# STATE OF COLORADO

DIVISION OF RECLAMATION, MINING AND SAFETY Department of Natural Resources

1313 Sherman St., Room 215 Denver, Colorado 80203 Phone: (303) 866-3567 FAX: (303) 832-8106



May 7, 2012

Craig Liukko PO Box 531 Mancos, CO 81328 John W. Hickenlooper Governor

Mike King Executive Director

Loretta E. Piñeda Director

Re: Red Arrow Mine Drill 2 Project, NOI P-2012-007, Completeness and Technical Review, No Deficiencies Noted.

Dear Mr. Liukko,

I have reviewed the technical materials submitted by you and by your consultant, Steve Fearn, in the above-named NOI packet, and have determined that there are no deficiencies or other technical issues to be addressed. The NOI packet was submitted with a \$2,000 One-Site Prospecting Cash Bond, which the Division is holding until this NOI is approved.

The NOI consisted of only non-confidential materials, all of which were submitted on Public Form 2. These materials were posted on our website on April 27, 2012. The Division must wait until the end of the public comment period, which is ten working days after posting, before a decision can be made on the NOI. This places the earliest decision date (assuming that there are no issues to resolve stemming from a timely objection) on May 11, 2012. No adverse comments have been received to-date, but this office will inform you immediately if any are received.

The Division's reclamation cost estimate is enclosed herewith for your information. The estimate was based on information contained in the NOI, with few extra assumptions needed for the total figure. You will see that the cost total is \$1,533, which is well below the bond amount you have provided. Parameters used in the review and the estimate included the following:

- 1. The project is approved for up to ten drill holes. Only one drill hole may be open at a time. All drill holes will be drilled from the same drill pad site.
- 2. All drill holes will be drilled for extraction of cores. Drill holes may up to 6,000 feet deep. Core drilling will reduce the amount of cuttings, but the quantity of holes and approved depth will act to create a large amount of cores.
- 3. Cuttings may be scattered on the surface of the 0.1-acre area approved for disturbance, but may not be deeper than one-half inch. Excess cuttings must therefore be disposed of properly: those composed of inert materials may be backfilled in the holes or buried onsite; mineralized cuttings must be removed.
- 4. Cores must be handled similarly: backfilled in the drill holes or buried if they are inert, removed if they are mineralized.

- 5. The proposed drill hole closure method, setting a mechanical plug at the proper depth and welding a cap on casing, is approvable for dry holes. This drill holes closure method stems from a safe and reasonable assumption (based on past mining and drilling) that these drill holes will not intercept groundwater aquifers. If, however, drilling does intercept groundwater, closure must include full-depth tremmying of cement or bentonite abandonite gel. Please ensure that your driller keeps records of all drilling since proper closure depends on conditions encountered and permanent drill hole abandonment reports must be filed for all drill holes.
- 6. The area approved for this project is fully contained in the affected area for Permit No. M-1981-184. It is on a pre-existing bench road with no vegetation presently. Drill pad and mud pit preparation will consist of minor grading. Please ensure that pad preparation does not include pushing or sloughing of materials over the crest of the bench onto the slope below. Please establish sufficient stormwater controls at your project site.
- 7. Reclamation for this surface will consist of regrading and onsite burial of inert debris and earthen materials. Though no revegetation is required, you are reminded to monitor your equipment and the site for noxious weeds. Prompt treatment is important. Remember to re-establish stormwater controls as part of the reclamation earthwork.

Please review the costs in the enclosed cost estimate and notify me promptly if you see any errors or omissions. These items are what are approved for the project. If additional drill holes or different locations are desired, you may submit a request for modification to this NOI.

There are no deficiencies to address and the submitted bond appears to be sufficient at this time. We must, however, wait until the end of the public comment period, which is set for May 11, 2012. I will notify you on Friday regarding the Division decision on the NOI.

If you have any questions I may be reached at the Division's Durango Field Office: 691 CR 233, Room A-2, Durango, CO 81301; telephone 970-247-5193. I look forward to inspecting the project site.

Sincerely,

Bob Annel

Bob Oswald Environmental Protection Specialist

Encl: Reclamation cost estimate, dated 5/7/12.

Cc(w/encl):Steve Fearn, Fearn Engineering Services, 729 Reese Street, Silverton, CO 81433Ec(w/o encl):Steve Shuey, DRMS Grand Junction<br/>Barbara Coria, DRMS Denver

(c:\12-05 docs\Red Arrow Drill 2 NOI FW amt/rco)

## COST SUMMARY WORK

	Task description: Reclamation cost summary	-			
Site:	Red Arrow Mine Drill 2 Permit Action:	New NOI		Permit/Job	#: P2012007
	PROJECT IDENTIFICATION				
	Task #: 000 State: Colorado   Date: 5/7/2012 County: Montezui			Abbreviation: Filename:	
	User: RCO		-	r nename:	<u></u>
	Agency or organization name: DRMS				
	Agency of organization name. Dictors				
	TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)				
Task		Form	Fleet	Task	
	Description       Close and seal one drill hole	Used	Size	Hours	Cost
001 002	Remove drilling debris	BOREHOLE DEMOLISH	1	4.00	\$144.84
002	Drill pad regrading	DOZER	1	1.00	\$8.36 \$104.76
003	Haul reclamation equipment to and from site	MOBILIZE	1	5.33	\$1,033.48
004	That reclamation equipment to the normatic		-	5.55	<i>\$</i> 1,055. <del>1</del> 6
		<b>SUBTO</b>	TALS	11.5	\$ \$1,291.44
		50210	Index		
	INDIRECT COSTS OVERHEAD AND PROFIT: Liability insurance: 2.02 Performance bond: 1.05 Job superintendent: 0.00 Profit: 10.00			Total = Total = Total =	\$26.09 \$13.56 \$0.00 \$129.14
					\$168.79
	COM	ITRACT AMOUN	NI (direc	t+O&P)=	\$1,460.23
	LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMEN	Г:			
	Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs):	0.00			0.00
	Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	0.00			\$0.00
	Reclamation management and/or administration:	5.00			\$73.01
	CONTINGENCY:	0.00		Total =	\$0.00
		TOTAL	, INDIRE	CT COST =	\$241.80
	TOTAL B	OND AMOUNT	(direct +	indirect) =	\$1,533.24

#### BOREHOLE SEALING WORK

	Task description:	Close and seal one drill hol	e			_
Site:	Red Arrow Mine Drill 2	Permit Action:	New NOI	Permit/.	Job#: <u>P2012007</u>	
<u>PROJE</u>	CT IDENTIFICATION	<u>v</u>				
Task # Date: User:	5/7/2012	State: Colorado County: Montezuma		Abbreviation: Filename:	None P007-001	
	Agency or organizat	ion name: DRMS				

## **UNIT COSTS**

Borehole Description	Sealing/Item Method	Diameter	Length	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
3.5-inch cased drill hole	Stainless steel plug - 4 in. diameter borehole	3.5	NA	1.00	EA	\$72.42	\$72.42
Place cap on exposed casing	Welded steel cap	3.5	NA	1.00	EA	\$72.42	\$72.42

Notes:

1. There will be multiple drill holes drilled from this single drill pad site. Bonding amount includes only one drill hole closure, therefore only one drill hole may be open at a time.

4.00

**Total Cost:** 

\$144.84

2. All cores containing mineralized materials will be removed and disposed of properly; all cores containing only inert material may be backfilled in the bore hole or buried onsite.

Job Hours:

- 3. These costs do not include any backfilling of cuttings in the drill holes. Operator may scatter cuttings on and around the drill site (on the existing roadway where the drill pad is located) but not to exceed one-half inch in depth. With the possible depth and quantity of drill holes proposed, there may be an excess of cuttings, and some (non-mineralized) cuttings may have to be backfilled or buried.
- 4. Drilling operation expects not to encounter groundwater. If water is encountered during drilling, this method of drill hole closure cannot be used; full sealing by cement grout or bentonite abandonment gel must be used.

# **DEMOLITION WORK**

Task descriptio	n: Remov	e drilling debris					
Site: Red Arrow M	line Drill 2	Permit Action: 1	New NOI	Pe	ermit/Job#:	P2012007	
PROJECT IDENTI	TICATION						
Task #: 002	St	ate: Colorado		Abbreviat	ion: Non	e	
Date: 5/7/2012	Cou	nty: Montezuma		Filena	me: P00	7-002	
User: RCO	User: RCO						
Agency	or organization name	: DRMS					
UNIT COSTS Location adjustment: 95.20 %							
Structure or Item Description	Dimensions	Demolition Menu Selection	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
Misc. debris, no	Assume 5 cy	Excavate disposal pit	5.00	CY	\$1.53	\$7.64	
contaminants		with dozer (includes completed pit					
		backfilling/grading)					
Fill disposal pit, bury	5 cy	Push demolished	5.00	CY	\$0.23	\$1.14	
in place		materials/rubble/debr					
		into pit - Max. 50 ft. p	ousn				
Job Hours:	1.00	Subtotal (unadjusted):	<b>\$8.7</b> 8	(adju	otal Cost usted for ocation):	\$8.36	
				•	_		

Note: This task does not include the other earthwork to be performed to reclaim the drill site, such as pad regrading, closing the mud pit, and re-establishing the berms as needed. (See task 003.)

# BULLDOZER WORK

Red Arrow Mine Dr				
DI	ill 2 Permit Action	n: New NOI	Permit/Job#:	P2012007
PROJECT IDENTI	FICATION			
Task #: 003	State: Colorad	ło	Abbreviation:	None
Date: 5/7/2012	County: Montez		Filename:	P007-003
User: RCO				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Agency or orga	anization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPM	<u>ENT COST</u>			
Basic Machine: Ca	at D5K LGP - 5P			
Horsepower: 96	5			
	ower Angle Tilt			
Attachment: N	*			
	per day			
	CRG)			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Cost Breakdown:				
01' 0/77	016 50	Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		NA 100		
Operating Cost/Hour:		100		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		0		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$38.49	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour:	\$89.35			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$89.35 \$89.35			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	307.33			
<u>MATERIAL QUAN'</u>	<u>ITTIES</u>			
Initial Volume: 100	)			
Swell factor: 1.1	7 LCY			
Swell factor: <u>1.1</u> Loose volume: <u>117</u>				
Loose volume: 117				
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu	ume: Division of Reclam	nation, Mining & Safety		
Loose volume: 117	ume: Division of Reclam	nation, Mining & Safety		
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe	ume: Division of Reclam ell factor: Cat Handbook	nation, Mining & Safety		
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC	ume: <u>Division of Reclam</u> ell factor: <u>Cat Handbook</u>	nation, Mining & Safety		
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC	ume: <u>Division of Reclam</u> ell factor: <u>Cat Handbook</u>	nation, Mining & Safety		
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC	ume: <u>Division of Reclam</u> ell factor: <u>Cat Handbook</u>	nation, Mining & Safety 		
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC	ume: Division of Reclam ell factor: Cat Handbook CTION uction: 50 feet 464.3 LCY/hr			
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de	ume: Division of Reclam ell factor: Cat Handbook CTION uction: 50 feet 464.3 LCY/hr escription: Rock, well rippe			
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe <u>HOURLY PRODUC</u> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient:	ume: Division of Reclam ell factor: Cat Handbook CTION uction: 50 feet 464.3 LCY/hr escription: Rock, well rippe			
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de	ume: Division of Reclam ell factor: Cat Handbook CTION uction: 50 feet 464.3 LCY/hr escription: Rock, well rippe			
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe <b>HOURLY PRODUC</b> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	Division of Reclam     ell factor:   Cat Handbook     CTION   50 feet     uction:   464.3 LCY/hr     escription:   Rock, well rippe     5 %   9,400 feet			
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe <u>HOURLY PRODUC</u> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient:	ume: Division of Reclam ell factor: Cat Handbook CTION uction: 50 feet 464.3 LCY/hr escription: Rock, well rippe			
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe <b>HOURLY PRODUC</b> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	Division of Reclam     ell factor:   Cat Handbook     CTION   50 feet     uction:   464.3 LCY/hr     escription:   Rock, well rippe     5 %   9,400 feet	d or blasted 0.8		
Loose volume: 117 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description:	ume: Division of Reclam Cat Handbook CTION Uction: 50 feet uction: 464.3 LCY/hr escription: Rock, well rippe 5% 9,400 feet 2,900 lbs/LCY Decomposed rock - 50% Ro	d or blasted 0.8		
Loose volume: <u>117</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe <u>HOURLY PRODUC</u> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correctio	ume: Division of Reclam Cat Handbook CTION United State S	d or blasted 0.8		
Loose volume: 117 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description:	ume: Division of Reclam Cat Handbook CTION <u>50 feet</u> uction: <u>464.3 LCY/hr</u> escription: <u>Rock, well rippe</u> <u>5 %</u> <u>9,400 feet</u> <u>2,900 lbs/LCY</u> <u>Decomposed rock - 50% Ro</u> <u>n Factor</u> r Skill: <u>0.750</u>	d or blasted 0.8 <u>bck, 50% Earth</u>		

Visibility	7: 1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile	0.600	(FND-SF)
Push gradient	:: 0.903	(CAT HB)
Altitude	:: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type	: 1.000	(PAT)
Net correction	e: 0.2140	
Adjusted unit production:	99.36 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production:	99.36 LCY/hr	

## JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.899/LCY

Total job time:	1.17 Hours
Total job cost:	\$104.76

# EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Red Arrow Mine Drill 2	Permit	Action: New NO	I Permit/Jo	b#: <u>P2012007</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFICAT	ION			
Task #: 004	State: C	Colorado	Abbreviation	: None
Date: 5/7/2012 User: RCO	County: <u>N</u>	Iontezuma	Filename	e: P007-004
Agency or organization	n name: DRMS	8		
EQUIPMENT TRANSPOR	T RIG COST			
-			Shift basis:	1 per day
			Cost Data Source:	CRG Data
Truck Tractor Desc	, DIESEL POWER			
			400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006)	
Truck Trailer Desc	ription: GEN	ERIC FOLDING G		
	ription: GEN	ERIC FOLDING G	400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006) OOSENECK, DROP DECK H	
Truck Trailer Desc	ription: GEN	ERIC FOLDING G	400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006) OOSENECK, DROP DECK H	
Truck Trailer Desc Cost Breakdown:			400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006) OOSENECK, DROP DECK F (25T, 50T, AND 100T)	
Truck Trailer Desc <u>Cost Breakdown:</u> Available Rig Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006) OOSENECK, DROP DECK F (25T, 50T, AND 100T) 51+ Tons	
Truck Trailer Desc Cost Breakdown: Available Rig Capacities Ownership Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$16.63	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$18.37	400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006) OOSENECK, DROP DECK F (25T, 50T, AND 100T) 51+ Tons \$22.33	
Truck Trailer Desc <u>Cost Breakdown:</u> <u>Available Rig Capacities</u> <u>Ownership Cost/Hour:</u> <u>Operating Cost/Hour:</u>	0-25 Tons \$16.63 \$44.38	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$18.37 \$46.13	400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006) OOSENECK, DROP DECK F (25T, 50T, AND 100T) 51+ Tons \$22.33 \$50.07	

Machine Description	Weight/ Unit (TONS)	Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	Haul Rig Cost/hr/unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Cat D5K LGP - 5P	11.47	\$16.52	\$88.67	1	\$105.19	\$88.67	\$250.00
			S	Subtotals:	\$105.19	\$88.67	\$250.00

#### **ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:**

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
		Subtotals:	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### Page 2 of 2

#### **EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time**

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance:	<u>CORTEZ</u> 25.00	miles
Average Travel Speed:	30.00	mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$1,033.48	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$0.00	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non-Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.83	0.83
Return Time (Hours):	0.83	0.83
Loading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Subtotals:	2.67	1.67

#### JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: 5.33 Hours

Total job cost: \_\_\_\_\_\$1,033.48