AECOM

Yampa River Basin Information



October 2009





Table of Contents

Tabl	e of Con	tents	i
Tabl	e of Figu	res and Tables	iii
1.	The Y	ampa River Basin	1-1
	1.1.	Physical geography	1-1
	1.2.	Human and economic factors	
	1.3.	Water resources development	
	1.4.	Water rights administration	
2.	Yamp	a River Projects and Special Operations	
	2.1.	Stillwater Ditch	
	2.2.	Sarvis Ditch	
	2.3.	Other Transbasin Diversions	
	2.4.	Snowmaking Diversions	
	2.5.	Key Municipal Water Systems	
	2.6.	Colorado Utilities Ditch and Pipeline (Hayden Station)	
	2.7.	Craig Station Ditch and Pipeline	
	2.8.	Stillwater Reservoir No. 1	
	2.9.	Yamcolo Reservoir	
	2.10.	Stagecoach Reservoir	
	2.11.	Allen Basin Reservoir	
	2.12.	Lake Catamount	
	2.13.	Fish Creek Reservoir	
	2.14.	Steamboat Lake	
	2.15.	Elkhead Creek Reservoir	
	2.16.	Lester Creek Reservoir (Pearl Lake)	
3.	Yamp	a River Structure Information and Basin Meeting Notes	
	3.1.	Annotated Structure Listing	
	3.2.	Basin Meeting Notes	
	3.3.	Wyoming Diversion Structure Information	
4.	Yamp	ba Basin Instream Flow Rights	
5.	Yamp	a Basin Modeling Efforts	

5.1	YRBAS Model	1
5.2	Green River Basin Plan – Little Snake River Spreadsheet Model	5
5.3	Little Snake River Supplemental Storage Project Study	5

Table of Figures and Tables

Figure 1.1 – Yampa River Basin	1-2
Table 1.2 - Key Water Resources Developments	1-4
Table 2.1 - Historical Power Generation and Water Use Hayden Station Units 1 and 2	2-6
Table 2.2 - Historical Power Generation and Water Use Craig Station Units 1, 2, and 3	2-8
Table 2.3 - Target Flows Restricting Use of Wessels Right	2-8
Table 3.1 - Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights \geq 5 cfs	3-1

1. The Yampa River Basin

The Yampa River basin occupies Colorado's northwest corner, rising at the Continental Divide and ending at its confluence with the Green River, within miles of the Utah border. The basin encompasses most of Routt and Moffat counties in Colorado, the upper reaches of the Little Snake River basin in southern Wyoming, and a very small area of eastern Utah. Figure 1.1 is a map of the basin. The Yampa River flows through forested mountains, rural irrigated valleys, and desert canyons within Dinosaur National Monument. Many consider the Yampa the least-impacted of Colorado's mighty rivers.

1.1. Physical geography

The Yampa River basin within Colorado is approximately 7,660 square miles in size, ranging in elevation from 12,200 feet in the headwaters near the town of Yampa to 5,600 feet in the vicinity of Dinosaur National Monument. Across this expanse, average annual rainfall varies from more than 60 inches near Rabbit Ears Pass, to approximately 10 inches near the State line. Temperatures generally vary inversely with elevation, and variations in the growing season follow a similar trend. Steamboat Springs has an average growing season of 86 days, while the growing season at Craig, Hayden, and Maybell has been estimated at approximately 120 days.

The Yampa River is the primary stream in the basin. It begins at the confluence of the Bear River and Chimney Creek, and other major tributaries include Walton Creek, Fish Creek, Trout Creek, Elk River, Elkhead Creek, Fortification Creek, the Williams Fork River, and the Little Snake River. Most of the water yield in the basin is attributable to snowmelt from the higher elevation areas near the Continental Divide. Average annual streamflow in the upper portions of the drainage (United States Geological Survey [USGS] gage near Stagecoach Reservoir) is approximately 62,000 acre-feet, which increases to an annual average of 1,623,000 acre-feet at the Dinosaur Monument (USGS gage near Deerlodge Park). Over 60 percent of this runoff occurs in May and June.

1.1. Human and economic factors

The discovery of gold near Hahn's Peak in the 1860's first drew permanent white settlers



to the Yampa Valley. The mineral industry remains a key economic sector although coal and related energy activities are of greater importance than gold mining. Farming and ranching, as well as recreation and tourism, are the other primary activities in the basin today.

The area remains relatively sparsely populated, with the 2000 census placing the combined populations of Routt and Moffat Counties at approximately 33,000. Steamboat Springs and Craig are the major population

Yampa River in Steamboat Springs



Figure 1.1 – Yampa River Basin

centers in the basin, each with just under 10,000 residents. Routt County grew by about 40 percent during the 1990's, with growth concentrated in the upper Yampa Valley near Steamboat Springs. This growth attests to the importance of recreation-based activities, as people are drawn to the basin by the ski area and other outdoor recreation opportunities.

Principal water use in the basin is for irrigation, with hundreds of small irrigation ditches diverting from the main stem and the numerous tributary streams throughout the basin. The ditches irrigate pasture and hay and alfalfa crops primarily. The total irrigated acreage in the basin within Colorado, according to the State's irrigated average assessment of year 2000 imagery, is estimated to be approximately 89,800 acres.

Other major water uses include power generation at the Hayden Station and Craig Station plants, which have historically diverted approximately 16,500 acre-feet per year. There are also diversions for municipal use in Steamboat Springs and Craig, as well as in a number of smaller towns. Technically, the largest municipal user is Cheyenne, Wyoming, which exports approximately 14,400 acre-feet/year from the Little Snake drainage in Wyoming to the North Platte basin. Within Colorado, three transbasin diversions, the Sarvis Ditch, Stillwater Ditch, and Dome Creek Ditch export water from the Yampa River basin to the Colorado River drainage. There are also a number of smaller transbasin diversions from one tributary drainage to another.

In addition to the direct ditch diversions, there are nine major reservoirs (greater than 4,000 acre-feet in capacity) in the Yampa River basin within Colorado. Three of the reservoirs are used for irrigation (Stillwater Reservoir No. 1, Allen Basin Reservoir, and Yamcolo Reservoir); three are predominantly used for recreational and fishery purposes (Lake Catamount, Pearl Lake, and Steamboat Lake); Fish Creek Reservoir serves municipal use; and the remaining reservoirs are used for multiple uses, including municipal, industrial, irrigation, and recreation (Stagecoach Reservoir, and Elkhead Reservoir). High Savery Reservoir in Wyoming will begin filling in 2004 and will serve irrigators in Wyoming.

1.2. Water resources development

The Yampa River basin has seen water resources developments in the form of private irrigation systems, municipal and industrial diversions, and State-sponsored reservoir development. Table 1.1 summarizes key developments within the basin over time.

Irrigation has remained relatively constant since the late 1800's, with only small increases in the irrigated acreage as new ditches and storage systems were constructed. The two earliest projects, Allen Basin and Stillwater Reservoirs, were built to relieve late summer irrigation shortages in the headwaters of the Bear River.

Despite a general downturn in growth and economic activity in the Yampa Valley following World War II, the 1950's saw development of the first significant municipal

system at Steamboat Springs. This downward trend in growth reversed itself in the mid-1960's, largely due to development of two large electric generating stations at Craig and Hayden, and the related resurgence of the northwest Colorado coal industry. Both the power plants use Yampa River water for cooling.

Later development reflects the rising importance of environmental and recreational uses, as well as the necessity of cooperative efforts and agreements. For example, Steamboat Lake was developed jointly by the Colorado Division of Parks and Outdoor Recreation and proponents of the Hayden Station Power Plant. Elkhead Reservoir similarly was a joint project of the Colorado Division Wildlife and the Yampa Project Participants who operate the Craig power plant. Yamcolo Reservoir was developed for irrigation, but its ability to supply water in the upper Bear River was enhanced through an exchange agreement with the multi-use Stagecoach Reservoir.

Key water Resources Developments				
Date	Description			
1939	Stillwater Reservoir			
1956	Fish Creek Reservoir			
1963	Craig Station Ditch and Pipeline			
1964	Cheyenne Stage I			
1965	Steamboat Lake			
1974	Elkhead Reservoir			
1977	Lake Catamount			
c. 1979	Cheyenne Stage II			
1981	Yamcolo Reservoir			
1988	Stagecoach Reservoir			
1996	Fish Creek Reservoir enlargement			
2003	High Savery Reservoir			

Table 1.1

Key Water Resources Developments

There are no Federal projects in the Yampa River basin, nor are there any main stem reservoirs below Steamboat Springs. During the 1950's, the Bureau of Reclamation proposed a dam at Echo Park as part of the Colorado River Storage Project, which would have inundated 46 miles of the Yampa and a comparable amount of the Green River. Controversy surrounded the region for more than a decade until a compromise was reached, in which Echo Park was foregone, and Glen Canyon Dam was built without any formal opposition.







1.3. Water rights administration

Historically, water right calls occur only on internally controlled tributaries where irrigation demands can exceed streamflows, such as Bear River, Fortification Creek, and North, Middle, and South Hunt Creeks. Irrigation shortages on the upper Bear River are typically satisfied by storage releases from Yamcolo and Stillwater reservoirs. On the main stem there has not been administration of water rights calls and water has been available for appropriation.

The Upper Colorado River Basin Compact of 1948 specifies that Colorado may not deplete the flow in the Yampa River below an aggregate of 5 maf over any 10-year period. Average historical consumptive use, per the Colorado Decision Support System (CDSS) Yampa River Water Resources Planning Model, is on the order of 160,000 acrefeet/year on average. Therefore the Compact constraint is not limiting at current levels of development.

Future administration of the Yampa may be affected by activities and projects in the Recovery Program for Endangered Fish. Under the Endangered Species Act, four Colorado River native fish species are listed as endangered: Colorado pikeminnow (a.k.a. Colorado squawfish), humpback chub, bonytail chub, and razorback sucker. In 1988, the States of Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming, water users, hydropower customers, environmental organizations, and federal agencies developed a program to recover these species while allowing water use to continue and up to 50,000 acre-feet/year of new consumptive use to be developed.

The Recovery Program determined that 7,000 acre-feet of augmentation would satisfy adopted base flow recommendations for the Yampa River in all but the driest 10% of years. Eleven augmentation water supply alternatives were examined in detail, as described in the *Management Plan for the Yampa River Basin*. Alternatives include purchase or lease of water from one or more existing reservoirs and/or new or enlarged reservoirs, as well as supply interruption contracts. The Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) recommends adoption of an alternative developed in August 2000 by a workgroup in Craig, Colorado. The specific elements of that alternative are as follows:

- Lease up to 2,000 acre-feet per year from Steamboat Lake.
- Enlarge Elkhead Reservoir to provide 3,700 acre-feet per year for late summer releases for endangered fish.
- The balance of augmentation (1,300 acre-feet) would likely be provided through a lease between the Recovery Program and Colorado River Water Conservation District (River District). This volume could be provided from the proposed human use pool at Elkhead and/or from a new tributary reservoir.

The Programmatic Biological Opinion (PBO) will not cap the amount of water that can be developed in the Yampa Basin. Rather, it will protect the right to develop a certain amount of water within a timeframe, whose impacts can be scientifically analyzed using the best available data. Implementation of the Recovery Program should allow Colorado to fully develop its entitlement to water under the compact.

2. Yampa River Projects and Special Operations

This section contains information that was gathered during initial data collection efforts for the CDSS project, in 1994 and 1995. It was assembled after interviewing Division 6 personnel, as well as project owners and operators. The first seven sections relate to specific diversions, and the remaining sections describe reservoirs:

Section Description

- 2.1 Stillwater Ditch
- 2.2 Sarvis Ditch
- 2.3 Other Transbasin Diversions
- 2.4 Snowmaking Diversions
- 2.5 Key Municipal Water Systems
- 2.6 Colorado Utilities Ditch and Pipeline (Hayden Station)
- 2.7 Craig Station Ditch and Pipeline
- 2.8 Stillwater Reservoir No. 1
- 2.9 Yamcolo Reservoir
- 2.10 Stagecoach Reservoir
- 2.11 Allen Basin Reservoir
- 2.12 Lake Catamount
- 2.13 Fish Creek Reservoir
- 2.14 Steamboat Lake
- 2.15 Elkhead Creek Reservoir
- 2.16 Lester Creek Reservoir (Pearl Lake)

2.1. Stillwater Ditch

The Stillwater Ditch (a.k.a. the Five Pines Mesa Ditch) is a major diversion facility in the upper reaches of the Bear River and is operated by the Stillwater Ditch and Reservoir Company. The ditch diverts its supply from the outlet works of Yamcolo Reservoir and delivers a portion of the water for irrigation in the area of Five Pines Mesa, which is tributary to the Bear (Yampa) River basin and a portion out-of-basin to the Egeria Creek drainage which is tributary to the Colorado River. The following absolute direct flow water right is associated with the Stillwater Ditch.

		Appropriation	Administration	
WDID	Adjudication Date	Date	No.	Amount
584685	6-08-1910	9-23-1903	22071.19623	30.83 cfs

The Company also owns Gardner Park Reservoir (WDID 583511) and Rams Horn Reservoir (WDID 583532) which are located on tributaries of the Bear River and which are used to supplement late season irrigation. The ditch also has a junior (1964), conditional water right that is owned by the Upper Yampa Water Conservancy District (UYWCD) in anticipation of a future enlargement of the ditch.

In addition to these owned storage reservoirs and direct flow right, the Stillwater Ditch is also used to deliver storage water owned by various individuals in the Stillwater Reservoir No. 1, Yamcolo Reservoir, and Stagecoach Reservoir, the latter by exchange through Yamcolo Reservoir. The Division 6 engineer estimated in 1987 that approximately 2,520 acres are irrigated under the Stillwater Ditch, with about 1,722 acres (68 percent) in the Yampa River drainage and 798 acres (32 percent) in the Colorado River drainage (Egeria Creek). These percentages would apply to all diversions made pursuant to the direct flow water right in the ditch and any storage releases delivered through the ditch from Gardner Park Reservoir and Rams Horn Reservoir. (The CDSS 1993 irrigated land mapping shows a larger amount of land under the ditch and the split between Yampa basin and Colorado basin at 72 and 28 percent respectively.) All deliveries to the Colorado River drainage represent a 100 percent depletion to the Yampa River system. Review of detailed diversion records provided by the water commissioner indicated close agreement with this relative apportionment of the diversions under the direct flow right. Storage water delivered through the ditch from Stillwater Reservoir No. 1, Yamcolo Reservoir, and Stagecoach Reservoir is owned by specific individuals with land under the ditch. Using records provided by the water commissioner, which reflect the historical delivery of storage water from each of these reservoirs, the deliveries can be apportioned between the two river basins in the following approximate percentages. Note that these percentages are different from the percentages that would be derived based solely on storage ownership and the locations of the acreage. However, use of the historical delivery records is believed to best represent actual irrigation practices under the Stillwater Ditch system.

	Percent To	Percent To
Reservoir	Yampa River Basin	Colorado River Basin
Stillwater Reservoir No.1	58 percent	42 percent
Yamcolo Reservoir		
Yamcolo Irrigators Assn.	53 percent	47 percent
Stagecoach Contract Water	90 percent	10 percent

Refer to the documentation for Stillwater Reservoir No. 1, Yamcolo Reservoir, and Stagecoach Reservoir for additional information concerning the operation of these reservoirs.

2.2. Sarvis Ditch

The Sarvis Ditch (WDID 584684) is a transbasin diversion facility that diverts water from the headwaters of Service Creek into the headwaters of Red Dirt Creek, a tributary of Muddy Creek in Irrigation Division 5. The structure holds the following direct flow water right:

Adjudication			Administration	
WDID	Date	Appropriation Date	No.	Amount
584684	3-30-1964	5-24-1911	39254.22423	43 cfs

The diversion is reported to be a low embankment constructed around a large open meadow near the top of the drainage divide and is located at an extremely remote sight. There is minimal regulation of the diversions and the records of diversion are, for the most part, jointly estimated by the water commissioners for Water Districts 58 and 50. It is our understanding that diversions by the Sarvis Ditch are not driven by a demand for irrigation water in the Red Dirt Creek drainage, but rather are dependent only on the physical supply available for diversion. Although the accuracy of the diversion records may be less than desirable, they are believed to be the best information available.

2.3. Other Transbasin Diversions

There are three other diversion structures within the Yampa River basin that divert water from one drainage basin to another. These structures are carrier ditches that deliver water from one tributary basin for use in another tributary basin, although they are not necessarily tied to specific irrigated acreage.

The Coal Creek Ditch (WDID 580589) diverts water from Coal Creek, a tributary of the Bear River, just downstream of Yamcolo Reservoir. It also diverts storage water from Yamcolo and Stillwater Reservoir No. 1 by exchange (water is released from the reservoirs and a like amount is diverted at the ditch headgate on Coal Creek). The ditch carries the water into the headwaters of Moody Creek where it is rediverted by several direct flow water rights on that small tributary.

The Rich Ditch (WDID 574629) diverts water from Trout Creek in Water District 57 for irrigation in the Oak Creek drainage in Water District 58. According to the water commissioner, it is treated as an import to Oak Creek and the water is then re-diverted by several direct flow rights on this stream.

The Allen Basin Supply Ditch (WDID 580506) is a feeder ditch which diverts from Mill Creek, a side tributary of South Hunt Creek, to fill Allen Basin Reservoir, located on Middle Hunt Creek. Although decreed for 60 cubic feet per second (cfs), the ditch diverts relatively small quantities of water during the spring runoff, prior to the more senior water rights on South Hunt Creek calling for water.

2.4. Snowmaking Diversions

There is one diversion for making artificial snow in the Yampa River basin, at the Steamboat Springs Ski Area. At the time of the primary snowmaking diversions, typically during the months of October, November, December, and January, the diversions represent 100 percent depletion to the Yampa River streamflows. During the winter and spring months, a portion of the artificial snowpack is consumptively used through the processes of sublimation and evaporation. Then during the subsequent spring snowmelt, the remaining portion of the artificial snow returns to the stream as an accretion.

The Steamboat Ski and Resort Corporation owns a direct flow water right for snowmaking purposes which it diverts from an alluvial well adjacent to the Yampa River, just upstream of Steamboat Springs. This structure (WDID 582374) was decreed on December 31, 1981 for 8.0 cfs. It carries an appropriation date of January 12, 1981 (Administration No. 47859.00000). The Ski Corporation also has made application for a conditional water right for an expansion of the snowmaking system. This application (also for 8.0 cfs) is pending.

According to records obtained from the Ski Co., the annual water use for snowmaking has averaged about 275 acre-feet per season, on the following schedule:

October	November	December	January	TOTAL
15	110	120	30	275

The consumptive loss attributable to the artificial snow pack is estimated to be about 20 percent, based on procedures developed for Colorado Ski Country USA. The return flows are expected to occur during the peak snowmelt season, in April and May.

2.5. Key Municipal Water Systems

The city of Craig diverts the majority of its municipal water supply at the Craig Water Supply Pipeline (WDID 440581), a pumped diversion in the Yampa River, just upstream from the mouth of Fortification Creek. To provide a reliable reserve water supply for future growth and extreme dry year conditions, the city acquired the storage water rights in Elkhead Creek Reservoir from the Colorado Division of Wildlife (CDOW). This represents approximately 1,668 acre-feet of water in storage which can be released as necessary to offset shortages after use of the direct flow right.

The city of Steamboat Springs and the Mt. Werner WSD divert the majority of their municipal water supplies from the Fish Creek Municipal Intake (WDID 58 0642). A number of senior direct flow irrigation water rights have been changed and transferred to this structure for municipal uses. The two entities share the diversion structure and water treatment facilities. According to city personnel, the direct flow rights are generally sufficient to satisfy the demand through the end of July. At that time, the physical supply in Fish Creek begins to decrease and it is necessary to supplement the direct flow diversions with water released from storage in Fish Creek Reservoir. The two entities can

also divert water from alluvial wells adjacent to the Yampa River, although this is not a preferred source because of a lesser water quality. These wells are also relatively junior and it is probable that they may eventually be included in an augmentation plan utilizing storage water from Yamcolo and/or Stagecoach reservoirs as the replacement source. Fish Creek Reservoir is owned by the city and it is our understanding that Mt. Werner has contractual rights to a portion of the storage water. The reservoir recently underwent an enlargement that more than doubled its capacity from 1,842 acre-feet to 4,042 acre-feet.

The city and Mt. Werner also own contract storage water in Yamcolo Reservoir (100 acre-feet for Steamboat and 300 acre-feet for Mt. Werner) and in Stagecoach Reservoir (552 acre-feet for Steamboat and 200 acre-feet for Mt. Werner). These storage supplies are intended for future growth and would generally be used after use of storage in Fish Creek Reservoir.

2.6. Colorado Utilities Ditch and Pipeline (Hayden Station)

This structure (WDID 570512) diverts water from the Yampa River for industrial use at the Hayden Station power generation facility. The following absolute water rights are owned by the Hayden Station Partners (Public Service Co., PacifiCorp, and the Salt River Generating Co.) for diversion through the structure:

WDID	Adjudication Date	Appropriation Date	Administration No.	Amount
570512	4-24-1959	8-12-1926	35987.27982	27 cfs
	9-01-1960	8-01-1897	36295.1738	3 cfs

Although decreed for 30 cfs, the diversion for Hayden Station is typically in the range of 6 to 10 cfs, as reflected by the historical diversion records maintained by the division engineer. The water demands are a function of the power generation, climatic conditions (air temperatures) and the Plant Capacity Factor, a factor which reflects the typical outages and downtime (both scheduled and unscheduled) for the generating units. Based on information provided by Tri-State and Public Service Co., the historical water use at Hayden was determined and is summarized in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1

Hayden Station Units 1 and 2					
Year	Energy Production (GWHR)	Water Diversion (acre-feet)	Acre-feet/ GWHR ¹	Capacity Factor	
1985	3,118	4,935	1.58	79.8 percent	
1986	2,962	4,689	1.58	75.8 percent	
1987	3,463	5,687	1.64	88.6 percent	
1988	2,976	5,215	1.75	76.2 percent	
1989	3,295	5,837	1.77	84.3 percent	
Avg.	3,163	5,273	1.66	80.9 percent	

Historical Power Generation and Water Use

 $^{1}GWHR = Gigawatt-hour$

There are also storage decrees for several on-site, off-stream reservoirs integral to operation of the Hayden Station power plant. The majority of the diversions to storage in these reservoirs is reflected in the historical diversions from the Yampa River through the Colorado Utilities Ditch and Pipeline. The Hayden Station Partners also own a contract right for 5,000 acre-feet of storage in Steamboat Lake which can be released for industrial use at Hayden Station. Historically, there has not been a need to utilize this back-up storage reserve. Refer to the documentation describing Steamboat Lake for more information on this agreement.

2.7. Craig Station Ditch and Pipeline

This structure (WDID 440522) diverts water from the Yampa River for industrial use at the Craig Station power generation facility. The following absolute water rights are owned by Tri-State and the other Yampa Project Participants (the operating consortium for Craig Units 1 and 2):

	Adjudication		Administration		
WDID	Date	Appropriation Date	No.	Amount	
440522	03-30-1964	09-30-1961	40815.0000	45.70 cfs	
	12-31-1974	11-01-1972	45290.44865	44.93 cfs	

The first water right listed was transferred from the c Canal, a conditional water right that was originally decreed for diversion in the vicinity of what is now Stagecoach Reservoir. As a term and condition of that transfer, the amount diverted at the Craig Station is limited to the amount of water physically and legally available at the original point of diversion. This right carries the same priority date as the senior storage decree for Stagecoach Reservoir (the Bear Reservoir decree for 11,614 acre-feet). Pursuant to a 1992 Agreement between the UYWCD and Tri-State, the use of the Wessels direct flow right was granted priority over the Bear Reservoir storage decree at times when there is

not enough water to satisfy both rights. A portion of the Wessels direct flow water right remains conditional.

The second priority decreed to the Craig Station Ditch and Pipeline is the water right that has normally been used for industrial diversions at the facility at times when the Wessels water right is limited because of the physical streamflow at Stagecoach Reservoir, as described in the previous paragraph. It is, however, a relatively junior water right which could be subject to partial curtailment in the event of strict administration of water rights in dry years, in particular during the late summer and early fall months.

It should also be noted that the Yampa Project Participants also own a contractual right to divert the more senior direct flow water right decreed to the Synthetic Products Ditch (WDID 440779) for power generation at Craig Station (Units 1 and 2). At this time 26.84 cfs of the 60 cfs decreed to the Synthetic Products Ditch have been made absolute, with 25.84 being usable at Craig Station:

	Adjudication	Appropriation	Administration	
WDID	Date	Date	No.	Amount
440779	09-01-1960	09-17-1951	37149.0000	26.84 cfs

Tri-State also owns conditional direct flow water rights in the Four Counties Ditch No. 3, which rights are currently the subject of a pending application to make a portion of the right (30.32 cfs) absolute.

From the above description, it is noted that Craig Station currently has about 90.63 cfs of absolute water rights decreed for diversion at the Craig Station Ditch and Pipeline, with another 30.32 cfs pending being made absolute from conditional. An additional 25.84 cfs associated with the Synthetic Products Ditch is also divertible at this structure, giving a total of 116.47 cfs absolute and another 30.32 cfs pending absolute status. This stacking of water rights is necessary because of different ownership interests in the existing three generating units at Craig Station and because of diversion limitations contained in some of the transfer decrees.

The actual physical diversion capacity for the Craig Station Ditch and Pipeline is approximately 46 cfs, the capacity of the existing pump facilities. Historically, the diversions at Craig Station (with all three units in operation) have averaged about 15 to 16 cfs, with daily diversion rates of up to 45 cfs. The water demands are a function of the power generation at the plant, climatic conditions and the plant capacity factor for the generating units. Using information obtained from Tri-State and W. W. Wheeler & Associates, the historical energy generation and water use at Craig Station was developed and is summarized in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2

Crarg Station Units 1, 2, and 5				
Year	Energy Production	Water Diversion (acre-feet)	Acre-feet/ GWHR ¹	Capacity Factor (GWHR) (acre-feet)
1985	6,469	10,637	1.64	58.4 percent
1986	5,911	9,416	1.59	53.4 percent
1987	7,084	9.071	1.28	64.0 percent
1988	8,255	12,622	1.53	74.6 percent
1989	8,749	12,320	1.41	79.0 percent
1990	8,531	12,982	1.52	77.0 percent
1991	8,162	11,756	1.44	73.7 percent
Avg.	7,594	11,258	1.49	68.6 percent

Historical Power Generation and Water Use

Craig Station Units 1, 2, and 3

 $^{1}GWHR = Gigawatt-hour$

Tri-State and the other Yampa Project Participants also own significant storage reserves, intended to ensure the reliability of the water supply for the Craig Station. Specifically, the Yampa Project Participants own 8,754 acre-feet of the storage in Elkhead Creek Reservoir and Tri-State owns 4,000 acre-feet of storage in Yamcolo Reservoir and 7,000 acre-feet of storage in Stagecoach Reservoir According to the respective ownership interests and internal agreements for the operation of the Craig Station facilities, the Elkhead Reservoir water is intended for supplemental supply to Craig Units 1 and 2, the Yamcolo water is reserved for Craig Unit 3 and the Stagecoach water can be used for all three units, if needed.

In 1992, Tri-State negotiated a water management plan with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service to provide a level of protection for the Yampa River streamflows downstream of the Craig Station diversion. This management plan affects only the use of the Wessels Canal water right for power generation at Craig Unit 3 and does not impact the operations of the other water rights or the uses at Units 1 and 2. The management plan requires that when the flow of the Yampa River, as measured at the Craig stream gage, is less than the negotiated target flow levels during the months of August, September, and October, Tri-State will forego diversions under the Wessels direct flow water right. When this target flow restriction applies, Tri-State will instead use up to 2,000 acre-feet of storage water from its Yamcolo Reservoir account to satisfy the remaining demands of Unit 3. The adopted target flow rates are as follows:

Table 2

Target Flows Restricting Use of Wessels Right

Ŭ	Ũ	0
Month	Target Flow	Monthly Equivalent
August	150 cfs	9,223 acre-feet/month
September	110 cfs	6,546 acre-feet/month
October	115 cfs	7,071 acre-feet/month

2.8. Stillwater Reservoir No. 1

Stillwater Reservoir No. 1 (WDID 583540) is the most upstream of the major reservoirs in the Yampa River (Bear River) drainage. It is owned by the Bear River Reservoir Co. and is used to provide supplemental irrigation water supplies to a number of individuals served by several of the major direct flow structures in the upper Bear River. The reservoir has a decreed capacity of 6,392 acre-feet and carries an appropriation date of January 9, 1935 (State Administration Number = 33782.31054), making it one of the most senior storage decrees in the Yampa River basin. Although it is reported that the outlet for the reservoir is at the bottom of the reservoir, the reservoir company and the water commissioner consider the active storage to be approximately 5,175 acre-feet among 22 shareholders. One share is considered to be equal to one acre-foot of storage. An elevation-capacity table was obtained from the Division 6 engineer who also provided a regression equation relating the reservoir water surface area as a function of the reservoir capacity:

Surface Area = 7.0*(Capacity)^0.35

According to the historical observed storage contents of the reservoir and interviews with the water commissioner, it appears that the reservoir can fill to its capacity in average and above average runoff years, but does not fill in below average years, largely because of limited physical supply in the tributary basin above the reservoir and in part, because of high loss rates through the reservoir dam embankment. Because it is the highest reservoir in the system, the water commissioner informally attempts to store as much of the available runoff as possible in Stillwater Reservoir No. 1, on the assumption that if the lower reservoirs do not fill in priority, releases can be made from the Stillwater Reservoir to satisfy unfulfilled storage downstream. During the summer irrigation season, the Water Commissioner normally sets the release from Stillwater Reservoir No. 1 at a relatively constant rate of flow and then regulates the deliveries to the various shareholders using the storage in the downstream Yamcolo Reservoir.

Because of its remote location, the outlet is normally closed in the fall and the reservoir is allowed to store all inflow during the winter (although there may be very little gain in storage because of seepage losses being approximately equal to the inflow during the winter months). After the reservoir has achieved it maximum possible fill in the spring, the available storage is allocated among the shareholders pro rata to their ownership interest. According to the water commissioner, the reservoir company has implemented an informal rule that each shareholder can carry over no more than 40 percent of his reservoir water remaining in storage on September 30 of each year, the remainder being available for reallocation to all shareholders the following year.

Using reservoir and ditch ownership data provided by the water commissioner, the individually owned storage accounts in Stillwater Reservoir No. 1 were grouped according to the ditch structures which serve the irrigated land owned by those individuals. The active storage in the reservoir is approximately allocated to the following ditch structures:

		Storage Allocation (acre-
Ditch Structure	Structure ID	feet)
Acton Ditch	580500	242
Big Mesa Ditch	580539	444
Bird Ditch	580541	125
Buckingham Mandall	580564	374
Coal Creek Ditch	580589	435
Fix Ditch	580643	81
Hernage & Kolbe	580684	82
Lindsey Ditch	580738	394
Mandall Ditch	580763	386
Mill Ditch No. 1	580777	81
Pennsylvania Ditch	580821	50
Stillwater Ditch	584685	2,331
Town of Yampa	580954	100
No Structure in Model	N/A	50
TOTAL		5,175

Based on historical delivery information provided by the water commissioner, diversion of storage water from Stillwater Reservoir No. 1 into the Stillwater Ditch (WDID 584685) is approximately 58 percent to the Yampa River drainage and 42 percent to the Colorado River drainage (see previous discussion for the Stillwater Ditch). Deliveries to the Colorado River (via Egeria Creek) are 100 percent depletive to the streamflow of the Yampa (Bear) River.

2.9. Yamcolo Reservoir

Yamcolo Reservoir (WDID 584240) is owned and operated by the UYWCD and is used to provide supplemental irrigation water supplies to the critically water short reaches of the upper Yampa River (Bear River). According to an elevation-area-capacity table obtained from the UYWCD, the total capacity of the reservoir at the spillway is approximately 9,096 acre-feet and the capacity at the invert of the outlet works (dead storage) is approximately 1,086 acre-feet, resulting in an active capacity of approximately 8,010 acre-feet. This same area capacity data was used by the Division 6 engineer to develop a regression equation relating the reservoir water surface area to the reservoir capacity:

Surface Area = $3.05*(Capacity)^{0.46}$

WDID	Adjudication Date	Appropriation Date	Administration No.	Amount (acre- feet)
584240	03-30-1964	02-26-1963	41329.00000	6,532
	05-30-1972	06-29-1959	41727.39991	2,500
	12-31-1980	09-04-1951	47481.37136	1,000
	12-31-1990	02-27-1981	47905.00000	914
	12-31-1989	09-06-1988	50769.50653	525
TOTAL				11,471

The UYWCD has obtained the following absolute decrees for the storage in Yamcolo Reservoir:

The second priority for 2,500 acre-feet was originally decreed to the Pleasant Valley Reservoir, a large reservoir that was planned for a site near the present day location of Lake Catamount. In case W0946, Yamcolo Reservoir was made an alternate point of diversion for irrigation, municipal, industrial, domestic, stock, and power use, of which 2,500 af has been made absolute for irrigation, domestic, and stock use. Note that the total storage decrees are in excess of the active storage capacity in the reservoir.

The active storage in Yamcolo Reservoir was originally allocated by the UYWCD by assigning 1,010 acre-feet for municipal uses; 3,000 acre-feet to the Yamcolo Irrigators Association for irrigation in the upper reaches of the Bear River; and 4,000 acre-feet to Colorado-Ute Electric Association (Tri-State's predecessor), for industrial uses. The dead storage of approximately 1,086 acre-feet is reserved for a conservation pool.

The municipal water is held by the following entities. Historically, there has been very little use of this water.

	Amount of Reservoir Storage
Name of Municipality	(acre-feet)
Town of Hayden	300
Morrison Creek WSD	60
Mt. Werner WSD	300
Routt County & Phippsburg	50
Town of Steamboat Spring	100
Town of Yampa	200
TOTAL	1,010

The Yamcolo Irrigators Association currently consists of about 18 individuals who irrigate land under several of the major ditch structures in the upper Bear River. Using reservoir account and land ownership data provided by the water commissioner, the reservoir accounts were grouped according to the structures that are used to serve the irrigated land owned by those individuals.

		Storage Allocation
Structure	Structure ID	(acre-feet)
Acton Ditch	580500	50
Big Mesa Ditch	580539	500
Bird Ditch	580541	300
Buckingham Mandall	580564	87
Coal Creek Ditch	580589	300
Egeria Ditch	580622	100
Fix Ditch	580643	113
Hernage & Kolbe Ditch	580684	62
Lindsey Ditch	580738	550
Mandall Ditch	580763	138
Mill Ditch No. 1	580777	62
Stillwater Ditch	584685	513
Wooley Ditch	580945	50
Lake Catamount	N/A	75
Misc. small structures	N/A	100
TOTAL		3,000

Pursuant to a 1992 agreement between the UYWCD and Tri-State, the UYWCD may store in and deliver from Stagecoach Reservoir the 4,000 acre-feet of water to which Tri-State is entitled annually from Yamcolo Reservoir, and may store in the 4,000 acre-feet of the Yamcolo Reservoir capacity originally allocated to Tri-State, 4,000 acre-feet of water allocated to agricultural users from Stagecoach Reservoir. This exchange arrangement effectively increases the agricultural water supplies in Yamcolo by another 4,000 acre-feet and moves all of Tri-State's industrial water into Stagecoach Reservoir. Currently the 4,000 acre-feet of Stagecoach Contract Water, deliverable by exchange through Yamcolo Reservoir, has been contracted for by individuals with land under the following ditch structures:

		Storage Allocation
Structure	Structure ID	(acre-feet)
Acton Ditch	580500	165
Buckingham Mandall	580564	100
Egeria Ditch	580622	100
Hernage & Kolbe Ditch	580684	100
Mandall Ditch	580763	100
Stillwater Ditch	584685	3,435
TOTAL		4,000

Delivery of water to the Colorado River basin (via Stillwater Ditch, to the Egeria Creek basin) should be considered as 100 percent depletive to the streamflow of the Yampa River.

Among the three general accounts in Yamcolo Reservoir, the municipal account has the senior priority with respect to filling its 1,010 acre-foot account; the Yamcolo Irrigators Association has the second priority to fill its 3,000 acre-foot account and the Stagecoach

Contract water has the last priority of fill during years when the reservoir cannot fill because of insufficient physical supply.

2.10. Stagecoach Reservoir

Stagecoach Reservoir is the largest storage facility in the Yampa River basin and is also owned and operated by the UYWCD. The reservoir is intended to provide supplemental industrial, agricultural, and municipal water supplies as well as a significantly sized conservation pool for recreational purposes. According to an elevation capacity table obtained from the UYWCD, the total capacity of the reservoir at the spillway elevation is approximately 33,275 acre-feet and the capacity at the invert of the outlet works (dead storage) is approximately 3,275 acre-feet, resulting in an active capacity of about 30,000 acre-feet. The Division 6 engineer provided a regression equation relating the reservoir water surface area to the reservoir capacity:

Surface Area = $0.84*(Capacity)^{0.65}$

The UYWCD has obtained the following absolute decrees for storage in Stagecoach Reservoir:

	Adjudication	Appropriation	Administration	
WDID	Date	Date	No.	Amount
584213	09-22-1892	10-22-1888	14175.00000	49.3 acre-feet
	09-22-1892	11-14-1889	14563.00000	296.7 acre-feet
	09-20-1906	09-02-1904	20450.19968	8.8 acre-feet
	09-14-1946	06-01-1918	33782.24988	84.8 acre-feet
	09-14-1946	06-01-1919	33782.25353	116.3 acre-feet
	03-30-1964	06-02-1958	39599.00000	151 cfs
	03-30-1964	09-93-1961	40815.00000	11,614 acre-feet
	05-30-1972	06-29-1959	41727.39991	20,854 acre-feet
	12-31-1997	03-01-1996	53691.53386	6,670 acre-feet

The first five senior rights represent the consumptive use of senior ditches that were inundated by the reservoir, and whose rights were transferred to the reservoir in case 95CW0078.

The 151-cfs right is associated with the former Four Counties Ditches No. 1 and No. 3, which were originally decreed conditional rights totaling 915 cfs across multiple collection points on tributaries north and west of Rabbit Ears Pass. UYWCD had the rights changed to allow storage in Stagecoach Reservoir as an alternate point. Of the 915 cfs, 151 cfs has been perfected as of 2009. In the Water Court case, storage at Stagecoach Reservoir pursuant to these rights is limited to the amounts of water physically available in priority at the original headgate locations.

UYWCD must inform the commissioner on an annual basis whether they are going to use the Four County rights or not. As there are no structures for the Four County ditches, the

Water Commissioner attributes set percentages of the flow at a gage on Fish Creek, downstream from the original points of diversion, to the various Four Counties rights. Storage in Stagecoach under the rights is the lesser of the water available under the Four Counties rights, and physically and legally available water at Stagecoach. In early runoff, Fish Creek may be running higher than the Yampa River at Stagecoach, so the limitation is the supply at Stagecoach. Later, the situation reverses and the limit becomes the divertible flow on Fish Creek. According to the Water Commissioner, yield of the Four Counties rights in Water Year 2008-2009 was approximately 2,250 acre-feet. The storage right for 11,614 acre-feet was part of the former Wessels Project and shares the same priority date as the Wessels Canal, which is owned in part, by Tri-State for direct flow industrial use at the Craig Station. Pursuant to a 1992 agreement between UYWCD and Tri-State, the UYWCD's storage decree is subordinated to the priority of Tri-State's Wessels Canal flow right, to the extent that there is insufficient flow for both. Note that diversions on the Wessels Canal water right at Craig Station are limited to the amount legally and physically available at its original headgate location just downstream of Stagecoach Reservoir.

The next storage right in priority is part of the 40,720 acre-foot, conditional storage decree for the former Pleasant Valley Reservoir site, which is now the location of Lake Catamount. Stagecoach Reservoir was made an alternate point for the right, as was Yamcolo Reservoir (see previous discussion). In 1994, UYWCD made 20,854 acre-feet of this conditional water right absolute at Stagecoach Reservoir.

Stagecoach Reservoir's most junior right for 6,670 acre-feet is a second fill right that was decreed in Case 97CW0084.

The UYWCD originally allocated a total of 15,000 acre-feet of storage water in Stagecoach Reservoir for sale annually as follows: Municipal Users – 2,000 acre-feet; Industrial Users (Tri-State) – 9,000 acre-feet; and Agricultural Users – 4,000 acre-feet. Pursuant to two 1992 Agreements between the UYWCD and Tri-State, the parties agreed to exchange the 4,000 acre-feet of water that Tri-State is entitled to in Yamcolo Reservoir to a Tri-State account in Stagecoach and similarly exchange the 4,000 acre-feet of Agricultural water in Stagecoach upstream to storage in Yamcolo Reservoir. Pursuant to these agreements, Tri-State also reduced its original industrial allocation from 9,000 acre-feet to 7,000 acre-feet. As a result of these Agreements, the storage in Stagecoach Reservoir is now allocated as follows:

	Amount of Reservoir
General Allocation	Storage (acre-feet)
Industrial Water (Tri-State)	11,000 acre-feet
Municipal Water	2,000 acre-feet
Unallocated M&I Water	2,000 acre-feet
Recreation and Dead Storage	18,275 acre-feet
TOTAL	33,275 acre-feet

The municipal water in Stagecoach is currently under contract to the following municipal water providers.

	Amount of Reservoir
Name of Municipality	Storage (acre-feet)
Steamboat Springs	552 acre-feet
Morrison Creek WSD	500 acre-feet
Mt. Werner WSD	200 acre-feet
Town of Hayden	200 acre-feet
Peabody Coal	100 acre-feet
Tree House Metro Dist.	50 acre-feet
Dakota Ridge	50 acre-feet
Raindrops Excavating	50 acre-feet

UYWCD installed a hydroelectric generation facility on the outlet works of Stagecoach Dam and produces electrical energy from the flows that pass through the reservoir, either as bypassed inflows or as reservoir releases. In gaining environmental approvals for the construction of Stagecoach, the UYWCD committed to maintaining downstream flow of 40 cfs or the reservoir inflow, whichever is less, from December 1 through July 31; and a minimum release of 20 cfs from August 1 through November 30.

According to UYWCD, the hydro equipment is not sized to feasibly operate at flow rates less than 40 cfs. Therefore, it has been the practice of the UYWCD to operate at a minimum flow rate of 40 cfs. The maximum flow rate that can be accommodated by the turbines is approximately 115 cfs. Bypassed inflows and/or releases in excess of 115 cfs are routed through the larger outlet of the dam, bypassing the hydro plant.

2.11. Allen Basin Reservoir

Allen Basin Reservoir (WDID 583500) is a small irrigation reservoir located near the headwaters of Middle Hunt Creek. It plays a significant role in the irrigation water supply in this water limited area of the Yampa River basin. The reservoir has a decreed capacity of 2,250 acre-feet which is also reported to be its active capacity, there being no dead storage. The storage right was adjudicated in 03-30-1964 and carries an appropriation date of 10-20-1953. The Division 6 engineer provided a regression equation relating the reservoir water surface area to the capacity. This equation is recommended for purposes of estimating evaporation losses from the reservoir:

Surface Area = 8.53*(Capacity)^0.31

The reservoir stores water from Middle Hunt Creek and also stores water imported from tributaries of South Hunt Creek, via the Allen Basin Supply Ditch (WDID 580506). This supply canal, although large in decreed capacity at 60 cfs, diverts relatively small quantities of water during the spring runoff, prior to the more senior rights on the streams calling for water.

Storage water in Allen Basin Reservoir is used to provide supplemental irrigation supplies to several direct flow ditch structures in the Hunt Creek drainage. Using

Ditch Structure	Structure ID	Storage Allocation (acre-feet)
Bull Creek Ditch	580566	179
Collins Ditch	580591	593
Lateral A Ditch	580730	333
Mill Creek Ditch 5	81085	582
Simon Ditch	580863	287
Misc. small structures	N/A	276
TOTAL		2,250

information provided by the water commissioner, those users and ditch structures were identified as follows:

2.12. Lake Catamount

Lake Catamount Reservoir (WDID 583631) is located on the main stem of the Yampa River, between Stagecoach Dam and Steamboat Springs. The reservoir is used primarily for recreational uses for the planned residential and ski development near the lake. Elevation-area-capacity data was obtained from the original design plans and verified with the division engineer. From this information, it was determined that the maximum (and active) storage capacity of the reservoir is about 7,422 acre-feet. The division engineer also provided a regression equation relating the reservoir surface area to the storage capacity:

Surface Area = 2.47*(Capacity)^0.60

According to the State's tabulation of water rights, Lake Catamount holds the following storage water rights:

WDID	Adjudication Date	Appropriation Date	Administration No.	Amount (acre-feet)
583631	12-31-1972	07-20-1972	44761.00000	7,800
	12-31-1991	09-01-1978	51134.46995	4,000

Note that the first storage right is in excess of the actual reported capacity of the reservoir. The second right is decreed as a refill right.

According to the Division 6 Engineer and Water Commissioner, the reservoir is normally operated to keep it full. Historically there has been a practice to lower the reservoir by releasing about 2,000 acre feet in October to provide a measure of protection against the formation of frazil ice near the reservoir inlet during the winter months. It is our understanding that this practice is being discontinued.

2.13. Fish Creek Reservoir

The existing Fish Creek Reservoir (WDID 583508) is owned by the city of Steamboat Springs and used as reserve raw water storage for the city and for the Mt. Werner Water & Sanitation District. The present capacity, all of which is active, is approximately 4,042 acre-feet. Elevation-area-capacity data was obtained from the dam design drawings and verified with the division engineer and city personnel. The division engineer also provided a regression equation relating the reservoir water surface area to the storage capacity:

Surface Area = $2.41*(Capacity)^{0.49}$

The reservoir was enlarged in 1995 and 1996, increasing total storage from its former capacity of 1,842 acre-feet. It is our understanding that the enlargement is intended to provide additional raw water storage for both the city and the Mt. Werner Water & Sanitation District.

Fish Creek Reservoir has the following storage water rights:

WDID	Adjudication Date	Appropriation Date	Administration No.	Amount (acre- feet)
583509	09-14-1946	02-15-1942	33782.33648	1,175.43
	03-30-1964	08-17-1960	40406.00000	666.63

Steamboat Springs has also obtained conditional water storage rights in the amount of 2,200 acre-feet, to be implemented when the enlargement is complete.

According to city personnel, the reservoir is typically filled during the months of April and May. Yield studies performed by the city indicated the reservoir can fill in nearly every year, including dry runoff years. Releases from the reservoir are typically made beginning in early August when the natural streamflow in Fish Creek begin to decrease and are inadequate for the municipal demands. The reservoir water is then used to supplement the direct flow diversions during the remainder of the late summer, fall and winter months. Because the reservoir is currently inaccessible during the winter months, the reservoir outlet valve is set at a pre-determined flow rate to provide for the ensuing winter demands. This release may be slightly greater than the actual demand. Historically, the annual reservoir release has averaged approximately 1,000 acre-feet.

2.14. Steamboat Lake

Steamboat Lake (WDID 583787) is located on Willow Creek, a tributary of the Elk River. The reservoir is owned and operated by the Colorado Division of Parks and Outdoor Recreation (CPOR) and is used primarily for recreational and industrial purposes. According to the design plans for the reservoir and the elevation-capacity table, the capacity at the normal spillway elevation is approximately 23,064 acre-feet, which represents the active capacity of the reservoir, there being no dead storage below the elevation of the outlet works. Historically, CPOR has been allowed to store water above the normal spillway elevation, encroaching upon the flood surcharge capacity of the reservoir. This arrangement has been made permanent with the installation of gates in the spillway to allow this storage and by obtaining an additional water right to store in the additional capacity (approximately 3,300 acre-feet). The Division 6 engineer provided a regression equation relating the reservoir water surface area to the storage capacity

Surface Area = 0.41*(Capacity)^0.78

	Adjudication	Appropriation	Administration	Amount
WDID	Date	Date	Date	(acre-feet)
583787	05-30-1972	10-18-1961	41727.40833	5,000
	05-30-1972	10-18-1961	41727.40833	18,064
	12-31-1990	05-15-1968	51134.43234	3,300
TOTAL				26,364

Steamboat Lake presently has the following storage water rights:

Although they carry the same priority, the original water right for 5,000 acre-feet is decreed primarily for industrial uses whereas the right for 18,064 acre-feet is decreed for recreational and piscatorial uses. The junior decree is for the storage in the flood surcharge capacity as described above.

Prior to the initial construction of the Steamboat Lake dam, CPOR entered into an agreement with the Salt River Generating Co. and Colorado-Ute Electric Association (the partners in the operation of the Hayden Station power plant) which provided for partial payment of the construction costs by the latter and for the acquisition of a perpetual right to withdraw from the reservoir up to 5,000 acre-feet of water per year. This water is the reserve back-up water supply for the operation of the Hayden Station. (In 1992, Public Service Co. and PacifiCorp acquired Colorado-Ute's interests in the contract).

Of the 3,300 acre-foot storage right in the surcharge storage pool, CPOR has contracted to lease up to 125 acre-feet per year to the Cyprus Empire Corp. for use in an augmentation plan. The use of the water for this purpose had been previously approved by the Water Court.

In 1997, as an element of the Yampa Recovery Plan, CWCB and CPOR jointly obtained a decree to change the use of 3,150 af of Steamboat Lake water to instream flow use to preserve the natural environment. Furthermore, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), CWCB, and CPOR entered into a lease-sublease agreement whereby USFWS leases 2,000 af/yr for maintenance of instream flows, with option to lease additional water, depending on availability as determined by CPOR. According to the lease, USFWS determines the need for the water based on observations of the Maybell gage, but the lease does not quantify a minimum flow level that would trigger the release. The rate of release is not to exceed 200 cfs. The lease year runs from October through September, and generally, the 2,000 af has been released through late August and

September. The lease was signed in 1996 and provided for annual renewal at the option of USFWS for four additional years.

2.15. Elkhead Creek Reservoir

Elkhead Creek Reservoir (WDID 443902) is located on Elkhead Creek, a tributary of the Yampa River just upstream of the city of Craig. The reservoir was originally constructed by the CDOW and the Yampa Project Participants (the operating consortium for the Craig Station power plant) and was intended for recreational and industrial purposes. The Yampa Participants funded a portion of the construction in return for full use of the active storage capacity in the reservoir above Elevation 6340.5, which was estimated at the time to be approximately 8,310 acre-feet. CDOW retained the use of the storage capacity below this elevation, including the dead storage below the outlet works.

In 1990, the city of Craig acquired all of the CDOW's interests in the reservoir, subject to a contractual commitment to not encroach upon the dead storage below the elevation of the outlet work invert, which capacity is reserved as a conservation pool for the benefit of the CDOW. In 1991, the reservoir was emptied to the approximate dead storage level to perform maintenance on the outlet works and at the same time, re-survey the capacity of the reservoir. From this new survey data, the city estimated that the active capacity above the outlet works invert is about 10,422 acre-feet. Assuming that the original capacity of the total reservoir, as decreed, is 13,699 acre-feet, this would result in a dead storage capacity of about 3,277 acre-feet.

In 2005 and 2006, the Colorado River Water Conservation District (CRWCD) enlarged the reservoir to a capacity of 24,778 acre-feet, and storage began in November 2006. A purpose of the enlargement was to alleviate deficiencies in target flows for endangered fish species in the lower Yampa, established by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, pursuant to the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Implementation Program. A post-enlargement survey resulted in adjustments to owner pool sizes, which by agreement are based on elevations. The current ownership, including the 11,957-acrefoot enlargement, is as follows:

	Storage Volume
Owner	(af)
City of Craig	4,413
Yampa Participants	8,408
Subtotal (original)	12,821
CWCB (endangered fish pool)	5,000
CRWCD (unallocated)	6,957
Subtotal (enlargement)	11,957
TOTAL	24,778

WDID	Adjudication Date	Appropriation Date	Administration No.	Amount (acre-feet)
443902	05-30-1972	08-07-1962	41126.00000	13,699
	05-30-1972	10-01-1966	42642.00000	5,389
	12-31-1973	06-20-1972	44925.44731	8,310
	12-31-2002	10-16-2002	55806.00000	13,000

The following water rights are associated with Elkhead Creek Reservoir:

The first priority represents a portion of CRWCD's conditional right for the California Park Reservoir, a project that was to have been located upstream of Elkhead Reservoir on Elkhead Creek. The transfer was sought through CRWCD by the City of Craig, because the right associated with their pool, originally owned by CDOW, was not decreed for municipal use. When this became problematic, CRWCD dedicated a portion of the California Park water right to the City, and in keeping with the original water rights structure of equal priorities between the City of Craig and the Yampa Participants, conveyed enough of the California Park right to cover what was understood at the time to be the volume of the reservoir.

The second right listed above is CDOW's original storage right for recreational, fishery, and wildlife purposes; the third right is the Yampa Participants' right for irrigation, municipal, and recreation use, in addition to its primary industrial use. The last right, for the enlargement, includes the right to refill the enlargement pools to a maximum of 1,000 acre-feet.

The City of Craig is permitted to fill under its more junior right to the extent possible, and then continue filling or refilling using the California Park right. The practice is permitted because the more senior right allows a different use, and the one primarily needed by the City. The same flexibility is not afforded the Yampa Participants, because the senior California Park right allows the same uses as the original junior right.

The industrial water is available to satisfy shortages which may occur at the Craig Station Units 1 and 2 after exercise of the senior direct flow rights diverted through the Craig Station Ditch and Pipeline (WDID 440522). Refer to the documentation describing the Craig Station operations for additional information. The city's storage water is available to satisfy shortages that may occur after utilization of the city's direct flow water rights on the Yampa River, specifically the Craig Water Supply Pipeline (WDID 440581).

2.16. Lester Creek Reservoir (Pearl Lake)

Lester Creek Reservoir (WDID 583521) is located on Lester Creek, a tributary of the Elk River downstream of Steamboat Lake. The reservoir is owned and operated by CDOW and used exclusively for recreational and fishery purposes.

According to elevation-capacity-area data provided by the Division 6 engineer, the total capacity of the reservoir is estimated to be approximately 5,657 acre-feet, which corresponds to the amount described in the water storage right. The storage right was decreed on March 30, 1964 and was granted an appropriation date of May 5, 1959 (Administration No. 39936.00000). All of the capacity is considered active, there being no dead storage below the outlet works. The division engineer has also provided a regression equation relating the reservoir water surface area to the storage capacity:

Surface Area = $1.12*(Capacity)^{0.58}$

3. Yampa River Structure Information and Basin Meeting Notes

This section contains information that was gathered during the initial CDSS development phase, regarding specific, individual diversion structures. The objective at the time was to identify which structures should be included explicitly in the water resources planning model of the Yampa River. The information is historical, reflecting the thinking at the time and conditions at the time. It is valuable, however, for its detail on specific structures from those who have observed the diversion systems and have first-hand familiarity with their operations.

3.1. Annotated Structure Listing

The number of diversion structures explicitly included in the CDSS water resources planning model for each basin was based on the State's recommendation to simulate 75 percent of the decreed water rights. In the Yampa basin, by accumulating net absolute rights for each structure and ranking the structures, it was determined that structures with rights amounting to 5.0 cfs or more comprised 75 percent of the basin's rights. The table in this section lists structures that meet that criterion for inclusion. The list was then annotated, however, to document input from Division 6 personnel based on observations of actual practices. These observations may be generally useful to CDSS users who are trying to enhance their understanding of water use in the Yampa River basin.

		Decree Amount	Cumulative	Is Structure in Final	
WDID	Structure Name	(cfs)	of Total	Model?	Comments
440694	MAYBELL CANAL	129	0.0203	Yes	
581583	STAGECOACH HYDROELECTRIC	110	0.03761	Yes	
440522	CRAIG STATION D & PL	90.63	0.05188	Yes	
570539	GIBRALTAR DITCH	80	0.06447	Yes	
440675	JUNIPER MTN TUNNEL	67.67	0.07512	Yes	
580920	WALTON CREEK DITCH	67	0.08566	Yes	
440692	MARTIN CK DITCH	62	0.09542	Yes	
440589	DEEP CUT IRR D	60.45	0.10494	Yes	
580506	ALLEN BASIN SUPPLY D	60	0.11438	Yes	
570592	SHELTON DITCH	53.52	0.1228	Yes	
580530	BAXTER DITCH	49.6	0.13061	Yes	
440586	D D & E DITCH	49.33	0.13837	Yes	
570611	WALKER IRRIG DITCH	43.5	0.14522	Yes	
584684	SARVIS DITCH	43	0.15199	Yes	Transbasin diversion into Red Dirt Creek

Table 3.1 Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

]	X			<u> </u>	
		D		Is	
		Decree	Cumulative	Structure	
WDID	Staniotura Norma	Amount	% of Total	in Final Model?	Commonto
	Structure Name	(cfs)			Comments
580897		42.97	0.15875	Yes	D 1 1
440558	BRANNAN FEEDER D	42.4	0.16542	No	Removed at basin
500520	BIG MESA DITCH	10.00	0.17200	NZ	meetings, minimal use
580539		42.33	0.17208	Yes	
580944	WOOLERY DITCH FISH CR MUN WATER	37.4	0.17797	Yes	
580642	INTAKE	36.977	0.18379	Yes	
440687	LILY PARK PUMP NO 1	36.67	0.18956	Yes	
440511	WISCONSIN DITCH	32	0.1946	Yes	
580714	KELLER DITCH	31.39	0.19954	Yes	
580763	MANDALL DITCH	31.14	0.20444	Yes	
580622	EGERIA DITCH	31.02	0.20932	Yes	
584685	STILLWATER DITCH	30.83	0.21417	Yes	Add to network line
					diagram below
					Yamcolo Reservoir
570512	COLO UTILITIES D & PL	30	0.21889	Yes	
440724	NORVELL DITCH	30	0.22362	Yes	
580589	COAL CREEK DITCH	28	0.22802	Yes	Transbasin diversion
					into Moody Creek
580627	ENTERPRISE DITCH	28	0.23243	Yes	
440779	SYNTHETIC PRODUCTS	26.84	0.23665	No	Removed per Division
	DITCH				6 recommendation
570510	CARY DITCH CO DITCH	25.99	0.24074	Yes	
580694	HOOVER JACQUES DITCH	25.92	0.24482	Yes	
440700	MCKINLAY DITCH NO 2	25.66	0.24886	Yes	
441122	VAUGHN PUMP	25	0.2528	Yes	
540583	TROWEL DITCH	24.72	0.25669	Yes	
440581	CRAIG WATER SUPPLY PL	24.54	0.26055	Yes	
540519	DUNCAN DITCH NO 1	24.5	0.2644	No	Not on modeled
					tributary
580868	SODA CREEK DITCH	23.927	0.26817	Yes	
580649	FRANZ DITCH	23.8	0.27192	Yes	
580783	MORIN DITCH	23.66	0.27564	Yes	
440657	HUSTON DITCH	23.49	0.27934	Yes	
440763	SMITH DITCH	22.99	0.28295	Yes	
440607	EGRY MESA DITCH	22.91	0.28656	Yes	
440524	AQ DITCH 1	22.86	0.29016	Yes	
580564	BUCKINGHAM MANDALL D	22.73	0.29374	Yes	
440688	LITTLE BEAR DITCH	22.5	0.29728	Yes	
580879	STAFFORD DITCH	22.04	0.30075	Yes	
570508	BROCK DITCH	21.5	0.30413	Yes	
580626	ELK VALLEY DITCH CO. D.	21.24	0.30747	Yes	
440573	CATARACT DITCH	20.8	0.31075	Yes	
440518	YELLOW JACKET DITCH NO 1	20.65	0.314	Yes	
440706	MILK CK DITCH	20	0.31714	Yes	

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

		Desares	Commutations	Is	
		Decree	Cumulative	Structure in Final	
WDID	Stars streng Name	Amount	%		Germante
WDID	Structure Name AVERILL DITCH	(cfs)	of Total	Model?	Comments
440538		19.9	0.32028	Yes	
580568	BURNETT DITCH	19.7	0.32338	Yes	
540531	HEELEY DITCH	19.58	0.32646	Yes	
440590	DEER CK & MORAPOS D	19.5	0.32953	Yes	
570622	WILLIAMS IRRIG DITCH	19.43	0.33258	Yes	
574629	RICH DITCH	19.33	0.33563	Yes	Transbasin diversion into Oak Creek
570555	LAST CHANCE DITCH	19.29	0.33866	Yes	
440611	ELK TRAIL DITCH	19	0.34165	Yes	
440707	MILK CK DITCH 1	19	0.34464	Yes	
580662	GRAHAM & BENNETT D	18.99	0.34763	Yes	
440702	MCINTYRE DITCH	18.7	0.35057	Yes	
550506	MAJORS PUMP NO 2	18.64	0.35351	Yes	
440587	D D FERGUSON D NO 2	18.33	0.35639	Yes	
580639	FINGER ROCK PL NO 2	18.24	0.35926	No	Removed, small
500057		10.21	0.35720	110	diversion feeding fish hatchery
580643	FIX DITCH	18.04	0.3621	Yes	
570563	MARSHALL ROBERTS DITCH	17.94	0.36493	Yes	
440584	CROSS MTN PUMP NO 1 & 2	17.78	0.36772	Yes	
580618	DUQUETTE DITCH	17.66	0.3705	Yes	
440785	TIPTON IRR DITCH	17.16	0.3732	Yes	
440517	YAMPA VAL STOCK BR CO D	16.7	0.37583	Yes	
580808	OAKTON DITCH	16.58	0.37844	Yes	
540591	WILLOW CK DITCH	16.17	0.38099	Yes	
440748	ROBY DITCH NO 2	16.06	0.38352	Yes	
440583	CROSS MTN PUMP -	16	0.38603	Yes	
	GROUNDS				
440812	HART DITCH	16	0.38855	Yes	
440726	OWEN CARRIGAN DITCH	16	0.39107	Yes	
570545	HOMESTEAD DITCH	15.92	0.39357	Yes	
580752	LUCAS SEEP WASTE D 2	15.833	0.39607	No	Removed, minimal use
570544	HIGHLAND DITCH	15.66	0.39853	Yes	
570513	CONNELL DITCH	15.66	0.401	Yes	
440509	WILSON DITCH	15.61	0.40345	Yes	
440541	BAILEY DITCH	15.58	0.4059	Yes	
550509	ONECO PUMP NO 2	15.34	0.40832	Yes	
440729	PATRICK SWEENEY D	15.1	0.4107	Yes	
580570	BURNT MESA SUPPLY D	15	0.41306	No	Removed, small reservoir feeder
440638	HADDEN BASE DITCH	15	0.41542	Yes	
582102	RAMS HORN FEEDER D	15	0.41778	No	Removed per Division 6 recommendation
580634	FERGUSON DITCH	15	0.42014	Yes	
570612	WEST SIDE DITCH	15	0.4225	No	Removed, minimal use
580777	MILL DITCH 1	14.79	0.42483	Yes	

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

Table 3.1

	<u> </u>				
		Decree Amount	Cumulative %	Is Structure in Final	
WDID	Structure Name	(cfs)	of Total	Model?	Comments
580943	WOODCHUCK D SODA CK HG	14.79	0.42715	Yes	
570623	WILLIAMS PARK DITCH	14.7	0.42947	Yes	
580916	UPPER PLEASANT VALLEY D	14.66	0.43178	Yes	
570519	DENNIS & BLEWITT D	14.66	0.43408	Yes	
580623	EKHART DITCH	14.57	0.43638	Yes	
580569	BURNT MESA D	14.49	0.43866	Yes	
580633	FELIX BORGHI DITCH	14.32	0.44091	Yes	
440651	HIGHLAND DITCH	14.2	0.44315	Yes	
540554	PERKINS FOX DITCH	14	0.44535	Yes	
540538	JOLLEY DITCH	14	0.44755	No	Not on modeled tributary
440786	TISDEL D NO 2	13.998	0.44975	Yes	
580915	UPPER ELK RIVER D CO. D	13.79	0.45193	Yes	
570533	EMRICH FEEDER DITCH	13.74	0.45409	No	Removed per Division 6 recommendation
440525	AQ DITCH 2	13.7	0.45624	No	Removed at basin meetings, use at 524
580746	LOOK WASTE WATER D 1	13.6	0.45838	No	Removed, minimal use
440652	HIGHLAND AKA HIGHLINE D	13.55	0.46052	Yes	Kennoved, minimar use
440612	ELKHORN IRR DITCH	13.3	0.46261	Yes	
580577	CAMPBELL DITCH	13.3	0.4647	Yes	
570635	KOLL DITCH	13.22	0.46678	Yes	
570529	ECKMAN PARK D SYS (1-5)	13.13	0.46885	No	Removed, no data
540532	HOME SUPPLY DITCH	13.1	0.47091	Yes	Removed, no data
580895	SUNNYSIDE DITCH 1	13.1	0.47296	Yes	
580743	LOOK SEEPAGE D 1	12.9	0.47499	No	Removed, minimal use
580549	BORLAND VAIL DITCH	12.83	0.47701	Yes	Removed, minimar use
580935	WHITELEY NELSON D SYS	12.74	0.47901	No	Removed, averages 2.8 cfs
570608	TROUT CREEK DITCH 3	12.66	0.481	Yes	
570561	MALE MOORE CO DITCH	12.62	0.48299	Yes	
550507	NINE MILE IRR DITCH	12.6	0.48497	Yes	
550513	VISINTAINER DITCH	12.6	0.48696	Yes	
580628	EXCELSIOR DITCH	12.5	0.48892	Yes	
440698	MCDONALD DITCH	12.45	0.49088	Yes	
570579	R E CLARK DITCH	12.43	0.49284	Yes	
580500	ACTON D	12.32	0.49478	Yes	
580687	HIGHLINE BEAVER DITCH	12.16	0.49669	Yes	
540555	PERKINS IRR DITCH	12.08	0.49859	Yes	
580863	SIMON DITCH	12.00	0.50048	Yes	
440830	OLD SWEENEY DITCH	12	0.50237	Yes	
550519	RINKER PUMP D	12	0.50426	Yes	
540570	SLATER PARK DITCH NO 1	12	0.50615	Yes	
540590	WEST SIDE CANAL	11.92	0.50802	No	Included in Wyoming Irrigation

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

	Tampa Dasin Div				
		Deserve	Completion	Is	
		Decree	Cumulative	Structure	
WDID	Stars strong Name	Amount	%	in Final	Gammanta
WDID	Structure Name PINE CK DITCH	(cfs)	of Total	Model?	Comments
440735	WITHER DITCH	11.9	0.5099	Yes	D 1
580940		11.88	0.51177	No	Removed, averages 1.0 cfs
580730	LATERAL A DITCH	11.84	0.51363	Yes	
580695	HOT SPGS CR HIGHLINE D	11.83	0.51549	Yes	
440570	CARD DITCH	11.79	0.51735	Yes	
580889	STUCKEY SODA CR D	11.75	0.5192	No	Removed, minimal use
580945	WOOLEY DITCH	11.66	0.52103	Yes	
580541	BIRD DITCH	11.55	0.52285	Yes	
440593	DENNISON & MARTIN D	11.33	0.52463	Yes	
540574	SLATER PARK DITCH NO 5	11.3	0.52641	Yes	
440863	HENRY SWEENEY DITCH	11.1	0.52816	Yes	
440580	CRAIG IRR DITCH	11.09	0.5299	No	Removed at basin
110200		11.09	0.0277	110	meetings, transfer from
580665	GUIDO DITCH	11	0.53164	Yes	meenings, transfer from
580749	LOWER PLEASANT VALLEY D	11	0.53337	Yes	
540517	DECKER DITCH NO 1	11	0.5351	No	Not on modeled tributary
570584	SADDLE MOUNTAIN DITCH	11	0.53683	Yes	· · · ·
580805	OAK CREEK DITCH	11	0.53856	Yes	
440650	HIGHLINE MESA BAKER D	10.97	0.54029	Yes	
540543	LUCHINGER DITCH	10.8	0.54199	Yes	
570525	EAST SIDE DITCH 2	10.65	0.54366	Yes	
440740	RATCLIFF DITCH	10.64	0.54534	Yes	
580821	PENNSYLVANIA DITCH	10.6	0.54701	Yes	
580738	LINDSEY DITCH	10.33	0.54863	Yes	
440677	K DIAMOND DITCH	10.33	0.55023	Yes	
	TIMBERLAKE DITCH		0.55181		Demosred as data
540582	GORDON C. WINN PUMP 2	<u> 10 </u>		No	Removed, no data
550515	GORDON C. WINN TOWN 2	10	0.55338	No	Removed, averages 1.5 cfs including 514
440820	LOWRY SEELEY PUMP	10	0.55495	Yes	1.5 cfs including 514
	DEWEY SHERIDAN D	10			Removed, no data
551011	LEFEVRE NO 1 PUMP		0.55653	No	Kemoved, no data
550537	MILL CREEK DITCH	10	0.5581	Yes	
581085		10	0.55968	Yes	
581021	LEE IRRIGATION D	10	0.56125	Yes	
440575	CLAPP IRR DITCH	9.99	0.56282	No	Removed at basin meetings, minimal use
440731	PECK IRRIG D	9.84	0.56437	Yes	
570524	EAST SIDE DITCH	9.5	0.56587	Yes	
440711	MOCK DITCH	9.5	0.56736	Yes	
580591	COLLINS DITCH	9.32	0.56883	Yes	
580884	STEAMBOAT GARDENS D	9.25	0.57028	No	Removed, not used in 15 years
440814	HIGHLINE DITCH	9.24	0.57174	Yes	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
580801	NORTH HUNT CREEK DITCH	9.19	0.57318	Yes	

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs
Table 3.1

WDID Structure Name Decree Amount Cumulative of Total Structure Model? In Final 580914 UNION DITCH 9 0.5746 Yes 540520 DUNCAN DITCH NO 2 9 0.577602 Yes 540520 DUNCAN DITCH NO 2 9 0.57743 No Not on model tributary 540520 DUNCAN DITCH NO 3 8.696 0.58022 Yes 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL D 8.670 0.58158 No Possibly irrigate Wyoming 580812 DEVER D 8.5 0.58563 Yes 440750 ROUND BOTTOM D NO 2 8.6 0.58829 Yes 580612 DEVER D 8.5 0.58563 Yes 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.589612 No Removed, sm diversion feedin (iversion feedin (
WDID Structure Name Amount (cfs) of Total of Total Model? Comments 580914 UNION DITCH 9 0.5746 Yes 440998 DRY COTTONWOOD DITCH 9 0.5760 Yes 540520 DUNCAN DITCH NO 2 9 0.57743 No Not on model tributary 540520 DUNCAN DITCH NO 2 9 0.57885 No Not on model tributary 540530 HAY QUEEN DITCH 9 0.57885 No Not on model tributary 440828 MOCK DITCH NO 3 8.696 0.58022 Yes 10 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL D 8.67 0.58158 No Possibly irrigata Wyoning 580612 DEVER D 8.5 0.58563 Yes 1440750 Removed, sm diversion feedin hatchery 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.58867 Yes 144054 140644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.32 0.59093 Yes 1440572 CARRIGAN-AVERILD 8.32 0.59224 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2	
WDID Structure Name (cfs) of Total Model? Comments 580914 UNION DITCH 9 0.5746 Yes - 440998 DRY COTTONWOOD DITCH 9 0.57602 Yes - 540520 DUNCAN DITCH NO 2 9 0.57743 No Not on model tributary 540530 HAY QUEEN DITCH 9 0.57885 No Not on model tributary 440828 MOCK DITCH NO 3 8.696 0.58022 Yes - 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL 8.67 0.58158 No Possibly irrigate Wyoming 580847 SAND CREEK DITCH 8.62 0.58294 Yes - 540512 DEVER D 8.5 0.58663 Yes - 580612 DEVER D 8.30 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feeding hatchery 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.58829 Yes - 580750 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.334 0.59093 Yes - <	
580914 UNION DITCH 9 0.5746 Yes 440998 DRY COTTONWOOD DITCH 9 0.57602 Yes 540520 DUNCAN DITCH NO 2 9 0.57743 No Not on model tributary 540530 HAY QUEEN DITCH 9 0.57885 No Not on model tributary 440828 MOCK DITCH NO 3 8.696 0.58022 Yes 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL D 8.67 0.58158 No Possibly irrigat Wyoming 580841 DEVER D 8.6 0.58458 Yes 580612 Deves S80633 Yes 580632 DEVER D 8.5 0.58697 Yes S80638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.394 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feeding hatchery 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.32 0.59093 Yes S80638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.33 0.59093 Yes S80756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59134 Yes S80756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59433 Yes <td< td=""><td>ato</td></td<>	ato
John John John John 440998 DRY COTTONWOOD DITCH 9 0.57602 Yes 540520 DUNCAN DITCH NO 2 9 0.57743 No Not on model tributary 540530 HAY QUEEN DITCH 9 0.57885 No Not on model tributary 440828 MOCK DITCH NO 3 8.696 0.58022 Yes Yes 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL 8.67 0.58158 No Possibly irrigate Wyoming 580847 SAND CREEK DITCH 8.62 0.58294 Yes Wyoming 580684 HERNAGE & KOLBE DITCH 8.5 0.58663 Yes 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feedin 680638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.33 0.59093 Yes 580756 440649 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.32 0.59354 Yes 580756 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59943 Yes 580826 580826 PONY CREEK	115
540520 DUNCAN DITCH NO 2 9 0.57743 No Not on model tributary 540530 HAY QUEEN DITCH 9 0.57885 No Not on model tributary 440828 MOCK DITCH NO 3 8.696 0.58022 Yes 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL D 8.67 0.58158 No Possibly irrigate Wyoming 580847 SAND CREEK DITCH 8.62 0.58294 Yes Yes 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL D 8.67 0.58158 No Possibly irrigate Wyoming 580841 DEVER D 8.5 0.58563 Yes Yes 580638 HERNAGE & KOLBE DITCH 8.5 0.58697 Yes 580638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.394 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feedin hatchery 440699 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.33 0.59093 Yes S80756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes S80826 PONY CREEK D 8.1	
S40530 HAY QUEEN DITCH 9 0.57885 No Not on model tributary 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL D 8.696 0.58022 Yes 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL D 8.67 0.58158 No Possibly irrigate Wyoming 580847 SAND CREEK DITCH 8.62 0.58294 Yes 440750 ROUND BOTTOM D NO 2 8.6 0.58863 Yes 580612 DEVER D 8.5 0.58563 Yes 540653 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.32 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feeding hatchery 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feeding hatchery 440699 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.33 0.59093 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59961 Yes 580732 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992	dalad
440828 MOCK DITCH NO 3 8.696 0.58022 Yes 540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL D 8.67 0.58158 No Possibly irrigate Wyoming 580847 SAND CREEK DITCH 8.62 0.58294 Yes 440750 440750 ROUND BOTTOM D NO 2 8.6 0.58429 Yes 580612 DEVER D 8.5 0.58563 Yes 580612 DEVER D 8.5 0.58667 Yes 440644 HARPEE DITCH 8.5 0.58697 Yes 580638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.394 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feeding hatchery 440699 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.332 0.59093 Yes 580736 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59354 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59433 Yes 580826 PONY CREEK D 8.1 0.59738 Yes 580826 PONY CREEK D 8.10 0.59945 Yes 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 580532	У
540513 CLARK BUTLER WESTFALL D 8.67 0.58158 No Possibly irrigate Wyoming 580847 SAND CREEK DITCH 8.62 0.58294 Yes 440750 ROUND BOTTOM D NO 2 8.6 0.58429 Yes 580612 DEVER D 8.5 0.58563 Yes 580684 HERNAGE & KOLBE DITCH 8.5 0.588697 Yes 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.58829 Yes 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.588697 Yes 580638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.394 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feedin hatchery 440699 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.32 0.59093 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580826 PONY CREEK D 8.1 0.59738 Yes 580933 WIPPLE DITCH 8.08 0.59992 Yes 5808037 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60371	
D OBST 1 OBST 2 OBST 3 OBST 3 <thobst 3<="" th=""> <thobst 3<="" th=""></thobst></thobst>	
Solo Solo <th< td=""><td></td></th<>	
S80612 DEVER D 8.5 0.58563 Yes 580612 DEVER D 8.5 0.58663 Yes 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.58829 Yes 580638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.394 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feedin, hatchery 440649 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.334 0.59093 Yes 140572 440572 CARRIGAN-AVERILL D 8.32 0.59224 Yes 1580756 580798 NICKELL DITCH 8.27 0.59354 Yes 1580826 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 1580826 580756 LYON DITCH 8 8.1 0.59738 Yes 168393 440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.59865 Yes 16830 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 164060 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 1650503 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes<	
580612 DEVER D 8.5 0.58563 Yes 580684 HERNAGE & KOLBE DITCH 8.5 0.58697 Yes 440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.58829 Yes 580638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.394 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feedin, hatchery 440699 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.33 0.59093 Yes 140572 440572 CARRIGAN-AVERILL D 8.32 0.59224 Yes 1580756 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 1580756 Yes 440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.5961 Yes 168393 140790 1124 yes 168393 140790 1124 yes 168393 140790 1124 yes 168393 1128 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 112833 1128333 1128333 1128333	
Bit State Bit State <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
440644 HARPER DITCH 1 8.42 0.58829 Yes 580638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.394 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feeding hatchery 440699 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.333 0.59093 Yes 440572 CARRIGAN-AVERILL D 8.32 0.59224 Yes 580798 NICKELL DITCH 8.27 0.59354 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580826 PONY CREEK D 8.1 0.59738 Yes 440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.59738 Yes 580933 WHIPPLE DITCH 8.1 0.59992 Yes 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.08 0.59992 Yes 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.03 0.60245 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 <td></td>	
580638 FINGER ROCK PL NO 1 8.394 0.58962 No Removed, sm diversion feeding hatchery 440699 MCKINLAY DITCH NO 1 8.33 0.59093 Yes 1 440572 CARRIGAN-AVERILL D 8.32 0.59224 Yes 1 580798 NICKELL DITCH 8.27 0.59354 Yes 1 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 1 580826 PONY CREEK D 8.1 0.5961 Yes 1 440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.59738 Yes 1 580933 WHIPPLE DITCH 8.08 0.59992 Yes 1 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 1 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 1 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 1 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 </td <td></td>	
100000 100000 100000 100000 440572 CARRIGAN-AVERILL D 8.32 0.59224 Yes 580798 NICKELL DITCH 8.27 0.59354 Yes 580796 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580826 PONY CREEK D 8.1 0.5961 Yes 440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.59738 Yes 580933 WHIPPLE DITCH 8.08 0.59965 Yes 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60497 No Include under of the transport of the	ling fish
580798 NICKELL DITCH 8.27 0.59354 Yes 580798 NICKELL DITCH 8.27 0.59354 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580826 PONY CREEK D 8.1 0.5961 Yes 440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.59738 Yes 580933 WHIPPLE DITCH 8.08 0.59865 Yes 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60497 No Include under 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60749	*
580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580826 PONY CREEK D 8.1 0.5961 Yes 440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.59738 Yes 580933 WHIPPLE DITCH 8.08 0.59865 Yes 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60371 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60497 No Not on model tributary 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60875 Yes 580892 580892 STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D 8 0.6	
580756 LYON DITCH 2 8.2 0.59483 Yes 580826 PONY CREEK D 8.1 0.5961 Yes 440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.59738 Yes 580933 WHIPPLE DITCH 8.08 0.59865 Yes 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60497 No Include under 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60749 No Removed, minim 582374 STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 580892 STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir	
580826 PONY CREEK D 8.1 0.5961 Yes 440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.59738 Yes 580933 WHIPPLE DITCH 8.08 0.59865 Yes 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60497 No Include under 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE 8 0.60623 No Not on model D Tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60749 No Removed, minim 582374 STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 580892 STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir	
440790 UTLEY DITCH 8.1 0.59738 Yes 580933 WHIPPLE DITCH 8.08 0.59865 Yes 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60497 No Include under 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60749 No Removed, minim 582374 STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 580892 STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir	
580933 WHIPPLE DITCH 8.08 0.59865 Yes 580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60497 No Include under 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60749 No Removed, minim 582374 STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 580892 STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir	
580532 BEAVER CREEK D 8.08 0.59992 Yes 440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60497 No Include under 1 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60749 No Removed, minim 582374 STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 6 recommenda	
440660 J A MARTIN DITCH 8.05 0.60119 Yes 580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60497 No Include under 1 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60749 No Removed, minim 582374 STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 6 recommendation	
580807 OAK DALE DITCH 8.03 0.60245 Yes 550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60497 No Include under 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60749 No Removed, minim 582374 STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 580892 STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir	
550504 ESCALANTA PUMP 2 8.01 0.60371 Yes 550503 ESCALANTA PUMP 1 8.01 0.60497 No Include under 1 542075 WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D 8 0.60623 No Not on model tributary 580799 N SO SIDE DIS D 8 0.60749 No Removed, minim 582374 STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL 8 0.60875 Yes 580892 STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 6 recommendation	
550503ESCALANTA PUMP 18.010.60497NoInclude under 1542075WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE D80.60623NoNot on model tributary580799N SO SIDE DIS D80.60749NoRemoved, minim 582374582374STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL80.60875Yes580892STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D80.61001NoRemoved per Dir 6 recommenda	
542075WILLOW CK SEEP & WASTE80.60623NoNot on model tributary580799N SO SIDE DIS D80.60749NoRemoved, minim582374STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL80.60875Yes580892STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D80.61001NoRemoved per Dir 6 recommenda	er 504
580799N SO SIDE DIS D80.60749NoRemoved, minim582374STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL80.60875Yes580892STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D80.61001NoRemoved per Dir 6 recommenda	deled
582374 STEAMBOAT SKI SNOW PL 8 0.60875 Yes 580892 STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 6 recommenda	
580892 STUCKEY SODA CR FKS D 8 0.61001 No Removed per Dir 6 recommenda	iniai use
580866 SNOW BANK DITCH 8 0.61127 Yes	
440806 ELLGEN NO 2 DITCH 8 0.61253 Yes	
580599 CULLEN DITCH 2 8 0.61379 Yes	
440645 HARPER DITCH 2 7.93 0.61503 Yes	
440647 HAUGHEY IRR DITCH 7.9 0.61628 Yes	
440526AQ DITCH 37.80.61751NoRemoved at ba meetings, use at	
440628 GIBBONS WILSON JORDAN D 7.8 0.61873 Yes	
440833 RICHARDSON DITCH 7.8 0.61996 No Inactive since 1	e 1980
580663 GREER DITCH 7.8 0.62119 Yes	- 1700

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

		Decree	Cumulative	Is Structure	
		Amount	%	in Final	
WDID	Structure Name	(cfs)	of Total	Model?	Comments
440772	STUCKEY DITCH	7.75	0.62241	No	Removed per Division 6 recommendation
570609	TROUT CREEK DITCH 2	7.66	0.62361	Yes	
580753	LUCAS SEEP WASTE D 1	7.66	0.62482	No	Removed, minimal use
440614	ELLIS & KITCHENS D	7.66	0.62602	Yes	
440613	ELLGEN DITCH	7.6	0.62722	Yes	
580717	KINNEY DITCH	7.6	0.62842	Yes	
580653	FRYE SYST D 1	7.5	0.6296	No	Removed, minimal use
440821	MACK DITCH	7.5	0.63078	Yes	
540500	ANDERSON DITCH	7.5	0.63196	No	Removed, averages 2.2 cfs
540548	MORGAN & BEELER D	7.48	0.63314	Yes	
580588	CLARK & BURKE DITCH	7.4	0.6343	Yes	
540515	DAVIDSON DITCH	7.33	0.63545	No	Not on modeled tributary
580508	ALPHA DITCH	7.2	0.63659	Yes	*
540561	RIVAST & ALLEN D	7.16	0.63771	No	Removed, not active
440747	ROBY D AKA ROBY D NO 1	7.143	0.63884	Yes	
580731	LAUGHLIN DITCH	7.14	0.63996	Yes	
440801	CROSS MTN PUMP - GUESS	7.14	0.64109	Yes	
580848	SANDELIN DITCH	7	0.64219	No	Removed, minimal use
440851	MORGAN DITCH	7	0.64329	Yes	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
542068	STATE LINE WW DITCH	7	0.64439	No	Little data, diverts 2 cfs
570585	SAGE CREEK DITCH	7	0.64549	No	Removed, minimal use
581091	WOODCHUCK D GUNN CK HG	7	0.64659	Yes	
571034	SPENCER DIVERSION	7	0.6477	No	Removed, minimal use
580685	HIGH MESA IRR D	7	0.6488	Yes	
552035	HELLS CANYON DITCH	7	0.6499	No	Not on modeled tributary
540505	BAXTER DITCH	7	0.651	No	Not on modeled tributary
540516	DAVIDSON DUTTON D	7	0.6521	No	Not on modeled tributary
540594	WOODBURY DITCH	6.98	0.6532	Yes	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
440765	SOUTH SIDE DITCH	6.92	0.65429	Yes	
440519	YELLOW JACKET DITCH NO 2	6.88	0.65537	Yes	
580722	LAFON DITCH	6.83	0.65645	Yes	
541070	ANDERSON D GRIEVE HEADGT	6.83	0.65752	No	Not on modeled tributary
440674	JUNIPER DITCH	6.83	0.6586	No	Inactive since 1981, averages 1 cfs
440776	SULLIVAN SEEPAGE D	6.81	0.65967	No	Removed at basin meetings, small diversion

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

Table	3.1
-------	-----

	A				
		D	C 1 1	Is	
		Decree	Cumulative	Structure	
WDID	Standard Name	Amount	%	in Final	G
WDID	Structure Name	(cfs)	of Total	Model?	Comments
440749	ROUND BOTTOM D NO 1 GEORGIOU DITCH	6.8	0.66074	Yes	
540653	GEORGIOU DITCH	6.8	0.66181	No	Not on modeled
440522	NICHOLS DITCH NO 1	6 50	0.66005		tributary
440723	NICHOLS DITCH NO 1	6.73	0.66287	Yes	
580813	PALISADE DITCH	6.7	0.66392	Yes	
552034	HAYSTACK PUMP	6.7	0.66498	No	Not on modeled tributary
580559	BROOKS DITCH	6.7	0.66603	Yes	
440778	SUNBEAM DITCH	6.7	0.66709	Yes	
580791	MUDDY DITCH 1	6.7	0.66814	Yes	
440579	COLLOM DITCH NO 2	6.66	0.66919	No	Removed at basin
					meetings, minimal use
440770	STARR IRRIG DITCH	6.6	0.67023	Yes	
540572	SLATER PARK DITCH NO 3	6.6	0.67127	Yes	
440661	J P MORIN DITCH	6.6	0.67231	Yes	
580917	VAIL SAVAGE DITCH	6.57	0.67334	Yes	
540507	BEELER DITCH	6.5	0.67436	Yes	
550508	NINE MILE IRR PL	6.5	0.67539	Yes	
580590	COLEMAN DITCH	6.5	0.67641	Yes	
580908	TRULL MORIN DITCH	6.5	0.67743	Yes	
440516	YAMPA DITCH	6.5	0.67845	No	Removed at basin
					meetings, minimal use
570549	J O K DITCH	6.5	0.67948	No	Removed, minimal use
580849	SANDELIN STORAGE POWER	6.5	0.6805	No	Removed, minimal use,
	D				non-consumptive
442207	BROCK D VANTASSEL	6.33	0.6815	No	Removed at basin
	TRANS				meetings, minimal use
580721	L L WILSON D	6.32	0.68249	Yes	
440751	ROUND BOTTOM DITCH	6.3	0.68348	Yes	
580715	KERN FEEDER D	6.3	0.68447	No	Removed, feeds a small
					pond
440501	W R DEAKINS DITCH	6.25	0.68546	No	Removed, averages less than 5 cfs
540564	SALISBURY DITCH	6.2	0.68643	Yes	
580774	METCALF DITCH 2	6.17	0.68741	No	Removed, minimal use
440601	DUNSTON DITCH	6.13	0.68837	Yes	
440514	WOOLEY & JOHNSON D	6.04	0.68932	Yes	
570576	ORNO DITCH	6.01	0.69027	Yes	
570506	BOETTLER DITCH	6	0.69121	No	Not on modeled
570500		0	0.07121	110	tributary
580955	YELLOW JACKET DITCH	6	0.69215	No	Removed, averages
200700		0	0.07210	1,0	4.0 cfs
580716	KERNAGHAN D CO D	6	0.6931	No	Removed, minimal use
550538	DUNN PUMP & PL	6	0.69404	No	Remove, no data since
550550		0	0.07404	110	1982, averaged 4 cfs
580625	ELGIN CREEK DITCH	6	0.69499	No	Removed, minimal use
500025		0	0.07499	140	Kennoveu, minimai use

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

	Tanipa Basin Dive				
		Decree	Cumulative	Is Structure	
		Amount	%	in Final	
WDID	Structure Name	(cfs)	of Total	Model?	Comments
440527	AIR LINE IRR D	6	0.69593	Yes	
540568	SLATER FORK DITCH	6	0.69688	Yes	
580582	CHARLES & A LEIGHTON D	6	0.69782	Yes	
580604	DAY DITCH	6	0.69876	Yes	
580542	BISHOP RATCLIFF D 1	6	0.69971	No	Removed, minimal use
440691	M DITCH	6	0.70065	Yes	
570515	CORLISS DITCH	6	0.7016	No	Washed out
440681	LAMB IRR DITCH	6	0.70254	Yes	
580939	WINDSOR DITCH	6	0.70349	Yes	
580767	MAYFLOWER DITCH	6	0.70443	Yes	
570675	UTTERBACK ENL COLO UTE D	6	0.70538	No	Removed, minimal use
580872	SOUTH SIDE DITCH	5.98	0.70632	Yes	
540558	READ WINSLOW DITCH	5.95	0.70725	No	Not on modeled tributary
440633	GRANDT DITCH	5.9	0.70818	No	Removed per Division 6 recommendation
580520	BARBER SEEP WASTE D	5.9	0.70911	No	Removed, minimal use
581084	ROSSI DITCH 1	5.9	0.71004	No	Removed, minimal use
580584	CHILTON DITCH	5.9	0.71097	No	Removed, minimal use
440857	BENNER DITCH	5.9	0.7119	No	Removed at basin
		0.13	0.7115	110	meetings, minimal use
440585	CRYSTAL CK DITCH	5.9	0.71282	Yes	<i>C</i> /
580986	GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 1	5.9	0.71375	No	Removed, minimal use
570535	ERWIN IRRIGATING DITCH	5.86	0.71467	Yes	
440720	MYERS DITCH NO 1	5.8	0.71559	No	Removed at basin meetings, included at Maybell
580574	C R BROWN MOFFAT COAL D	5.77	0.7165	Yes	
540592	WILSON DITCH	5.75	0.7174	Yes	
440635	GRIESER DITCH	5.75	0.71831	Yes	
580844	SAGE HEN DITCH	5.74	0.71921	Yes	
581027	MT WERNER BURGESS PL	5.733	0.72011	No	No longer used
580830	PRIEST DITCH	5.67	0.721	Yes	
440670	J W KELLOGG D 2	5.67	0.7219	Yes	
581074	ROSSI HIGHLINE DITCH	5.66	0.72279	Yes	
540556	PORTER SALISBURY DITCH	5.66	0.72368	No	Not on modeled tributary
580928	WHEELER BROS DITCH	5.6	0.72456	Yes	.
580556	BRINKER CREEK DITCH	5.6	0.72544	Yes	
580850	SANDHOFER DITCH	5.56	0.72632	Yes	
570575	NOFSTGER ZEIGLER FEEDER	5.5	0.72718	No	Removed per Division 6 recommendation
440716	MULLEN DITCH	5.5	0.72805	Yes	
540510	BRIGHTON DITCH	5.5	0.72891	No	Removed, averages

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

Table 3.1	l
-----------	---

WDID Structure Name Decree Amount (cfs) Structure in Final Model? Comments 580640 FIRST CHANCE DITCH 5.5 0.72978 Yes 580809 0.07 cfs 580640 FIRST CHANCE DITCH 5.5 0.72978 Yes 580809 0.02 CABIN DITCH 5.4 0.73148 No Removed, minimal us 581035 NORTH SUBE DITCH 5.4 0.73318 Yes 581035 NORTH SUBE DITCH 5.39 0.73318 Yes 580980 GABIOUD DITCH 5.39 0.73402 No Removed, small reservoir feeder 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.31 0.73486 No Removed at basin meetings, washed out 570517 DAVID M CHAPMAN DITCH 5.31 0.73553 No Removed, not active saves of basins at the set of basin meetings, minimal us meetings, minimal us set of basin meetings, the set of basin meetings, fimimal us set of basin meetings, fim		Tampa Dasin Div			Is	
WDID Structure Name Amount (cfs) % of Total in Final Model? Comments 580640 FIRST CHANCE DITCH 5.5 0.72978 Yes 5 580690 OL CABIN DITCH 5.4 0.73063 Yes 5 581095 AULTMAN DITCH 5.4 0.73148 No Removed, minimal us 581095 AULTMAN DITCH 5.4 0.7318 Yes 5 580980 GABIOUD DITCH 5.39 0.73318 Yes 5 581435 ANDERSON RES INLET 5.35 0.73402 No Removed, small 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.31 0.73653 No Removed at basin meetings, washed out meetings, minimal us 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH 5.31 0.73653 No Removed at basin sto5305 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.31 0.73736 No Removed, averages 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73898 Yes 5 580664 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5			Decree	Cumulative		
WDID Structure Name (cfs) of Total Model? Comments 580640 FIRST CHANCE DITCH 5.5 0.72978 Yes 0.7 cfs 580809 OLD CAINI DITCH 5.4 0.73148 No Removed, minimal us 581095 AULTMAN DITCH 5.4 0.73148 No Removed, minimal us 581035 AULTMAN DITCH 5.3 0.73148 No Removed, small 581435 ANDERSON RES INLET 5.35 0.73402 No Removed at basin 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.31 0.73669 Yes reservoir feeder 440999 FLOYD M CHAPMAN DITCH 5.32 0.73663 No Removed at basin 540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.31 0.73898 Yes 583078 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73898 Yes 580678 5806678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Washed out 44084 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74135						
S80640 FIRST CHANCE DITCH 5.5 0.72978 Yes 580090 OLD CABIN DITCH 5.4 0.73063 Yes 581095 AULTMANDITCH 5.4 0.73233 Yes 580080 OABIOU DITCH 5.4 0.73233 Yes 580080 GABIOU DITCH 5.39 0.73318 Yes 581435 ANDERSON RES INLET 5.35 0.73486 No Removed, small 640704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.31 0.73669 Yes Removed at basin 750517 DAVID M CHAPMAN DITCH 5.32 0.737366 No Removed at basin 640599 FLOYD BUTTS DITCH 5.31 0.737366 No Removed, not active 580728 LARSON DITCH 5.2 0.73818 Yes S80571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73818 Yes 540531 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Removed, averages 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.74135 No Removed, a	WDID	Structure Name				Comments
S80640 FIRST CHANCE DITCH 5.5 0.72978 Yes S80090 OLD CABIN DITCH 5.4 0.73063 Yes S81095 AULTMAN DITCH 5.4 0.73148 No Removed, minimal us S81095 AULTMAN DITCH 5.4 0.73148 No Removed, minimal us S81095 AULTMAN DITCH 5.39 0.73318 Yes Service S81435 ANDERSON RES INLET 5.35 0.73402 No Removed, small 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.31 0.73653 No Removed at basin meetings, washed out 540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.31 0.73653 No Removed at basin meetings, minimal us 540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.3 0.73736 No Removed, at basin meetings, minimal us 540537 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73818 Yes S80678 580678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Washed out 440054 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74135 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>(013)</td> <td>011000</td> <td></td> <td></td>			(013)	011000		
\$80809 OLD CABIN DITCH 5.4 0.73063 Yes \$81035 AULTMAN DITCH 5.4 0.73148 No Removed, minimal us \$81035 NORTH SIDE DITCH 5.4 0.73233 Yes Yes \$80980 CABIOUD DITCH 5.39 0.73118 Yes Removed, small reservoir feeder 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.32 0.73569 Yes Removed at basin meetings, washed out meetings, mained out stasin meetings, maked out stasin meetings, maked out stasin meetings, maked out stasin meetings, minimal us 540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.3 0.73736 No Removed, not active stasin meetings, minimal us 540531 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.3 0.73736 No Removed, not active stasin meetings, minimal us 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH 5.2 0.7318 Yes Statter PARK DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Washed out 440834 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74135 No Removed, averages 1.5 cfs 580678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5 0.74292 No <	580640	FIRST CHANCE DITCH	5.5	0.72978	Yes	
581095 AULTMAN DITCH 5.4 0.73148 No Removed, minimal us 581035 NORTH SIDE DITCH 5.4 0.73233 Yes 580980 GABIOUD DITCH 5.39 0.73318 Yes 581435 ANDERSON RES INLET 5.35 0.73402 No Removed, small 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.32 0.73466 No Removed at basin 570517 DAVID M CHAPMAN DITCH 5.31 0.73653 No Removed at basin 540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.31 0.73736 No Removed, not active 580728 LARSON DITCH 5.2 0.73818 Yes S80678 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH 5.2 0.73818 Yes S80678 440834 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74135 No Removed, averages 580664 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74214 No Removed, averages 440056 GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 5 0.74292 No Removed, niminal us <td></td> <td>OLD CABIN DITCH</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		OLD CABIN DITCH				
581035 NORTH SDE DITCH 5.4 0.73233 Yes 580980 GABIOUD DITCH 5.39 0.73318 Yes 581435 ANDERSON RES INLET 5.35 0.73402 No Removed, small reservoir feeder 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.33 0.73486 No Removed, small reservoir feeder 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.32 0.73569 Yes Removed at basin meetings, minimal us 540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.31 0.73653 No Removed at basin meetings, minimal us 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH 5.2 0.73808 Yes Second at the se		AULTMAN DITCH				Removed, minimal use
S80980 GABIOUD DITCH 5.39 0.73318 Yes S81435 ANDERSON RES INLET 5.35 0.73402 No Removed, small reservoir feeder 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.33 0.73486 No Removed at basin meetings, washed out 570517 DAVID M CHAPMAN DITCH 5.32 0.73569 Yes 440999 FLOYD BUTTS DITCH 5.31 0.73653 No Removed at basin meetings, minimal us 540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.3 0.73736 No Removed, not active 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73898 Yes 580678 HARRIS MILLE DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Washed out 440834 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74135 No Removed, averages 580664 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74214 No Removed, averages 44019 COOK DIVERSION 5 0.74292 No Removed, averages 580585 GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 5 0.7		NORTH SIDE DITCH				
581435 ANDERSON RES INLET 5.35 0.73402 No Removed, small reservoir feeder 440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.33 0.73486 No Removed at basin meetings, washed out starting of the sta		GABIOUD DITCH				
440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.33 0.73486 No Removed at basin meetings, washed out 570517 DAVID M CHAPMAN DITCH 5.32 0.73569 Yes 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		ANDERSON RES INLET				Removed, small
440704 MESA IRR DITCH 5.33 0.73486 No Removed at basin meetings, washed out solution meetings, washed out solution meetings, minimal use solution meetings and meetings an						
570517 DAVID M CHAPMAN DITCH 5.32 0.73569 Yes 440999 FLOYD BUTTS DITCH 5.31 0.73653 No Removed at basin meetings, minimal us 540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.3 0.73736 No Removed, not active 580728 LARSON DITCH 5.2 0.73818 Yes Statter Park DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73898 Yes 580678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Washed out 440834 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74056 No Removed, averages 580678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5 0.74135 No Removed, averages 580646 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74214 No Removed, averages 15 UMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 5 0.74292 No Removed, averages 2 HULET & TORRENCE D 5 0.74451 No Removed, averages 440656 HULLCREEK DITCH 5 0.74452 No Removed, averages 581598 </td <td>440704</td> <td>MESA IRR DITCH</td> <td>5.33</td> <td>0.73486</td> <td>No</td> <td></td>	440704	MESA IRR DITCH	5.33	0.73486	No	
570517 DAVID M CHAPMAN DITCH 5.32 0.73569 Yes 440999 FLOYD BUTTS DITCH 5.31 0.73653 No Removed at basin meetings, minimal us 540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.3 0.73736 No Removed, not active 580728 LARSON DITCH 5.2 0.73818 Yes Statter Park DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73898 Yes 580678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Washed out 440834 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74056 No Removed, averages 580678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5 0.74135 No Removed, averages 580646 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74214 No Removed, averages 15 UMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 5 0.74292 No Removed, averages 2 HULET & TORRENCE D 5 0.74451 No Removed, averages 440656 HULLCREEK DITCH 5 0.74452 No Removed, averages 581598 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>meetings, washed out</td>						meetings, washed out
10077 100 Intervention of meetings, minimal use meetings, minimal use for some settings, minimal use some settings, feeds point with the definition of the settings, for some settings, feeds point mono settings, feeds point meetings, feeds pointer some settings, feeds point meetings, feeds point meetings, f	570517	DAVID M CHAPMAN DITCH	5.32	0.73569	Yes	
540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.3 0.73736 No Removed, not active 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73818 Yes 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73898 Yes 580678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Washed out 440834 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74056 No Removed, averages 580664 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74135 No Removed, averages 580664 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74214 No Removed, minimal us 580985 GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 5 0.74292 No Removed, averages les 440656 HULETT & TORRENCE D 5 0.74371 No Removed, averages les 580583 CHARLES H KEMMER D 5 0.74455 No Removed, averages 580583 CHARLES H KEMMER D 5 0.74528 Yes 2.4 cfs 540077 MARY ANN D NO 2 5 0.74607 No	440999	FLOYD BUTTS DITCH	5.31	0.73653	No	Removed at basin
540535 INDEPENDENT DITCH 5.3 0.73736 No Removed, not active 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73818 Yes 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73898 Yes 580678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Washed out 440834 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74056 No Removed, averages 580664 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74135 No Removed, averages 580664 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74214 No Removed, minimal us 580985 GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 5 0.74292 No Removed, averages les 440656 HULETT & TORRENCE D 5 0.74371 No Removed, averages les 580583 CHARLES H KEMMER D 5 0.74455 No Removed, averages 580583 CHARLES H KEMMER D 5 0.74528 Yes 2.4 cfs 540077 MARY ANN D NO 2 5 0.74607 No						meetings, minimal use
Solution Solution Solution Solution 540571 SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2 5.1 0.73898 Yes 580678 HARRIS MILLER DITCH 5.02 0.73977 No Washed out 440834 ROWLEY PUMP STATION 5 0.74056 No Removed, averages 580664 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74135 No Removed, averages 580664 GROUSE CREEK DITCH 5 0.74214 No Removed, averages 580985 GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 5 0.74292 No Removed, minimal us 580985 GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 5 0.74371 No Removed, averages les 2 440656 HULETT & TORRENCE D 5 0.74451 No Removed, no data 580583 CHARLES H KEMMER D 5 0.74528 Yes 440761 SELLERS CROWELL DITCH 5 0.74607 No Removed, averages 542077 MARY ANN D NO 2 5 0.74686 Yes	540535	INDEPENDENT DITCH	5.3	0.73736	No	
S0073HARRIS MILLER DITCH5.020.73977NoWashed out440834ROWLEY PUMP STATION50.74056NoRemoved, averages580674GROUSE CREEK DITCH50.74135NoRemoved, averages580684GROUSE CREEK DITCH50.74214NoRemoved at basin441019COOK DIVERSION50.74214NoRemoved at basin580985GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP50.74292NoRemoved, minimal us580985GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP50.74371NoRemoved, averages les440656HULETT & TORRENCE D50.7445NoRemoved, averages les581598MILL CREEK DITCH50.7445NoRemoved, no data580583CHARLES H KEMMER D50.74607NoRemoved, averages240761SELLERS CROWELL DITCH50.74686Yes2.4 cfs580566BULL CREEK D50.74843Yes5542091MARY ANN D NO 150.75Yes440715580549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.75079NoRemoved at basin580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75158NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us440533ANDERSON DITCH50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us <td>580728</td> <td>LARSON DITCH</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>0.73818</td> <td>Yes</td> <td></td>	580728	LARSON DITCH	5.2	0.73818	Yes	
COOLCOOLCOOLCoolCool440834ROWLEY PUMP STATION50.74056NoRemoved, averages 0.9 cfs580664GROUSE CREEK DITCH50.74135NoRemoved, averages 1.5 cfs441019COOK DIVERSION50.74214NoRemoved, averages meetings, minimal use580985GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP50.74292NoRemoved, minimal use440656HULETT & TORRENCE D50.74371NoRemoved, averages les than 5 cfs581598MILL CREEK DITCH50.7445NoRemoved, no data580583CHARLES H KEMMER D50.74607NoRemoved, averages than 5 cfs580511OLIGARCHY DITCH50.746607NoRemoved, averages 2.4 cfs540540MARY ANN D NO 250.74764NoNot on modeled tributary540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.755Yes440715440715MONTAIN MEADOWS D50.75079NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75237NoRemoved, averages 	540571	SLATER PARK DITCH NO 2	5.1	0.73898	Yes	
580664GROUSE CREEK DITCH50.74135NoRemoved, averages 1.5 cfs441019COOK DIVERSION50.74214NoRemoved at basin meetings, minimal use meetings, minimal use580985GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 250.74292NoRemoved, averages testings, minimal use meetings, minimal use440656HULETT & TORRENCE D50.74371NoRemoved, averages les than 5 cfs581598MILL CREEK DITCH50.7445NoRemoved, no data580583CHARLES H KEMMER D50.74528Yes440761SELLERS CROWELL DITCH50.74607NoRemoved, averages 2.4 cfs580511OLIGARCHY DITCH50.74644NoNot on modeled tributary580566BULL CREEK D50.74843Yes540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.75079NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond tibutary540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.75079NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75158NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75237NoRemoved, minimal us 440533440533ANDERSON DITCH50.75394Yes	580678	HARRIS MILLER DITCH	5.02	0.73977	No	Washed out
	440834	ROWLEY PUMP STATION	5	0.74056	No	
	580664	GROUSE CREEK DITCH	5	0.74135	No	Removed, averages
580985GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 25 0.74292 NoRemoved, minimal us440656HULETT & TORRENCE D5 0.74371 NoRemoved, averages les than 5 cfs581598MILL CREEK DITCH5 0.7445 NoRemoved, no data580583CHARLES H KEMMER D5 0.74528 Yes440761SELLERS CROWELL DITCH5 0.74607 NoRemoved, averages 2.4 cfs580511OLIGARCHY DITCH5 0.74686 Yes542077MARY ANN D NO 25 0.74843 Yes542091MARY ANN D NO 15 0.74922 NoNot on modeled tributary540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH5 0.755 Yes440715MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D5 0.75079 NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE5 0.75237 NoRemoved, minimal us572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION5 0.75394 Yes	441019	COOK DIVERSION	5	0.74214	No	Removed at basin
11000011000011000011000011000000110000001100000011000000110000000110000000110000000110000000011000000000110000000000011000000000000110000000000000001100000000000000000000000000000000000	580985	GUMPRECHT WILKINS SEEP 2	5	0.74292	No	Removed, minimal use
580503 CHARLES H KEMMER D 5 0.74528 Yes 440761 SELLERS CROWELL DITCH 5 0.74607 No Removed, averages 2.4 cfs 580811 OLIGARCHY DITCH 5 0.74686 Yes 2.4 cfs 542077 MARY ANN D NO 2 5 0.74686 Yes 1ributary 580566 BULL CREEK D 5 0.74843 Yes 1ributary 542091 MARY ANN D NO 1 5 0.74922 No Not on modeled tributary 540549 MORGAN SLATER DITCH 5 0.75 Yes 440715 440715 MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D 5 0.75079 No Removed at basin meetings, feeds pond meetings, feeds pond starter DITCH 580891 STUCKEY PIPELINE 5 0.75237 No Removed, minimal us 572083 572083 DRY CREEK DIVERSION 5 0.75315 No Removed, minimal us 440533	440656	HULETT & TORRENCE D	5	0.74371	No	Removed, averages less than 5 cfs
440761SELLERS CROWELL DITCH50.74607NoRemoved, averages 2.4 cfs580811OLIGARCHY DITCH50.74686Yes2.4 cfs542077MARY ANN D NO 250.74764NoNot on modeled tributary580566BULL CREEK D50.74843Yes542091MARY ANN D NO 150.74922NoNot on modeled tributary540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.75Yes440715MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D50.75079NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75237NoRemoved, minimal us572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us440533ANDERSON DITCH50.75394Yes1	581598		5	0.7445	No	Removed, no data
2.4 cfs 580811 OLIGARCHY DITCH 5 0.74686 Yes 542077 MARY ANN D NO 2 5 0.74764 No Not on modeled tributary 580566 BULL CREEK D 5 0.74843 Yes Yes 542091 MARY ANN D NO 1 5 0.74922 No Not on modeled tributary 540549 MORGAN SLATER DITCH 5 0.75 Yes 440715 MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D 5 0.75079 No Removed at basin meetings, feeds pond 580725 LARAMORE DITCH 5 0.75158 No Removed, averages 2.2 cfs 5 0.75237 No Removed, minimal us 572083 DRY CREEK DIVERSION 5 0.75315 No Removed, minimal us 440533 ANDERSON DITCH 5 0.75394 Yes Yes	580583			0.74528	Yes	
542077MARY ANN D NO 250.74764NoNot on modeled tributary580566BULL CREEK D50.74843Yes542091MARY ANN D NO 150.74922NoNot on modeled tributary540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.75Yes440715MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D50.75079NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75158NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75394Yes	440761	SELLERS CROWELL DITCH	5	0.74607	No	
542077MARY ANN D NO 250.74764NoNot on modeled tributary580566BULL CREEK D50.74843Yes542091MARY ANN D NO 150.74922NoNot on modeled tributary540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.75Yes440715MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D50.75079NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75158NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75394Yes	580811	OLIGARCHY DITCH	5	0.74686	Yes	
580566BULL CREEK D50.74843Yes542091MARY ANN D NO 150.74922NoNot on modeled tributary540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.75Yes440715MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D50.75079NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75158NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75237NoRemoved, minimal us572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us440533ANDERSON DITCH50.75394YesYes		MARY ANN D NO 2	5			
542091MARY ANN D NO 150.74922NoNot on modeled tributary540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.75Yes440715MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D50.75079NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75158NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75237NoRemoved, minimal us572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us440533ANDERSON DITCH50.75394YesYes	580566	BULL CREEK D	5	0.74843	Yes	
540549MORGAN SLATER DITCH50.75Yes440715MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D50.75079NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75158NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75237NoRemoved, minimal us s572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us 440533440533ANDERSON DITCH50.75394Yes		MARY ANN D NO 1	5			
440715MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D50.75079NoRemoved at basin meetings, feeds pond580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75158NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75237NoRemoved, minimal us strucker privation572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us y440533ANDERSON DITCH50.75394Yes	540549	MORGAN SLATER DITCH	5	0.75	Yes	
580725LARAMORE DITCH50.75158NoRemoved, averages 2.2 cfs580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75237NoRemoved, minimal us572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75315NoRemoved, minimal us440533ANDERSON DITCH50.75394YesYes		MOUNTAIN MEADOWS D				
580891STUCKEY PIPELINE50.75237NoRemoved, minimal use572083DRY CREEK DIVERSION50.75315NoRemoved, minimal use440533ANDERSON DITCH50.75394Yes	580725	LARAMORE DITCH	5	0.75158	No	Removed, averages
572083 DRY CREEK DIVERSION 5 0.75315 No Removed, minimal us 440533 ANDERSON DITCH 5 0.75394 Yes	580891	STUCKEY PIPELINE	5	0.75237	No	
440533 ANDERSON DITCH 5 0.75394 Yes						
440713MORGAN DITCH50.75473NoRemoved at basin						Removed at basin

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

Table 3.1	
-----------	--

	1			J.	
		Deeree	Cumulative	Is Structure	
		Decree	Cumulative %	in Final	
WDID	Stars stores Norses	Amount			Germanite
WDID	Structure Name	(cfs)	of Total	Model?	Comments
					meetings, minimal use
580782	MOODY DITCH	5	0.75551	Yes	
580886	STEES DITCH	5	0.7563	No	Removed, minimal use
440733	PETER UEHLEIN D	5	0.75709	No	Removed, averages 1.7 cfs
580540	BIJOU DITCH	5	0.75787	No	Removed, averages 1.5 cfs
580561	BRUMBACK DITCH	5	0.75866	Yes	
580922	WEISKOPF DITCH	5	0.75945	Yes	
584630	DOME CR DITCH	5	0.76023	Yes	Added under Phase IIIa, averages 2.5 cfs (below cutoff) but xmountain diversion
580797	NICHOLSON DITCH	5	0.76102	No	Removed, minimal use
580558	BROADBROOKS SEEPAGE D	5	0.76181	No	Removed, minimal use
580547	BOOR DITCH	5	0.7626	No	Removed, minimal use
580924	WELCH & MONSON D	5	0.76338	Yes	
442025	VALLEY PUMP	5	0.76417	No	Removed at basin meetings, minimal use
440695	MAYBELL MILL PIPELINE	2.228	0.8892	Yes	Added from initial watright run
990528	CHEYENNE CITY	120	-	Yes	Added to model Little Snake M&I depletion's
990533	WYOMING IRRIGATION	120	-	Yes	Added to model Little Snake irrigation diversions in WY
990534	WYOMING IRRIGATION	120	-	Yes	Added to model Little Snake irrigation diversions in WY
990535	WYOMING IRRIGATION	120	-	Yes	Added to model Little Snake irrigation diversions in WY
442214	WISE DITCH ALT. POINT	2	0.90966	Yes	Recently re-activated to serve lands

Yampa Basin Diversion Structures with Water Rights ≥ 5 cfs

3.2. Basin Meeting Notes

A meeting with the Division 6 Engineer and staff was held on April 25, 1995. The main purpose of the meeting was to gain an understanding of the administration of water rights in the basin. In addition, the following key points were covered:

- Administration issues in each water district
- Irrigation practices
- Irrigation and municipal return flow locations
- Availability of diversion records
- Irrigated acreage estimates

In attendance at the meeting were the following:

- Ed Blank Division 6 Engineer
- Kent Holt
 Assistant Division 6 Engineer
- Elvis Iacovetto Water Commissioner (District 58)
- Andi Schaffner Water Commissioner (Districts 57 and 58)
- Walt Bohrer Water Commissioner (District 44)
- Kathy Bauer Assistant Commissioner (District 44)
- Ray Bennett Division of Water Resources
- Markus Ritsch Riverside Technology, Inc.
- George Fosha W.W. Wheeler and Associates, Inc.
- Jim Hyre W.W. Wheeler and Associates, Inc.

Prior to the meeting, George Fosha and Jim Hyre prepared maps (1:100,000 scale) of the Yampa River basin showing the locations of key structures (ditches and reservoirs) that are being proposed for inclusion in the Yampa Model. The locations of the structures were based primarily on the descriptions given in the water rights tabulation database. Also prior to the meeting, Markus Ritsch prepared a summary of the years in which there were available diversion records for the key structures. During the meeting, the group had access to the division's water rights and structures databases. The meeting proceeded in a general manner in which, working with the maps showing the key structures, the water commissioners would generally discuss the following:

- Administration issues in each Water District
- Irrigation practices
- Irrigation and municipal return flow locations
- Availability of diversion records
- Irrigated acreage estimates
- In the mid-1970's, Kent Holt developed a water rights administration model for the main stem of the Yampa River and the Elk River. (Information from this model was not incorporated into the CDSS effort.)
- Identified major water rights on the main stem and identified return flow locations.
- Structure locations and return flow locations were based on river miles.

- Also contained preliminary estimates of irrigated acreage.
- Kent believes the model reasonably reflects the physical operations on the river.

Interview with Elvis Iacovetto (District 58):

- Elvis provided shareholder entitlements for water in the Stillwater Ditch, Stillwater Reservoir No. 1 and Yamcolo Reservoir.
- Stillwater Ditch must be off by October. (This was not implemented because historical diversions were recorded in October.)
- Portion of Stillwater Ditch is used in the Colorado River basin. From shareholder list it is estimated about 68 percent in the Yampa Drainage and 32 percent in the Colorado drainage.
- Ditch delivers storage water from Stillwater No. 1, Yamcolo and Gardner Park reservoirs.
- Stillwater Reservoir No. 1:
- 1 share = 1 ac-ft.
- Shareholders can carry over no more than 40 percent of their entitlement (on September 30). (This was not implemented into the CDSS effort.)
- Model targets should be set to keep reservoir full when in priority.
- See list of individual sub-accounts in the reservoir.
- Leave outlet at constant rate during the summer; regulate at Yamcolo. (This was not implemented.)
- Reservoirs generally only get one chance to fill in spring runoff; therefore, essentially a one-fill rule is administered.
- 12 hour lag time from Stillwater Reservoir to Yamcolo Reservoir.
- River often dries up at the Nickell Ditch.
- Town of Yampa releases 2 cfs to keep stable streamflow.
- Ditch headgates are often set to keep a constant flow. When the direct rights are short, reservoir water is delivered.
- Yamcolo Reservoir:
- Louis Rossi leases his shares of Stagecoach Contract water to Duane Accord.
- Stagecoach Contract water is the most junior in Yamcolo.
- Yampa Reservoir is owned by the CDOW and is operated to keep full.
- Rams Horn Reservoir:
- Owned by one individual (Kirk Shiner).
- Good fishery; Not used much for irrigation.
- Coal Creek Ditch carries water transbasin to headwaters of Moody Creek.
- Re-diverted into Bayou and Moody ditches (owned by J. Deganahl).
- Return flows to the Ferguson Ditch (also owned by Deganahl).
- Brinker Creek.

- Finger Rock Pipelines. Non-consumptive for fish hatchery. (not modeled)
- Moore Park Creek (Elgin Ditch) (not modeled)
- Lives largely on return flows from Lindsey, Big Mesa and C.A. Leighton ditches.
- Elvis can dry up stream at the Fix Ditch. Return flow from Elgin can make a stream.
- Most of return flows from Buckingham Mandall, Mandall, Acton and Fix ditches go to Phillips Creek.
- Pennsylvania return flows below Town of Yampa.
- Bird and Nickel return flows above Egeria Ditch.
- Allen Basin Supply Ditch:
- Diverts from Mill Creek. Delivers transbasin to Allen Basin Reservoir.
- Not much water. Only diverts in spring runoff before direct flow rights are in.
- Boor Ditch is not used much. It is a small carrier ditch from So. Hunt Creek to Mill Creek above the Allen Basin Supply Ditch. (not modeled)
- Lafone Ditch is the last calling right on So. Hunt Creek.
- Collins Ditch and the Simon Ditch are the major rights on Middle Hunt
- Rossi No. 1 is higher on Middle Hunt Creek but is not used much. (not modeled)
- North Hunt Creek:
- The Sage Hen Ditch is above the N. Hunt Creek Ditch
- Return flows from High Mesa and Sage Hen are intercepted by N. Hunt Crk Ditch.
- Allen Basin Reservoir:
- Water delivered to Simon, Collins and Reservoir Ditch (a carrier ditch).
- Reservoir Ditch carries to Bull Ck. Ditch, Mill Creek Ditch and Lateral A Ditch.
- North Hunt Creek Ditch can dry up No. Hunt Creek; Collins can dry up Middle Hunt Creek and Lafone Ditches can dry up South Hunt Creek.
- Oakton Ditch gets a lot of return flow directly from tailwater out of Egeria Ditch.
- Union Ditch was inundated by Stagecoach Reservoir and is not used much except for Stagecoach mitigation plan.
- Stagecoach hydropower:
- Up to 115 cfs can be released for hydro. >115 cfs goes over spillway.
- Typically run at minimum 40 cfs for power generation. (This was not implemented.)

- Lake Catamount:
- Historically released up to 2,000 ac-ft in October to prevent icing problems at inlet. Slowly refill in the following spring. No longer do this practice. (This was not implemented.)
- Sarvis Ditch:
- No controlled diversion at top of basin divide. Poor records but are adjusted by water commissioner based on snowpack measurements.
- 1,000-2,000 acre-feet/year. Records will overstate diversion.
- Walton Creek Ditch irrigates everything from McKinnis Creek to the main stem
- Enterprise Ditch acreage does not include golf course (Hwy 131 Hwy 40) (Golf course acreage not modeled.)
- Suttle Ditch irrigates both sides of river.
- Fish Creek Municipal Intake serves both the Mt. Werner Water and Sanitation District (WSD) and Steamboat.
- Structure ID 1027 Burgess Creek Pipeline is the old Mt. Werner diversion.
- Steamboat Garden Ditch has not been used in over 15 years. No irrigated acreage left.
- Kent Holt indicated his opinion that the overall seasonal irrigation efficiency in the Yampa River basin is probably on the order of 15 -20 percent. For irrigation return flows, he estimates that up to 70 percent is surface runoff.
- Typical irrigation season in Yampa drainages:
- Bear River Hunt Creek: Begins around May 1 to May 15; ends around end of July.
- Yampa River main stem: Begins around June 1; ends around mid-July.
- West of Hayden; First cutting of alfalfa around 3rd week of June: Second cutting in early August. Occasionally a third cutting.
- There is some late season irrigation after harvest (fall pasture). (not modeled)
- Most of the ditches and diversions downstream of Steamboat Springs do not have measuring devices. These structures are occasionally rated by Kent or the commissioners.

Interview with Andi Schaffner (Districts 57 & 58) Water District 57

- Oak Creek:
- Rich Ditch is a carrier ditch from Trout Creek to Oak Creek. Treat as inflow to Oak Creek. These inflows benefit the Rossi, Oakdale and Alpha ditches. (Modeled as a transbasin diversion to Oak Creek.)
- Oak Creek Ditch is No. 1 priority (11 cfs); return flows mostly (80 percent) to Yampa River.
- Lyon Ditch No. 1 is senior right but only 1 cfs; Lyon No. 2 typically 3-5 cfs.
- To determine physical capacity of ditches, search diversions records for maximums.

- Trout Creek:
- Last Chance Ditch can carry water to Middle Creek (after irrigation season). (not modeled)
- Chapman (Orno) Ditch can regulate upper Trout Creek. It is senior to Rich and Last Chance. Its return flows are above the Koll Ditch.
- Fish Creek:
- Highland Ditch is controlling structure on W. Fish Creek.
- Williams Park Ditch (623) receives tailwater directly from the Highland Ditch (544).
- Gibraltar Ditch is all of north side of river down to Erwin Ditch. Last 10 years of diversion records are not too bad.
- Marshall Roberts ditch. Much surface returns directly to the Williams and Walker ditches (below headgate. Ten years ago, expanded acreage (+600 acres). The increased acreage is reflected in the division engineers' database.
- Shelton Ditch gets a lot of water from the Walker Ditch (tailwater).
- Neither the Cary or Brock get much tailwater directly from upstream ditches.
- The following structures have minimal use, no record or have washed out: West Side Ditch (612); JOK Ditch (549); Spencer (1034); Corliss (515); Sage Creek Ditch (585); Utterback Enlarge.(675); Nofstger (575); Emrich Feeder (533). Andi and Kent suggest leaving out of CDSS model. (These were not modeled.)

Water District 58

- Woodchuck Ditch: All irrigation is in Slate Creek drainage (Returns to Elk River). Woodchuck is the first right on Soda Creek.
- Soda Creek (868): treat as one user even though many individuals.
- Hot Springs Highline Ditch (11 cfs) return flows to Elk River.
- Kinney Ditch (717) return flows to James Wheeler (Tailwater). (not modeled)
- Oligarchy Ditch (811) irrigates approx. 115 acres.
- Steamboat Lake: leave full all of the time.
- Hoover Jacques Ditch: Much of return flows to Cottonwood Gulch. (Above Franz).
- Burnett Ditch return flows come into Sand Creek.
- Wither Ditch diverts less than 1 cfs even though decreed for 11.8 cfs. (not modeled)
- Felix Borghi Ditch has some fishery diversion in winter. This ditch is in West Elk.
- Steamboat Springs/Mt. Werner WWTP is just downstream of Duquette Ditch (near Steamboat II). Some land treatment. Talk to Gilbert Anderson.
- Because of non-use, poor records or diversions much less than 5 cfs, the following structures were recommended for removal from the CDSS: Fry System (653); Harris Miller (678); Look Waste Ditch (746); Look Seepage Ditch (743); Altman (1095); North-South Ditch (799); Gumprecht Wilkens Seep (986)Kernaghan (716); Metcalf (774); Stuckey (889/892); Bishop-Ratcliff (542);

Nicholson (797); Stees Ditch (Cow Creek); Sandelin (848); Chilton (584); Sandelin Storage (849). (These were not modeled.)

Interview with Walt Bohrer and Kathy Bauer

The interviews with the commissioners for District 44 were largely spent reviewing the maps showing the locations of the key structures, with the intent of understanding any unusual circumstances for each ditch, whether they were active or not used and to some extent the nature and extent of irrigated acreage. The following is a summary of the key points discussed:

- City of Craig uses the priority of the Deep Cut Ditch (8.29 cfs) and diverts the water at the Craig Water Supply Pipeline (581). Municipal wastewater returns above the intake to the Craig Station power plant. City no longer uses the diversion on Fortification Creek.
- Deep Cut Ditch records don't include diversions by city of Craig.
- Yampa Ditch is high on river bank. Cannot divert except during high water.
- M Ditch (691) irrigates only about 34 acres (see acreage database).
- Ellis & Kitchens Ditch (614) typically opens up around July 1.
- Starr Irrigation Ditch (770) diverts out of Elkhead Reservoir. Return flows occur above Smith Ditch (763).
- Mesa Irrigation Ditch (704) headgate washed out in 1984.
- Highline Mesa/Baker Ditch is now a pump. Fill missing diversion data with zero values.
- McDonald Ditch (698) is used to fill several small reservoirs. Approx. 200 acres of pasture.
- Yampa Valley Stockmans Ditch irrigates the meadows in the Big Bottom area.
- The Airline Ditch has several years of no pumping. It is owned by ColoWyo Coal Co.
- Egry Mesa Ditch (607) runs some water for a small hydro plant during the winter at least back to 1988? Approximately 2 cfs. Need to check diversion records.
- The location of the J.P. Morin Ditch needs correcting. (This was done.)
- The Harper Ditches #1 (644) and #2 (645) need to be added to the model (Waddle Creek). (These are modeled.)
- Return flows from the Averill Ditch (538) accrue to Stinking Gulch. (Stinking Gulch was not modeled.)
- Carrigan Averill Ditch (572) irrigates on west side of creek above road (54 ac.).
- Return flows from Hadden Base (638), Hart (812), Roby No. 1 (747), Roby No. 2 (748) all return to stream.
- Highland Ditch (651) is a transbasin ditch into Stinking Gulch, downstream of Iles Gulch. (not modeled)
- Return flows from Dennison Martin (593) accrue to Stinking Gulch. (Stinking Gulch was not modeled.)
- Martin Creek Ditch is a feeder for DD&E Reservoir and eventually is used to irrigate about 400 acres. (DD&E Reservoir was not modeled.)

- The DD Ferguson Ditch (587) is downstream of Wilson Ditch (509). Ferguson is on east side of stream; Wilson on the west side.
- DD&E is on east side of creek, downstream of Milk Creek No. 1 and irrigates about 600 acres.
- Milk Creek Ditch (706) can get reservoir water from Wilson Reservoir (Perch Pond). (not modeled)
- The Round Bottom ditches (749 and 751) are used for sporadic irrigation of pasture (206 acres).
- The 555 Ditch (555) and the Juniper Mtn. Tunnel should be modeled with a combined acreage of 348 acres (118 + 230). (not modeled)
- The Wise Ditch (2214) has only 2 cfs of transferred water but irrigates 178 acres. Probably pumps in range of 5 to 10 cfs. Consider assigning a junior water right.
- The Maybell Canal irrigates about 1,225 acres.
- The Myers Ditch (720) could be included with the Maybell Canal. Otherwise leave out of model. (not modeled)
- Maybell Mill right (695) now used for clean-up of old Maybell mill. There are no return flows from this right. Now at approximately 2.23 cfs.
- The Mock No. 3 Ditch (828) is active. Pick up the zero diversions.
- The Richardson Ditch (833) diversion records should be filled with zero diversions for years not used. (not modeled)
- The Vaughn Ditch (1122) should have zero diversions prior to 1985.
- The Morgan Ditch (851) has not been used for about 10 years. Started again in 1994. Estimated acreage is 200 acres. (not modeled)

After consideration of active use versus non-use, usable diversion headgates, amount of acreage irrigated and magnitude of diversions (much less than 5 cfs), Kent Holt and the water commissioners recommended that the following structures not be included in the Yampa Model.

- Van Tissel (2207)
- Yampa Ditch (516)
- Stuckey (772)
- Clapp Ditch (575)
- Grant Ditch (633)
- Benner Ditch (857)
- Sullivan Seep (776)
- Owen Carrigan (726)
- Collum No. 2 (579)

3.3.Wyoming Diversion Structure Information

Descriptions of major structures in the Little Snake basin in Wyoming are available at the website for Wyoming's State Water Plan. The Green River Basin Plan can be viewed at <u>http://waterplan.state.wy.us/plan/green/green-plan.html</u>. To access technical memos on diversion structures, click on:

"Green River Basin 2001 Water Plan"

"Technical Memoranda Table of Contents"

"Water Division 1, District 8" (under 'Diversions')

4. Yampa Basin Instream Flow Rights

The January, 2003 instream flow right tabulation for Division 6 shows there are 129 appropriations covering 551 stream miles in the Yampa River basin. To obtain a copy of the tabulation, visit the CWCB's website at <u>www.cwcb.state.co.us</u>, click on "Stream and Lake Protection," and then "Instream Flow and Natural Lake Level Water Rights Database."

5. Yampa Basin Modeling Efforts

5.1 YRBAS Model

The CDSS data collection effort called for review of the assumptions, databases, and results of prior modeling efforts in the Yampa River basin. This section summarizes the objectives, key modeling assumptions and results of recent water rights modeling efforts conducted by Hydrosphere Resource Consultants (Hydrosphere) for the Colorado River Water Conservation District (CRWCD) and the CWCB for the "Yampa River Basin – Alternatives Feasibility Study" (YRBAS). This study examined current and future water supply and water-based recreation needs in the Yampa River basin. It also evaluated the potential for establishing an instream flow right on the Yampa main stem using a portion of the CRWCD's conditional water rights decreed to the Juniper Project. The study focused on the potential for development of new storage facilities to meet existing and future water needs in the basin while enhancing a river regime conducive to the recovery of endangered fish species in the lower Yampa/Green Rivers. The Phase I Study was completed in March 1993 and recommended a near-term enlargement of the existing Elkhead Reservoir and the longterm enlargement of Stagecoach Reservoir. The new storage would serve as an augmentation source for future uses by junior water rights in the basin without impacting the instream flows available under the Juniper water rights. In March 1995, a Phase II Study was completed and provided additional detailed analyses of the simulated operations of the recommended alternative to enlarge Elkhead Reservoir. This memorandum provides a review of the procedures and assumptions adopted for the modeling efforts for both phases of the study, and discusses the relevance of the modeling work to the current efforts of the CDSS.

For the YRBAS, a network flow modeling system developed by Hydrosphere, known as the Central Resource Allocation Model (CRAM), was utilized. The network for this model consists of a system of nodes and arcs. The nodes typically represent points of inflow, outflow and junctions of flow in the stream system network, while the arcs connect the nodes and typically represent paths through which water must flow, subject to certain prescribed constraints. The flow through any arc is assigned a positive or negative cost, which in water rights modeling generally relates to the priority of a water right. The solution of the network is the set of arc flows that produces the minimum total cost (or maximum value) for the entire network. As such the network solution employed by the CRAM model can be considered a form of optimization model. For CDSS, the model StateMod has been adopted for the Yampa Model. This model can be considered as an allocation model that distributes available water to the demands in the network in strict accordance with a described set of priorities (water rights). As a result of fundamental differences in the two modeling approaches, there is little opportunity to directly import data files and assumptions related to complex administrative issues from the CRAM platform to the StateMod platform.

In the YRBAS, 25 USGS stream gages were identified to assist in estimating inflows to different locations in the model. The majority of these gages were also used in the CDSS, although some of the gages were excluded because of insufficient data during the CDSS study period, 1975-1991. For the YRBAS, gaps in the flow data were filled using regression

equations relating tributary area, average elevation and basin aspect. Some of the regressions were used to predict annual flows which were then distributed into monthly estimates by comparison to monthly patterns for recorded gages. For CDSS, regression equations were also developed to fill data gaps, but were based on regressions similar to those recently developed for the CWCB by Leonard Rice Consulting Water Engineers, Inc.. In the majority of the cases, the regressions for YRBAS and the CDSS were both made against similar long term gage records and are believed to be consistent with each other. We are not aware of substantial differences in the resulting gage data used for inflows in the two models.

In the YRBAS, the majority of the existing uses in the basin (and the resulting stream depletions) are implicitly modeled in the historical hydrology as reflected in the USGS gage records. As such the effects of these water rights are left in the gage. In particular, existing water rights that are senior to the 1954 priority of the Juniper Project are implicitly modeled, with the following exceptions: 1) the senior agricultural water rights were given a demand adjustment to reflect potential dry-year increases in diversion requirements (this adjustment was made for 10 years out of the 53-year study period); 2) senior municipal and industrial water rights were given a demand adjustment to reflect current levels of use over the course of the entire study period (for example the city of Craig municipal demand needed to be adjusted upward in earlier years of the study period in order to simulate current municipal demands over the entire study period. Likewise, the effects of the industrial diversions at Hayden and Craig Stations were adjusted to reflect use over the entire 53-year study period. For simplification in the model, all of the existing senior demands were aggregated into only 26 demand nodes in the model. For example, all agricultural demands above Stagecoach Reservoir were modeled as one aggregated demand. It is also noted that existing seniors on small tributaries are assumed to included in the aggregation with water rights on the main stem. This assumption may be warranted, provided that there are no shortages on the small tributaries.

In the YRBAS, existing water rights that are junior to the 1954 Juniper decrees are explicitly modeled, although they are aggregated into only six model nodes. In order to explicitly model these existing juniors, it was necessary to back out the historical depletions attributable to these rights from the historical gaged data. Historical depletions were provided by the Division 6 engineer and are presumably based on estimates of historical irrigated acreage and estimates of crop consumptive use. Diversions at each model node, whether existing senior or junior, are estimated as a function of depletion and an assumed system efficiency. By simulating diversions, it is also possible to estimate the quantity of return flows.

Because of the planning nature of the YRBAS, the CRAM network model also includes provisions for future demands in the basin. These are based on projections of future growth in municipal demands, potential construction of new thermal electric power generating units and some minimal level of increased agricultural uses. In the CDSS, the majority of the active structures are explicitly modeled, specifically all structures which cumulatively represent 75 percent of all absolute direct flow decrees in the basin. In the Yampa River basin, this is equivalent to a representation of all structures that have decrees in excess of five cfs. As such, only the very small (and less depletive) water rights are assumed to be left in the gage. Demands for the included water rights are based on actual historical diversion data and depletions will be estimated by assuming system efficiencies. The total consumptive use will be verified during simulation operations by using estimates of historically irrigated acreage, estimates of crop consumptive irrigation requirement and estimates of irrigation efficiencies (this verification is similar to the procedures used in the YRBAS model). Because of the inclusion of more structures in the Yampa Model, it is believed that the demands in the basin are more clearly defined, in comparison to leaving the majority of the rights in the gage as in the YRBAS.

The Yampa Model does not currently contain any provisions for future projected growth and increased water demands. However, since it includes many more explicitly modeled nodes, it would be relatively straightforward to add additional demands to any existing structure or add new demand nodes in the network.

In the YRBAS, a number of the inflow nodes for the model (approximately 15) are included to reflect return flows from existing and future basin demands. These are modeled as occurring either in the same monthly time-step (municipal diversions and return flows) or are lagged over a one to four month period (delayed timing pattern for subsurface irrigation return flows). For the latter, a set of lag factors are specified which are then applied against the amount of water delivered into a given node. Uses at the Hayden and Craig power plants are assumed to be 100 percent consumptive.

In CDSS, a return flow pattern is assigned to every structure included in the model and follows the same general timing pattern used in the YRBAS (typically a one to four month lag for irrigation return flows). In addition, the location of those return flows has been determined with respect to each downstream ditch structure that could potentially benefit from returns from upstream structures. The latter was accomplished through detailed analysis of irrigated acreage maps provided by the division engineer. Because many more structures are modeled in CDSS, it is believed that the return flow patterns and locations are more definitive than those in the YRBAS.

The YRBAS essentially models three existing reservoir: Stagecoach Reservoir, Steamboat Lake, and Elkhead Reservoir, the reservoirs that were the main focus of evaluation for potential enlargements and or changed operation to increase yield. Six other reservoirs in the basin were assigned a node in the CRAM model, but are turned off in the model. Smaller reservoirs are assumed to have a negligible effect on the flows in the river because of their small size and/or method of operation.

For the larger reservoirs, the individual sub-accounts are connected only to aggregated demands, particularly in the case of irrigation water. This is consistent with the overall approach to aggregate the majority of the irrigation rights. Storage and releases from reservoirs are driven by assignment of priorities relative to other direct flow demands in the network. In general, releases are made if demands are unsatisfied by diversions of river flow on a direct basis. In the CRAM allocation model, the storage, releases and accounting of storage within sub-accounts in the reservoirs (ownerships, water right priorities, etc.) are represented by a complex series of arcs connecting the storage to the river flows and to the direct flow nodes which have access to storage.

Currently the Yampa Model includes detailed monthly operation of ten reservoirs. Numerous sub-accounts in each reservoir (where applicable) have been defined to specifically connect a reservoir sub-account to a ditch structure entitled to use reservoir water. Because of the number of reservoirs and explicitly modeled sub-accounts, it is believed that there is more specific definition of the Yampa River basin reservoirs in the Yampa Model.

It is clear that the objectives of the YRBAS modeling efforts and those of the CDSS are sufficiently different to explain the principal differences in the two modeling approaches, particularly as it relates to the number of water right structures that are being explicitly modeled in the CDSS and the more intensive focus on future development scenarios and reservoir storage options being addressed in the YRBAS. Accordingly, assumptions and data requirements for the two models vary substantially. There are a number of assumptions, described in the documentation for the YRBAS, that differ from those included in the CDSS. These are briefly discussed below:

- The YRBAS does not specifically model irrigation rights above Stagecoach Reservoir. From interviews with the division engineer, it appears that this is one of the few areas in the Yampa River basin that requires administration and may, from time to time be water short. Accordingly, it is important for the CDSS to model the upper reaches of the Yampa River in detail rather than an aggregated demand.
- The cumulative water rights at the Craig Station power plant are in excess of the 90.63 cfs described in the YRBAS documentation, if the water rights for the Synthetic Products Ditch are included. The latter is significant since it is senior to the Juniper water rights. There are also certain diversion limitations on some of the direct flow rights, based on conditions imposed as part of the transfer proceedings. We agree with the YRBAS in regard to the actual diversion demands at Craig Station.
- The YRBAS documentation implies that the industrial water in Steamboat Lake (5,000 acre-feet) can only be used at the Hayden Station after the industrial storage in Stagecoach Reservoir is depleted. We are not aware of any such limitations. Hayden Station does not have access to storage in Stagecoach Reservoir.
- We believe the sub-accounts in Stagecoach Reservoir are misrepresented in the YRBAS. The industrial account in Stagecoach Reservoir now owned by Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association (Tri-State) contains a total of 11,000 acrefeet (7,000 acrefeet attributable to the Stagecoach water rights and 4,000 attributable to the exchange with Yamcolo Reservoir). Storage in Stagecoach Reservoir is now allocated as 11,000 acrefeet to Tri-State; 2,000 acrefeet to existing municipal contracts, 2,000 to unallocated M & I contracts and 18,275 acrefeet to recreation and dead storage.
- It is our understanding that because of limitations with the hydro-generating equipment, the UYWCD generally operates at a minimum release of 40 cfs during the winter months.

- Because of a recent court decision, the 3,300 acre-feet of storage in the surcharge capacity of Steamboat Lake cannot be specifically released for instream flow uses, as described in the YRBAS documentation.
- The city of Craig now owns the water storage formerly owned by the CDOW in Elkhead Reservoir. Based on recent capacity information, it is believed that the Yampa Participants (Craig Station) may now own approximately 8,754 acre-feet in Elkhead rather than the 8,310 acre-feet referenced in the YRBAS documentation.
- The YRBAS documentation refers to 50 percent of the Stagecoach water exchanged to Yamcolo Reservoir as being delivered out-of-basin to the Colorado River drainage. According to ownership information, about 90 percent of this Stagecoach Contract water is used in the Yampa drainage, with only 10 percent being used in the Colorado basin.
- The YRBAS assumes a reservoir administration date of April 1, the point of lowest storage during the year. Currently the Yampa Model assumes a November 1 administration date. This should be further investigated.
- It is our opinion that the potential yield of the Four Counties water rights at Stagecoach Reservoir could be greater than 4,595 acre-feet per year, as indicated in the YRBAS documentation. Even though the potential yield is greater, this will not make a significant difference in the operation of the reservoir.
- The objective of the YRBAS operation model is essentially to develop a tool to evaluate reservoir storage options and means to enhance the availability of water for downstream instream flows on the Yampa River without causing injury to existing and projected future uses. The assumptions and data requirements for this objective are somewhat more generalized that the assumptions required for development of the Yampa Model.

We are unable to identify any specific data or administrative policy procedures that have been developed for the YRBAS that could be directly ported to the Yampa Model, given the different objectives and focus of the two models. However, we found the YRBAS documentation and discussion to be very helpful in developing an understanding of many of the existing water rights operations and administrative practices (although we have taken exception to some of the assumptions). The documentation was also helpful in understanding some of the policy decisions that are facing the Yampa River basin in the near future.

5.2 Green River Basin Plan – Little Snake River Spreadsheet Model

The State of Wyoming undertook a statewide water planning process in 1999, producing the Green River Basin Plan (GRBP) as its first major basin plan in 2001. The final plan report includes a Basin Use Profile, a characterization of current water uses by sector; Available Surface Water and Groundwater Determination, an investigation of hydrology which involved developing spreadsheet models for the four major sub-basins of the Green River,

one of which was the Little Snake; Demand Projections, an economically based estimate of future needs by sector; and discussion of Future Use Opportunities, the product of user meetings to identify potential projects and enhancements of the water supply, along with a review of institutional considerations.

The Little Snake spreadsheet model comprised three separate spreadsheets with different hydrologic and demand input, representing conditions under Normal, Wet, and Dry states of the basin. Each spreadsheet shows one twelve-month sequence, but the input for the model is based on historical data from the Normal, Wet, or Dry years within the period 1971 through 1998. This underlying data, including streamflow, diversions, crop demand, and historical efficiency, has been incorporated in the Wyoming portion of the CDSS model of the Little Snake River. Much of the data and details are not contained in the final Basin Plan report, but in technical memoranda produced as part of the GRBP. These are available at Wyoming's website for the GRBP, <u>http://waterplan.state.wy.us/plan/green/green-plan.html</u>

Specific information from the GRBP, on which CDSS Yampa model input has been based, includes the following:

- Irrigated acreage, for two individual ditches, and for sub-tributary aggregations of ditches
- Crop irrigation requirement based on climate data and regional crop mix was available for Normal, Wet, and Dry years; each year within 1971-1998 was designated as Normal, Wet, or Dry, and so a time series of irrigation water requirements by model structure could be developed for those years
- Monthly historical diversions for First Mesa and Westside Canals, and the Cheyenne diversion project
- Normal year monthly efficiencies for First Mesa and Westside Canals
- Average monthly depletions for municipalities of Baggs and Dixon, and average monthly efficiencies for these diversions
- Maximum efficiency for irrigation structures

5.3 Little Snake River Supplemental Storage Project Study

The Wyoming Water Development Commission and Little Snake River Conservation District are currently studying feasibility of an enlargement of High Savery Reservoir. As part of the study, a StateMod model of the Little Snake River in Wyoming was developed, incorporating data from the GRBP, as well as updated irrigated acreage data with ditch attribution, data developed since GRBP. Configuration of High Savery Reservoir and its operating rights in the Yampa Water Resources Planning Model relied on this model.