




COLORADO DIVISION OF RECLAMATION, MINING AND SAFETY
MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT
PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME: Spor Pit	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#: M-1995-038	MINERAL: Gravel	COUNTY: San Miguel
INSPECTION TYPE: Monitoring	INSPECTOR(S): Kate A. Pickford	INSP. DATE: May 29, 2013	INSP. TIME: 10:30
OPERATOR: Haldorson and Sons, Inc.	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE: Jerry Spor	TYPE OF OPERATION: 110c - Construction Limited Impact	

REASON FOR INSPECTION: Normal I&E Program	BOND CALCULATION TYPE: Complete Bond	BOND AMOUNT: \$18,573.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT: NA	POST INSP. CONTACTS: None	JOINT INSP. AGENCY: None
WEATHER: Clear	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE: 	SIGNATURE DATE: June 7, 2013

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Reclamation Success

PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION: Problem: There are state-listed noxious weeds present on site. This is a problem for failure to employ weed control methods for state listed noxious weed species within the permitted area, and to reduce the spread of weeds to nearby areas as required by Section 3.1.10 (6) of the rule.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: Implement approved weed control plan and provide proof to the Division that this has been done. If a weed control plan is not already in place, the operator shall develop a weed control and management plan in accordance with Section 3.1.10 (6) of the Rule. This plan should be developed in consultation with the county extension agency, or weed control district office and should include specific control measures to be applied, a schedule for when control measures will be applied and a post-treatment monitoring plan. This weed control plan shall be submitted to the Division as a Technical Revision to the approved plan with the appropriate Technical Revision fee of \$216.00 by the corrective action date.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 7/07/13

INSPECTION TOPIC: Topsoil

PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION: Problem: The topsoil stockpiles do not have established vegetation on them and are therefore susceptible to erosion. Rule 3.1.9(1) states if topsoil is not replaced into the backfill area within a time short enough to avoid deterioration of the topsoil, vegetative cover or other means shall be employed so that the topsoil is protected from erosion.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator shall seed the stockpiles with the seed mix that was submitted as part of the approved Reclamation Plan. If no seed mix has been provided, the operator shall submit a seed mix for approval as a technical revision to the permit along with the appropriate \$216 revision fee to the Division by the

corrective action date. The Operator shall demonstrate compliance by submitting seed tags, a bill of sale or photographs of seeding activities. The Operator may also request a follow up inspection.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 10/31/13

OBSERVATIONS

This inspection was conducted as part of the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety monitoring program. While setting up the inspection, the Division was advised by Mark Halderson that he had submitted a Succession of Operator application to transfer the permit to Jerry Spor, the landowner. Evidently, the form Mr. Halderson used was very out dated and a new form must be submitted to have the application processed. There is a sign at the entrance to the pit and the boundaries are clearly marked. There appears to be an additional 100 feet beyond the current highwall to the permit boundary. The reclamation plan indicates that the highwall will be cut down from above, so the operator should be mindful to maintain enough distance between the permit boundary and the highwall to allow for this method of reclamation, rather than having to backfill the highwall, which will add to the reclamation costs.

The topsoil stockpile has not been seeded. This is a problem and is cited in the problem section of this report. The site has a large amount of Whitetop. The operator must take measures to control this noxious weed. This issue is also noted in the problem section of this report.

The Thistle, that was problematic during the 2003 inspection appear to be contained.

A bond calculation was conducted as part of this inspection and a bond increase has resulted. Information regarding the increase is included with this report.

PHOTOGRAPHS



GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS----- <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY----- <u>Y</u>	(RD) ROADS----- <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE----- <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING----- <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES----- <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING---- <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES----- <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL----- <u>PB</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE----- <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION---- <u>N</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS----- <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN---- <u>N</u>	(SB) COMPLETE INSP---- <u>N</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE----- <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION--- <u>Y</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP-- <u>PB</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS----- <u>N</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE----- <u>N</u>	(ST) STIPULATIONS----- <u>N</u>

Y = Inspected and found in compliance / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

Inspection Contact Address

Mark Haldorson
Haldorson and Sons, Inc.
1014 Cherry Orchard LP
Hamilton, MT 59840