




COLORADO DIVISION OF RECLAMATION, MINING AND SAFETY COAL PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT



PERMIT INFORMATION

Permit Number: C-1996-084 Mine Name: Lorencito Canyon Mine Operator: New Elk Coal Company, LLC Operator Address: Mr Ron Thompson 12250 Highway 12 Weston, CO 81091	County: Las Animas Operation Type: Surface Permit Status: Permanent Cessation Ownership: Private
Operator Representative Present: RonThompson	
Operator Representative Signature: (Field Issuance Only)	

INSPECTION INFORMATION

Inspection Start Date: April 29, 2013 Inspection Start Time: 13:00 Inspection End Date: April 30, 2013 Inspection End Time: 17:00	Inspection Type: Coal Complete Inspection Inspection Reason: Normal I&E Program Weather: Clear	
Joint Inspection Agency: None	Joint Inspection Contacts:	
Post Inspection Agency: None	Post Inspection Contacts:	
Inspector(s): Leigh D. Simmons Daniel I. Hernandez	Inspector's Signature: 	Signature Date: 5/10/2013

Inspection Topic Summary

NOTE: Y=Inspected N=Not Inspected R=Comments Noted V=Violation Issued NA=Not Applicable

NA - Air Resource Protection

R - Availability of Records

NA - Backfill & Grading

R - Excess Spoil and Dev. Waste

NA - Explosives

Y - Fish & Wildlife

R - Hydrologic Balance

R - Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan

NA - Other

NA - Processing Waste

R - Roads

R - Reclamation Success

R - Revegetation

NA - Subsidence

NA - Slides and Other Damage

NA - Support Facilities On-site

Y - Signs and Markers

NA - Support Facilities Not On-site

NA - Special Categories Of Mining

Y - Topsoil

COMMENTS

This was a complete inspection carried out by Leigh Simmons and Dan Hernandez of Colorado Division for Reclamation, Mining and Safety (the Division). Ron Thompson of New Elk Coal Company (NECC) accompanied the inspection throughout. The weather was clear and warm.

AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS – Rule 5.02.4(1):

Work on the digital record database, described in the October 16, 2012 inspection report, was on-going. In the meantime, NECC continues to maintain paper records. The records were in compliance, in Mr Thompson's office (see attached checklist).

EXCESS SPOIL and DEVELOPMENT WASTE – Rule 4.09

Placement; Drainage Control; Surface Stabilization:

Fills 7, 8 and 9 were inspected. They appeared well drained and stable. All the fills were dry with some green vegetation beginning to appear on the benches.

HYDROLOGIC BALANCE - Rule 4.05

Drainage Control 4.05.1, 4.05.2, 4.05.3; Siltation Structures 4.05.5, 4.05.6; Discharge Structures 4.05.7, 4.05.10; Diversions 4.05.4; Effluent Limits 4.05.2; Ground Water Monitoring 4.05.13; Surface Water Monitoring 4.05.13; Drainage – Acid and Toxic Materials 4.05.8; Impoundments 4.05.6, 4.05.9; Stream Buffer Zones 4.05.18:

The culverts under the haul roads were all clear, with the exception of the 48" concrete culvert. It was partially blocked with tightly compacted sediment. The culvert should be cleaned out.

Culverts under the road to pond 9 were clear. Pond 9 was dry. The embankments and emergency spillway appeared stable. The primary spillway was intact, but sediment has accumulated to a point in excess of the design specification. Figure Ex 15-14 from the permit provides a summary of the sedimentation pond designs. It specifies that there should be a minimum of 1.1' between the elevation of the sediment and the lowest weepholes. **Sediment should be cleared from around the primary spillway riser; the riser should then be checked against the design specifications in Figure Ex 15-14.**

Number of Partial Inspection this Fiscal Year: 7

Number of Complete Inspections this Fiscal Year: 4

Some rills on fill 9 were inspected. There was some vegetation growing in them, so unless erosion develops further attempts to repair them at present may cause more harm than good.

At the SAE immediately east of fill 9, the bales appear to have been an effective control measure, but are ready to be replenished.

Pond 9a was dry. The embankments and spillways were sound. The weepholes in the primary riser and the elevation of accumulated sediment should be checked against the specifications in Figure Ex 15-14.

Pond 7 was dry. No problems were observed with the embankments or spillways. **Some non-coal waste should be cleared from the site.** The weepholes in the primary spillway riser were in accordance with the design in Figure Ex 15-14, with some minor modifications - these field modifications were PE certified elsewhere in Exhibit 15. A gully on the east side of fill 7 has been stable since ~2006 according to Mr Thompson. It is currently ~6' wide and ~3' deep. If further erosion is observed it will be necessary to repair the gully, despite the difficult access. Across the road from fill 7 one of the ditches is stable, but the other has eroded and washed out some bales. **The bales should be replaced with rock dams.**

The down-drain to pond 6 had been repaired with rock dams and bales. Pond 6 was dry. The gully beside the emergency spillway had been repaired, and the pond embankment between the spillways had been regraded following the repairs. The primary spillway was in accordance with the design specification.

At the topsoil borrow area straw bales have been effective, but will need to be replaced soon.

The down-drain on fill 8 was in good condition, but some cutting had occurred on the cross-drain. Although it did not look recent, the resulting gully was barely vegetated. **The gully should be repaired and rills on the face below also need some maintenance. Outside of the fill was an erosive area with very little vegetation; bales or wattles should be used to reduce the effective slope length and minimise the potential for further damage.**

Pond 8 was dry, the embankments and spillways were sound. The weepholes in the primary spillway riser were in accordance with the design in Figure Ex 15-14, with some minor modifications - these field modifications were PE certified elsewhere in Exhibit 15. The first three culverts going back up the road were all clear. **The fourth was partially blocked and should be flushed.** The ditch at the outlet had been reinforced. The low spot will continue to act as a sump - it was not clear without a level whether or not the ponded water would back up to fill the culvert.

New rock armoring at the top of the drainage above pond 5 looked excellent. Bales had been added to prevent gullying around the sides. Before the area can be permitted as an SAE it will be necessary to demonstrate that runoff will meet effluent limits, or add some additional control structure. All the culverts on the road to pond 5 were clear. Pond 5 was dry. The embankments and spillways were in good condition. The primary spillway was in accordance with the design specification.

Rills of the north side of the "nob" are a constant concern however no problems requiring immediate attention were identified.

Culvert diameters around the site were measured and will be checked against the design specifications when the map identifying culverts is available.

GENERAL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE:

Non-coal waste around the site, in particular near fill 9 and around pond 7, should be cleared.

As noted in previous inspection reports, identification of the important features at the Lorencito Canyon site is a difficult task, exacerbated by the lack of a complete map or maps. This problem is to be addressed during

Number of Partial Inspection this Fiscal Year: 7

Number of Complete Inspections this Fiscal Year: 4

the permit renewal process.

The map(s) must clearly identify all features, but in particular:

- Roads and culverts that NECC is responsible for, and those that are maintained by third parties.
- Ponds, as identified on the NPDES permit (what has been referred to as “pond 9” in the DRMS permit is apparently “pond 9b” in the NPDES permit)

Until the permit renewal process is completed, map 1 from the 2008 Annual Reclamation Report will be used for reference.

Technical Revision 18 is in progress. The revision seeks to reduce the permit area to coincide more closely with the actual disturbed area.

ROADS – Rule 4.03

Construction 4.03.1(3)/4.03.2(3)

Drainage 4.03.1(4)/4.03.2(4)

Surfacing and Maintenance 4.03.1(5) and (6)/4.03.2(5) and (6)

Reclamation 4.03.1(7)/4.03.2(7):

The roads around the mine site were all in good condition.

RECLAMATION SUCCESS - Rule 4.15, Rule 3:

A large gully on a north facing slope in the area between pond 8 and pond 9b, near the top of the drainage had been repaired, and is due to be hydromulched soon.

A gully was repaired on fill 7 about three years ago, but still looks fresh from a distance. Although growing vegetation is more obvious up close, this illustrates some of the challenges of reclamation at this site.

REVEGETATION – Rule 4.15

Vegetative Cover; Timing:

Vegetation across the site was beginning to show signs of new growth. New alfalfa growth was already being cropped by elk. Rubber rabbit brush, 4 wing saltbrush, winterfat and Wood’s rose were observed. No noxious weeds were observed (in particular, no white top was observed).

Leucocrinum montanum, or sand lily, was observed near pond 7.

Mr Thompson intends to plant new shrub transplants in May; some will go into the fenced area and others on the benches of the fills.

DOCUMENTS RECEIVED

N/A

OTHER (SPECIFY)

N/A

Number of Partial Inspection this Fiscal Year: 7

Number of Complete Inspections this Fiscal Year: 4

ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS/COMPLIANCE

No enforcement actions are necessary at this time.

Number of Partial Inspection this Fiscal Year: 7

Number of Complete Inspections this Fiscal Year: 4

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: Repaired gully between ponds 8 and 9/9b, to be hydromulched



Photo 2: Vegetated rills on fill 9 to be monitored



Photo 3: Primary spillway riser, pond 9/9b



Photo 4: Non-coal waste at pond 7



Photo 5: *Leucocrinum montanum*



Photo 6: Non-coal waste

Number of Partial Inspection this Fiscal Year: 7

Number of Complete Inspections this Fiscal Year: 4



Photo 7: Concrete culvert



Photo 8: Ditch near fill 7 needing flow control



Photo 9: Gully and rills on fill 9 needing repair



Photo 10: Improvements made to drainage above pond 5



Photo 11: The "nob"

Number of Partial Inspection this Fiscal Year: 7

Number of Complete Inspections this Fiscal Year: 4

AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS**PERMIT RECORDS**

DRMS Permit	Expires 11/7/2012
Permit Application w/Revisions	Binder 1
Findings Document	MT3, 2010
Insurance Certificate	Expires 9/23/2013
Bond Document	\$927,121.00
Phased Bond Release	SL2
Documents/Findings	
Air Emission Permits	01PO0896
County Special Use Permits	SUP-01-020
UG Mining Landowner Notification	n/a
Subsidence Monitoring Reports	n/a
Subsidence Monitoring Data	n/a
Rill & Gully Survey	n/a
Vegetation Monitoring Data	
Specific Variance Approvals	n/a
Annual Reclamation Reports	2012
Midterm Review Documents	5/7/2010
DRMS/OSM Inspection	Through
Reports/Enforcement Actions (3 Years)	3/13/2013
Transfers/Succession of Operator	Binder 1, 4/8/2008
Temporary Cessation Notification	n/a
Reclamation Cost Estimate	MT3, 2010
CERTIFICATIONS	
Pond Certifications	Exhibit 15
Annual Certifications for Impoundments	2010 *1
Fill Certifications for Excess Spoil or Underground Development Waste	
• Quarterly Inspections	Q1 2013
• Compaction Testing	
• Final Certification	
Coal Processing Waste Banks	n/a
Haul Road Certifications	n/a
Access Road Certifications	n/a

HYDROLOGIC RECORDS

NPDES Permit	Exhibit 21, COG850044
NPDES Records	Q1 2013
Stormwater Management Plan	2007 (part of NPDES permit)
SPCC Plan	n/a
MSHA Pond Inspections	n/a
State Engineer's Pond Inspection	n/a
Quarterly Pond Inspections	Q1 2013
Annual Hydrology Reports	Terminated
• Ground Water Monitoring	
• Surface Water Monitoring	
• Spring & Seep Monitoring	
• Mine Water Discharge Monitoring	
• Mine Inflow Study	
• Water Consumption Records	
	201451, 201453- 201476
Well Permits	(compliance file)

BLASTING RECORDS

	n/a
Blasting Publication	
Blasting Records (3 years)	n/a
ATFE Explosives Permit	n/a
Blasting Variances	n/a
Pre-Blast Surveys	n/a

**ADDITIONAL RECORDS
(specify)**

COMMENTS:

*1 2011/12 certifications are still pending. Mr Thompson has Arcadis recalculating the design specifications for two of the ponds.

Number of Partial Inspection this Fiscal Year: 7

Number of Complete Inspections this Fiscal Year: 4