

O'Donnell - DNR, Tyler <tyler.odonnell@state.co.us>

Public comment

O'Donnell - DNR, Tyler <tyler.odonnell@state.co.us>
To: Hans Friederichs <hansf_06@hotmail.com>, jrudeinc@cminet.net

Thu, May 30, 2013 at 11:40 AM

Gentlemen,

Please see the attached comment from the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Tyler

Tyler O'Donnell

Environmental Protection Specialist Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, CO 80203

Phone: 303.866.3567 x8131

Fax: 303.832.8106

Tyler.ODonnell@state.co.us





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

ALBUQUERQUE DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS SOUTHERN COLORADO REGULATORY OFFICE 200 SOUTH SANTA FE AVENUE, SUITE 301 PUEBLO, COLORADO 81003-4270

May 23, 2013

Regulatory Division

SUBJECT: Action No. SPA-2013-00268-SCO, Sharp Pit Surface Mining, Riverside Aggregates, Bent County, Colorado

Mr. Rudy Torres
Riverside Aggregates, LLC
1750 County Road HH
Lamar, Colorado 81052

RECEIVED

MAY 2 9 2013

MINING AND SAFETY

Dear Mr. Torres:

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is in receipt of your letter dated May 1, 2013 concerning your proposal to conduct surface extraction of construction materials at the Sharp Pit in Bent County, Colorado. The activity involves surface mining for sand and gravel. We have assigned Action No. SPA-2013-00268-SCO to this activity. To avoid delay, please include this number in all future correspondence concerning this project.

We have reviewed this project in accordance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA). Under Section 404, the Corps regulates the discharge of dredged and fill material into waters of the United States, including wetlands. The Corps responsibility under Section 10 is to regulate any work in, or affecting, navigable waters of the United States. Based on your description of the proposed work, other information available to us, and current regulations and policy, we have determined that this project will not involve any of the above activities. Therefore, it will not require Department of the Army authorization under the above laws. However, it is incumbent upon you to remain informed of any changes in the Corps Regulatory Program regulations and policy as they relate to your project.

The Corps based this decision on an approved jurisdictional determination (JD) that there are no waters of the United States on the project site. The basis for this approved JD is that the project site contains entirely uplands. The JD form is available at http://www.spa.usace.army.mil/Missions/RegulatoryProgramandPermits/JD.aspx. This approved JD is valid for a period of no more than five years from the date of this letter unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date.

This letter contains an approved jurisdictional determination for your subject site. If you

object to this determination, you may request an administrative appeal under Corps regulations at 33 C.F.R. Part 331. Enclosed you will find a Notification of Appeal Process (NAP) fact sheet and Request for Appeal (RFA) form. If you request to appeal this determination you must submit a completed RFA form to the South Pacific Division Office at the following address:

Attn: Tom Cavanaugh, Administrative Appeal Review Officer Army Engineer Division, South Pacific, CESPD-PDS-O 1455 Market Street, Room 1760 San Francisco, CA 94103-1399

In order for an RFA to be accepted by the Corps, the Corps must determine that it is complete; that it meets the criteria for appeal under 33 C.F.R. Part 331.5, and that it has been received by the Division Office within 60 days of the date of the NAP. Should you decide to submit an RFA form, it must be received at the above address by July 24, 2013.

If you have any questions concerning our regulatory program, please contact me at 719-543-8102 or by e-mail at Christopher.M.Grosso@usace.army.mil. Copy furnished with enclosures to Tyler V. O'Donnell, Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety. At your convenience, please complete a Customer Service Survey on-line available at http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html.

Sincerely,

Christopher Grosso

Regulatory Project Manager

Enclosures

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

C	F	C1	rı	OI	V	1.	RΔ	CK	CR	OH	ND	INF	OR	MA	TI	n	N
w	Ľ	v.	LE	v		1.	D_{α}		σ	\mathbf{v}	עניו	1141.	\mathbf{v}	UVI		v	14

A.	REPORT COM	MPLETION DAT	E FOR APPROVEI	JURISDICTIONAL	DETERMINATION	N (JD): May 23, 2013
----	------------	--------------	----------------	----------------	---------------	----------------------

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Albuquerque District, Sharp Pit Sand and Gravel Mining, Riverside Aggregates, Keesee, Bent County, Colorado, SPA-2013-00268-SCO C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Colorado County/parish/borough: Bent City: Keesee Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.1223012839037°, Long. -102.789178598878° Universal Transverse Mercator: 13 693792.96 4221693.54 Name of nearest waterbody: Arkansas River Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Arkansas-John Martin Reservoir. Colorado, Kansas., 11020009 ☑ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form: D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: May 23, 2013 Field Determination. Date(s): **SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS** A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area, [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 ☐ TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet. wide, and/or acres. Wetlands: c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known): 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e g, typically 3 months)

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III F

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List
Drainage area: Pick List
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through **Fick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **PickList** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **PickList** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are Pick Line aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵:

Tributary stream order, if known:

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW

		Tributary is:	☐ Natural ☐ Artificial (man-made). Explai ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). I	
		Average widt Average dept		mate):
		Primary tributary s Silts Cobbles Bedrock Other. Ex	substrate composition (check all that Sands Gravel Vegetation. Type/%	☐ Concrete ☐ Muck
		Presence of run/rif Tributary geometr	n/stability [e.g., highly eroding, slo fle/pool complexes. Explain: y: Pick List (approximate average slope):	nghing banks]. Explain:
	(c)	Describe flov	number of flow events in review are	a/year: Pick List
		Surface flow is:	ek List. Characteristics:	

		clear, chang shelvi vegeta leaf lii sedim water	eanks (check all indicators that apply): natural line impressed on the bank es in the character of soil ng ation matted down, bent, or absent tter disturbed or washed away ent deposition staining	the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting scour multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
		☐ High Tide ☐ oil or ☐ fine sl	E Line indicated by: scum line along shore objects nell or debris deposits (foreshore) cal markings/characteristics gauges	me lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
(iii)	Cha E	emical Characteris racterize tributary (xplain: atify specific polluta	e.g., water color is clear, discolored	, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.
(iv)		Riparian corridor. (Wetland fringe. Ch Habitat for:	stics. Channel supports (check al Characteristics (type, average width aracteristics:	

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break 'lbid

		☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i)		General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:
		Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Project waters a
(ii)	Cha cl	emical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed haracteristics; etc.). Explain: httify specific pollutants, if known:
(iii)		logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Wegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
Cha	All '	wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis:
	••	each wetland, specify the following:
		Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

2.

3.

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet, wide, Or acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flow seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet wide. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet, wide. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
	W (I I I' a di al atti a a DDW di d Gan di a di a di a di a di a di a TNW

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

⁸See Footnote # 3

		 ☐ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. ☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	SU CO	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet, wide. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III D 6 of the Instructional Guidebook
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR
	factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional
	judgment (check all that apply):
	☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, wide.
	Lakes/ponds: acres.
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
	Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, wide. Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SEC	TION IV: DATA SOURCES.
	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety provided on May 1, 2013. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HUC 12 - 110200091003
	HUC 12 NAME - Graveyard Creek
	USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K; CO-PROWERS USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro 2013, Bing Maps Hybrid 2013. or ☐ Other (Name & Date):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify):
В.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Project site occurs entirely on uplands.

NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL

Applicant: Rudy Torres, Riverside Aggregates		File Number: 2013-00268	Date: May 23,
			2013
Attached is:			See Section below
	INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Pe	ermit or Letter of Permission)	A
	PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or L	etter of Permission)	В
	PERMIT DENIAL		С
X	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMIN	NATION	D
	PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETER	MINATION	E

SECTION: I - The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administrative appeal of the above decision. Additional information may be found at http://usace.army.mil/inet/functions/cw/cecwo/reg or Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331.

A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.

ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the DISTRICT ENGINEER for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.

OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the DISTRICT ENGINEER within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the DISTRICT ENGINEER will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the DISTRICT ENGINEER will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.

B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit

ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the DISTRICT ENGINEER for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.

APPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the DIVISION (not district) ENGINEER (address on reverse). This form must be received by the DIVISION ENGINEER within 60 days of the date of this notice.

C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the DIVISION (not district) ENGINEER. This form must be received by the DIVISION (not district) ENGINEER within 60 days of the date of this notice.

D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information.

ACCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.

APPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the DIVISION (not district) ENGINEER (address on reverse). This form must be received by the DIVISION ENGINEER within 60 days of the date of this notice. Exception: JD appeals based on new information must be submitted to the DISTRICT ENGINEER within 60 days of the date of this notice.

EXCEPTION: Appeals of Approved Jurisdictional Determinations based on new information must be submitted to the District engineer



V			
E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION PROBLEM TO THE PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION OF THE PRELIMINATION	not appe district	alable. If you wish, yo for further instruction.	u may request an approved
information for further consideration by the Corps to	reevalua	te the JD.	
NAME OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OW	OF FACE AND		
DEAGONG FOR ADDEAL OF ODJECTIONS OF	ithno t	<u>U AN INITIAL PROF</u>	PERCENTISKMEL
REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Description of the initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attractions are addressed in the administrative record.)	ribe your r tach additi	easons for appealing the deconal information to this form	cision or your objections to an metal to clarify where your reasons or
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a revi	iew of the	administrative record, the C	Corps memorandum for the record
of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental infor	mation tha	at the review officer has dete	ermined is needed to clarify the
administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may a	add new in	formation or analyses to the	e record. However, you may
provide additional information to clarify the location of informat			e record.
POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFO	Mundales Cond Land	a street at the a total appearance and a street of the	
If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact:	If you on contact:	ly have questions regarding	the appeal process you may also
DISTRICT ENGINEER		N ENGINEER	
Albuquerque District, Corps of Engineers			fic, CESPD-PDS-O, 2042B
Attn: CESPA-RD, Regulatory Division	-	m Cavanaugh, Administrati	
4101 Jefferson Plaza NE		ket Street, Room 1760	
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109-3435		cisco, CA 94103-1399	CCAC
505-342-3282		15-503-6574, Fax: 415-503 .cavanaugh@usace.army.m	
RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of er		The state of the s	
to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the project site during the project s	-		· •
investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all s		-	·
	Dat	e:	Telephone number:
G' 4 C 11 4			
Signature of appellant or agent.			