-----Original Message-----From: Kaldenbach, Tom Sent: Fri 7/6/2012 12:55 PM To: John.Hamrick@cotterusa.com Cc: Pineda, Loretta; Waldron, Tony; <u>steve.nagy@state.co.us</u>; <u>jeff.fugate@state.co.us</u> Subject: Schwartzwalder Mine (M-1977-300), 7-3-12 inspection report and RTB letter

John,

Attached is the 7-3-12 inspection report and a related RTB letter.

Tom Kaldenbach Senior Environmental Protection Specialist Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety 1313 Sherman St., Room 215 Denver, CO 80203 Phone (303) 866-3567, ext. 8128



The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Schwartzwalder Mine	M-1977-300	Uranium	Jefferson
INSPECTION TYPE:	INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring	Tom Kaldenbach, Tim Cazier	July 3, 2012	09:30
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPER	ATION:
Cotter Corporation	John Hamrick, Mike Villegrana	112d-2 - Designate	d Mining Operation

REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program	None	\$2,843,671.63
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA	None	None
WEATHER:	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:
Clear	Tom Kaldubal	July 5, 2012

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

This list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each. IF PB or PV IS INDICATED, YOU SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF YOUR PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If PV is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action. Any person engaged in any mining operation shall notify the office of any failure or imminent failure, as soon as reasonably practicable after such person has knowledge of such condition or of any impoundment, embankment, or slope that poses a reasonable potential for danger to any persons or property or to the environment; or any environmental protection facility designed to contain or control chemicals or waste which are acid or toxic-forming, as identified in the permit.

(AR) RECORDS <u>N</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY <u>N</u>	(RD) ROADS <u>N</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>PV</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>N</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>N</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>N</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>N</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>N</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(SB) COMPLETE INSP <u>N</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>N</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>

Y = Inspected and found in compliance / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

OBSERVATIONS

This inspection was conducted by Tom Kaldenbach and Tim Cazier of DRMS. John Hamrick represented the operator. Mike Villegrana conducted water sampling for the operator.

The main objective of the inspection was to collect a water quality sample in the area where drilling and pressure grouting had been conducted during the week of June 25, 2012 near Sump 10 (the grout curtain project area).

Hydrologic Balance:

The ground was dry on the mine site, with the exception of the three areas described in Table 1 below. Field parameters of the water in the three areas and in Sump 4 were measured with Hanna pen meters that had been calibrated immediately prior to the inspection (see measurement results in Table 2, below). The operator used its own meters for measuring field parameters concurrently with DRMS.

A sample for laboratory analysis of major ions, metals, and radionuclides was collected from the water flowing into the lowest part of the grout curtain area. This sample was collected in 8 bottles (5 with HNO₃ preservative), and then was immediately stored in a chest with ice. The ice chest was delivered to the Accutest laboratory in Wheat Ridge at 1:40 p.m. on the day of the inspection. The operator used its own bottles for collecting a sample at the grout curtain location concurrently with DRMS.

In order to evaluate the potential for disturbance to the prevailing hydrologic balance in the affected area, the electroconductivity value of the discharge in the grout curtain area has been compared to the most recent values the operator has reported for the mine pool and the upstream alluvial monitoring well (see Table 3, below). The value in the grout curtain project area (2,809 µmhos/cm) is much closer to the value from the mine pool water (3,298 µmhos/cm), than to the value for upstream alluvial ground water (300 µmhos/cm).

 Table 1 – Water on land surface observed during inspection.

Location	Source of Water	Description
Ralston Creek channel, immediately upstream from upper cutoff wall of creek diversion pipeline	Ralston Creek	Pooling of clear water at elevation below pipe inlets in cutoff wall. The Ralston Creek channel appeared dry upstream from the pooled area. The two pipelines that extend through the cutoff wall (the 18-inch diameter diversion pipeline and the 24-inch diameter creek flow pipeline) were both dry. The creek channel was dry immediately downstream from the cutoff wall.

Location	Source of Water	Description
Grout curtain project area	Metamorphic bedrock	Clear water was discharging from bedrock at several locations and was pooling in a low area. The total discharge appeared to be approximately 20 gpm, consistent with the operator's 15 to 20 gpm estimate. The pooled water was being pumped via a 4-inch diameter HDPE pipe to the treatment plant approximately 400 feet to the northwest.
Ralston Creek channel at BPL (Below Property Line)	Operator's water treatment plant (according to operator's representative). Treatment plant is fed by pumps located in grout curtain project area and in sumps in alluvial fill.	Clear water was pooled in the creek channel and was flowing at less than 20 gpm (visual estimate). Operator's representative explained that the treatment plant discharges to the creek channel at a location upstream from BPL. The outlets of the two pipes that are at this location (the 18-inch diameter creek diversion pipeline and the - inch water treatment plant pipeline) were dry, with the exception of a slow drip of water from the treatment plant pipeline.

Table 2 – Field parameters measured during inspection

Location	Temperature	рН	Electroconductivity, µmhos/cm
Ralston Creek channel, immediately upstream from upper cutoff wall of creek diversion pipeline	65°F	7.5	397
Grout curtain project area	66°F	7.1	2,809

Location	Temperature	рН	Electroconductivity, µmhos/cm
Sump 4 (central part of Ralston Creek valley, approximately 300 feet from grout curtain area)	57°F	7.8	903
Ralston Creek channel at BPL (Below Property Line)	69°F	7.5	1,860

Table 3 – Comparison of field electroconductivity measurements: Grout curtain area vs. First quarter 2012 data from mine pool and upstream alluvial monitoring well

Location	Measurement date	Electroconductivity, µmhos/cm
Grout curtain project area	7/3/12	2,809
Mine pool	3/9/12	3,298
Alluvial monitoring well upstream from Schwartzwalder Mine (well MW-00)	1/5/12	300

It is reasonable to conclude that the mine pool contributes to the discharge in the grout curtain area. This conclusion is based on the similarity of electroconductivity values in the two locations (see Table 3), the close proximity of the mine pool to the grout curtain area, and the likely elevation difference between the mine pool and the grout curtain area. The Steve Adit is located approximately 200 feet from the grout curtain area. The fractured mass of metamorphic rock that separates the Steve Adit from the grout curtain area provides a subsurface flowpath between those two areas. The elevation of the mine pool was most recently reported as 6,578 feet, which appears to be a few feet higher than the unlabeled elevation contours of the grout curtain area shown on Figure 1 submitted for TR-20.

The suspected flow of mine water from the workings to the Ralston Creek alluvial valley is consistent with such flow hypothesized by the USGS (Cain et al, 2011), by Cotter's consultant AMEC Environment and Infrastructure (AMEC Report, October 10, 2011, concluding that the Mine Pool was "the only potential source, based on this data set, that could have produced the observed elevated uranium and TDS concentrations at Sump No. 10 by mixing with alluvial groundwater."), and by Denver Water (Arcadis Technical Memorandum, January 25, 2011). The operator has previously reported water flowing into the mine workings from Ralston Creek

alluvium (page 6 of Appendix E-2 of Permit Application M-1977-300). Reversal of flow from the workings to the alluvium would be expected as the mine workings were flooded in recent years to an elevation above the alluvium, creating a local hydraulic gradient directed downward from the workings to the alluvium.

It appears that water from the mine pool has been discharging from metamorphic rock in the grout curtain area prior to the operator's excavation in that area. The discharge prior to excavation has contaminated water in the alluvial fill, based on the electroconductivities of 2,809 μ mhos/cm of the discharge and 300 μ mhos/cm of the alluvial water (see Table 3, above). Some of the discharge continues to enter unexcavated alluvial fill material in the grout curtain area. Contamination of the alluvial fill prior to the excavation and continuing today represents a failure to minimize disturbance to the affected land and to the quality of ground water systems after the mining operation and during reclamation (see C.R.S § 34-32-116(7)(g) and Rule 3.1.6). Based on this contamination, the discharge in the grout curtain area is cited as a possible violation of the referenced Statute and Rule.



PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo 1 – Ralston Creek at BPL location.



Photo 2 – 18-inch diversion pipeline and 4-inch water treatment pipeline at BPL location (downstream from mine surface facilities area).



Photo 3 - Sump 4 covered manhole (center right) and control panel (center left). Tank partially in view on right side of photo is no longer in use.



Photo 4 – Ponded Ralston Creek water on upstream side of upper cut-off wall for creek diversion pipeline. 18-inch diameter diversion pipeline is out of view behind trash rack on right side of photo. Steel pipe on left side of photo is stream overflow pipe.



Photo 5 – Inactive drill rig (right side of photo) in grout curtain area that was drilling holes for grout curtain during week prior to this inspection.



Photo 6 – Close-up of inactive drill rig shown in previous photo. Drill string was still in hole during this inspection and water was flowing out of the hole at less than 1 gpm, and was flowing to nearby low area on ground where DRMS and operator sampled.



Photo 7 – Operator's representative (center of photo) collecting sample where water flows to low area in grout curtain area. Black pipe on right side of photo carries water from pump in pool to water treatment plant approximately 400 feet away.

STATE OF COLORADO

DIVISION OF RECLAMATION, MINING AND SAFETY

Department of Natural Resources

1313 Sherman St., Room 215 Denver, Colorado 80203 Phone: (303) 866-3567 FAX: (303) 832-8106



John W. Hickenlooper Governor

Mike King Executive Director

Loretta E. Piñeda Director

July 5, 2012

Mr. John Hamrick Cotter Corporation P.O. Box 1750 Canon City, CO 81215

Re: Schwartzwalder Mine, DRMS File No. M-1977-300 Reason to Believe a Violation Exists at the Schwartzwalder Mine

Dear Mr. Hamrick:

On July 3, 2012, the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division/DRMS) conducted an inspection of the Schwartzwalder Mine and found the following:

The Operator has failed to minimize disturbance to the prevailing hydrologic balance, as described in the Division's report from the inspection.

Based on the findings of the inspection we believe that this is a violation of:

- C.R.S § 34-32-116(7)(g), and
- Mineral Rule 3.1.6, and
- Cotter's Reclamation Permit, which states that "it is a condition of this permit that the operations will be conducted in accordance with ... the provisions of the Act and the Mineral Rules and Regulations..." Permit violations are enforceable pursuant to C.R.S. § 34-32-124.

Therefore, we have reason to believe that a violation exists to DRMS File Number M-1977-300 and to the Mined Land Reclamation Act, C.R.S. § 34-32-101 *et. seq.*, and have scheduled this matter to appear before the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

A Formal Public Hearing will be held during the August 8th and 9th, 2012 Board Meeting for consideration of these possible violations. The hearing will be held in Room 318 of 1313 Sherman Street, Denver, Colorado, beginning at 9:00 a.m. on August 8th or as soon thereafter as the matter can be considered. At that hearing you will have the opportunity to be represented and heard. It will be reiterated that there is reason to believe that you are in violation of the provisions noted above.

If the Board finds a violation at this hearing, it may issue a Cease and Desist Order and/or assess a Civil Penalty in the amount of \$ 100.00 to \$1,000.00 for each day of violation. If you have evidence indicating that the possible violations noted above do not exist or have been corrected, please provide it to us as soon as possible or bring it to the hearing.

Following the hearing, all parties have an opportunity to review and comment on a draft of the Board's order before it becomes final. If you wish to do so, you must provide the Board with a written request to review the draft order prior to or at the time of your hearing, or you must inform the Board orally during the hearing that you request to review the draft order. You must include your mailing address and, if possible, a fax or email address. You will be provided a copy of the draft order by e-mail for your review as soon as it is available, unless a hard copy by mail is requested. The Board's attorney must receive your comments on the draft order within three calendar days of the date the draft order is e-mailed, unless that deadline is extended by the Board's attorney. Submit all comments in writing, by e-mail or fax, to Mr. John Roberts, jj.roberts@state.co.us, Fax: number 303-866-5395. Please note that the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has requested and will be allowed to review and comment on the draft Board Order prior to mailing to any party. The Board will resolve any issues regarding the form and substance of the order. This process does not affect your right to request reconsideration by the Board or appeal the form or substance of the order.

Please contact Sitira Pope of the Division at (303) 866-3567 x8136 on the Friday or Monday prior to the Board Hearing to confirm exactly what day your item will appear before the Board. If you have any questions about this letter or the hearing, please contact Tom Kaldenbach at (303) 866-3567 x8128.

Sincerely,

Touts Shineda

Loretta Piñeda Division Director

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. <u>7009 2820 0003 5700 6274</u> Return Receipt Requested

Cc: Tony Waldron, DRMS Tom Kaldenbach, DRMS John Roberts, AGO Jeff Fugate, AGO