Status of Pecos River Settlement Agreement Implementation

Presentation to the Association of Western State Engineers

By

Gregory C. Ridgley Deputy Chief Counsel Office of the State Engineer

> Denver, Colorado May 17, 2005

Topics

Background

- Pecos River Compact and Decree
- Pecos River Adjudication
- Consensus Settlement Plan
- Components of Pecos Settlement Agreement
- Update on Implementation of Settlement Agreement



Pecos River Compact

Entered 1948

When the second seco man's activities the flow of the Pecos River at the New Mexico-Texas state line below an amount which will give to Texas a quantity of water equivalent to that available to Texas under the 1947 condition." Art. III (a).



The Compact & 1988 Decree Require:

- Deliveries calculated on a calendar-year basis
- Use of the Supreme Court River Master's Manual & decisions
- Rapid "repayment" of any net shortfalls



New Mexico's Compliance Record



Pecos Adjudication

- Pecos River water rights adjudication suit filed in state district court in 1956
- Initially filed to adjudicate rights to groundwater in Roswell Artesian Basin
- Other sections added over succeeding decades until entire Pecos River drainage included
- CID placed a priority call on the river in 1976



Pecos River

Consensus Plan

- Lower Pecos River Basin Committee of water users worked with ISC to find the least painful way to assure State's continuing ability to meet delivery obligations
- Committee consensus plan presented to 2002 Legislature as funding request
- Legislature provided partial funding
 - appropriated \$30 million, contingent on meeting criteria set out in NMSA 1978, §72-1-2.4 (2002):
 - execution of Settlement Agreement
 - acquisition of land with water rights
 - \$10 million authorized from GO bonds

Settlement Agreement & Adjudication

- Settlement reached in March 2003
- Artial Final Decree entered December 2004
- Conditions Precedent
 - Entry of a Partial Final Decree
 - Federal environmental compliance
 - Partial Implementation of Consensus Plan
 - Purchase of land
 - with water rights
 - Augmentation pumping capacity



Settlement Agreement Components

- State funding to buy water rights & retire 18,000 acres of farmland
- Roswell artesian aquifer pumping to augment downstream supplies
- Additional vegetation control programs
- Conservation
- Water banking
- Priority Administration as a last resort



Implementing the Settlement

SHORT TERM

- Annual compact compliance
- Meet conditions precedent
- Negotiate with offerors
- Plan & design pumping augmentation sites
- Study the river & impacts on it; refine models



LONGER TERM

- Retire farmlands
 - Complete land maintenance plan
- Pump from artesian aquifer
- Water salvage/salt cedar eradication
- Enhance administration
 - Adjudicate claims
 - Priority rules & procedures consistent with Settlement terms (AWRM)

Land/Water Rights Purchases

Criteria

First 6,000 acres to be purchased in equal amounts from

- CID assessed land
- Irrigated land located between
- Sumner & Brantley Dams
- Minimum purchases for Settlement to Proceed (condition precedent)
 4,500 acres in CID
 - ♦7,500 acres in PVACD



Land/Water Rights Purchases

Status

- Settlement legislation required bid process for acquisition of land and water rights
- ISC obtained bids sufficient to obtain full 18,000 acres
- ISC negotiated purchase contracts with offerors, and is now performing due diligence to close on contracts
- ISC has closed on purchase contracts for approximately 1,000 acres to date

Augmentation Well Field

- Criteria
 - Ability to pump at least 15,750 AF/Y
 - Delivery to Brantley Dam
- Status
 - ISC has acquired sites for primary augmentation well field
 Located at Seven Rivers, just above Brantley Dam
 - Well development
 - Exploratory well permits obtained
 - for 4 wells
 - Now drilling and equipping test wells



Annual Compliance Will Still Be Difficult

- ♦ 2001-04 compliance achieved through joint efforts
- ♦ \$12 million appropriated by 2005 legislature
- ♦ \$18 million still needed to satisfy conditions precedent
- If the Settlement Agreement fails, a priority call may be only alternative to remedy a shortfall

