

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

August 8, 2012

Mr. Scott Pelino Pelino Excavation, Inc. P.O. Box 5049 Buena Vista, CO 81211 8, 2012

1 3 2012

John W. Hickenlooper Governor

Mike King Executive Director

Dick Wolfe, P.E. Director/State Engineer

RE: Jesse Lee Pit, Substitute Water Supply Plan DRMS File No. M-84-043 SE ¼ of Section 28 and NE ¼ of Section 33, T14S, R78W Water Division 2, Water District 11

Approval Period: November 25, 2011 through October 31, 2012 Contact Phone Number for Mr. Scott Pelino: 719-395-2093 RECEIVED

SEP **2 6 2012** Grand junction field office Division of Reclamation mining & safety

Dear Mr. Pelino:

This letter is in response to the application submitted November 25, 2011 and the additional information received on July 16, 2012 requesting renewal of the substitute water supply plan ("SWSP") for Jesse Lee Pit, operated by Pelino Excavation, Inc. ("Pelino" or "Applicant") in accordance with Section §37-90-137(11), C.R.S. The applicant shall be responsible for compliance with this plan, but the State Engineer's Office may also pursue the landowner for eventual compliance. The required fee of \$257 for the substitute water supply plan has been paid.

Plan Operation

This plan seeks to replace depletions resulting from mining at the Jesse Lee Pit. Jesse Lee Pit is located approximately 2 miles south of Buena Vista, on the west bank of the Arkansas River, more specifically in the SE1/4 of Section 33, Township 14 South, Range 78 West of the 6th P.M. Mining at Jesse Lee Pit began in January 2007 and will continue for approximately 9 years. The depletions that results from the mining operation are evaporation, water lost in mined product, and dust suppression. During the period of this SWSP Pelino does not anticipate exposing any additional ground water than what is currently exposed at the site and does not anticipate mining below the water table and therefore will not be dewatering the site. Well permit no. 67722-F was obtained for the current use and exposed pond surface area of the gravel pit in accordance with §37-90-137(2) and (11), C.R.S. No ground water was exposed within the permit boundary prior to January 1, 1981. No phreatophyte credit has been applied to this plan. The replacement water for this pit will be provided by a lease with Upper Arkansas Water Conservantion District.

In accordance with the letter dated April 30, 2010 (copy attached) from the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety ("DRMS"), all sand and gravel mining operators must comply with the requirements of the Colorado Reclamation Act and the Mineral Rules and Regulations for the protection of water resources. The April 30, 2010 letter from DRMS requires that you provide information to DRMS to demonstrate you can replace long term injurious stream depletions that result from mining related exposure of ground water. Jesse Lee SWSP Pit August 8, 2012

In accordance with approach nos. 1 and 3, you have indicated that a bond has been obtained for \$32,000 through the Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety ("DRMS") to assure that depletions from groundwater evaporation do not occur in the unforeseen event, or events, that would lead to the abandonment of the Pit.

Depletions

The anticipated net depletions for this SWSP are 2.32 acre-feet per year. The currently exposed groundwater at the site totals 0.40 acres, within the existing ponds (0.38 acres) and a drainage ditch (0.02 acres). The drainage ditch is approximately 350 feet long and approximately two feet wide and gravity drains any water trapped within the port to the existing ponds. Gross annual evaporation at the gravel pit location is estimated to be 39.0 inches per year. Using the climate data from the Buena Vista weather station the net evaporation for the 0.40 acres exposed at the site is estimated to be 1.06 acre-feet.

You have provided a monthly breakdown of the annual depletions made up of 1.06 acrefeet of net evaporative loss, 0.26 acre-feet of water lost with the mined product (which represents 9,000 tons of mined product), and 1.00 acre-feet of water used for dust control.

These depletions have been lagged to the Arkansas River. The aquifer parameters used in the stream depletions model are as follows: 1) X=250 feet (distance from the centroid of the exposed surface area to the river), 2) W=2,300 feet (distance from the aquifer impermeable boundary to the river channel), 3) T=50,000 gal/day/foot (transmissivity of the alluvial aquifer), and 4) S=0.2 (specific yield).

Replacement

The proposed source of replacement water for this pit is a lease of fully consumable water from the Upper Arkansas Water Conservation District. Transit losses will be as assessed by the Division Engineer.

The monthly depletions and replacement requirements are found on the attached Table 3.

Conditions of Approval

I hereby approve the proposed substitute water supply plan in accordance with §37-90-137(11), C.R.S. subject to the following conditions:

- 1. A copy of the fully executed lease with the Upper Arkansas Water Conservation District must be provided to this office by August 21, 2012 via email to Ioana Comaniciu (ioana.comaniciu@state.co.us).
- 2. This SWSP shall be valid for the period of November 25, 2011 through October 31, 2012, unless otherwise revoked, modified, or superceded by decree. If this plan will not be made absolute by a water court action by the plan's expiration date, a renewal request must be submitted to this office with the statutory fee by September 15, 2012.

Jesse Lee SWSP Pit August 8, 2012

- 3. The total surface area of the groundwater exposed at the Jesse Lee pit must not exceed 0.40 acre during the approval period of this SWSP resulting in an annual evaporative loss of 1.06 acre-feet.
- 4. The annual amount of water used at the operation shall not exceed 1.0 acre-foot for dust control and the total product mined shall not exceed 9,000 tons per year above the groundwater surface resulting in 0.26 acre-feet of water lost with the product.
- 5. Total consumption at the Jesse Lee Pit site must not exceed the aforementioned amounts unless an amendment is made to this plan.
- 6. Approval of this plan is for the purposes as stated herein. Any additional uses of this water must first be approved by this office.
- 7. All pumping for dust control shall be metered. The meter shall be certified by a state approved Well Tester and the meter readings reported to the Water Commissioner and Division 2 office. The proposed accounting form should be revised to include the meter readings.
- 8. Accounting of water in this plan, including pumping, stream depletions, and replacement water deliveries must be provided to the Water Commissioner (Bruce.Smith@state.co.us) and Division Engineer (Div2Ground.Water@state.co.us) on forms and at times acceptable to them. Said accounting must be received by the 10th of the month following the month being reported. The name, mailing address, and phone number of the contact person who is responsible for operation and accounting of this plan must be provided on the accounting forms.
- 9. The name, address and phone number of a contact person who will be responsible for the operation and accounting of this plan must be provided on the accounting forms to the Division Engineer and Water Commissioner.
- 10. The approval of this substitute water supply plan does not relieve the Applicant and/or the landowner of the requirement to obtain a Water Court decree approving a permanent plan for augmentation or mitigation to ensure the permanent replacement of all depletions, including long-term evaporation losses and lagged depletions after gravel mining operations have ceased. If reclamation of the mine site will produce a permanent water surface exposing groundwater to evaporation, an application for a plan for augmentation must be filed with the Division 2 Water Court at least three (3) years prior to the completion of mining, to include, but not be limited to, long-term evaporation losses and lagged depletions. If a lined pond results after reclamation, replacement of lagged depletions from mining and dewatering shall continue until there is no longer an effect on stream flow.
- 11. In accordance with the letter dated April 30, 2010 (copy attached) from the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety ("DRMS"), all sand and gravel mining operators must comply with the requirements of the Colorado Reclamation Act and the Mineral Rules and Regulations for the protection of water resources. The April 30, 2010 letter from DRMS requires that you provide information to DRMS to demonstrate you can replace long term injurious stream

depletions that result from mining related exposure of ground water.

In accordance with approach nos. 1 and 3, you have indicated that a bond has been obtained for \$32,000 through the Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety ("DRMS") to assure that depletions from groundwater evaporation do not occur in the unforeseen event, or events, that would lead to the abandonment of the Pit.

- 12. This substitute water supply plan may be revoked or modified at any time should it be determined that injury to other vested water rights has or will occur as a result of this plan. Should this substitute water supply plan expire without renewal or be revoked prior to adjudication of a permanent plan for augmentation, all excavation of product from below the water table, and all other use of water at the pit, must cease immediately.
- 13. In accordance with amendments to §25-8-202(7), C.R.S., and Senate Bill 89-181 Rules and Regulations adopted on February 4, 1992, the State Engineer shall determine if the substitute supply is of a quality to meet requirements of use to which the senior appropriation receiving the substituted supply has normally been put. As such, water quality data or analyses may be requested at any time to determine if the requirements of use of the senior appropriator are met.
- 14. The decision of the State Engineer shall have no precedential or evidentiary force, shall not create any presumptions, shift the burden of proof, or serve as defense in any water court case or any other legal action that may be initiated concerning the substitute water supply plan. This decision shall not bind the State Engineer to act in a similar manner in any other applications involving other plans or in any proposed renewal of this plan, and shall not imply concurrence with any findings of fact or conclusions of law contained herein, or with the engineering methodologies used by this applicant. Any appeal of a decision made by the State Engineer concerning a SWSP pursuant to C.R.S. §37--90-137(11) shall be to the Division 2 water judge within thirty days of the date of this decision and shall be consolidated with the application for approval of the plan for augmentation.

Please contact Ioana Comaniciu in Denver at (303) 866-3581, or Julie Pearson in Pueblo at (719) 542-3368, if you have any questions concerning this approval.

Sincerely. Heidi Frey, P E Water Resource Engineer

Enclosure: Table 3

Jesse Lee SWSP Pit August 8, 2012

cc: Steve Witte, Division Engineer Bruce Smith, Water Commissioner, District 11 Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety

HF/IDC: Jesse Lee Pit M-84-043 2011-2012.doc

a T		186 (1) finn 'Inble-I (2) finn 'Inble-2 (2) finn 'Inble-2 (2) Toral Summ Nuclean - Toral Economics I am a Toral American I am	- Torrell Extensional is a new	oks (J) fran Table-I (2) fran Table-2 (2) Trad Sman TableIan -	Notes 6 (2) 7 (2)
2.15	2.10	3.63	1.09	2.55	Vitier Year 2012 Total
0.19	0.19	0.32	0.11	0.21	Oct-12
0.25	0,24	0.45	0.12	0.33	Sep-12
0.26	0.25	0.26	0.12	0.14	Aug-12
0.30	0.29	0.59	0.12	0.47	Jul-12
0.31	0.30	0,63	0.12	0.51	Jun-12
0,27	0.26	0.50	0,12	0.38	May-12
0.22	0.21	0,37	0.11	0.26	Apr-12
0.15	0,15	0.25	0.09	0,17	Mar-12
0.12	0.12	0.17	0.09	0.08	Feb-12
60.0	0.09	60'0	0.09	0.00	Jan-12
0.93	0.91	0.97	0,48	0.38	Water Year 2011 Total
					2
0.10	0,10	0.10	60,0	0.01	Dec-11
0,13	0,13	0,17	0.04	0,04	Nov-11
0.19	0,19	0.22	0.11	0,07	Úct-11
0.25	0.24	0.24	0.12	0.12	Sep-11
0.26	0,25	0.24	0.12	0,13	Aug-11
Upper Arkansas Water Conservancy Distrit Lence (uc-ft)	Lagged Tenal Stream Depletions (ac-ft)	T stal Stream Depletions (ac-ft)	Operational Logs (au-ri)	Total Évaporative Lass (ac-ft)	Muest
(5)	(<i>t</i>)	151	çi) -	Э	
Scott Pelino	Ртерштев Ву Глансе		Stantanary	Jesse Lee Pit N184-043 Substitute Water Supply Plan - Summary	Jesse Lee Pit N184-043 Substitute Water Suppl
					Table-3 Pelino Excavation

(2) (3) (4) (5) Gross Lake Evaporation Precipitation Effective Precipitation Effective Precipitation Net Lake Evaporation 0.29 0.93 0.05 0.24 0.93 0.05 0.24 0.05 0.24 0.05 0.24 0.05 0.24 0.34 0.41 1.07 0.06 0.34 0.43 0.34 0.43 0.34 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.34 0.43 0.34 0.43 0.34 0.43 0.34 0.43 0.34 0.43 0.32 0.33 0.33 0.05 0.31 0.33 0.33 0.05 0.110 0.33 0.03 0.102 0.03 0.101 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.011 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.011 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.011 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.011 0.05 0.014 <	Tripued usy: Percent of Gross Lake Evaporation Effective Net Lake Evaporation Evaporation Precipitation Precipitation Evaporation E Sed Mith: Out 1 1.07 0.06 0.24 Out 1 0.24 Out 1 0.11 C Tripued usy: Out 1 0.05 0.041 0.05 0.044 0.05 0.044 0.05 0.066 0.03 1.0% 0.021 0.066 0.03 0.022 0.03 0.022 0.03 0.022 0.011 0.02 0.011 0.02 <th colspa<="" th=""><th>دیا دیا</th><th>-Taken from NOAA Technical Report NWS 33</th><th>-Taken from NOAA T</th><th>inches</th><th>39</th><th>Gross Annual Evaporation =</th><th>Gross Annu</th></th>	<th>دیا دیا</th> <th>-Taken from NOAA Technical Report NWS 33</th> <th>-Taken from NOAA T</th> <th>inches</th> <th>39</th> <th>Gross Annual Evaporation =</th> <th>Gross Annu</th>	دی ا دیا	-Taken from NOAA Technical Report NWS 33	-Taken from NOAA T	inches	39	Gross Annual Evaporation =	Gross Annu
(1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) Net Lake Net Lake Net Lake Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Net Lake Net	Tripured by: Dute: Dute	r Station ID	A Buena Visua Weathe	-Taken from the NOA	inches	10.3	al Precipitation =	Annu	
(1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) Precipitation Effective Evaporation Net Lake Evaporation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Net Lake Evaporation 9.0% 0.29 0.93 0.05 0.24 0.93 0.05 0.24 0.34 12.5% 0.41 1.07 0.06 0.34 0.34 0.46 0.43 0.46 0.43 0.46 0.43 0.46 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.30 0.31 0.30 0.01	Frequency Supervises (3) (4) (5) (a) (5) (5) (a) (5) (5) (a) (7) (5) (a) (7) (5) (a) Precipitation Effective Net Lake (a) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) (acre-ft/acre) (a) (acre-ft/acre) (acre-ft/acre) (acre-ft/acre) (a) (acre-ft/acre) (acre-ft/acre) (acre-ft/acre) (acre-ft/acre) (b) (a) (acre-ft/acre)		dach.	-ponds and a dramage	acres	0.4		Exposed	
(1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) Net Lake Effective Net Lake Evaporation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation (1) (1) (1) Net Lake Evaporation (1) (1) (1) (1) Net Lake Evaporation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation Precipitation (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1)	Frepured by: (3) (4) (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) Net Lake - (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) Net Lake - (1) <th cols<="" td=""><td></td><td>2.65</td><td>0,60</td><td>10.28</td><td>3.25</td><td>100%</td><td>Total</td></th>	<td></td> <td>2.65</td> <td>0,60</td> <td>10.28</td> <td>3.25</td> <td>100%</td> <td>Total</td>		2.65	0,60	10.28	3.25	100%	Total
(1) (2) (3) (4) Effective Events Net Lake Evaporation Effective Precipitation Net Lake Evaporation $Evaporation$ $Evaporation$ $Precipitation$ $Precipitation$ $Precipitation$ $evaporation$ $Evaporation$ (ft) (in) (ft) $(acce-ft/acce)$ $(acce-ft/acce)$ $9,0\%$ 0.29 0.83 0.05 0.24 0.24 $9,0\%$ 0.21 1.07 0.06 0.34 0.34 12.5% 0.52 1.54 0.09 0.43 0.46 15.0% 0.42 1.89 0.11 0.31 0.31 11.0% 0.24 0.85 0.06 0.30 0.30 $1.5.5\%$ 0.24 0.85 0.05 0.11 0.31 0.30 $1.5.5\%$ 0.24 0.84 0.05 0.19 0.03 0.10 $1.5.5\%$ 0.03 0.35 0.02 0.01 0.01 $1.5.5$	Frequency Structure (3) (4) (5) (a) (5) (5) Effective Net Lake (7) (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) (7) (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) (7) (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) (7) (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) (7) (1.07 0.05 0.24 0.34 (7) (1.07 0.05 0.24 0.46 (7) (1.17 0.05 0.34 (7) (7) (1.54 0.05 0.31 (7) (7) (1.89 0.11 0.31 (7) (7) (2.53 0.05 0.19 (7) (7) (3.35 0.02 0.02 (7) (7)		0.16	0.04	0.65	0.20	6.0%	Mar	
(I) (2) (3) (4) Percent of Annual Gross Lake Evaporation Preciptation Effective Preciptation Evaporation (II) (In) Preciptation 12.5% 0.41 1.07 0.06 15.5% 0.50 0.73 0.04 15.0% 0.52 1.54 0.09 13.0% 0.42 1.89 0.11 11.0% 0.36 0.95 0.06 11.0% 0.36 0.95 0.02 11.0% 0.13 0.53 0.05 1.5% 0.24 0.84 0.05 11.0% 0.13 0.53 0.02 1.5% 0.05 0.39 0.02 1.5% 0.05 0.39 0.02	Prepared usy: Dute: Dut	0.03	0.07	0.02	0,42	0.10	3.0%	Feb	
(I) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) Percent of Annual Evaporation Gross Lake Evaporation Precipitation Effective Precipitation Net Lake Evaporation Net Lake 9.0% 0.29 0.83 0.05 0.24 0.24 12.5% 0.41 1.07 0.06 0.34 0.34 15.5% 0.50 0.73 0.04 0.46 0.34 15.5% 0.52 1.54 0.09 0.43 0.31 13.0% 0.42 1.89 0.11 0.31 0.31 11.0% 0.36 0.95 0.06 0.30 0.30 4.0% 0.13 0.53 0.05 0.19 0.19 4.0% 0.13 0.39 0.02 0.03 0.10	Frequency: Dute:		0.01	0.02	0.35	0.03	1.0%	Jan	
(I) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) Percent of Annual Gross Lake Evaporation Precipitation Effective Precipitation Net Lake Evaporation Net Lake Evaporation (ft) (in) Precipitation Precipitation Evaporation 9,0% 0.29 0.83 0.05 0.24 0.24 12.5% 0.41 1.07 0.06 0.34 0.34 15.5% 0.50 0.73 0.04 0.46 0.34 16.0% 0.52 1.54 0.09 0.43 0.43 13.0% 0.42 1.89 0.11 0.31 0.31 11.0% 0.24 0.84 0.05 0.19 0.30 7.5% 0.24 0.84 0.05 0.10 0.10	Frequency: Dute:		0,03	0.02	0.39	0,05	1.5%	Dec	
(I) (2) (3) (3) (4) (5) Percent of Annual Gross Lake Evaporation Precipitation Effective Precipitation Net Lake Evaporation Net Lake 9.0% 0.29 0.83 0.05 0.24 0.24 12.5% 0.41 1.07 0.06 0.34 0.48 15.5% 0.50 0.73 0.04 0.48 0.43 15.0% 0.52 1.54 0.09 0.43 0.31 11.0% 0.36 0.35 0.30 0.30 0.30 7.5% 0.24 0.84 0.05 0.19 0.30	Frequency: Dute:		0,10	0:03	0.53	0.13	4.0%	Nov	
(I) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) Percent of Annual Gross Lake Evaporation Precipitation Effective Precipitation Net Lake Evaporation Net Lake 9,0% 0.29 0.83 0.05 0.24 0.24 12.5% 0.41 1.07 0.06 0.34 0.34 15.5% 0.50 0.73 0.04 0.46 0.43 15.0% 0.52 1.54 0.09 0.43 0.31 13.0% 0.36 0.95 0.30 0.31 0.31	Prepared by: (3) (4) (5) (1) (4) (5) Effective Net Lake - (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) - (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) - 0.93 0.05 0.24 - 0.73 0.04 0.46 - 1.54 0.09 0.43 - 1.89 0.11 0.31 - 0.95 0.06 0.30 -		0,19	0.05	0.84	0.24	7-5%	Od	
(I) (2) (3) (4) (5) Percent of Annual Gross Lake Evaporation Precipitation Effective Precipitation Net Lake Symporation (ft) (in) Precipitation Precipitation Evaporation Symporation (ft) (in) (ft) (acce-ft/acce) 0.24 Symporation 0.29 0.83 0.05 0.24 0.34 12.5% 0.41 1.07 0.06 0.34 0.46 0.46 15.0% 0.52 1.54 0.09 0.43 0.43 0.43 13.0% 0.42 1.89 0.11 0.31 0.31 0.31	Propured by: (3) (4) (5) (a) (5) Effective Net Lake Preciptation Evaporation (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) (1.07 0.05 0.24 0.73 0.04 0.48 1.54 0.09 0.43 1.89 0.11 0.31		0.30	0.06	0.95	0,36	11.0%	Sept	
(1) (2) (3) (3) (3) (3) Percent of Annual Gross Lake Precipitation Effective Net Lake Annual Evaporation Precipitation Precipitation Evaporation Evaporation 9,0% 0,29 0.93 0,05 0,24 0.24 12,5% 0,41 1,07 0,06 0.34 0.34 15,5% 0,50 0,73 0,04 0,46 0,46 16,0% 0,52 1,54 0,09 0,43 0	(3) (-1) (-1) (-1) (-1) Dute: Dute: (1) (-1)		0.31	0.11	1.89	0,42	13.0%	Aug	
(I) (2) (3) (4) (5) Percent of Annual Gross Lake Evaporation Precipitation Effective Precipitation Net Lake Evaporation Evaporation (ft) (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) 9.0% 0.29 0.83 0.05 0.24 12.5% 0.41 1.07 0.06 0.34 15.5% 0.50 0.73 0.04 0.46	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)		0,43	0.09	1.54	0.52	16.0%	July	
(I) (2) (3) (4) (5) Percent of Annual Gross Lake Effective Net Lake Annual Evaporation Precipitation Precipitation Evaporation Evaporation (ft) (in) (ft) (acre-fh/acre) 9.0% 0.29 0.93 0.05 0.24 12.5% 0.41 1.07 0.06 0.34	(3) (4) (5) (a) (4) (5) Preciptation Effective Net Lake (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) 0.83 0.05 0.24 1.07 0.05 0.34		0,46	0.04	0.73	0.50	15.5%	June	
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) Percent of Gross Lake Effective Net Lake Annual Evaporation Precipitation Precipitation Evaporation (ft) (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) 9,0% 0.29 0.83 0.05 0.24	(3) (4) (5) (1) (4) (5) (1) Effective Net Lake eciptation Preciptation Evaporation E (in) (ft) (acre-ft/acre) (3) 0,05 0.24		0.34	0.06	1.07	0.41	12.5%	May	
(I) (2) (3) (4) (5) Percent of Gross Lake Effective Net Lake Annual Evaporation Precipitation Precipitation Evaporation (ft) (in) (ft) (acce-ft/acce)	(3) (4) (4) (5) (1) (4) (4) (5) (1) Effective Net Lake (4) Preciptation Evaporation (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		0.24	0,05	0.93	0.29	9.0%	Apr	
(1) (2) (3) (4) Percent of Gross Lake Effective Net Lake Annual Evaporation Precipitation Precipitation	(3) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	Â	(acre-ft/acre)	(ft)	(in)	(ft)	Evaporation		
(2) (3) (4) (5)	(3) (4) (5)	Eva	Net Lake Evaporation	Effective Preciptation	Preciptation	Gross Lake Evaporation	Percent of Annual	Month	
	рания рус.	1	(\$)	(4)	(0)	(2)	(1)		

- filling ang .

(2) Takto (10%) NOAA Technical Kepti (NYS 52 Nup 2 and distributed in (3) From the NDAA Buena Varia Weather Station ID 1071 (1945-2006).

(4) Effective Precipitation equals 70% of Average Annual Precipitation, 70% * (3).

(5) Choss Evaporation manus Effective Precipitation converted to units of fort, (2) - (4).

(6) Total Evaporative Loss is the product of Net Evaporation (3) and Exposed Water Surface