| Total, 2008-2018 ² | 338,110 | 314,093 | 212,820 | 91,730 | 81,150 |
| Average, 2008-2018 ² | 30,740 | 28,550 | 19,350 | 8,340 | 7,380 |

¹ An irrigation year runs from November 1 of the previous year through October 31 of the current year. The irrigation year is used here for consistency with reporting by the State of Colorado. ² The Program began January 2007. Calculated total and average values exclude the incomplete Irrigation Year 2007, which began November

2006.

Note: This table is based on the best available accounting data to date. Minor modifications may be made in the future.



Tamarack I facilities at Tamarack State Wildlife Area (Photo, Map Credits: Vail 2012, Halstead 2012)



Yahn Wetlands





Ducks Unlimited Case Studies – South Platte BIP Special Study

DATE: January 29, 2021

TOPIC: Project Information for various DU projects along South Platte River

 Projects: Yahn Enhancements, Prewitt SWA, TamarackSWA

YAHN WETLANDS

Project Description

The Yahn Wetlands project enhanced and restored approximately 100 acres of shallow-water wetlands on the Yahn property outside of Sterling, CO in Logan County, Colorado. Colorado Parks and Wildlife, the Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment, Great Outdoors Colorado, the North American Wetlands Conservation Act, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Ducks Unlimited, Inc. and the landowners all contributed funds to complete conservation of these wetlands.

Eight earthen embankments with water control structures were constructed to control irrigation water supply and improve habitat condition on the property. These wetlands will provide foraging habitat to nonbreeding populations of waterfowl and other wildlife. Embankments were placed on appropriate contours to create irrigated wetlands no more than 18" deep on average. With water level manipulations these units will provide foraging birds with preferred plant communities.

The project area had been managed as pastureland for many decades. Historic Beall Creek is intercepted by the North Sterling Canal on the northern boundary of the tract. This ditch supplies seep water to the natural flow of the creek creating wet meadow and wetland conditions on the site. At some point in the past a ditch was cut along the western edge of the wetland areas to facilitate drainage.

Our intent on the site is to promote the growth of desirable wetland vegetation communities that provide the seeds and substrate for invertebrates that will attract and nourish foraging waterfowl species. Hydrologic manipulation of water levels in the irrigated wetlands will result in stands of native grass and forb plant communities preferred by nonbreeding populations of ducks and geese. The increased size and quality of marsh and wet meadow habitats on the site will assist non-breeding waterfowl in maintaining body condition by providing excellent foraging and roosting habitat in an area of the Platte River Basin deficient in these habitat types. Waterfowl in good body condition are more likely to survive the nonbreeding period and return to the breeding grounds with a higher likelihood of successful breeding effort, thus aiding managers in achieving waterfowl population goals.

The target species for this project include mallards and northern pintails, although all dabbling ducks will benefit. The intent of the project is to increase the availability and quality of shallow-water wetlands to spring and fall migrants, such that body condition is maintained and pre-breeding physiological demands are met.

Generally, high quality wetland foraging and thermal refuge are the limiting factors for nonbreeding waterfowl utilizing this stretch of the South Platte River. These habitats provide the critical nutrition and local environmental conditions that improve and promote waterfowl survival during the migration and



overwintering seasons. Ensuring these habitats remain intact and in functioning order will ensure that our populations will extend the duration of their stay, will maintain historic distribution up and down the river, and will arrive on the breeding grounds in better condition – which makes it more probable that they will be successful nesters, returning more birds to Colorado. These habitat types are in decline along Colorado's South Platte River.

Historically, floodplain terraces flooded and provided migrating birds with areas with easily-accessible invertebrate and plant food resources. Increased upstream development and diversions have shifted the hydrograph such that these overbank flooding events have become much less frequent, are of diminished volume, and of limited duration. All of which have led to decrease in spring habitat suitability. There is increasing evidence gathered on mallards, canvasbacks, and redheads suggesting that early nesting hens within a species contribute more young to the fall flight each year than later nesting hens. Survival of early broods is greater than later broods. Abundant, high quality spring migration habitat may allow hens to replenish nutrient reserves, move on to breeding areas, initiate nests earlier, and achieve greater reproductive success than would have otherwise been possible.

Project Location

The tract is a privately-owned ranch near North Sterling Reservoir in Logan County, CO. Colorado Open Lands holds a conservation easement, funded by the North American Wetlands Conservation Act, on approximately 527 acres of the property.

Project Location Coordinates:

Note: Please do not publish coordinates without further permission from Ducks Unlimited and Jim & Tracy Yahn.



• Photos













South Platte Basin

CASE STUDY 9

Prewitt Reservoir Wetlands



South Platte Basin | Basin Implementation Plan | C-18



Ducks Unlimited Case Studies – South Platte BIP Special Study

DATE: January 29, 2021

TOPIC: Project Information for various DU projects along South Platte River

 Projects: Yahn Enhancements, Prewitt SWA, TamarackSWA

PREWITT RESERVOIR WETLANDS

Project Description

The Prewitt Reservoir Wetlands project enhanced and restored 340 acres of wetland habitat associated with Prewitt Reservoir in Logan and Washington counties, Colorado. The southern shore of Prewitt Reservoir maintains shallow-water wetlands used by thousands of waterfowl during the spring and fall migration. The project site was composed of areas of Prewitt Reservoir that are regularly flooded by normal reservoir operations. The seasonal inundation and drawdown of the upper reaches of the reservoir results in flooded emergent wetlands and shrub wetlands preferred by numerous migratory bird species and other wetland-dependent wildlife. However, existing shallow areas of the reservoir were cut-off by sand dams created by ice flows, others by the topography of the reservoir edge limiting their use by wildlife. Areas on the margins of the reservoir that provide suitable bird habitat were enhanced through the careful excavation of sand dunes. These cuts create natural back-flooding of shallow basins that provide quality habitat to birds and wetland wildlife, where none previously exists. Second, levees with water control structures were constructed within the reservoir basin to provide additional flooded shallow water habitat important to many of the wildlife species that use wetland habitats in the Platte River Basin. This project increased the quantity and quality of habitats available to nonbreeding waterfowl of the South Platte River.

Project Location

Prewitt Reservoir is located in Washington and Logan County, Colorado approximately six miles south of Merino. Prewitt Reservoir holds a publicly accessible State Wildlife Area managed by Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW).

Project Location Coordinates: LAT 40.401493, LONG -103.383650















• Additional Information

- This project was part of the Prewitt Wetlands Partnership that conserved and enhanced approximately 3,100 acres of important open water and wetland habitats in the Prewitt Reservoir complex. This unique partnership includes COL, DU, CPW, Colorado Water Conservation Board, Prewitt Reservoir Company, Prewitt Ranch private landowners, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Through the Partnership, this project enhanced approximately 340 acres of wetland habitat associated with the Prewitt Reservoir. Our work provides additional shallow flooded areas under passive water level management and active water control structure management as Prewitt Reservoir fills and drains. Some of these potential shallow areas were previously cut-off by sand dams created by ice flows, others by the natural topography of the reservoir edge. The objective was to carefully excavate material that impedes the natural back-flooding of shallow basins which, when functioning, provides the best habitats to birds, other wetland wildlife, as well as fish. Our work ensured that approximately 61 acres have improved function by removing sand dams and vegetative obstructions to passive flow.
- Additionally, we installed low-level berms or levees within the Prewitt Reservoir boundary that protect and enhance approximately 279 acres of existing habitats and important infrastructure such that high water levels in the reservoir will not negatively impact existing habitats.
- The 340 acres targeted by this project were those most likely to be impacted by changes 0 in the management of water levels in Prewitt Reservoir. As water becomes scarcer in the South Platte watershed, water managers seek to store more water in existing storage systems. Over the century that the reservoir has been operating, forested and emergent wetlands have developed on the water body's margins, resulting in high quality habitats available to target waterfowl species. Reservoir management drives the quantity, quality and availability of these habitats to nonbreeding populations of ducks, geese and other important wildlife. However, as reservoir capacity is increased, all of these habitats will be impacted and some may be lost. Moreover, the increase in reservoir water level will inundate some areas of the operating ranch that are important for its agricultural operation. For example, as water levels rise within Prewitt Reservoir, the adjacent Prewitt Ranch calving grounds which are vital to their cattle operation could be inundated. The purpose of this project was to develop enhancements that will maintain overall habitat quality on the ranch and reservoir while also allowing water managers the flexibility to adjust water levels in the reservoir to meet their user's needs
- Also, the work positively impacts tens of thousands of ducks and geese that utilize Prewitt Reservoir throughout the year as well as the following identified species of special conservation concern: Western Grebe, Bald Eagle, Northern Harrier, American Avocet, Forster's Tern, Red-headed Woodpecker, Marsh Wren, American White Pelican, Baird's Sandpiper, Wilson's Phalarope, Stilt Sandpiper, Least Sandpiper, Long-billed Dowitcher, Upland Sandpiper, Bullock's Oriole, Short-eared Owl, and Willow Flycatcher. The HABS database suggests a potential 514,595 duck-use days in both the spring and fall on the wetlands developed under this application. The model estimates that we will produce enough food to sustain about 10,000 mallard-sized ducks for 26 days each spring and fall or 5,000 mallard-sized ducks for 42 days each spring and fall or 20,000 mallard-sized ducks for 13 days each spring and fall.

Appendix C. Project Tiering Matrix



| January 2022 | |
|--------------|--|
| | |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Wate | r Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|---------------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--------------|---|---|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|------------|------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| MET-2015-0001 | ECCV Northern Project Phase 1 | The Northern Project is a collaboration between two South Metro Water members, East Cherry Creek Valley and Arapahoe County Water and Wastewater Authority, to divier new revenueble water supplies to the region and reflexic dependen; on nonrenewable groundwater. The project includes the 31-mile Northern Rpeline, pump stations, and the state of the art Northern Water Teatmern Flaur. Associated with the plant is a deep-injection well to dispose of concentrate, or brine, which will be generated by the treatment plant, and will allow Sat Cherry Creek Valley WSD to recover more usable water in an environmentally acceptable manner | 5 Supply & e Demand Gap | | | | Completed | East Cherry Creek Valley Water & Sanitation Distict | Chris Douglass | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 02 | 45 | DO AF | | | \$ 110,000,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 19 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0002 | Broomfield County Grow Into Existing Supply | Broomfield County Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Completed | Broomfield, City of | David Allen | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Broomfield | 06 | 46 | 77 AF | | | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 18 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0003 | Water Infrastructure Supply Efficiency Partnership | Return flows from Aurora Water and Denver Water are delivered through Aurora's Prairie Waters Project uniter a permanent but variable water contract to WBE Authority members. Infrastructure regularies is a pipeline and associated infrastructure to move water from Aurora's Peter D. Binney Water Purification Facility. Yield will replace non-relevable groundwater pumping. | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Completed | Aurora, South Metro Water Supply Authority, Denver Water | Eric Hecox | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 08 | | | | | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 16 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0004 | Englewood Grow Into Existing Supply | Englewood Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Englewood, City of | Joe Pershin | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 08 | 61 | 73 AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0005 | Box Creek Reservoir | Box Creek Reservoir is a planned reservoir in Lake County with up to 60,000 AF of storage on site. Current sources of water will include decreted (conditional and absolute) water rights in the Cohondo and Antanas New basis. No new water rights are associated with this project. Box Creek is an operational efficiency project utilizing existing exchanges and water rights. Beneficiaires and project participants include the City of Anrons and Lake County. | Storage | Supply & Demand Gap | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Joint Use (benefits Lake & County & Aurora) | Planned | Aurora | Alexandra Davis | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Lake | 11 | | AF | 60,000 | AF | \$ 600,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0006 | Eagle River Joint-Use Water Project (Eagle River MOU) | The BIMOU Joint Use Water Project (EMIOU Project) durines from the 1998 Engle mere MOU anong East and West Sloge water users for development of a joint use water project in the Eigle Rove basin that minimize environmental impact is coll effective, technical freshilo, can be permitted by food, tast and official automitters and produce 20000 arc feet per year (API) severage annual yield for East Sloge use, 20000 API fem by very year (Mor West Spore, use, and 3000 AFI fem of reservoir capacity for Clima Molyddenum Co. The EIMOU Project is proposed as a cooperative alternative to constitution of the Internate B Project in the Hory Cons Widerness, and yes to-be determine combistion of privil diversity. discogn, pumping, and/or groundwater infrastructure to develop the contemplated project yield. ERMOU Project of the Colorado River dark Conceas do Springs; Eagle Park Reservoir Company (constitute) of the Colorado Rive Mater Conservation District, Eagle River Water & Santation Otskirct, Upper Eagle Regional Water Authority and Val Asociates In; j; and Climax Molybelnum Company | d Storage | Supply & Demand Gap | | 5-year cost assumed | Implementing | MOU Partners (Cities of Aurora and Colorado Springs: Eagle Park Reservoir Company (consisting of the Colorado Rever Water Conservation Distric Tupper Eagle Regoral Water Authors, and Vail Associates, Inc.), and Climax Molybdenum Company) | Jason Cowles Engineering Manager (ERWSD) Jicowles@erwid.org | 85 | O | 15 | O | Eagle | 37 | 10,0 | 00 AF/year | | AF | \$ 1,500,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | 20 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2015-0007 | Aurora Grow Into Existing Supply | Aurora Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Aurora, City of | Joe Stibrich | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 02 | 20 | DO AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0008 | Prairie Waters Project Expansion and Storage | The core Prairie Waters Project was completed in 2010 and includes riverbank filtration wells, a 34-mile pipeline, and 3 pumping stations to pump retarn flows back to the City of Juncer, for subsequent treatment [by a new advanced treatment facility and reuse after Viending with high quality mountain supplies at one of the City's treatment plants. Expansions of the system are planned through 2050, including grave pit storage. |) Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Completed | Aurora | Alexandra Davis | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 02 | 100 | 00 AF | | | \$ 653,000,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 19 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0009 | Westminster Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | Westminster Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Westminster, City of | Josh Nims | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 02 | | | | | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0010 | Westminster Gravel Storage | Westminster Gravel Storage | Storage | | | | Implementing | Westminster, City of | Josh Nims | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 02 | 30 | DO AF | | | \$ 25,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0011 | Westminster Reclaimed Water | Westminster Reclaimed Water | Conservation | | | | Implementing | Westminster, City of | Josh Nims | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 02 | 35 | 00 AF | | | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2015-0012 | Arapahoe County Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | Arapahoe County Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Arapahoe County | Julio Iturreria | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 2 | 68 | i2 AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0013 | Arvada Clear Creek Ag Transfer | Acquisition of irrigation water rights in various ditches in the Clear Creek and Ralston Creek basins to be changed in water court allowing for municipal water usage. | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Completed | Arvada, City of | Ken Peterson | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 07 | | 0 AF | | | s - | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 19 | Not applicable |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I / | Ag Ei leed Ne | &R A | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan L Align | ocal Plan Align | Water Plan Align | riticality | Data Num | Tier |
|---------------|--|--|-----------------------------|--|------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| MET-2015-0014 | Arvada Grow Into Existing Supply (Gross Reservoir Expansion with Denver Water) | Arvada Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Arvada, City of | Ken Peterson | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 07 | 2900 | AF | | | \$ 110,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0015 | Arvada Highway 93 Lakes | Highway 33 Lakes will be a single impoundment or series of lakes created by the excavation of gravel. Water sources include a combination of Arvada's Clear Creek and Ralston Creek water rights that would be pumped up to the site located above Arvada Reservoir. | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Arvada, City of | Ken Peterson | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 07 | 1400 | AF | 2000 | AF | \$ 30,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0016 | Teller County (Metro Basin portion) Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | Teller County (Metro Basin portion) Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Teller County | Marc Dettenrieder | 100 | 0 | 0 | D | Teller | 08 | 689 | AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0017 | Denver Water Grow Into Existing Supply | Denver Water Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Denver Water | Bob Peters | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Denver | 02 | | | | | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0018 | Gross Reservoir Expansion or Moffat Collection System Project | The Denver Water Board requested a Section 404 Permit (Gean Water Act) from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Dmaha District Regulatory Branch to enlarge the existin Gross Reservoir (new Boder, Colo) to source by 18.000 cer-Cere per van erw from of water to its Moffa Collection System. The Corps is the lead Federal agency and has been preparing an Environmental Impact Statement since 2003 to analyze environmental effects. Water supplied to the Moffat Collection System is proposed to the diverted from either Vers Slope throatise of the Colorado Foreir to the Instrumental effects. Water supplied to the Moffat Collection System is proposed to the diverted from either Vers Slope throatise of the Colorado Foreir to the diverse from either Vers Slope throatise of the Colorado Foreir to the diverse from either Vers Slope throatise of the Colorado Foreir Source: https://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory-Program/Colorado/tES Moffat/ https://grossreservoir.org/construction/progress-and-schedule/ | supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Planned | Denver Water & Arvada | Bob Peters | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | 06 | 18000 | AF | 72000 | AF | \$ 464,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2015-0019 | Denver Water Reuse | Reuse of consumable return flows via the Denver Water Recycling Plant, Denver Water's Operations Complex water reclamation system, and other future reuse modes | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Denver Water | Bob Peters | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Denver | 02 | | | | | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0020 | Thornton Conservation | Thornton Conservation | Conservation | | | | Implementing | Thornton, City of | Emily Hunt | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 1100 | AF | | | \$ 1,600,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 1 |
| MET-2015-0021 | Thornton Grow Into Existing Supply | Thomton Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Thornton, City of | Emily Hunt | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 5000 | AF | | | \$ 5,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0022 | Thornton Reuse | Thornton Reuse | Conservation | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Implementing | Thornton, City of | Emily Hunt | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams and Weld | 02 | 2000 | AF | | | \$ 2,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2015-0023 | Thornton Water Project | The City of Thornton purchased shares in the 1980; from the Water Supply and Storag Company for water rights in the Poudre River basin. Thornton plans to build a 70-mile pipeline through Lairimer and Weld counties to convey the water to its service area. | e Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Implementing | Thornton, City of | Emily Hunt | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams, Weld, Larimer | 02 | 14000 | AF | | | \$ 450,000,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0024 | Consolidated Mutual Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | Consolidated Mutual Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Consolidated Mutual Water Company | Dianna Jordan | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 07 | | | | | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0025 | Consolidated Mutual Water District Reservoir Construction | Consolidated Mutual Water District Reservoir Construction | Storage | | | | Implementing | Consolidated Mutual Water Company | Dianna Jordan | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 07 | | | | | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2015-0026 | Castle Rock Conservation | Conservation efforts include public outreach and education (participation in SMWSA's Water Ambassidor program, Water Wider Classes with Castle Rock reisidents), relate programs, water budgets and schedule and reidents, participation in the QWEL program (landscaper certification), efficiency and incentive regulations for developers including water efficiency plans, and more. | Conservation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | | | Implementing | Castle Rock, Town of | Matt Benak, Matt Benak, Water Resources Manager mbenak@crgov.com 720-733-6037 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 2770 | AF | | | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 1 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag E Need N | E&R A Need I | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|---------------|--|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--------------|--|--|-------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| MET-2015-0027 | Centennial Aquifer Storage and Recovery | Centennial Aquifer Storage and Recovery | Storage | Education, Outreach & Innovation | | | Completed | Centennial Water and Sanitation District | Rick McCloud rmcloud@highlandsranch.org 303-791-0430 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | | | 33 | wells equipped for ASR | \$ 660,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 19 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0028 | East Cherry Creek Valley Pipeline Agreement | East Cherry Creek Valley Pipeline Agreement | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Centennial Water and Sanitation District | Rick McCloud | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 2500 | AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0029 | Centennial Water and Sanitation District Conservation | Centennial Water and Sanitation District Conservation | Supply & Demand Gap | Conservation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Demand Reduction | Implementing | Centennial Water and Sanitation District | Rick McCloud rmcloud@highlandsranch.org 303-791-0430 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 2000 | AF | | | \$ 500,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 1 |
| MET-2015-0030 | Renewable Water Project Phase I | Renewable Watter Project Phase I | | | | | Not Pursuing | Castle Rock, Town of | Rick Schultz | 100 | 0 | O | D | Douglas | 08 | 3360 | AF | | | \$ 25,000,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 18 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0031 | Renewable Water Project Phase II | Renewable Water Project Phase II | | | | | Not Pursuing | Castle Rock, Town of | Rick Schultz | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 2340 | AF | | | \$ 10,000,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 18 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0032 | Rueter Hess Reservoir Enlargement | Castle Rock water participates in regional storage at Rueter-Hess reservoir and owns 8,000 AF of storage space. Supplies stored currently include return flows off of Cherry Creek and will likely one day include WISE supplies. | Storage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | Completed | Parker Water and Sanitation District, Castle Rock, Castle Pines North, Stonegate | Matt Benak, Matt Benak, Water Resources Manager mbenak@crgov.com 720-733-6037 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 8000 | AF | 75000 | AF | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 20 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0033 | South Platte and Beebe Draw Well Project - Agricultural Transfer | South Platte and Beebe Draw Well Project - Agricultural Transfer | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Implementing | Brighton, City of | Brad Dallam | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 3500 | AF | | | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0034 | Brighton Augmentation Plan | Brighton Augmentation Plan | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Brighton, City of | Brad Dallam | 100 | 0 | o | D | Adams | 02 | | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 16 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0035 | Brighton Grow Into Existing Supply | Brighton Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Brighton, City of | Brad Dallam | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 1510 | AF | | | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0036 | South Platte and Beebe Draw Well Project - Reuse | South Platte and Beebe Draw Well Project - Reuse | Conservation | | | | Implementing | Brighton, City of | Brad Dallam | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 3200 | AF | | | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2015-0037 | Westminster Agreement | Westminster Agreement | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Completed | Brighton, City of | Brad Dallam | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 2000 | AF | | | \$ 9,150,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 19 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0038 | Northglenn Ag Transfer | Northgienn Ag Transfer | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Implementing | Northglenn, City of | Tami Moon | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 1000 | AF | | | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0039 | Northglenn Conservation | Northglein Conservation | Conservation | | | | Implementing | Northglenn, City of | Tami Moon | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 600 | AF | | | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 1 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|---------------|--|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------|---|--|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| MET-2015-0040 | Northglenn New Storage Projects | Northglenn New Storage Projects – Standley Lake enlargement | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Concept | Northglenn, City of | Tami Moon | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 1500 | AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0041 | Northglenn Reuse Plan | Northglenn Reuse Plan | Conservation | | | Not Pursuing | Northglenn, City of | Tami Moon | 100 | o | o | 0 | Adams | 02 | 700 | AF | | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 18 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0042 | Douglas County Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | Douglas County Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Douglas County | Tim Murrell | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 272 | AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0043 | Adams County Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfer | Adams County Unspecified JPP Agricultural Transfer | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Adams County | | 100 | 0 | O | 0 | Adams | 02 | 13664 | AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 16 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0044 | ACWWA Flow Project | The ACWIA Row Project's components include renewable water rights from the South Pitter Rowr Basin; 1400 acre-feet of storage in Ohambers Reservoir, water treatment capacity in the East Oherry Cred Valley Water Treatment Pint, water supply wells, storage and infrastructure to deliver water to the ECCV Water Treatment Pint, water carrying capacity in the ECCV Notem Piptien, interconnection to delive water supplies from the ECCV service area to the ACWWA service area. | t er | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | ACWWA, SMWSA | Rick Marsicek | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 08 | 4400 | AF | | \$ 122,479,600 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0045 | ACWWA Reuse Flow Project | The ACWIA Flow Project's components include reservable water rights from the South Platte Rwer Basin; 1400 accer feet of storage in Chambers Reservoir; water treatment capacity in the East Cherry Cred Valley Water Treatment Plant; water supply wells, storage and infrastruture to deliver water to the ICCV Water Treatment Plant; water carrying capacity in the ECCV Notem Pipeline, interconnection to delive water supplies from the ECCV service area to the ACWWA service area. | rt er | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | ACWWA, SMWSA | Rick Marsicek | 100 | o | O | 0 | Arapahoe | 08 | 3520 | AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0046 | ECCV Northern Project Phase 2 | The Northern Project is a collaboration between East Cherry Creek Valley and Anapaboe County Water and Wastewater Authority, to deliver new renewable water isopples to the region and netwise dependency on nonrenewable groundwater. The Northern Project's second phase includes additional water rights, as well as expansion of the reverse connosi treatment plant and promy stations from project MET-2025 0001 Ausociated with the plant is a second deep-injection well to dispose of concentrate, or threa, which will be generated by the treatment plant, and will allow East Cherry Creek Valley WD to recover more usable water in an environmentally aceptable names. | 1 Supply & Demand Gap | | | Completed | East Cherry Creek Valley Water & Sanitation District | Chris Douglass | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 08 | 6000 | AF | 10 MGD | \$ 90,000,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 21 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0047 | South Platte Farms and SP Co- op AT | South Platte Farms and SP Co-op AT | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Parker, City of | Rick Marsicek | 100 | o | o | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 5000 | AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2015-0048 | Northern Water Supply Project | Conveyance of renewable water supplies from the Town's Box Elder property to the Town of Castle Rock through ECCV's northern pipeline and WISE project infrastructure | Supply & Demand Gap | Additional | | Implementing | Castle Rock, Town of | Matt Benak, Matt Benak, Water Resources Manager mbenak@crgov.com 720-733-6037 | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 2500 | AF | 3 MGD | \$ 83,403,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2015-0049 | Plum Creek Diversion & WPF Upgrades | A surface water diversion will give the Toron of Coatle Rock the ability to capture searched supplier from Plum Creek. "Hwater Purification Rolling (VPP) processes renewable and dreg proundwater supplies. The VPP has been upgraded with constaints, biologically activated filliers, granular activated carbon and ultraviolet disinfection and an process 6 mg of water. | Additional | | | Completed | Castle Rock, Town of | Matt Benak, Matt Benak, Water Resources Manager mbenak@crgov.com 720-733-6037 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 2464 | AF | 6 MGD | \$ 17,763,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 21 | Not applicable |
| MET-2015-0050 | ASR | The Aquifer Storage and Recovery wells allow the Town of Castle Rock to store excess renewable supplies through injection into the aquifer for future use. | Storage | | | Implementing | Castle Rock, Town of | Matt Benak, Matt Benak, Water Resources Manager mbenak@crgov.com 720-733-6037 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 235 | AF | 0.69 MGD | \$ 600,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| MET-2015-0051 | ASR Future Storage | The Aquifer Storage and Recovery wells will allow the Town of Castle Rock to store excess renewable supplies through nijection into the aquifer for future use. Additional wells are being constructed and are planned to integrate power generation. | I Storage | Additional | | Implementing | Castle Rock, Town of | Matt Benak, Matt Benak, Water Resources Manager mbenak@crgov.com 720-733-6037 | 100 | 0 | o | 0 | Douglas | 08 | 400 | AF | | \$ 1,230,300 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2015-0052 | Downstream Reservoir Exhanges | The Downstream Reservoir Water Storage Program allows Derver Water to store and release water through the use of depleted gravel mines for exchange and replacemen purposes. There will be nine reservoirs divided into three complexes.South Reservoir Complex, North Reservoir Complex and Lupton Lakes Complex. | t Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | Implementing | Denver Water | Bob Peters | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Adams | 02 | 12000 | AF | | \$ 136,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Adi Need Ne | lmin eed Co | ounty | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Tir | neline Basir Tier Ali | Plan Loca ign Al | al Plan W Nign | Vater Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--------------|---|---|-------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| MET-2015-0053 | Chatfield Pump Station | Pump station/pipeline project to deliver water in Chatfield Reallocation Storage to Denver, Centennial, Castle Rock, Dominion (Need to talk with Bob Peters to determine if this is a duplicate of the prior Chatfield Pump Station project). | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Denver Water | Bob Peters | 100 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 Je | efferson | 08 | 300 | AF | | | \$ 7,000, | т 000 | ier 3 Tie | er 2 Tie | ier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2015-0054 | Upper Colorado Cooperative Project | Upper Colorado Cooperative Project | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Implementing | Denver Water | Bob Peters | 100 | o | 0 (| 0 D | enver | 02 | 2000 | AF | | | | т | ier 1 Tie | er 2 Ti | ier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 1 |
| MET-2015-0055 | South Platte Protection Plan | The purpose of the South Platte Protection Plan is to protect those river-related values [cotation/ing/vremarkable values [COR057]] identified by the USFS. These values are proposed also recognizes that the Colorado Front Rarge communities rely heavily upon the South Platter or divinity water supply and other munical and industrial uses that agriculture throughout northeastern Colorado depends heavily on South Platter Brough and Interval uses must be protected in the notext of preserving the functions as well well be benefits that the protected in the notext of preserving on the notext on the review - halter accounter and mann-based – can all be addressed in coordination and balance with one another. It is this mutual respect for the many important uses that is central to the South Platte Protection Plan. | t Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation t, | | | | Implementing | Denver Water | Bob Peters | 100 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 D | enver | 08 | N | NA | NA | NA | | т | ier 1 Tie | er 2 Tie | ier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 1 |
| MET-2020-1 | Aquifer Storage and Recovery Feasibility Study: Phase 2 | The purpose of this study is to expand upon the findings of Northglenn's ASR Fressbill Study Phase to complete all necessary analysis needed to confirm the feasibility and cost effectivences of ASR and to provide staff with information to weigh ASR against other storage options under consideration. If ASR is feasible, all necessary generit applications for an ASR pilot well at Northglenn's Water Treatment Facility will be submitted, along with preparation for construction. | y Storage | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Implementing | Northgienn, City of | Tamara Moon 303.450.4070 (desk) 303.887.8080 (celi) tmoon@northglenn.org | 100 | 0 | 0 (| 0 AI | dams | 2 | 100 | AF | | | | т | ier 1 Tić | er 2 Tie | ier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-10 | Change of 47 Fulton Ditch Shares | Water court process to change 47 Fulton Ditch Shares from agricultural use to municipal use; apply for associated South Platte River exchange water right, appropriation of return flows, and plan for augmentation | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Implementing | Lochbuie, Town of | Steve Stamey sstamey@lochbuie.org 303-655-9308 | 100 | o | 0 0 | 0 A | dams and Weld | 1 | 54. | AF/year | 178.7 | AF/year | \$ 200, | 000 T | ier 1 Tie | er 2 Ti | ier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-11 | Chatfield Westside Alternative: | This project will develop infrastructure associated with the recently reallocated storag in Chattled Reservor, delivering supplies to water providers in the South Metro area. This includes a pump station and associated pipelines to deliver the water to water providers. | e Storage | | | | Planned | South Metro Water Supply Authority | Lisa Darling | 100 | o | 0 0 | 0 D | ouglas | NA | 500 | AF | | | \$ 60,000, | 000 T | ier 3 Tie | er 2 Ti | ier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-12 | Cherry Creek Upstream of Cherry Creek Reservoir Master Plan | Regional Collaboration to identify channel mitigation and stream restoration projects. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with SEMSWA, Parker, Douglas Count and CCBWQA | Kurt Bauer, MHFD Partnering y with SEMSWA, Parker, Douglas County and CCBWQA | 0 | 0 | 100 0 | 0 A1 | rapahoe | 8 | N | NA | NA | NA | \$ 200, | т 000 | ier 2 Tie | er 2 Ti | ier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-13 | Clear Creek | Increase bridge capacity at York and Broadway for a 100-year event. Improve boater safety on drop structures at 1-25 and Washington Street and also upstream of York Street by incorporating a recreational feature | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Completed | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams, Jefferson and Denver Counties | Brooke Seymour | 0 | 0 | 100 0 | 0 A | dams | 7 | | | | | \$ 9,000, | 000 | Tie | er 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| MET-2020-14 | Clear Creek Reservoir above Golden | Construct new reservoir, permitting is issue. | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Clear Creek County | | 100 | o | 0 0 | 0 Je | efferson | 7 | | | | | | т | ier 4 Tie | er 2 Ti | ier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 14 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2020-15 | CSU Water Campus - One Water Implementation | Pilot project for the One Water Vision. Integrating strategic and sustainable water practices in the land plan for the CSU National Western Campus. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Implementing | CSU System, Multiple Parnters | Jocelyn Hittle | 0 | 0 | 100 (| 0 D | enver | 8 | | | | | | т | ier 2 Tie | er 2 Tie | ier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-16 | Denver Basin Aquifer Storage and Recovery | Investigation of ASR as future water storage option | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Concept | Denver Water | Bob Peters | 100 | 0 | 0 (| 0 D | erwer | 8 | | | | | | т | ier 2 Tié | er 2 Tir | ier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-17 | Denver One Water Plan | The Denver One Water Plan provides a framework for implementing holistic and realisent water management and land use strategies through collaboration and strong policies that promote health waterhels in an equilable, economically and environmentally beneficial manner. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Land Use | Conservation | Outreach; Innovation; Prepare for Climate Change | Implementing | The Water Connection / The Greenwa Foundation , Mile High Flood District, City and County of Denver, CWCB, Metro Wastewater, CSU | The Water Connection / The Greenway Foudation - Devon W Buckels, Mile High Flood District - Barbara Chongtoua, City and County of Denver - Dave Jula, CWCB, Metro Wastewater, CSU | 50 | 0 | 50 (| 0 0 | enver | 8 | N | NA | NA | NA | \$ 500, | 000 T | ier 1 Tie | er 1 Tie | ier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| MET-2020-18 | Deriver One Water Plan Implementation | Implementation projects pursuing recommendations in Denver One Water Plan. The Denver One Water Plan was funded in part through a CWCB grant to The Greenway Foundation. The Plan is scheduled to be completed in Spring of 2021. The pinnary angentations that funded and are drived the DOUW will also be engaged in implementation. If an encommendations and sprain more One Water Plan. Denver forward, Specific projects have not yot been identified to code at this time. | Land Use | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Conservation Reuse & Climate Change | & Concept | City and County of Denver, The Greenway Foundation and Mile High Flood District | Devon Buckels, Dave Jula, Barb Chongtua Devon⊜thewaterconnection.or g 720-837-3289 | 50 | 0 | 50 0 | 0 D | enver | 7 | | | | | | т | ier 4 Tie | er 2 Tie | ier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 Statu | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R A Need N | ldmin Need | County | Water Esti Dist Y | mated ield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Time Tie | line Basin I er Alig | Man Local F n Alig | Plan Water P n Align | lan Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|-------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|--|---|---|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------|
| MET-2020-19 | Denver Water Ralston Reservoir and Treatment Plant Upgrade | Upgrade to northern portion of supply from Ralston Creek, etc. | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | pt Denver Water | | 100 | O | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 7 | | | | | | Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tier | 3 Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 14 | Tier 4 |
| MET-2020-2 | Arapahoe County South Platte River Working Group | Regional Collaboration to implement projects along the full length of the South Platte River in Arapahoe County. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Plann | ed Mile High Flood District | Laura Kroeger | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Arapahoe | 1 | NA | NA | NA | . NA | \$ 500,0 | 00 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier | 1 Tier J | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-20 | Districtwide Stream Management Corridor Delineation | Developing guidelines for stream management corridors across the District to assist with landuise and development planning. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Plann | ed Mile High Flood District | Morgan Lynch | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Denver | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 100,0 | JO Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier | 1 Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-21 | Dominion Rainwater Harvestin Storage Project | Storage of stormwater/rainwater for subsequent non-potable municipal use | Supply & Demand Gap | Conservation | Plann | ed Dominion Water and Sanitation District | Mary Kay Provaznik | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 8 | 400 | AF | 400 | AF | \$ 10,000,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier i | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-22 | East Plum Creek | Stabilize entire reach with grade control to mitigate lateral movement that has caused fast erosion, sloughing, and floodplain expansion. Protect existing infrastructure and property with aggressive bank stabilization measures. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Plann | ed Mile High Flood District Partnering with Douglas County | Jon Villines | o | o | 100 | 0 | Douglas | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 20,000,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-23 | ECCV Northern Project Phase 3 | The Northern Project's third phase includes additional water rights, as well as expansion of the reverse comosis treatment plant and pump stations from project ME 2015-0001 & MET 2015-0046. | T-Supply & Demand Gap | | Conce | pt East Cherry Creek Valley Water & Sanitation District | Chris Douglass | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 08 | 3200 | AF | 5 | Treatmen MGD | ^t \$ 100,000,0 | 00 Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tier | 3 Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-24 | Foxton Road Forest Restoratio | Forest treatments and watershed restoration in areas at high-risk of catastrophic wildfire. The projects will align with an initiative to strengthen fire lines, serving to both reduce the risk of wildfire and assist fire suppression of forts. Proactive high- intensity wildfire mitigation serves as sediment mitigation. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Conci | pt Upper South Platte Partnership | Christina Burri, Madelene McDonald | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 80 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-25 | Johnson Habitat Park Improvements | Instream habitat improvement, along with lifetime fishing access improvements - Denver Chapter TU, Mile High Flood Control District | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Conce | pt Denver Trout Unlimited | John Davenport - Denver TU Conservation Chair john.davenport.mac@mac.com | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Derwer | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-26 | Lakewood Gulch Master Plan | Regional Collaboration to identify channel mitigation and stream restoration projects. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Plann | ed Mile High Flood District Partnering with Cities of Denver and Lakewood | Bryan Kohlenberg | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Jefferson, Denver | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 300,0 | 00 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier | 1 Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-27 | Lifetime Angling Program - Denver South Platte | Improved angling access (& associated habitat improvement) including for more mobility-limited anglers including from younger to senior citizen anglers | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Conce | pt Deriver Trout Unlimited | John Davenport - Deriver TU Conservation Chair john.davenport.mac@mac.com | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Denver | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier J | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-28 | Meadow Ditch/Lambert Reservoir #3 | rebuild historic dam breached during 2015 flooding | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | Imple | menting Roxborough Water and Sanitation District | Barbara Biggs | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Jefferson | 8 | 106 | AF | 106 | AF | \$ 2,000,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-29 | Metro South Platte Restoration - Chatfield Reallocation Mitigation | Downstream habitat improvements as mitigation on South Platte below Chatfield Reservoir; for native and/or sport fish benefits | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Funding Storage | Stream Habitat Improvements | ed CPW | Jeff Spohn | 10 | 0 | 90 | 0 | Arapahoe | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 1,000,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-3 | Bear Creek Fish Passage | Potential reopening of fish passage on Bear Creek at diversion structure. More information can be found here: https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1QME323WSW5mXnLsLyi9Q2qy_EGaz1vEi- yv_JM0QBW0/editHslide=id_g8172cc65a1_0_0 | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Conce | pt Denver Trout Unlimited | John Davenport - Denver TU Conservation Chair john.davenport.mac@mac.com | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-30 | North Fork South Platte /South Platte Habitat Improvement Program | Denier Water, USS, and CPW have discussed stream restoration work found on the North Fork and the South Platte below. Cheresian Reservoir, focused on areas agene to public fibriling. As part of mitigation for Denier Water's Molfar project, they will provide "51M Toward channel/habitat improvements on the North Fork below Roberts Trannel & maintein below Chereman Reservoir | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Imple | menting Denver Water | Travis Bray, Denver Water Travis.Bray@denverwater.org | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 80 | NA | NA | NA | . NA | \$ 1,000,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-31 | Oehlmann Park Forest Restoration | Forest treatments and watershed restoration in areas at high-risk of catastrophic wildfire. The projects will align with an initiative to strengthen fire lines, serving to both reduce the risk of wildfire and assist fire suppression efforts. Proactive high- intensity wildfire mitigation serves as sediment mitigation. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Conci | pt Upper South Platte Partnership | Christina Burri, Madelene McDonald | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 8 | NA | NA | NA | . NA | | Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-32 | OHV impact restoration | Address impacts from unauthorized Off Highway Vehicle use on South Platte River and riparian corridor in Wildcat Canyon / secure dosures, stabilize impacted areas | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Conce | pt US Forest Service | Mikele Painter, U.S. Forest Service mikele.painter@usda.gov | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 101 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-33 | Pine Valley Ranch River Habita Improvement | Habitat improvements for fishery and riparian benefit on approximately 2 miles of North Fork South Platte River | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Plann | ed Jefferson County Open Space | Scot Grossman, Jefferson County Open Space sgrossma@co.jefferson.co.us | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 80 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-34 | Platte Canyon Forest Restoration | Forest treatments and watershed restoration in areas at high-risk of catastrophic wildline. The projects will align with an initiative to strengthen fire lines, serving to both reduce the risk of wildline and asiss the suppression efforts. Proactive high- intensity wildline mitigation serves as sediment mitigation. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Conce | pt Upper South Platte Partnership | Christina Burri, Madelene McDonald | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Douglas | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need N | Ag E leed N | &R A leed ♪ | udmin Need | County | Water Estimal Dist Yield | ed | 'ield Inits | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|---|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| MET-2020-35 | Plum Creek Mainstem: Airport Rd to Confluence | Location: Airport Road to Confluence. Add additional bridge span on Titan Rd to increase capacity. Construct guide banks to increase sediment movement underneath the structure, and construct limited bank protection measures such as rip rap and grade control to protect the floodplain and property. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District. Partnering with Douglas County | Jon Villines | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Douglas | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 15,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-36 | Plum Creek Mainstem: Chatfield Reservoir toTitan Rd | Location: Downstream Limit (Chatfield Reservoir) to Titan Rd. Stabilize stream at key locations. Stabilize banks and protect against channel migration because the CMZ is encroaching onto private property. Property at risk of flooding and sloughing. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District. Partnering with Douglas County | Jon Villines | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Douglas | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 7,500,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-37 | Plum Creek Mainstem: Titan R to Airport Rd | Location: Titan Rd to Airport Rd. Stabilize stream at key locations. Stabilize banks and protect against channel migration because the CMZ is encroaching onto private property. Property at risk of flooding and sloughing. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District. Partnering with Douglas County | Jon Villines | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Douglas | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 7,500,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-38 | Purchase of shares in Cherry Creek Project Water Authority | The CCPWA is a group of water providers that has formed an Authority to construct and operate a water project in the Cherry Creek Basin to provide relatively firm water vided of from 500 c. 2000 are feet per year. Cashe Back Waters in the process of purchasing Angahoe County Water and Wastewater Authority's 41.25% stake in the project. | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Cherry Creek Project Water Authority | Matt Benak, Matt Benak, Water Resources Manager mbenak@crgov.com 720-733-6037 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | 8 | 825 | AF | NA | NA | \$ 4,500,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| MET-2020-39 | Reduce Sediment into Strontia Springs Reservoir | Understand, plan, and implement projects with partners within strategic areas to stabilize sediment supply and transport upstream of Strontia Springs Reservoir (North Fork, Horse Creek, and mainstem of the Upper South Platte downstream of Cheesman | Storage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | 5-year cost assumed | Planned | Denver Water | Alison Witheridge | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Douglas | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 2,500,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-4 | Bear Creek Forest Restoration | Forest treatments and watershed restoration in areas at high-risk of catastrophic wildfire. The projects will align with an initiative to strengthen fire lines, serving to both reduce the risk of wildfire and assist fire suppression efforts. Proactive high- intensity wildfire mitigation serves as sediment mitigation. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Concept | Upper South Platte Partnership | Christina Burri, Madelene McDonald | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-40 | Rocky Flats NWR Riparian Restoration | At Bocky Flats NWR-rigation habitat restoration will first forus on the removal of nations weeds degrading incorportant riparian and weellend areas of the Briege. Re- etablishmeet of native riparita and weelling data topcies will follow restances to and that wetland function in restored to the sile. These restoration offorts will target critical habitatis for herdel's madeout junging mouse on the Regies. Repain target species and coyote willow are preferred habitats for this species listed as Threatemed by the USPNS. State listed nanosure week mouse include tasks, changed NWR will be controlled using chemical or mechanical methods, depending on the plan species, growth facil, and proximity too per water. These include tasks, changed thistis, houndstonge and points in too metal. These follow will be responsible for follow used treatments within the project area. Willows will be planet along riparian corridors that provide moist soil and are lacking wertical structure. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Implementing | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Matthew A. Reddy, Regional Biologist | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 75,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-41 | Salinity Management within the Water Infrastructure and Supply Efficiency Project | This project will construct a maximum of a 30 MGD desalination facility to reduce salinity in the WISE project. This includes construction of a plant, and associated concentrate disposal facilities. | Additional | Funding | | | Concept | South Metro Water Supply Authority | Lisa Darling | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 8 1 | 0000 | AF | | | \$ 300,000,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-42 | Sand Creek Downstream of Colfax Avenue Master Plan | Regional Collaboration to identify channel mitigation and stream restoration projects. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Cities of Aurora, Denver, Commerce City, Sand Creek Regional Greenway | Morgan Lynch | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Denver | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 300,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-43 | Sand Creek/ Coal Creek Fluvial Harzard Zone Study and Mitigation Plan | Fluvial Hazard Zone Delineation and identify areas critical to channel function to help inform land use policies. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Completed | Mile High Flood District | Morgan Lynch | o | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Denver, Adams, Arapahoe | 2 | | | | | \$ 65,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| MET-2020-44 | South Metro Water Supply Authority ASR Pilot | This pilot study will develop a regional storage system with existing aquifer storage and recovery wells. | Storage | | | | Planned | South Metro Water Supply Authority | Erik Jorgensen | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arapahoe | 8 | 3000 | AF | | | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-45 | South Platte Angler Stairs/Access | Placement of angler access points with stairs accessing the South Platte, to direct angler usage and reduce sedimentation from social trails | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Implementing | U.S. Forest Service | Mikele Painter, U.S. Forest Service mikele.painter@usda.gov | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 101 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-46 | South Platte Basin Habitat Connectivity | Implementing and improving aquatic habitat connectivity throughout the South Platte Basin by modifying existing barriers to provide fish passage where appropriate | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Agriculture | Fish Passage | Concept | CPW | Pete Conovitz | 0 | 10 | 90 | 0 | Denver | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-47 | South Platte River Corridor Assessment Plan | Vision plan along the full length of the South Platte River in the City and County of Denver | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Deriver and The Greenway Foundation | Barbara Chongtoua | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Denver | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 800,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-48 | South Platte River Corridor Vision | Vision plan along the full length of the South Platte River in Adams County. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District, Communities in Adams County and Adams County, and The Greenway Foundation | Barbara Chongtoua | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Adams | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 600,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-49 | South Platte Watershed Forest Restoration Monitoring and Adaptative Management | Funding for pre- and post-treatment monitoring to assess treatment effectiveness under various potential wildline scenarios will help refine treatment prescriptions and improve the adaptative management process. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Concept | Upper South Platte Partnership | Christina Burri, Madelene McDonald | 0 | 0 1 | 100 | 0 | Denver | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|---|------------|--------------|--|--|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|
| MET-2020-5 | Bear Creek Headwaters Greenback Cutthroat Trout Restoration | Evaluating potential sites, and then reintroducing threatened Greenback Cutthroat Trout in potential habitats in the upper Bear Creek watershed including Mt Evans Wilderness Area | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Implementing | Evergreen Chapter Trout Unlimited | Mike McGinnis, Evergreen Chapter TU mossyshoal@aol.com | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Jefferson | 9 | N | A NA | NA | NA | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 2 |
| MET-2020-50 | Squaretop Lakes Greenback Introduction Project | CPW lead project to introduce Greenback Cutthroat Trout to the Square Top Lakes and Duck Creek | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Additional | | Implementing | CPW | Tyler Swarr; Area 1 Aquatic Biologist | 0 | 0 | 100 | o | Clear Creek | 80 | N | NA | NA | NA | \$ 200,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| MET-2020-51 | Third Creek: 112th Ave to O'Brien Canal | Location: E112th Avenue to O'Brien Canal. Removal of 3 existing crossing structures, Installation of bankfull and floodplain culverts or bridge at roadway crossings. Grading of the the channel and embankment (escavation and fill). Re-vegetation of the channel and embankment within the stream corridor. Riprap for riffles or cross vanes within the bankful channel. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County, City of Aurora a Commerce City | nd Teresa Patterson | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Adams | 2 | N | NA NA | NA | NA | \$ 25,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-52 | Third Creek: Burlington Ditch t E 132nd Ave | Location: Burlington Ditch to E 132/nd Avenue. Removal of 1 existing crossing structru Installation of drop structure and 100-year culvert underpass at Fulton irrigation ditch crossing. | e. Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County, City of Aurora a Commerce City | nd Teresa Patterson | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Adams | 2 | N | A NA | NA | NA | \$ 25,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-53 | Third Creek: DEN Pond Outlet to E 112th Ave | Location EDN Pord Dutie to 5 1110 Avenue. Bernoval of 2 existing ar crossing structures, installation of bankfull and floodplain cuverts or bracking ar toadway coosings. Grading of the the channel and embankment leaxnation and fills. Re- vegetation of the channel and embankment within the stream cortidor. Riprap for rifleor cross wave within the Bankfull channel. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County, City of Aurora a Commerce City | nd Teresa Patterson | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Denver | 2 | N | A NA | NA | NA | \$ 10,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-54 | Third Creek: E 132nd Ave to E 136th Ave | Location: E 132nd Avenue to E 138th Avenue, Removal of 4 existing crossing structures. Installation of bankfull and floodplain cubyets or bridge at cadway coorsings. Installation of dop structures and 00-year cubyet underpass at Burlingnin irrigation dich crossing. Grading of the the channel and embankment (exavation an film). Re-vegation of the channel and embankment within the stream corridor. Riprap for riffles or cross vanes within the bankfull channel. | Watershed Health, d Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County, City of Aurora a Commerce City | nd Teresa Patterson | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Adams | 2 | N | a na | NA | NA | \$ 10,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-55 | Third Creek: E 136th Ave to E 144th Ave | Location: E136th Avenue to E 144th Avenue. Removal of 2 existing crossing structure installation of bankfull and floodpain culvets or tridge at radsway crossings. Installation of dors structure and 103-year culvert underpass at Differein righton for crossing. A headgate diversion is proposed to maintain the 200 cfs water right. Grading of the the channel and emailmanine (cavaviola and fill). Re-wrighted no the channel and emaintent within the stream condor. Riprage for riffies or cross water within the bandle clauser. | s. Matershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County, City of Aurora a Commerce City | nd Teresa Patterson | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Adams | 2 | N | NA | NA | NA | \$ 15,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-56 | Third Creek: E 144th Ave to Third Creek Outfall | Location: E. 144th Avenue to the Third Creek Outfall. Removal of 1 existing crossing structure. Installation of bankfull and floodplain culverts or bridge at roadway coosings. Grandp of the the channel and embainment elevantion and fills. Re- vegetation of the channel and embainment within the stream contidor. Riprap for rifles or cross sure within the bankful channel. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County, City of Aurora a Commerce City | nd Teresa Patterson | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Adams | 2 | N | NA NA | NA | NA | \$ 15,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-57 | Third Creek: O'Brien Canal to Burlington Ditch | Location: O'Brien Canal to Burlington Ditch. Removal of 1 existing crossing structrue. Installation of drop structure and 100-year culvert underpass at Fulton irrigation ditch crossing. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County, City of Aurora a Commerce City | nd Teresa Patterson | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Adams | 2 | N | A NA | NA | NA | \$ 15,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-58 | Third Creek: Upstream of DIA | Location: Upstream of DIA. Removal of 1 existing crossing structure. Installation of bankfull and floodplain culverts or bridge at readway crossing. Grading of the the channel and embandment (execution and fill). Be vegetation of the channel and ebackfull channel. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County, City of Aurora a Commerce City | nd Teresa Patterson | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Denver | 2 | N | NA | NA | NA | \$ 10,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-59 | USACE South Platte River and Tributaries Project | Collaboration with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to implement ecosystem restoration and flood risk reduction on South Platts flow, Harvard and Weir Culches. The South Platts flow project will restore approximately 6.5 miles of the South Platts Rever from 6th to 58th Avenue, and result in 150 acros of improved rightmin corridor and wetland haltstan at 100 acros of onlymoid wetland haltstat. The project also includes incidential fload relactions for sources with the source source fload to the source Reverse fload to the relacions for approximately 100 structures using the South Platts Reverse. The Weir and Harvard project components are focused on fload risk reduction. | e Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation e | | | | Planned | City and County of Denver Partnering with Mile High Flood District and The Greenway Foundation | 5 Jennifer Williams | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Denver, Adams | 8 | N | a na | NA | NA | \$ 550,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-6 | Bear Creek Lake Reallocation Project | The CWCB and the Army Corps of Engineers has entered into a feasibility Cost Share Agreement for 53M. The Army Corps of Engineers is currently conducting a Semi- Quantitative Risk Assessment on the dawn, which wild determine whether or not the of this risk assessment to Dtabler 2020. A scoping tensor for the study has been assembled, and will reconverse after the October 2020 decision. Pattners have been identified for storage space and shares of a new storage right in the reservoir, and engineering work is currently underway for a water rights application. | n Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | ŝ. | Planned | смсв | Erik Skeie erik skeie@state.co.us 03-866-3441 x3260 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | Jefferson | 8 | 2000 | D AF | 20000 | AF | \$ 166,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-60 | USACE Southern Platte Valley Section 1135 Ecosystem Restoration | Collaboration with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to restore approximately 2.4 mill of the South Platte River from W Yale downstream (north) to West Mississippi. The additional 11 access of functioning fragman habita, 22 access of aquatic habitat, and 1.5 acress of palustring emergent scrub/shrub wetlands. | es Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | City and County of Denver Partnering with Mile High Flood District and The Greenway Foundation | 3 Jennifer Williams | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Denver | 8 | N | NA | NA | NA | \$ 12,400,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-61 | Walker Reservoir | This project will construct the reservoir and appurtenant infrastructure | Storage | | | | Implementing | Cherry Creek Project Water Authority | y Richard Krulish | 100 | 0 | o | 0 | Douglas | NA | 100 | AF | | | \$ 15,500,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-62 | West Plum Creek | Upgrade SH 67 Bridge to handle 100 year flows. Maintain status quo in many locations. Protect HWY 105 from eroding banks | Watershed Health, Environment & | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Douglas County | Jon Villines | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Douglas | 8 | N | NA NA | NA | NA | \$ 15,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Water I Dist | stimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|--------------|---|---|--|------------|---|--|--------------|--|---|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| MET-2020-63 | Wild Horse Reservoir | Avora's existing water rights from the Colorido and Arkansa: rivers will be the source of water. No new rights or decrees are being pursued for this project. Will Hore will allow Juron to more efficiently, effectively and reliably manage its resources, particularly its Arkansa Silver water. Avoing constraints. The silter Wild Horse Reservoir was selected due to its proximity to existing initiarizaturul: It is like near an existing pailer from the Clero Pump Jation That already delivers transbasin water to Aurora's system, and is only sin mile suptream of Aurora's setting Springer Mourtian Reservoir. Wild Inters would allow Aurora to nome efficiently site and manage transbasin water we are currently diverting. We could then store that vater at a higher elevation. Wild Inters would allow Aurora to nome efficiently site and manage transbasin water we are currently diverting. We could then store that vater at a higher elevation. Wild Inters would allow Aurora to nome efficiently site and manage transbasin water we are currently diverting. We could then store that vater at a higher elevation in the Such That's taken, effectively Reservoir, the water would then flow to Spinney Mountain Reservoir by pipeline and into the city's water system via the South Platte River. The location has very fisorable topography and environmental conditions. Initial studes have determined there are no jurisdictional watends or endangered species at undeveloped. Several small parcels are owned by the U.S. Bureau of Land Managemen (B.M.). | s Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | 2018 Dollars | Planned | Aurora Water | Alexandra Davis | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Park | 23 | | | 96000 | AF | \$ 400,000,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-64 | YWAM New Non-Tributary Well | New non-tributary well to replace existing non-tributary Derver Basin well. New well will provide augmentation supply. Implementing first phases on the project but needs additional funding to complete the project. | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Augmentation supply | Implementing | Youth With a Mission | Andrew Maclver | 85 | 0 | 15 | 0 | Jefferson | 7 | 14.4 | AF | 150 | gpm | \$ 400,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| MET-2020-7 | Brantner Gulch | Location: Branter Gulch. Channel will be stabilized to minimize erosion. Steep incised streambanks are to be flattened to 4.1 (max). In areas where the longintudinal slope i greater than 0.6%, the channel slope will be flattened and grouted boulder structure installed to achieve necessary slope. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County and City of Thornton | David Skuodas | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Adams | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 20,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-8 | Brantner Gulch: South Regional Park Tributary | Location: South Regional Park Tributary, Channel will be stabilized to minimize erosion Steep incised streambanks are to be flattened to 4:1 (max). In areas where the loogintudinal slope is greater than 0:65, the channel slope will be flattened and grouted boulder structure installed to achieve necessary slope. | n. Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Mile High Flood District Partnering with Adams County and City of Thornton | David Skuodas | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Adams | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 750,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| MET-2020-9 | Castle Rock - Boxelder Creek Municipal Project | Augmentation plan, surface and groundwater appropriations, including recharge in Bo Elder Creek to be diverted by pipeline or exchange to Castle Rock | x | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Castle Rock, Town of | | 100 | 0 | 0 | O | Arapahoe | 1 | | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 14 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0001 | Morgan County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | Morgan County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin; ongoing water development that is not specific in nature | Additional | | | | Planned | Morgan County | Allyn Wind | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Morgan | 01 | 2081 | AF | | | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2015-0002 | Morgan County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | Morgan County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply, ongoing water developmen that is not specific in nature | It Additional | | | | Planned | Morgan County | Allyn Wind | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Morgan | 01 | 2081 | AF | | | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2015-0003 | Clear Creek County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | Clear Creek County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | | | | | Not Pursuing | Clear Creek County | Bert Weaver | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Clear Creek | 07 | 899 | AF | | | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0004 | Clear Creek County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | Clear Creek County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | | | | | Not Pursuing | Clear Creek County | Bert Wesver | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Clear Creek | 07 | 899 | AF | | | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0005 | Fort Morgan CBT & Augmentation Plan | Fort Morgan CBT & Augmentation Plan | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Implementing | Fort Morgan, City of | Brent Nation | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Morgan | 01 | | | | | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2015-0006 | Windy Gap Firming Project | The WGTP is a collaborative proposal between 11 Northeastern Colorado water providers and the Platte River Power Authority. The WGTP would improve the Windy Gap Project's reliability by constructing a new storage reservoir for Windy Gap water at Chinney Hollow near Carter Lake. | Storage | | | | Implementing | Municipal Subdistrict of Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District, Erie, Lafayette, Longmont, Louisville, Broomfield, Loveland, Greeby, Fort Lupton, Superior, Central Weld Count Water District, Little Thompson Water District, Platte River Power Authority | jeff Drager, Northern Water jdrager@northernwater.org | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Grand, Larimer | 51 | 30000 | AF | 90000 | AF | \$ 610,000,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2015-0007 | Northern Integrated Supply Project | NSP is a regional water supply project coordinated by Northern Water on behalf of 15 Northern Front Range water providers. Its goal is to provide participating water provides with approximately 40,000 acre-feet of new, reliable water supply each year Northous of include the building of two reservoirs, Glade Reservoir and Caleton Reservoir. | 5 Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Implementing | Erie, Lafayette, Left Hand Water District, Fort Morgan, Dacono, Eaton, Windsor, Fort Lupton, Fort Collins - Loveland Water District, Central Welk County Water District, Evans, Morgan County Quality Water, Severance, Firestone, Frederick | Carl Brouwer, Northern Water, cbrouwer@northernwater.org | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Larimer/Weld | 03 | 40000 | AF | 210000 | AF | \$ 1,300,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2015-0008 | Ovid Reservoir | Ovid Reservoir | Storage | | | 2011 Dollars | Planned | District 64 Reservoir Company | Joe Frank | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | Sedgwick | 64 | 4600 | AF | 5700 | AF | \$ 20,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |

| January 2022 |
|--------------|
|--------------|

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R A Need N | Admin Need | County W | ater E: Dist | stimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity Capacity Units Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plar Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|--------------|---|---|------------------------|---|-------------|--|--------------|---|---|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|---|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| SP-2015-0009 | Left Hand Water District CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | Left Hand Water District CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Lefthand Water District | Chris Smith | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | D5 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0010 | Gilpin County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | Gilpin County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Gilpin County | Connie McLain | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | D7 | 203 | AF | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0011 | Gilpin County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | Gilpin County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Gilpin County | Connie McLain | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | D7 | 204 | AF | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0012 | Brush Augmentation Plan | Brush Augmentation Plan | Additional | | | | Concept | Brush, City of | Don Marymee | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Morgan | D1 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2015-0013 | Fort Collins CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexatior Dedication Policy | Transfer of agricultural rights to municipal use. Sources include numerous shares of ditches that run through Fort Collins (collectively known as Southside Ditches: Arthur, New Mercer; Interne County No. 2 and Warren Lake) and some shares in the Water Supply and Storage Company. | Supply & Demand Gap | Additional | | Water rights | Completed | Fort Collins, City of | Donnie Dustin | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Larimer | D3 | 3300 | AF | \$ 23,400,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 19 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0014 | Lafayette CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | Laføyette CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Lafayette, City of | Douglas Short | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | D6 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0015 | Greeley Water Rights Acquisition | Greeley continues to purchase agricultural water rights, primarily in the Poudre River Basin, for future conversion to municipal use. The water will be exchanged to Green Pigt will be reasoned from the large of the provided of the set of don't pigt will be reasoned for non-potable purposes either by exchange or directly through piges. | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Implementing | Greeley, City of | Adam Jokerst adam.jokerst@greeleygov.com 970.381.5337 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 2 | 10000 | AF | \$ 64,000,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2015-0016 | Greeley Conservation | City of Greeley Water Conservation Program. Activities include turf replacement, indoor and outdoor rebates, water use audits, system improvements, education and outreach, among other programs. | Conservation | | | 5-year cost assumed | Implementing | Greeley, City of | Adam Jokerst adam Jokerst@greeleygov.com 970.381.5337 | 100 | O | D | o | Weld | 3 | 3000 | AF | \$ 4,250,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2015-0017 | Milton Seaman Reservoir Enlargement | The project would be an enlargement of the existing Milton Seaman Reservoir owned by Greeky. The source of water for storage would be changed irrigation water rights and water stored under the reservoir's priorities. Fully comparison water water from the project will be reused for non-potable purposes either by exchange or directly through open. | e Storage | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Planned | Greeley, City of | Adam Jokerst adam jokerst@greeleygov.com 970.381.5337 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Larimer | 3 | 10000 | AF | 53000 AF \$ 400,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2015-0018 | Erie CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | Erie CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Erie, Town of | Gary Behlen | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | D6 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0019 | Estes Park CBT Transfer | Combine raw water sources to one water treatment plant. Pipe inflow stream rights from Glacier Creek to the Marys Lake WTP; or pipe CBT, Windy Gap, USA (replacement rights to the Glacier Creek WTP from the west portal of Adams Tunnel. | 0 | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Estes Park, Town of | Jeff Boles | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Larimer | D4 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0020 | Larimer County Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | Larimer County Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers - Change crop use or inact other water conservation measures on lands with water rights to free up water for instream Flow rights. | Conservation | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Water Right Transfer | Concept | Larimer County Department of Natura Resources | Meegan Flenniken, Daylan Figgs | 0 | 30 | 70 | 0 | Larimer | 03 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 16 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0021 | Larimer County Augmentation Plan | Larimer County Augmentation Plan | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Larimer County | Jeff Boring | 100 | O | 0 | 0 | Larimer | 03 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0022 | Larimer County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | Larimer County Unspecified IP9 Grow Into Existing Supply-Collaborate with City of Fort Collins to exchange reusable NPC CBT shares with native flow rights for agricultural uses. | Conservation | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Joint Project | Concept | Larimer County Department of Natura Resources | Meegan Flenniken, Daylan Figgs | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | Larimer | 03 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 16 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0023 | Sterling Augmentation Plan | Sterling Augmentation Plan | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Concept | Sterling, City of | Don Saling | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Logan | 54 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2015-0024 | Central Weld County Water District CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | Central Weld County Water District CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Central Weld County Water District (Johnstown, Kersey, LaSalle, Gilcrest, Frederick, Firestone, Dacono, Milliken, Platteville) | John Zadel | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 02 | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R A | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|--------------|--|---|------------------------|------------|------------|--|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|-------|---------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| SP-2015-0025 | Erie Reclaimed Water | Erie Reclaimed Water | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Erie, Town of | Jon Mays | 100 | 0 | 0 | O | Boulder | 06 | 5390 | AF | | | \$ 43,430,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0026 | Boulder County Unspecified IP Agricultural Transfers | P Boulder County Unspecified IPP Agricultural Transfers | | | | | Not Pursuing | Boulder County | Audrey Butler | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | 05 | 2055 | AF | | | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0027 | Boulder County Augmentation Plan | Boulder County Augmentation Plan | | | | | Completed | Boulder County | Audrey Butler | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Boulder | 05 | | | | | \$ - | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 16 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0028 | Boulder County Unspecified IF Grow Into Existing Supply | P Boulder County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | | | | | Not Pursuing | Boulder County | Karen Martinez | O | 85 | 15 | 0 | Boulder | 05 | 2055 | AF | | | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0029 | Longmont Ag Transfer Water Rights Dedication Policy | Longmont Ag Transfer Water Rights Dedication Policy | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Longmont, City of | Ken Huson | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | 05 | 1700 | AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0030 | Longmont Conservation | Longmont Conservation | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Longmont, City of | Ken Huson | 100 | 0 | O | 0 | Boulder | 05 | 3500 | AF | | | \$ 11,000,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0031 | Longmont Grow Into Existing Supply | Longmont Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Longmont, City of | Ken Huson | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | 05 | 9000 | AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0032 | Union Pumpback Pipeline | Union Pumpback Pipeline | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Longmont, City of | Ken Huson | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 05 | 4950 | AF | | | \$ 18,800,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0033 | Union Reservoir Enlargement | The proposed enlargement will increase the reservoir from its current 12,000 acre feet capacity an additional 20,000 acre feet to a total of 32,000 acre feet. The increased capacity in the Reservoir would provide the Cly of Longent with additional water storage capacity, better water exchange possibilities, and storage space for reuse water. | t | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Longmont, City of | Ken Huson | 100 | 0 | D | 0 | Weld | 05 | 1770 | AF | 12280 | AF | \$ 25,000,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 20 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0034 | Logan County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | Logan County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin; ongoing water development that is not specific in nature | t Additional | | | | Concept | Logan County | Kent Swedlund | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Logan | 64 | 1314 | AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0035 | Logan County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | Logan County Unspecified IPP Grow into Existing Supply, ongoing water development that is not specific in nature | Additional | | | | Concept | Logan County | Kent Swedlund | 100 | 0 | 0 | D | Logan | 64 | 1315 | AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0036 | Superior CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | Superior CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Superior, Town of | Kurt Kowar | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | 06 | | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0037 | Loveland CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | Loveland CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Loveland, City of | Larry Howard | 100 | 0 | D | 0 | Larimer | 04 | | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0038 | Loveland Ag Transfer Water Rights Dedication Policy | Loveland Ag Transfer Water Rights Dedication Policy | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Loveland, City of | Larry Howard | 100 | 0 | 0 | D | Larimer | 04 | 3150 | AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Ar Need N | dmin leed | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimate Capacit | d Capacity y Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|--------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------|---|--|--------------|---|--|-------------|------------|------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| SP-2015-0039 | Loveland Grow Into Existing Supply | Loveland Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Loveland, City of | Larry Howard | 100 | o | 0 | 0 4 | Larimer | 04 | 485 | D AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0040 | Cheyenne County (South Platte Basin portion) Grow Into Existing Supply | Cheyenne County (South Platte Basin portion) Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Cheyenne County | Leon Allen | 100 | o | O | 0 0 | Cheyenne | 49 | 6 | 3 AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0041 | Teller County (South Platte Basin portion) Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | Teller County (South Platte Basin portion) Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Teller County | Marc Dettenrieder | 100 | o | Ō | 0 1 | Teller | 23 | 68 | 9 AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0042 | Teller County (South Platte Basin portion) Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | Teller County (South Platte Basin portion) Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Teller County | Marc Dettenrieder | 100 | o | o | 0 1 | Teller | 23 | 68 | 9 AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0043 | Park County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | Park County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | | | | | Not Pursuing | Park County | Mike Brazell | 100 | o | 0 | O F | Park | 23 | 123 | 6 AF | | | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0044 | Park County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | Park County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | | | | | Not Pursuing | Park County | Mike Brazell | 100 | o | ō | 0 F | Park | 23 | 123 | 6 AF | | | | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0045 | Fort Collins-Loveland Water District CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | Fort Collins-Loveland Water District CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Fort Collins-Loveland Water Distrcit | Mike DiTullio | 100 | o | 0 | 0 1 | Larimer | 03 | | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0046 | East Larimer County Water District CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | East Larimer County Water District CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | East Larmier County Water District | Mike Scheid | 100 | o | 0 | 0 L | Larimer | 03 | | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0047 | Xcel Pawnee Power Facility Consumptive Use Water | Xcel Pawnee Power Facility Consumptive Use Water | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Concept | Xcel | Rich Belt | 100 | o | 0 | 0 1 | Morgan | 01 | | | - | | - | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2015-0048 | Kit Carson County Grow Into Existing Supply | Kit Carson County Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Kit Carson County | Richard Mann | 100 | o | 0 | • | Kit Carson | 49 | 94 | D AF | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0049 | Chatfield Storage Reallocation Project | The Chatfield Reservoir Reallocation Project came about as the result of a growing demand for water in Coloradd's Front Range and on northeast coloradd farms. The U Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) determined ChatField Reservoir can accommodate an additional 20,000 erected of water stopphytication componensing its flood control function. This additional storage space will be used by municipal and agricultural water providers to help mere the diverse needs of the state. Project participants completed recreasional modifications and environmental mitigations. At ChatField State Park to address the impacts of additional water storage | .5. Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Completed | The Greenway Foundation, Aurora, Brighton, Central Colorado WCD, Colorado Division of Parks and Outdo Recretation, Denvere Botanic Gardena S. Chatfield, Western Mutual Ditch Company, Castle Jiens North Metto District, Centennial WSD, Center of Colorado WSD, Mount Carbon Metto District, Perry Park Country Club, Roxborough WSD, Suth Metto Wate Supply Authority, Town of Castle Roci | or It Rick Mcloud K | 100 | 0 | D | 0 6 | Douglas | 08 | 800 | D AF | 2060 | 0 AF | \$ 171,000,000 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 21 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0050 | North Weld County Water District CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | North Weld County Water District CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | North Weld County Water District | Rick Pickard | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Weld | 03 | | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0051 | Castle Rock Reuse | Castle Rock Water anticipates neue water will eventually comprise 39% of the Town' water supply, including senior and junor native surface water rights, tawn inrigation neuron flows (RBA) and water resule hold the Cherry crede bisin and Pium Creek basin. As part of Castle Rock's water supply plan, the Town of Castle Rock purchased surface water diversion, pumping and raw water storage Radiaties located on Rhun Creek neur the Town of Sediali. This location will include two neuronics to divert reacable supplies for comparison back to the Pium Creek Water Purification Tallino, pipeline that conveys non-solutible resule water to Red Hawk Golf Course for inrigation sumptime that conveys non-solutible resule water to Red Hawk Golf Course for inrigation summer days. | s supply & Demand Gap t, | Storage | Additional | | Implementing | Castle Rock, Town of | Matt Benak, Matt Benak, Water Resources Manager mbenak@regov.com 720-733-6037 | 100 | D | 0 | 0 6 | Douglas | 08 | 570 | D AF | 137 | 0 AF | \$ 5,000,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|--------------|--|---|---|---|----------------|--|--------------|---|--|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| SP-2015-0052 | Hudson Augmentation Plan | Hudson Augmentation Plan | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Hudson, Town of | RON ALLEN | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Weld | 01 | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0053 | Yuma County Grow Into Existing Supply | Yuma County Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Yuma County | Stan Holmes | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Yuma | 65 | 85 |) AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0054 | Berthoud CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | s Berthoud CBT, Ag Water Rights Aquisition, & Annexation Dedication Policy | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Berthoud, Town of | Stephanie Brothers | 100 | O | 0 | 0 | Weld | 04 | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0055 | Lochbuie Augmentation Plan | Lochbuie Augmentation Plan | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Completed | Lochbuie, Town of | Stevel Stamey | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Weld | 02 | | | | s - | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| SP-2015-0056 | Louisville CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | Louisville CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Louisville, City of | Tom Phare | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Boulder | 06 | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 15 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0057 | Sedgwick County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin | Sedgwick County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin; ongoing water development that is not specific in nature | Additional | | | | Concept | Sedgwick County | Wayne Skold | 100 | o | o | 0 | Sedgwic | ck 65 | 6 |) AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0058 | Sedgwick County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | Sedgwick County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply; ongoing water development that is not specific in nature | Additional | | | | Concept | Sedgwick County | Wayne Skold | 100 | O | 0 | D | Sedgwic | tk 65 | 6 | LAF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 18 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0059 | Fort Lupton CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | Fort Lupton CBT & Ag Water Rights Acquisition | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Fort Lupton, City of | | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 02 | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 14 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0060 | Julesburg Augmentation Plan | Julesburg Augmentation Plan | Additional | | | | Implementing | Lower South Platte Water Conservanc District | ^y Allen Coyne | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Sedgwic | ck 64 | | | | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2015-0061 | Washington County Unspecified IPP Firming In- Basin | Washington County Unspecified IPP Firming In-Basin; ongoing water development that is not specific in nature | Additional | | | | Concept | Washington County | | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Washing | gton 65 | 3 | 5 AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0062 | Lincoln County (South Platte Basin portion) Grow Into Existing Supply | Lincoln County (South Platte Basin portion) Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Lincoln County | | 100 | O | 0 | O | Lincoln | 65 | 13 | 7 AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0063 | Phillips County Grow Into Existing Supply | Phillips County Grow Into Existing Supply | Supply & Demand Gap | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Phillips County | | 100 | o | o | 0 | Phillips | 65 | 29 | 4 AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0064 | Washington County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply | Washington County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Existing Supply; ongoing water development that is not specific in nature | Additional | | | | Concept | Washington County | | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Washing | gton 65 | 3 | 7 AF | | | Tier 4 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 17 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2015-0065 | Halligan Water Supply Project | Hallgan Reservoir is an existing reservoir on the North Fork of the Poudre River, 25 miles northwest of Fort Collins. If approved, the Natigan Water Supply Project will endange hallgan Reservoir by appointained; 51.00 acr-feet to meet the demand of future Fort Collins Utilities' water customers and provide increased reliability for existing customers to help protect against service interruptions related to prolonged drought and emergencies. The project will help: provide a storage reserve for emergency water supply durquiptons, increased diragits scarsity move water system-reliability and flexibility; and meet future water demand of the Fort Collins Utilities' water service area. | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Planned | Fort Collins, City of | Donnie Dustin | 100 | O | 0 | 0 | Larimer | • 03 | 790 |) AF | 8100 AF | \$ 120,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-1 | Abell Ranch | Improve river habitat for aquatic species and bank stabilization on private land with 2.8 miles of river frontage. | Land Use | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | & Conservation | | Planned | CUSP and Abell Ranch | John Geerdes - Executive Director of CUSP | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Park | 23 | N | NA | NA NA | \$ 545,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-10 | Banner Lakes SWA Wetlands - Planning & Restoration | Banner Lakes State Wildlife Area is one of the most important public recreation areas in Weld County, and comprises over 900-acces of wetland and adjacent uplands. A comprehensive restoration and management plan will be developed to dentify restoration opportunities as well as imported management and well resolutes on property to benefit impiatory briefs and wetland habitats. Future work includes implementation of restoration projects that are identified and designed a spar of this plan. Restoration projects that are identified and designed as any of this plan. Restoration projects that are identified and designed as any of this plan. Restoration projects twill tillse standard techniques such as adding or removing wetland plant communities that are beneficial to migratory birds and other wildlife. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | o | 0 | 100 | 0 | Weld | 1 | N | A NA | NA NA | \$ 60,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |

Project Description

Project_ID Project Name

| SP-2020-100 | South Flat Diversion Fish Passage | Modifications to the South Flat Ditch to open fish passage on reach of the St Vrain - in conjunction with Niwot and Beckwith Ditch fish passage efforts will open up more that 2 miles of habits for native plains fish species of concern (as well as other native and sport fish passage) | Watershed n Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Additional | Fish Passage | Planned | Boulder County and CPW | Tim Shafer, Boulder County tshafer@bouldercounty.org Boyd Wright; NE Region Native Aquatics | 30 | 70 | 0 | Boulder | 5 | NA | ia P | ia na | \$ 500,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|--|---|----|-----|-----|----------------------------|------|-------|---------|------------|----------------------|----------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|----|------|
| SP-2020-101 | South Platte Public Lands Warmwater Slough Restoration Program | Warmwater sloughs and associated riparian habitats will be restored to improve waterforw and other wildlife habitat characteristics. Flood-deposited sediments and invasive stands of vegetation will be removed. Water-control structures will be installed to maintain appropriate water levels and flows. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Implementing | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Matthew A. Reddy, Regional Biologist 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Morgan, Logan, Sedgwick | 64 | NA | а | 3 miles | \$ 300,0 | 00 Tier | 2 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier |
| SP-2020-102 | South Platte Reforestation | Reforestation of the burn scars in the Upper South Platte watershed. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Concept | Denver Water | Christina Burri, Madelene 0 McDonald | 0 | 100 | 0 | Weld | 1 | NA | а | IA NA | | Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier |
| SP-2020-103 | South Platte Regional Opportunities Water Group Concept - Full/Unadjusted | Concept for new storage near Henderson, Kersey and Balzac and pipeline to Denver Metro Area. New water rights, capture of return flows, and ATMs. Proposed by group of Muni, 4g and E&R agencies (SPROWG). No adjustment for Parker/ISPWCD project is same region. | Supply & n Demand Gap | Storage | Agriculture | Regional | Planned | SPROWG Working Group | Lisa Darling 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | Morgan | 1 58 | 000 4 | FY 2230 | 00 AF | \$ 2,200,0 | 00 Tier | 4 Tier | 1 Tie | er 3 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier |
| SP-2020-104 | South St. Vrain Creek Restoration and floodplain connectivity project | Following the reclamation of the andexite query and adjacent reclimation efforts, complete restoration through the remaining creek excitons, including the realignment of South St. Visian creek at the query and post flood restoration upstream of Oid South St. Visian freidge, as well as connecting the floodplain, improve habitat, and create recreation opportunities throughout. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Boulder County | David Hirt 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Boulder | 5 | NA I | ia M | ia na | \$ 1,500,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier |
| SP-2020-105 | St Vrain and Left Hand Creek Watershed Plan | St. Vrain and Left Hand Creeks Adaptive Management at Scale Watershed Planning and Monitoring, funded in progress, this program will identify new funding opportunities and has opportunity for expansion funding | d Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Implementing | Left Hand Watershed Center | Jessica Olson, Left Hand Watershed Center 0 jolson@lwog.org | 0 | 100 | 0 | Boulder | 5 | NA | IA P | IA NA | | Tier | 1 Tier | 2 Tie | er 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier |
| SP-2020-106 | St Vrain Stream Management Plan | SMP examining watershed/stream conditions and opportunities for post-flood (2013) projects to further benefit environmental, recreational, agricultural and domestic uses See website: https://svlhwcd.org/stream-management-plan | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Implementing | St Vrain Left Hand Water Conservancy District | Sean Cronin / St Vrain Left Hand WCD - 0 sean.cronin@svlhwcd.org | 0 | 100 | 0 | Boulder | 5 | NA | IA N | IA NA | | Tier | 1 Tier | 2 Tie | er 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier |
| SP-2020-107 | St. Vain Basin Water Education | Developing publications, hosting annual conference and workshops, field tours for real estate profesionals and students, water literate leaders program. | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | & Conservation | | Implementing | SVLHWCD | Jason Roudebush 0 | 0 | o | 100 | Boulder | 5 | NA | IA N | ia na | \$ 300,0 | 00 Tier | 1 Tier | 2 Tie | er 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier |
| SP-2020-108 | St. Vrain Creek acquatic habitat development | Enhance backwater habitat for native fish and waterfowl and endangered species along St. Vrain Creek at Hygiene. This project currently has interest from CPW and USFWS but no formalized funding at the current time. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Boulder County | Mac Kobza 0 | 0 | 100 | | Boulder | 5 | NA | ia n | ia na | \$ 100,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier |
| SP-2020-109 | St. Vrain Creek Sediment Transport & Fish Passage - 10 Structures | In collabration with Boulder Courty, Left Hand Watershed Center, and several irrigation Companies, SVLHVOC is proposing to retolfor or replace 10 diversion structures on S.Y. Von Ceech. The project require extensive feasibility studies and the development of agreements between the irrigation Companies and the Project sponsor. Ultimately the uggrades are necessary to improve sediment transport and allow for fish passage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Supply & Demand Gap | | Planned | Partneship with Boulder County and Left Hand Watershed Center | Jason Roudebush 33 | 33 | 33 | 1 | Boulder | 5 | NA | ia M | ia na | \$ 10,000 <i>,</i> 1 | 100 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier |
| SP-2020-11 | Beckwith ditch Diversion Fish Passage | Rebuild or retrofit diversion on SL. Vrain Creek to facilitate fish passage; Modifications to the Beckwith Ditch to open fish passage on reach of the SL Vrain – in conjunction with South Tata and Nived Ditch Tah passage efforts will open up more than 2 miles of habitat for native plains fish species of concern (as well as other native and sport fish passage) | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Additional | Fish Passage | Planned | Boulder County and CPW | Tim Shafer, Boulder County tshafer@bouldercounty.org 0 Boyd Wright; CPW NE Region Native Aquatics | 30 | 70 | 0 | Boulder | 5 | NA | ia p | ia na | \$ 750,0 | 00 Tier | 4 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier |
| SP-2020-110 | St. Vrain Creek Streamflow Gaging Stations | Procurement and installation of 4 streamflow gaging stations on St. Vrain Creek to improve administration and ongoing assessment of creek health. | Supply & Demand Gap | Agriculture | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Administration | Planned | SVLHWCD | Jason Roudebush 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | Boulder | 5 | NA | IA N | ia na | \$ 24,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier |
| SP-2020-111 | Terry Ranch Aquifer Storage and Recovery Project | The Terry Ranch is an aquifer storage and recovery project that adds more than 1 million acre-feet of water to the city's vast, existing water portfolio | Storage | Supply & Demand Gap | | ASR; Potential for Regional Project | Planned | Greeley, City of | Sean Chambers 100 | O | 15 | 0 | Weld | 1 60 | 000 | NF. | | \$ 370,000, | 100 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier |
| SP-2020-112 | Timnath Diversion Fish Passage | Reconstruct Timnath Diversion to facilitate fish passage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Additional | Fish Passage | Planned | CPW | Kyle Battige; Area 4 Aquatic 0 Biologist | 30 | 70 | 0 | Larimer | 3 | NA | IA M | IA NA | \$ 600,0 | 00 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier |
| SP-2020-113 | UNCC Pump Station No. 1 | Construct a new infiltration gallery and pump station along Apex Road with a capacity of up to 7 cfs | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | NA | iA | 7 cfs | | Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier |
| SP-2020-114 | UNCC Pump Station No. 2 | Construct a new infiltration gallery and pump station along Apex Road with a capacity of up to 7 cfs | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | NA | IA | 7 cfs | | Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier |
| SP-2020-115 | UNCC Pumping Station and Pipeline | Construct a new infiltration gallery and pump station with a capacity of up to 7 cfs | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk 100 | O | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | NA | IA | 7 cfs | | Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier |
| SP-2020-116 | Upper Pickle Gulch Reservoir | New reservoir on Pickle Gulch, above the existing Pickle Jar Reservoir | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk 100 | 0 | o | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | | 8 | 51 acre-fe | et | Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier |
| SP-2020-117 | Walker Ranch Forestry Project | Thinning planned on 350 acres on Boulder County POS land in Meyers Guich, within the South Boulder Creek Waterheld. Work would improve forest structure, promote algone where possible, and completed CTR-370 forest relatoration. This procets has a lapported prescription but will need a USIVS consult due to Preble's jumping moute habitat. We hope to star that consult protess in D22/D22 TBB project could be spread out over a 2 to 3 year timeframe. \$1.175 million, does not include local match. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | Public Safety | Planned | Boulder County | Stefan Reinold 50 | | 50 | | Boulder | 6 | NA | IA P | IA NA | \$ 1,750, | 100 Tier | 3 Tier | 2 Tie | er 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier |
| SP-2020-118 | Watershed Science Education | Developing watershed science curriculum in collaboration with Lyons schools, and others. (Estimate \$30K needed per year to keep program going.) | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | & Agriculture | Watershed Science | Implementing | Left Hand Watershed Center | Jessie Olson 25 | 25 | 50 | | Boulder | 5, 6 | NA | IA M | IA NA | \$ 30,0 | 00 Tier | 1 Tier | 2 Tie | er 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier |

Lead Contact

Lead Proponent

Key Word 1 Key Word 2 Key Word 3 Key Word 4 Status

M&I Ag E&R Admin Need Need Need Need Water Estimated Dist Yield Yield Units

Estimated Capacity Capacity Units Estimated Cost

Tier

Timeline Basin Plan Local Plan Water Plan Criticality Data Time Align Align Align Num

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Water I Dist | stimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | n Local Plan Align | n Water Plar Align | Criticality | Core Data | Tier |
|-------------|--|--|---|---|---|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| SP-2020-119 | Wellington SWA Wellands - Planning & Restoration | Wellington State Wildlife Area is one of the most important public recreation areas along the 1-25 corridor. A comprehensive restoration and management plan will be developed for Wellington State Willife Area and associated units to identify the storation comportunities a well al amounter management of water resources on the restoration comportunities a well as important to the storagement of work includes implementation of restoration projects that are identified and designed as part of the jain. Restoration projects that are identified and designed for removing water control and consequence infrastructure in order to promote the growth of watand plant communities that are beneficial to migratory birds and other wildlife. | Watershed Health, Environment & g Recreation | Additional | | Wetlands Conservation | Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Larimer | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 60,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-12 | Bijou Ranch Restoration II | Welland restoration will be performed such that welland habitats will grow wetland plants preferred by foraging populations of migratory ducks and geee. This restoratio will be managed under a 30-year 35E conversion Agreement that will mandate a limited public access agreement, allowing some segment of the populations (i.e. youth hunters) access to the property for waterflow hunting during allotted periods of the season | n Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Matthew A. Reddy, Regional Biologist | 0 | O | 100 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 125,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-120 | Wheeler Diversion Point | Construct a new infiltration gallery as an alternative point of diversion for Upper NCC Pump Station No. 2 and Upper NCC Pumping Station and Pipeline storage filling rights and for the direct diversion rights for Upper NCC Pump Station Nos. 1 and 2 along SH 119, west/upstream of the City, with a capacity of up to 21 cfs | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | NA | NA | 21 | cfs | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-121 | Wiggins East Farm - Construction of Recharge Site | Development of a constructed recharge pond East of Fort Morgan for use in Wiggins Augmentation Plan. Project in the early phases (Catlin Approval Process) | Supply & Demand Gap | Agriculture | | | Planned | Wiggins, Town of | Tom Acre | 75 | 25 | | | Morgan | 1 | 100 | AF | 100 | AF | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-122 | Wiggins East Farm - Recharge Site (Project Planning) | Planning for development of a constructed recharge pond East of Fort Morgan for use in Wiggins Augmentation Plan. Project in the early phases (Catlin Approval Process) | Supply & Demand Gap | Agriculture | | | Implementing | Wiggins, Town of | Tom Acre | 75 | 25 | | | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-123 | Wiggins Increase Capacity for Municipal Wells | Construction of new wells and improvements to existing wells to increase capacity of Town's municipal supply system | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Concept | Wiggins, Town of | Tom Acre | 100 | O | 0 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | 600 | AF | 600 | AF | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-124 | Wiggins North Farm - Wellfields and Recharge Ponds | Initial project with Wiggins and CCWD including multiple wellfields and recharge ponds. Freject currently being implemented. However, use of the site by Wiggins will require additional infrastructure (and herefore additional funding). Agriculture use o project reflects use of the site by CCWCD | Supply & n Demand Gap | Agriculture | | | Implementing | Wiggins, Town of | Tom Acre | 80 | 20 | | | Morgan | 1 | 950 | AF | 3000 | AF | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-13 | Black Hawk Chase Gulch Reservoir | New reservoir on Chase Gulch, at or near existing Central City's Chase Gulch Reservoir | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | | | 600 | acre-feet | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-14 | BOR - Narrows Tracts | The goal for the Narrows Wetlands Project is to maximize opportunities and partnerships to develop a serie of conjunctive use wetlands that will benefit people and wildlife while enhancing recreational value of the Narrows poperties. The restoration and creation of wetland basins on the properties will assist in easing watere and pennet. The Narrows Provider Stational watere management opportunities in the form of streamflow augmentation via groundwater recharge. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Ag | Storage | Wetlands Conservation | Implementing | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grénier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | 50 | ac | \$ 1,200,000 |) Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-15 | Buckskin Gulch | Acquire 80 acres of land with Beaver Creek running west to east | Watershed Health, Environment & | Conservation | | | Implementing | The Alma Foundation | Jara Johnson | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Park | 23 | | | 1 | River Mile | s\$ 375,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-16 | Button Rock Forestry Project | Thinning forested lands surrounding Button Rock Watershed on Boulder County, Citry Component, and USFS lands. The goal would be to implement concepts of GTB 373, while increasing the meddow size, creating a groupy clumpy structure, promoting structural diversity on the landscape. This work can attractically protect the waters supply for diversity on the landscape. This work can attractically any protect the waters supply for the protect of the structural diversity on the landscape. This work can be fore the structural diversity on the landscape. This work can be fore the structural diversity on the landscape. This work can be provided as the structural diversity on the landscape of the structural diversity of the structural diversity of the structural diversity of the structural diversity of the structural diversity. The structural diversity of the structura | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | Public Safety | Planned | Boulder County | Stefan Reinold | 50 | D | 50 | 0 | Boulder | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 1,135,000 |) Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-17 | Chase Gulch Infiltration Gallery and Pump Station | Construct a new infiltration gallery and pump station with a capacity of up to 3.5 cfs on Chase Gulch above the confluence of Chase Gulch and NCC | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | | | 3.5 | cfs | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-18 | Clear Creek County Water Bank and Upper South Platte Basin- wide Augmentation Plan | Long term Storage and Placement to Beneficial Use of Trans Basin Waters. The CCC Water Basin definers, stores and places to beneficial use, waters from the Lupper Control Rover Basin rules handwaters of the South Platte Basin. The CCC Water Basin an integrated system of water rights and structures operated by the County for use of water within Glecc recel County, which system includes reservoirs, exchanges, an Upper Basin-wide Augmentation Plan, and numerous decreed water rights. | is Storage | Supply & Demand Gap | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Trans-basin water | Implementing | Clear Creek County | Lisa Leben Ileben@clearcreek.county.us 303-679-2434 | 90 | o | 10 | 0 | Clear Creek | 7 | 83 | AF/year | 1125 | AF | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-19 | Clear Creek Stream Managemen Plan | SMP to look at potential flow, water quality, habitat, passage issues on Clear Creek - final scope TBD but outreach is covering headwaters to confluence with the South Platte | Watershed Health, Environment & | | | | Implementing | West Denver Trout Unlimited | Darren Beck / West Denver Chapter TU darren.s.beck@gmail.com | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Clear Creek | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 1 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R / Need | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|------------|---|--|--|---|---|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|
| SP-2020-2 | Adaptive Management at Scale | We are collaborating with partners to develop and implement a shared adaptive management framework for assessing watershed health in the 3. Vrain Basin (including Left Hand Creek and Boulder Creek). We will develop the shared framework collaboratively, withings throm diverse entities that currently manage and monitor watershed health throughout the basin. Our gall is to create a framework that will help us make more findmed management decisions as a basin and better track breez progress towards basin-wide watershed health gals. Additional funding needed to keep project going after current funding ends in 2023. Estimated cost is \$200,000 pe year to keep this project going. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Land Use | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Adaptive Management | Implementing | Left Hand Watershed Center | Jessie Olson | ō | 15 | 80 | 5 | Boulder | 5, 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 200,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-20 | Coffintop | Off-channel multi-purpose storage development with existing decrees for conditional water right. Concepts being contemplated for maximizing decrees for multiple benefit throughout the balan. Additional funding will be required for project development including design and construction. | ts Supply & Demand Gap | Agriculture | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | & | Implementing | SVLHWCD | Jason Roudebush | 33 | 33 | 33 | 1 | Boulder | 5 | 116000 | AF | | AF | \$ 100,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-21 | Community Science | We host annual Front Range Watershed Days and Catch the Hatch Projects we also host occassional community monitoring and volunteer stewardship projects. [Estimat \$30K needed per year to keep program running) | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Watershed Health, Environment 8 Recreation | & Agriculture | Watershed Science | Implementing | Left Hand Watershed Center | Jessie Olson | 25 | 25 | 50 | | Boulder | 5, 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 30,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-22 | Continental-Hoosier System Project | Continental-Hoosier System Project (DIS)- an effort undertaken by Colorado Springs- Utilities to improve resiliency of CIS system by addressing system risks associated to climatic and hydrologic variability, growing demands, operational and administrative challenges. By investing high elevation storage through an earliegement of suballequiers (Discourse) in the system of the system of the system smitche torage development, dy vanc ranyour and diversion of additional water available under Colorado Springer "soling water rights. Historically this additional water has often been foregone "spilled") due to system storage and capacity limitations, mostly futing average and above average years. Utilities may develop up to 8.100 acre feet (AT) of additional storage in Montgomery Reservoir (in the Upper South Platte Bauni, However, an alternative to developing th effect of the transmission of the system storage rights in the Blue River Baun. | Storage e re | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Implementing | Colorado Springs Utilities | Kim Gortz Water Resources Planning Supervisor Iggort@csu.org | 100 | O | 0 | 0 | El Paso | 36 | 4000 | AF | | | \$ 140,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-23 | Coordination of St Vrain Forest Health Partnership | S: Wain Forest Health Partnership was born out of a landscape scale and shared stewardship vision defined by a Boulder County and USFS MOU process (https://sears.bouldercounty.org/wp-conter/uplaads/2020/R/Inest-health-mou- seper.ddf). The Partnership and to bring together driver at stabilitions and cound implement rora-publicitical landscape-scale (next network) and the SV whin Basin that prepares the landscape and community for receive wildlind fire as a nature part of the eccoystem. Currently have limited functing, but additional funding needed for coordination (SQDO)(Near) and implementation (estimated at \$1million/year - implementation not included is project costs). | Watershed Health, Environment & I Recreation | Land Use | | Forest Health | Implementing | Left Hand Watershed Center | Jessie Olson | 20 | 20 | 60 | | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 60,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-24 | Copeland Reservoir | Lining of a leaky decreed reservoir. As a result, 75.5 AF of storage will be reclaimed. ALTA-survey complete. Design scope of work provided. Seeking funds for design and construction. | Supply & Demand Gap | Agriculture | Watershed Health, Environment & | 8 | Implementing | SVLHWCD | Jason Roudebush | 33 | 33 | 33 | 1 | Boulder | 5 | 175.5 | AF | | AF | \$ 1,500,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-25 | Dam Risk and Resiliance Analysis | Comprehensive analysis of dam risk and resiliance of storage throughout Boulder County in response to update in Dam Safety Rules, and analysis of decreed vs. actual storage for the purpose of re-establishing storage volume lost as a result of sedimentation | Storage | Agriculture | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | & Public safety | Planned | Boulder County | Aurdey Butler | o | 70 | 30 | 0 | Boulder | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 300,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-26 | Data Visualization Platform | Hosting and visualization of Stream Management Plan data/results. Platform will facilitate water management and land use decisions by SVLH and other water users in the basin and provide community water education | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Agriculture | Supply & Demand | Planned | SVLHWCD | Jason Roudebush | 33 | 33 | 33 | 100 | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 100,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-27 | Double K Ranch Wetlands | Wetland restoration will be performed such that wetland habitats will grow wetland plants preferred by foraging populations of migratory ducks and genes. This restoration will be managed under 30 apers tile concentration Agreement that will monate a limited public access agreement, allowing some segment of the populations (i.e. you hanters) access to the property for waterfowl hunting during allotted periods of the passon | n Watershed Health, h Environment & Recreation | , Ag | Supply and Demand Gap | Wetlands Conservation | Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Matthew A. Reddy, Regional Biologist | 10 | 10 | 80 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 250,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-28 | Dream Stream Revegetation | Annual CPW Project to plant willows along degraded portions of the "Dream Stream" section of the South Platte River | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Additional | | Implementing | CPW | Tyler Swarr; Area 1 Aquatic Biologist and Matt Kondratieff; Aquatic Research Scientist | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Park | 23 | NA | NA | NA | NA | s - | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-29 | Duck Creek SWA - Planning & Restoration | A comprehensive restoration and management plan for Duck. Creak State Wildlife Ark will be developed to identify restoration opportunities as well as improve manageme of water resources on the property to benefin migratory thrist an wetlend habitatis. Future work includes implementation of restoration projects with at are identified and designed as part of this plan. Restoration projects will utilize standard techniques so as adding or removing water control and conveyance infrastructure in order to promote the growth of wetland plant communities that are beneficial to migratory birds and other wildlife. | a nt Watershed Health, h Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Concept | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Logan | 64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 60,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-3 | Adaptive Management in St. Vrain and Left Hand Watersheds | Completing stewardship, monitoring, and adaptive management in Left Hand and St. Vrain Watersheds. State of the Watershed Reports produced annually. Project underway, additional funding needed for continued implementation of monitoring an stewardship (Szol) ker year) | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Land Use | Agriculture | Adaplive Management | Implementing | Left Hand Watershed Center | Jessie Olson | 20 | 20 | 55 | 5 | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 200,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | timated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|------------|--|---|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|
| sp-2020-30 | Economic Impact of River Recreation | Undertake an economic impact analysis to understand river recreation's economic impact to local economies and how it can provide integral economic diversification. American Whitewater is developing a framework to assess economic impact of river recreation to understand what creational opportunities, as identified in the nonconsumptive toobac, may generate in terms of dollars. This project will provide a through, durb-based comonic impact for the watersheld that analyses for the stakeholderriven water management scenarios affect door burneling. The project will provide a through, durb-based comonic impact for the watersheld that analyses for the recreation resource common, but the tectomory is affected by trendhow, and bur water management decisions affect that economic impact. It is optimal to implement this study in locations that have complete toom by affected by Explorer project to disattify how inger water supply changes may affect the river receasion on dollarsis determined in the true condice counts. All will conditions that have complete thrue Avaid House Study in Locations and the concent impacts determined in the true is not been developed through the luriversity of profiles to learning valies any affect that economic impacts to the program actional by the impact of a recreasional opportunity. This project will help a basin in developing comprehensive approach to juncted water the health, plan accordingly for Stream Management Plans and Basin Implementations Plans, and diversify economic growth. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional | Supply & Demand Gap | Planed | American Whitewater | Hatte Johnson hatte@americanwhitewater.or 8 970-456-8533 | 0 | D | 85 | 15 | weld | 1 | NA | NA | 200 | River Miles S | 199,328 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-31 | Eleven Mile Diversion Dam Removal | CLSP has completed 70% design for the removal of the 11 Mile low head dam at the mouth of 11 Mile Canyon and restoration of the river above and below the structure. We will take design to a public 200M meeting. Stakeholders have reviewed the design. After public meeting. USSS needs to complete NEPA and CUSP must fund naise for implementation of project. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Additional | Planned | CUSP, USPS, COS Utilities, Denver Water | John Geerdes- Coalition for the Upper South Platte 719-748- 0033 (office) johngeerdes@cusp.ws Tyler Swarr; CPW Area 1 Aquatic Biologist | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Park | 23 | | | | \$ | 2,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 17 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-32 | Elevenmile Canyon Sediment Control | Improve parking and angler access points & stabilize banks, to address sedimentation coming from existing parking areas and social trails | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | Concept | Coalition for the Upper South Platte | John Geerdes - Coalition for the Upper South Platte 719-748- 0033 (office) | o | o | 100 | o | Park | 23 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-33 | Elliott SWA - Union Ditch Repair | Goal of the project is to improve conveyance of water resources to Ellics State Wildlifk Area by replacing part of the main delivery ditch with pipeline in order to improve the quantity of water that supplies water to > 400 acres wetland habitat that migratory binds, and wildlife depend on. Elicid SVMs to not elit the most mortant public recreation areas for waterfowl hunting along the South Platte River. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA \$ | 150,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-34 | Elliott SWA Wetlands - Elliott West Tract | Elliott SWA is one of the premier public recreation areas for waterfowl hunting along the South Platte River. Wetland habitats have become degraded on this unit of Elliott SWA due to deligible water control industructure and intellicitories in convergence of water resources. Project gails are to restore wellands habitats that migratory binds, withing, and guide coupled on the visiture standard estimations are so another be ground and the standard standard standard and the standard be of water resources. The standard standard standard standards and the standard beneficient of the standard standard standard and the standard beneficient of the standard beneficient of wetland plant communities that are beneficial to migratory birds and other wildlife | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA S | 200,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-35 | Elliott SWA Wetlands - North Hamlin Tract | Eliott SWA is one of the premier public recreation areas for waterfowl hunting along the South Platte River. Wetland habitats have become degraded on this unit of Eliott SWA des to deligible water control instructure and infeliences in convergence of water resources. Project gala are to restore welfands habitats that migratory birds, withins, and public depend on by writes trading techniques south as adding or provide the second second second second second second second second second of welfand galant communities that are beneficial to migratory birds and other wildlife | Watershed Health, Fervironment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | . NA \$ | 250,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-36 | Elliott SWA Wetlands - South Hamlin Tract | Elitot SWA is one of the premier public recreation areas for waterfowl hunting along the South Platte River. Wetland habitats have become degraded on this unit of Elitot SWA due to deligible water control instructure and infectiones in convergence of water resources. Project gala are to restore wetlands habitats that migratory binds, removing water control and convergence informations are to prove of water removing and and and the second second and the second provide and and and the second second and the second provide second and convergence informations that are to restore wetland of water and plant communities that are beneficial to migratory birds and other wildlife | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | . NA S | 200,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-37 | Elliott SWA Wetlands - Union Tract | Elists SWA is one of the premier public recreation areas for waterfowl hunting along the South Platta River Method hubitatis have become degraded on this unit of Elistet. SWA data to defidented water control infrastructure and infrastructures in conveyances, of water resources. Project goals would be unconveyance to a solding or removing water control and conveyance infrastructure in order to promote the goard of welland plant communities that are beneficial to migratory blocks and other wildlife. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Planned Conservation | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA S | 200,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-38 | Erie - Construction of Up to 5 Additional HDD Wells | Construction of up to 5 additional HDD wells in the Boulder Creek alluvium to divert junior water rights to be augmented with Windy Gap reuse effluent. | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Planned | Erie, Town of | Todd Fessenden | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | 06 | | | 5000 | gpm | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-39 | Evaluation of post flood fish passage | Evaluate three different type of fish passage structures at diversions along the front range (MS student at CSU) | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Additional | Fish Passage Implementing | CPW | Boyd Wright; NE Region Native Aquatics | 0 | 30 | 70 | 0 | Larimer | 3 | NA | NA | NA | . NA \$ | 250,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-4 | Alma River Walk | Build a sustainable ADA trail to reduce impacts to the wetlands and wildlife found there. Environmental interpretive signage aims to educate users to help inspire more responsible recreation in this unique place. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | | Implementing | The Alma Foundation | Jara Johnson | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Park | 23 | NA | NA | 0.5 | River Miles \$ | 275,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|------------|---|--|---|---|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|
| SP-2020-40 | Firestone - Alluvial Wellfield 1 | Allovial wellfield consisting of horizontal and vertical wells. To be used to provide raw water to the St. Vrain Water Authority WTP | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Implementing | Firestone, Town of | Dave Lindsay | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 6 | 501 | AF | 1000 | AF | \$ 4,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-41 | Firestone - Alluvial Wellfield 2 | Alluvial wellfield consisting of horizontal and vertical wells. To be used to provide raw water to the St. Vrain Water Authority WTP (online by 2032) | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Planned | Firestone, Town of | Dave Lindsay | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 6 | 50 |) AF | 1000 | AF | \$ 5,100,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-42 | Firestone - Alluvial Wellfield 3 | Alluvial wellfield consisting of horizontal and vertical wells. To be used to provide raw water to the St. Vrain Water Authority WTP (online by 2048) | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Planned | Firestone, Town of | Dave Lindsay | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 6 | 501 | AF | 1000 | AF | \$ 6,000,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-43 | Firestone - Mountain Shadows Park Irrigation Well | Alluvial Well to Non-Pot Park Irrigation | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Implementing | Firestone, Town of | Dave Lindsay | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 6 | 2! | AF | 25 | AF | \$ 253,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-44 | Firestone - Phase 1 - Non- Potable Irrigaiton System | Use of direct flow water rights for non-potable uses within Firestone | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Implementing | Firestone, Town of | Dave Lindsay | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Weld | 6 | 12 | AF | 125 | AF | \$ 2,100,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-45 | Firestone - Phase 2 - Non- Potable Irrigaiton System | Use of direct flow water rights for non-potable uses within Firestone | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Planned | Firestone, Town of | Dave Lindsay | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Weld | 6 | 15 |) AF | 150 | AF | \$ 2,500,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-46 | Firestone Reservoir #1 | Reservoir for Operation of Augmentation Plan to Support Non-Potable and Municipal Uses. Reservoir will also have Jr water rights that may produce some yield. | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | Implementing | Firestone, Town of | Dave Lindsay | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 6 | 751 | AF | 1100 | AF | \$ 11,600,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-47 | Firestone Reservoir #2 | Reservoir for Operation of Augmentation Plan to Support Non-Potable and Municipal Uses (Online in 2023). Reservoir will also have Ir water rights that may produce some yield. | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | Planned | Firestone, Town of | Dave Lindsay | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 6 | 550 | AF | 800 | AF | \$ 9,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-48 | Firestone Reservoir #3 | Reservoir for Operation of Augmentation Plan to Support Non-Potable and Municipal Uses (Online in 2035). Reservoir will also have Jr water rights that may produce some vield | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | Planned | Firestone, Town of | Dave Lindsay | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weld | 6 | 300 | AF | 5000 | AF | \$ 25,000,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-49 | George & Cornelius Creek Greenback Restoration Project | Post project remediation of riparian habitat at temporary barriers and road obliteration (probably not until 2025) | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Land Use Additional | Fish Passage | Planned | CPW | Boyd Wright; NE Region Native Aquatics | 0 | 20 | 80 | 0 | Larimer | 4 | N | NA | NA | NA | \$ 200,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-5 | Andrick - Teal Lodge - Structure Replacement | Andrick Ponds State Wildlife Area in Morgan County is a premier South Platte Basin waterfowh hunting property and is comprised of a complex of 22 wellands, approximately 30 ditch diversions and water control structures, and a large network or dirche. Dver time, the water control and comeynice infrastructure has fallen into disrepair and the long-term witability of the site as habitat and as a waterfowing destination as risk-to will dentify priority diversions and water-control structures need or habititation and improve those Bacilities such that manager's appoints to make the levels, producing wetland vageatation communities preferred by human and the long-term witability diversions and water control structures manage water levels, producing wetland vageatation communities preferred by human. An intervention is followed on Anticide divergency and structures system. Project improvements will increase water convegance to the sist from the Reverside canal, and in-turn, the quality of hubatita anallable to this during the fall hunting assess. Our work will allow for CPW managers to develop a poord maintenance schedule to optimic opportunity and adiation of water burliers on the site. The engineering plan will detail the reconstouring of ponds and ditche, elimination of colonies of permanent vagetation, and proper control of water surface elevations in the ponds. | n Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation e | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | Wetlands Conservation | Implementing | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Corservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Logan | 1 | NJ | NA | NA | NA | S 85,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-50 | Greeley Interruptible Supply Agreements | This project will establish interruptible supply agreements with agricultural water user and owner in the Poudre Basin. For Greeley, the ISA will all periodic use of the agricultural water for municipal purposes. | ^S Supply & Demand Gap | | | Planned | Greeley, City of | Adam Jokerst adam.jokerst@greeleygov.com 970.381.5337 | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Larimer and Weld | 2 | | | - | | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-51 | Greeley Non-Potable Water System Expansion | Greeley is expanding its non-potable water system to provide additional untreated water sourced from the Big Thompson and lower Poudre Rivers throughout the city for ourdoor irrigation. Work includes expanding non-potable water rights, gravel pit storage, distribution lines, and service area. | r Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | Implementing | Greeley, City of | Adam Jokerst adam.jokerst@greeleygov.com 970.381.5337 | 100 | O | 0 | 0 | Larimer and Weld | 2 | 750 |) AF | 3500 | AF | \$ 60,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-52 | Green Lake Inlet Pipeline | Increase capacity of the existing pipeline from 1.5 cfs to 10 cfs | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Clear Creek | 7 | | | 10 | cfs | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-53 | Harris Riparian Restoration | The project is located on a permanent USDA easement which contains valuable wetland and riparian areas. A warm water slough is located on the property and was historically open water from the head to use line due. The section of the float plate River sediment has built up within the slough and hybrid cattain has choled out the open water, refuging the haidst used for waterfork. The project will involve eacavaring the sediment and restructuring the slouge for easier ingress and grees for heads has been as the slough with the heads. In the water during coal stage when all other hoddes of water freeze over but will also be valuable during migration jeasons. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | Wetlands Conservation | Implementing | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Matthew A. Reddy, Regional Biologist | o | 0 | 100 | O | Sedgwick | 64 | | cfs | 0.75 | miles | \$ 75,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need I | E&R / | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|---------|----------------------------|--|-------------|--------------|-------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|
| SP-2020-54 | Heil Forestry Thinning | Thinning forested lands in the Left Hand/SL Vial Watersheit. This project is in the anyly planning stages collecting data on 480 areas. Data is being collected and a Fores Resource Action Pill (FRAP) will be writine in 2021 with a gain of resement in 2021 2025. This project would lie into existing treatments that ga from north to south in hel Vialley Ranch, almost completing the length of the property. The work would aim to follow GR-373 and creates a forest structure that could without on leviteening wildline, while also decreasing possible fire sevenity. Since it is a large acreage project, this would be spread out over at least 3 years which might require \$750,000 per year of funding not including local match. | t Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | Public Safety | Planned | Boulder County | Stefan Reinold | 50 | | 50 | | Boulder | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 2,250,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-55 | Hidden Valley Diversion Point No. 3 (New Infiltration Gallery) | Increase capacity of the existing gallery from 1.78 cfs to the 3 cfs decreed to this structure | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Clear Creek | 7 | NA | NA | 3 | cfs | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-56 | Hidden Valley Ground Water Diversion Point (Original Infiltration Gallery) | Increase capacity of the existing original gallery from 0.89 cfs to the 1.5 cfs decreed | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Clear Creek | 7 | NA | NA | 1.5 | cfs | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-57 | Implementation Fish Passage Feasibility Study and Education Initiative | In coordinatation with SVLHWCD, Boulder County, and the City of Longmont, the Watershed Center is exploring ways to balance the needs of water users and flain white Fair Assauge and ressalitily slaw). This would be the potential cost to implement projects identified in the planning project. (Estimate between 5-8 million needed to implement). | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Supply & Demand Gap | Fish Passage | Planned | Left Hand Watershed Center | Jessie Olson | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 7,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-58 | Implementation of forest restoration projects defined by St Vrain Forest Health Partnership | Implementation of forest restoration projects defined by St. Vrain Forest Health Partnership described above (estimated at \$1million/year) | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Land Use | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Forest Health | Planned | Left Hand Watershed Center | Jessie Olson | 20 | 20 | 60 | | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 1,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-59 | Jackson Lake Outlet Tower | An in-reservoir outlet tower with fish screening system to prevent loss of fish as water is drawn down for agricultural use | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Storage | Aquatic Habitat and Stream Health | Planned | срw | Mandi Brandt - Aquatic Biologist Area 3 | o | 10 | 90 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 250,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-6 | Andrick SWA Wetlands - Planning & Restoration | Andrick Pondis State Wildlife Area in Morgan County is a premier South Platte Basin waterfowh hunting property and is comprised of a complex of 22 wetlands, approximately 30 GHx diversions and water control structures, and a large network o dirkcb. Over time, the water control and comeynexic infrastructure has fallen into disrupair and the long-term viability of the site as halbatta and as a waterfolying distillation is at risk. Comprehensive erotation and management fall will be developed to identify restoration opportunities as well as improve management of water resources on the property to benefit imgratory brins, well-and halbatta, and augmentation lists are changed potential. Andrid SWA is one of the most important largementation in grates that are identified and as part of the plan. Restoration of restri structure in order to propert to benefit and a part of the plan. Restoration communities that are beneficial to migratory brins, well-add plant, and communities that are beneficial to migratory brins, well-add plant communities that are beneficial to migratory brins, and other wildlife. The project will also improve stream flow augmentation potential at the site. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Logan | 1 | NĀ | NA | NA | NA | \$ 60,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-60 | Jackson State Park Wetlands | Currently wetlands located in Jackson State Park and State Wildlife Area, areas open to public hunting of waterfow, are not functioning at capacity largely due to degraded conveyance infrastructure and unknowable topography within the basin. As such, the effect do not review adequate amount or water in the stallwaper portions, and/or review to much water for too long of a period in the deeper sections. This has restricted deparation within the sing such private state and such and the state of the state units managed to provide food resources for nigratory bricks. This is accomplished through shallow water wetland management, in which it has in exections with the period microtoparaphy is critical. CPM and DU will resoft existing ditches with per and reconstructed the states has such that water supplica are put for out effective use in growing paints providing food to ducks, genes, thorefirst and other wildlife using the painds on the public area. This project within areas that has not will allow area managers to manage the states more efficiently and leftwirely will will be tables and recreational experiences by improving full totator of water resources. | y Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | ō | 100 | o | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 200,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-61 | Jackson SWA Wetlands | Currently wetlands located in Jackson State Park and State Wildlife Area, areas open to public hurting of waterlowi, are not functioning at capacity largely due to degraded convergence infrastructure and indivorable topography within the basin. As such the effort do not resolve adequate mounts of water in the hallower portion, and/or resolvers to the mainfalling of unitable topole migratoped price in such as the second price of the second price of the second price wetland dependent willfill. The wetlands were organize price this accompliable through hallow water vectand management, in which in -basin elevations and microtopography is rotatic. QPW and Dui it restories state and there will the use in growing plants provide food resources, esc, shorekrist and other willfile using the public areas. This project will increase habita for an aray of migratory briefs, and improve the quality of precessing all periories. This work will allow are managers to manage the sites more efficiently and effectively for wildlife habitat and recording the park of the quality of precessing allows will allow are managers to manage the sites more efficiently and effectively for wildlife habitat and recreasional experiences. Briefs and the sections and microbitational experiences. Briefs and the sections are allowed will allow area managers to manage the sites more efficiently and effectively for wildlife habitat and recreasional experiences by improving utilization of water resources. | y Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Morgan | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 200,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Ac Need N | dmin leed | County | Water Es Dist | imated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capacity | Capacity Units | Estimated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality D | Core Data Num | Tier |
|------------|--|---|--|---|---|--------------------------|--------------|--|---|-------------|------------|------------------|--------------|----------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|
| SP-2020-62 | Jorgensen Wetlands | At least 71 acres of wet meadows, shallow-water wetlands, emergent marsh and riparian inrubiand will be restored to benefit transient populations of waterfood and other wildle species that request the tract. Water devision shoutcures will be relabelisted with modern measurement and convergence facilities added to allow property managers to efficiently move water to habitat aduring circulai the cycle even of targenet possible and the standard and the standard and of targenet possible and the standard and the standard and the standard provide water and possible standard and the standard and the standard growth of watering batts that are petered robot of ducks, genese and other birds using the property during spring and fall migration. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Matthew A. Reddy, Regional Biologist | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Logan | 64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 175,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-63 | Left Hand Creek Sediment Transport & Fish Passage - 8 Structures | In collabration with Boulder County, Left Hand Watershed Center, and several triggation Companies, SVLHVDD is proposing to redific for replace 8 division structures on Left Mand Cerek. The projects require extender feasibility studies and the development of agreements between the triggation Companies and the Project sonoor. Ultimately the uggrades are necessary to improve sediment transport and allow for fish passage | Watershed e Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Supply & Demand Gap | | Planned | Partneship with Boulder County and Left Hand Watershed Center | Jason Roudebush | 33 | 33 | 33 | 1 | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 8,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-64 | Left Hand Creek Streamflow Gaging Stations | Procurement and installation of 4 streamflow gaging stations on Left Hand Creek to improve administration and ongoing assessment of creek health. | Supply & Demand Gap | Agriculture | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Administration | n Planned | SVLHWCD | Jason Roudebush | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 24,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-65 | Little Thompson Stream Restoration and Floodplain Connectivity | This project was identified following the flood of 2013 in the associated Little Thompson River Master Plan. It is a stream restoration project that will increase floodplain connectivity and riparian habitat, reduce flood risk, and improve sediment transport. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | Boulder County | David Hirt | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 750,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-66 | Lower Boulder Creek agriculture infrastructure improvement and stream restoration | Design of creek restoration lower Boulder Creek and permanent ditch diversion structure and convegance at the Howell Ditch. This is a partnership between Boulder County, Chy of Boules, and Mie Hijfe Mond Dotsird (MHTD) Design and construction for the stream restoration components are funded by MHTD and the rest of the project diversion/convegance design and construction (si currently needing funding. Construction planned for 2022 The permanent structure will be more realient and eliminate the need for accessing the creek on an annual basis to create a purb-up dam. Fish passage/in-stream flows will be considerations in the design process. Wrich on this segment of confined/channelized Boulder Creek will restore floodplan access for higher flow events. | t Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | | | Implementing | Boulder County | Justin Atherton-Wood | 20 | 40 | 40 | 0 | Boulder | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 1,000,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-67 | MERGS - Atwood Riparian Restoration | The project is located on a permanent USDA Wetland Reserve Program essement which contains valuable wetland and rigarian areas. A warm water slough is located o the property and was institucially open water from the had to tail-and. Due to receive floading of the South Platte River sediment has ball up within the slough and hybrid catalita has choked out the open water, receiving the habita value for waterlow. The project will involve escavating the isdiment and restructuring the slopes for easier during cold savae, when all other bodies of water freeze over but will also be valuable during migration seasons. | n Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Implementing | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Matthew A. Reddy, Regional Biologist | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Sedgwick | 64 | 4 | cfs | 1.5 | miles | \$ 100,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-68 | Missouri Creek Reservoir | New reservoir on Missouri Creek, downstream of existing Missouri Lakes | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | | | 718 | acre-feet | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-69 | MX2 Wetlands | DU will work with landowners to restore function to wetland impoundments found on the Wetlands Reserve Program essenent: Wetland hydrologic function will be restore through the installation of nodern water devisions, conveyance system and water- control structures. Earth-monitory will recontrol basins on the property to bet utilize water resources to give wetland plant communities preference by migrating waterfore flocks. The landowners have agreed to allow innited public access (i.e. youth waterdow hunting) on the property under a 30-year tis Consensition agreement with DU. | d Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Planned | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Matthew A. Reddy, Regional Biologist | 0 | O | 100 | 0 1 | Logan | 64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 250,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-7 | Augmentation Program Expansion | Lined gravel pit development to expand the St. Vrain and Left Hand Water Conservancy District augmentation program on St. Vrain and Left Hand Creeks. | Supply & Demand Gap | Agriculture | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | Planned | SVLHWCD | Jason Roudebush | 33 | 33 | 33 | 1 | Boulder | 5 | 1200 | AF | 400 | AF | \$ 4,500,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-70 | NCC Diversion Point No. 1 | Construct a direct flow diversion along SH 119, east/downstream of the City with a capacity of up to 10 ds | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | NA | NA | 10 | cfs | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-71 | NCC Diversion Point No. 2 | Construct an alternative point to NCC Diversion Point No. 1 along SH 119, east/downstream of the City for direct flow diversion with a capacity of up to 10 cfs | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | o | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | NA | NA | 10 | cfs | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-72 | NCC Infiltration Gallery | Rebuild existing gallery to increase capacity from 0.53 cfs to the 7 cfs decreed | Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | NA | NA | 7 | cfs | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-73 | Niwot ditch Diversion Fish Passage | Rebuild diversion on St. Vrain Creek to facilitate fish passage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Additional | Fish Passage | Implementing | Boulder County and CPW | Sarah Heerhartz, Boulder County sheerhartz@bouldercounty.org Boyd Wright; NE CPW Region Native Aquatics | 0 | 30 | 70 | 0 | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 650,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-74 | Niwot ditch Diversion fish passage monitoring | Monitor and evaluate efficacy of new diversion for fish passage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Additional | Fish Passage | Planned | CPW | Boyd Wright; NE Region Native Aquatics | 0 | 30 | 70 | 0 | Boulder | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 200,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-75 | Non-point source pollution controls assessment | identify areas within Boulder County where non-point source pollution controls can help reduce nutrients or E. coil backing in attemas. Clarify, GIS based areas or stakeholder based boot the assessment also include identifying miligation options an the implementation of those matigation projects identified. | Watershed Health, d Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Land Use | | Planned | Boulder County | Scott Coulson, 303-441-1157, scoulson@bouldercounty.org | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Boulder | 5, 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$ 100,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |

Core

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Capa Capacity Ur | acity hits Estin | imated Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | . Water Plan Align | Criticality | Core Data Num | Tier |
|------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--------------|--|--|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| SP-2020-76 | North Elk Creek Greenback Restoration Project | CPW lead project to introduce Greenback Cutthroat Trout to the North Elk Creek drainage | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Additional | | Planned | CPW | Tyler Swarr; Area 1 Aquatic Biologist | o | 0 | 100 | 0 | Park | 80 | N | A NA | NA N | IA \$ | 850,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-77 | North Fork SP Forest Service Habitat/Access Improvement | Pond and river fishing access for mobility-impaired anglers and habitat restoration on small public reach of North Fork South Platte River ("1/3 mile) | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Planned | U.S. Forest Service | Mikele Painter, U.S. Forest Service mikele.painter@usda.gov | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Park | 80 | N | A NA | NA N | а | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-78 | North Sterling Reservoir Outlet Tower | An in-reservoir outlet tower with fish screening system to prevent loss of fish as water is drawn down for agricultural use | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Storage | Aquatic Habitat and Stream Health | Planned | CPW | Mandi Brandt - Aquatic Biologist Area 3 | 0 | 10 | 90 | 0 | Logan | 64 | N | A NA | NA N | ia ș | 250,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-79 | Otsuka Wetlands | The goal of this project is to rection wettlends plant communities and habitats that benefit non-investigation grangatory bids and other wildlife that tuitise the South Prater Rever controls. The restoration project will include the installation of water control infrastructure that the importance the grammation of desired exact wetlend plant communities. The investment will be protected by propertual conservation eitherment to ensure that the wetland resources preferred by wildlife ensuring that these benefits are adiguarded thin the future. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Implementing | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Sedgwick | 64 | N | A NA | NA N | IA S | 30,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-8 | Bailey Area, Water for Structure and Wildfire Suppression Study | Determine which ponds are viable for fire suppression, which have water rights and which do not. Goal purchase & adjudicate necessary water rights for ponds without | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Conservation | | | Implementing | Fire Adapted Bailey | John Van Doren, Robin Davis | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Park | 80 | N | A NA | NA N | IA \$ | 86,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-80 | Park County Storage for Fire Protection Needs | Goal of project is to decree and utilize previously undecreed storage for the purpose o storage water for fire suppression. This project would occur after completion of the Bailey Area - Water for Structure and Wildfire Suppression Study. | of Storage | Watershed Health, Environment a Recreation | Supply & & Demand Gap | Fire Suppression | Concept | Park County | Dick Elsner | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | Park | 80 | 5 | D AF | 400 A | Æ | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-81 | Park County Unspecified IPP Grow Into Future Demand for Construction Supplies | Park County Unspecified IPP to Grow Into Future Demand for Water - Need for additional water supply to support construction, including road and bridge construction and maintenance. | Storage | Supply & Demand Gap | | | Concept | Park County | Dick Elsner | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Park | 23, 80 | | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-82 | Park County Wetland and Stream Inventory | Indentify and map all stream and wetlands in Park county & determine health of each using FACWet and FACStream | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | | | Completed | EcoMetrics and Johnson Environmenta Consulting | al Mark Beardsley | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Park | 23, 80, 12 | | | | \$ | 110,500 | | Tier 2 | | | Tier 2 | 17 | Not applicable |
| SP-2020-83 | Parker/LSPWCD Project: Phase | Storage and conveyance project by Parker WSD and Lower South Platte WCD. Fremon Butte and liiff Reservoirs; pipeline to Parker. Water right application filed. Uses water and facilities included in SPROWG Concept to meet both municipal and agricultural needs. | nt r Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | Agriculture | Multi-Use | Implementing | Parker Water and Sanitation District and Lower South Platte Water Conservancy District | Marina Kopytkovskiy and Joe Frank | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | Logan | 64 | 2438 | 7 AFY | 78500 A | NF \$ | 400,000,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-84 | Parker/LSPWCD Project: Phase 2 | Storage and conveyance project by Parker WSD and Lower South Platte WCD. Fremon Butte and liff Reservoirs; pipeline to Parker. Water right application filed. Uses water and facilities included in SPROWG Concept to meet both municipal and agricultural needs | nt Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | Agriculture | Multi-Use | Implementing | Parker Water and Sanitation District and Lower South Platte Water Conservancy District | Marina Kopytkovskiy and Joe Frank | 50 | 50 | o | o | Washington | 64 | 3492 | 7 AFY | 78500 A | IF \$ | 671,000,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-85 | Pilot Project for the Erie HDD Well | Construction of one HDD well in the Boulder Creek alluvium to test the ability to diver junior water rights to be augmented with Windy Gap reuse effluent. | rt Supply & Demand Gap | | | | Implementing | Erie, Town of | Todd Fessenden | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | 06 | | | 1000 gp | om | | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 18 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-86 | Planning and Coordination of Fish Passage Feasibility Study and Education Initiative | In coordinatation with SVIHWOD, Boulder Courty, and the Cir of Longmont, the Watershed Center is exploring ways to balance the needs of water users and fish with the Fin A bassage and Feasibility Study. Watershed Center is currently implementing this project however they need additional funding for the origoing planning and coordination. Estimated need for funding § 50,000/pmc | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Supply & Demand Gap | Fish Passage | Implementing | Left Hand Watershed Center | Jessie Olson | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | Boulder | 5 | N | A NA | NA N | ia s | 50,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-87 | Poudre Flows Project | Augmentation water being used to maintain minimum stream flows for the Poudre in the Pt Collins/Greeley areas - more info at: https://coloradowatertrust.org/project/isf- augmentation-plan-poudre | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Implementing | Colorado Water Trust | Andy Schultheiss, Colorado Water Trust aschultheiss@coloradowatertru st.org | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Weld | 3 | N | A NA | NA N | IA | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 20 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-88 | Poudre Headwaters Greenback Restoration Project | Ten-year-plus phased restoration project to establish a stronghold for threatened Greenback Cutthroat Trout and mitigate Long Draw Reservoir impacts on the Arapahe Roosevett National Forest; utilimately will connect nearly 40 mills of restored native trout habitat. More info at: https://coloradotu.org/poudre-headwaters-restoration | Watershed o Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Additional | | Planned | U.S. Forest Service and CPW | Matt Fairchild, U.S. Forest Service matthew.fairchild@usda.gov Harry Crockett; Nat. Aq. Spp. Coordinator | 0 | 10 | 90 | 0 | Larimer | 3 | N | A NA | NA N | ia s | 1,500,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-89 | Poudre River In-stream Flows | Utilizing new legislation to allow water rights previously decreed for augmetation to be utilized by CWCB to augment in-stream flows. Colorado Water Trust, City of Fort Collins, City of Greeley, CWCB. | | | | 2020 status not provided; assumed concept | Concept | Colorado Water Trust, City of Fort Collins, City of Greeley, CWCB | | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Larimer | 3 | | | | | | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | 14 | Tier 4 |
| SP-2020-9 | Banner Lakes SWA | Recreational and habitat improvements on Metro area State Widlife Area. Water conservation and efficiency improvements. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Conservation | Additional | | Planned | CPW | Pete Conovitz/Jason Duetsch; Area 2 | 0 | 20 | 80 | o | Weld | 1 | N | A NA | NA N | ia s | 1,000,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-90 | Prewitt Reservoir Outlet Tower | An in-reservoir outlet tower with fish screening system to prevent loss of fish as water is drawn down for agricultural use | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Agriculture | Storage | Aquatic Habitat and Stream Health | Planned | CPW | Mandi Brandt - Aquatic Biologist Area 3 | 0 | 10 | 90 | 0 | Washington | 64 | N | A NA | NA N | IA S | 250,000 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-91 | Prewitt Wetlands - Phase II | In this second phase of a project, DU will deliver at least two intra-basin levees to impound water within the margin of Prewitt Reservoir, allowing managers to better time the flooding of vettend habitats beneficial to wetland birds and waterfowl hunters using the public State Wildlife Area. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Concept | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Matthew A. Reddy, Regional Biologist | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | Morgan | 64 | N | A NA | NA N | ia ș | 80,000 | Tier 4 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-92 | Prince Lake No 2 Reconstruction | Boulder County is currently working through the design phase and will be ready for physical reconstruction at the end of 2021. This project captures the physical reconstruction work that will be required. We will re-establish devices dragee apacity and improve dam infrastructure to bring it into compliance with State mandates and regulations. At this phase of the project, and additional storage capacity being claimed Final determination will be made during final design. | / Storage | Agriculture | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Public safety | Planned | Boulder County | Aurdey Butler | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | Boulder | 06 | 5 | D AF | 120 A | uf \$ | 650,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |

| January 2022 |
|--------------|
| |

| Project_ID | Project Name | Project Description | Key Word 1 | Key Word 2 | Key Word 3 | Key Word 4 | Status | Lead Proponent | Lead Contact | M&I Need | Ag Need | E&R Need | Admin Need | County | Water Dist | Estimated Yield | Yield Units | Estimated Cap Capacity U | acity nits Estima | ted Cost | Timeline Tier | Basin Plan Align | Local Plan Align | Water Plan Align | Criticality E | Lore Data Num | Tier |
|------------|---|---|---|---|------------|--------------------------|--------------|--|---|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|
| SP-2020-93 | Quartz Valley Reservoir | New reservoir downstream from the existing Central City Chase Gulch Reservoir | Supply & Demand Gap | Storage | | | Planned | Black Hawk, City of | Jim Ford, City of Black Hawk | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gilpin | 7 | | | 1027 acre | e-feet | | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 3 |
| SP-2020-94 | Riparian ReConnect | Prioritize and restore streams and wetlands in Park County Wetland and Stream Inventory based on increasing functionality by connecting streams and associated disconnected riparian areas. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Implementing | Colorado Open Lands, EcoMetrics, and Johnson Environmental Consulting | Cheryl Cufre (Colorado Open Lands) | D | 0 | 100 | 0 | Park | 23, 80, 12 | NA | NA | NA I | NA S | 1,900,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-95 | River Watch Volunteer Group | School groups, watershed monitoring groups, community groups, and individuals all serve as watershed stewardship volunteers. Volunteers collect samples at their respetive site monthly for a continuous year. https://coloradoriverwatch.org/river-watch-volunteers/ | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Additional | Water Quality | Implementing | CPW | Megan McConville - Water Unit | 50 | O | 50 | 0 | Weld | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA S | - | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-96 | Rock Creek Greenback Restoration Project | Restoration of threatened Greenback Cutthroat Trout into a set of connected streams within the headwaters of the Tarryall drainage; public-private partnership project with restoration on private ranch & Pike National Forest | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Education, Outreach & Innovation | Additional | | Implementing | U.S. Forest Service and CPW | Kristen Meyer, U.S. Forest Service Tyler Swarr; CPW Area 1 Aquatic Biologist | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Boulder | 6 | NA | NA | NA I | NA S | 850,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-97 | Sedgwick Wetlands | Current wetlands on the property are lost because of the inability to divert dedicated irrigation water supplies into the basins. This project will establish a new diversion point with nearwarement and modern conveyners such that property manages will be able to in water into the wetlands to grow desirable wetland plants and to make those vegetative recores available to bird populations using the area, especially during spring and fall migration events. Additionally, a playa basin located on the property will be water birdhown on the tract. The landowners have agreed to allow limited public access on the tract. The landowners have agreed to allow limited public access on the tract under a 39-year Site Conservation Agreement with DU. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | Additional Critical Goals and Actions | | Wetlands Conservation | Implementing | Ducks Unlimited, Inc. | Martin Grenier, Conservation Manager | 0 | D | 100 | 0 | Sedgwick | 64 | NA | NA | NA I | NA S | 200,000 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | 21 | Tier 2 |
| SP-2020-98 | Sherwood Gulch Forestry Project | Thinning forested lands west of Nederland to improve forest structure, decrease fire hazards, and retain/promote divently on landscape. Doinage of area feeds to Shewnood Creek who feeds inin North Budler Creek. This project is shelf ready and currently has funding to treat 100 area, additional funding could lead to treating an additional 30 acres. additional funding could lead to treating an additional 30 acres. additional additional additional statistication of grant, BCPOS match 5205,000, additional 30 acres would cost \$135,000. | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | Public Safety | Planned | Boulder County | Stefan Reinold | 50 | | 50 | | Boulder | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA S | 135,000 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 19 | Tier 1 |
| SP-2020-99 | South Boulder Creek Stream Management Plan | SMP developing & monitoring stream health on South Boulder Creek and designing infrastructure improvements for diversion structures to address low-flow passage (needed to implement Gross Reservoir environmental pool), fish passage, and efficiency | Watershed Health, Environment & Recreation | | | | Implementing | Stephen Brant / Boulder Flycasters Chapter Trout Unlimited | Stephen Brant / Boulder Flycasters Chapter TU, slbrant62@gmail.com | 0 | o | 100 | 0 | Boulder | 6 | | | | | | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | 16 | Tier 1 |