

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Ranch Land Rock Pit #2	M-2003-021	Gravel	Fremont
INSPECTION TYPE:	WEATHER:	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring	Clear	July 23, 2025	12:00
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERA	TION:
Ranch Land LLC	Kurt Thurmann & Mike Krauth	112c - Construction	Regular Operation

REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program	Complete Bond	\$192,337.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA	None	None
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:
Jocelyn Carter	Joseph	August 5, 2025

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Right of Entry

PROBLEM #1: Failure to maintain legal right of entry per Rule 6.3.7.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The permittee/operator must provide proof of legal right to enter and conduct

mining and reclamation operations.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: September 17, 2025

INSPECTION TOPIC: Financial Warranty

PROBLEM #2: The financial warranty is not adequate to reclaim the site in accordance with the approved reclamation plan. This is a failure to maintain the proper financial warranty amount to complete reclamation of the affected lands pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-117(4)(b) of the Act.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator shall review the attached reclamation cost estimate calculated by the Division and provide any comments to the Division by the corrective action due date. At that time, the Division will be sending a separate surety increase notice to the operator regarding the increase of the financial warranty. The operator will have 60 days from the date on the surety increase notice to post the additional financial warranty.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: August 27, 2025

INSPECTION TOPIC: Backfilling & Grading

PROBLEM #3: A test pit was extracted and material was stockpiled in an area that has already been reclaimed

PERMIT #: M-2003-021 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: JLC INSPECTION DATE: July 23, 2025

in Phase 1 of the permit area.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: If the materials tested show to be viable for production, the Operator shall submit a Technical Revision, along with the \$216 application fee, to update the mining plan to fully describe the mining activities on site by the corrective action due date.

If mining the material tested is not going to be pursued, the Operator shall backfill and grade the extracted pit with the stockpile of material and provide photos of the completed earthworks to the Division by the corrective action due date.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: September 17, 2025

INSPECTION TOPIC: Signs & Markers

PROBLEM #4: The posted mine sign at the site entrance is not accurate. This is a problem for failure to post a mine identification sign as required by Section 3.1.12(1) of the rule. The Operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site post a sign, which shall be clearly visible from the access road, with a minimum size equaling one hundred and eighty-seven (187) square inches, such as eleven (11) inches in height and seventeen (17) inches in width, with appropriate font size, with the following: the name of the Operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board; and the permit number.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site, post a sign which shall be clearly visible from the access road with the following: the name of the operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board; and the permit number. The operator shall submit photo documentation that a proper sign has been posted by the corrective action date.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: September 17, 2025

OBSERVATIONS

The Ranch Land Rock Pit #2, Permit No. M-2003-021, was inspected by me, Jocelyn Carter, on behalf of the Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety (Division/DRMS). The permittee is Ranch Land LLC, in January of 2023, Holcim purchased the contract from Pioneer Sand Co Inc., Messrs. Mike Krauth and Kurt Thurmann were present during the inspection representing Holcim. The inspection of the site was conducted as part of routine monitoring and inspecting per Rule 3.2. The weather conditions at the time of the inspection were clear with warm temperatures.

Ranch Land Rock Pit #2 is located approximately 5 miles southeast of the town of Florence in Fremont County. The operation is permitted for extracting gravel for construction materials over 930 acres, with only 40 acres of disturbance at any one time. The permit area is broken up into a mining phase map, submitted with TR-1 (approved July 2022), with each phase measuring about 40 acres. The current mining activities are located within Phase 6 of the permit area. Mining was not taking place at the time of the inspection, though it was observed that a load of material was being weighed before delivery. There was material handling equipment observed on site along with some conveyors and screening equipment.

There are four problems cited in this inspection report. See below for information about the observations made on site regarding the problems and above for the required corrective actions for the problems.

Records

There are no open enforcement or minor infractions with the permit. The permittee is up to date with annual reports, maps, and fees. The landownership and mineral rights are privately owned by Holcim – WCR Inc.

PERMIT #: M-2003-021 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: JLC INSPECTION DATE: July 23, 2025

Right of Entry

The standing Right of Entry (ROE) document was submitted with the original permit application and gives Ranch Land LLC as the landowner. According to Fremont County Clerk and Records office, the land is owned by Holcim – WCR, Inc. A problem is being cited as the ROE is not adequate and needs to be updated to show Ranch Land LLC's legal right of entry to the permit area with Holcim – WCR Inc, see **Problem #1** above for corrective action details.

Hydrological Balance

There is not an observable issue with the hydrologic balance in the area.

General Mine Plan Compliance

The mining operation appears to be following the approved mining plan.

Signs and Markers

The mine sign is posted at the Minnequa Canal crossing just to the north of the permitted area along the access road, see Photo #1. The posted mine sign shows the name Pioneer Sand Co Inc as the operator and according to permit records, Holcim purchased the lease on January 20, 2023. A problem is being cited for the mine sign being inaccurate according to Rule 3.1.12(1)(a), see **Problem #4** above for information about the required corrective action.

Acid or Toxic Materials

Fuel is stored in a secured vessel on site adjacent to the scale in Phase 6, see Photo #3.

Financial Warranty

The current bond amount being held by the Division is \$192,337.00. An updated financial warranty was calculated based on the approved reclamation plan and the updated amount is \$248,913.00. This is an increase of \$56,576.00 and the Division's reclamation cost estimate calculation is attached to this report. A problem is being cited in this inspection report for an inadequate bond, see **Problem #2** above for details about the corrective action.

Backfill & Grading

Phase 1 of the mining area has been reclaimed. Overall, the backfilling and grading is in good condition with 3H:1V or greater slopes. There is a small section of recently excavated and material stockpiled on the old pit floor, see Photos #7 and #8. According to Mr. Thurmann, during a recent shift in management among Holcim – WCR, Inc. a test pit was done in the reclaimed area. A problem is being cited for this, see **Problem #3** above for details about the corrective actions required to address this.

Processing Facilities

There was screening facilities on the site at the time of the inspection; the screening equipment is portable, see Photo #4. There is a scale and a scale house adjacent to the fuel tank on site, see Photo #3. The scale and scale house is in good condition. There is also a stand with 8 solar panels adjacent to the scale house, see Photo #2; there is a cement footing at the base of the support post.

Roads

The internal roads are in good condition and stable.

Topsoil

Topsoil for the current mining area is stored adjacent to the scale house and scale on the east side of the pit. The slopes are stable and well vegetated and there appears to be an adequate amount of material for reclamation

tasks.

Revegetation

There did not appear to be noxious weeds in the disturbed areas of the mining operation or in the reclaimed area of Phase 1 that was inspected. The last time the Phase 1 area was reseeded was by Pioneer Sand Co Inc. and prior to Holcim purchasing the mining contract.

Photos taken during the inspection are shown below. Any questions about this report should be directed to me by email at Jocelyn.carter@state.co.us or by phone at (720) 666-1065.





Photo #1: Mine sign posted along the access road. The sign does not show the appropriate Operator name.



Photo #2: View of the installed solar panel in front of the scale, with a truck weighing material prior to delivery, and the scale house, behind the panels.



Photo #3: View of the fuel tank with the scale house behind it and the back side of the solar panels can be seen in the background of the right side.



Photo #4: View of the portable conveyors and screening equipment located on the north side of the current disturbed area.



Photo #5: View of the highwall, along the south side of the current disturbed area.



Photo #6: View of the reclaimed area in Phase 1 of the permit area. The vegetation is sparse but is well established.



Photo #7: View of the excavated test pit in the reclaimed area.



Photo #8: Stockpile of extracted material from test pit on the reclaimed pit floor.

PERMIT #: M-2003-021 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: JLC INSPECTION DATE: July 23, 2025

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY PB	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>PB</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>NA</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>NA</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES Y	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>Y</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>Y</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS PB	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN NA	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP Y
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>NA</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>NA</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>Y</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	(ROE) RIGHT OF ENTRY PB

Inspection Contact Address

Kurt Thurmann & Mike Krauth Ranch Land LLC 1687 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401

Enclosure Division's Reclamation Cost Estimate Calculation

CC: Amy Eschberger, DRMS

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

COST SUMMARY WORK

te: Ranch Land Rock Pit #2		#2 Pe	Permit Action: _2025 Insp		Permit/Job#: <u>M2003021</u>		
PROJECT 1	IDENTIFIC	CATION					
Task #: Date: User:	000 8/5/2025 JLC	State: County:	Colorado Fremont	Abbreviation: Filename:	None M021-000		

TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)

Task	Description	Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost
101	Push down highwalls	DOZER	1	12.58	\$5,972
301	Replace Topsoil	TRUCK1	1	78.72	\$32,050
302	Grade Topsoil	DOZER	1	11.08	\$5,263
401	Reseed Disturbed 40 acre phase	REVEGE	1	40.00	\$89,728
402	Reseed 25% of Phase 1 Area	REVEGE	1	3.00	\$5,896
403	Reseed Disturbed Phase 3 Area	REVEGE	1	23.00	\$49,799
501	Mob/demob equipment	MOBILIZE	1	5.09	\$6,626
		SUBTO	OTALS:	173.47	\$195,334

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance: 2.02 Total = \$3,946 Performance bond: 1.05 Total = \$2,051 Job superintendent: 86.73 Total = \$6,516 Profit: 10.00 Total = \$19,533

TOTAL O & P = 32,046CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) = 227,380

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): \$500 Total = \$500

Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation: 4.25 Total = \$9,664

Reclamation management and/or administration: 5.00 \$11,369

CONTINGENCY: 0.00 Total = \$0

TOTAL INDIRECT COST = \$53,579

TOTAL BOND AMOUNT (direct + indirect) = \$248,913

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description	: Push	down highwalls			
: Ranch Land	Rock Pit #2	Permit Action:	2025 Insp	Permit/Job#:	M2003021
PROJECT ID	ENTIFICATIO	<u>ON</u>			
Task #: 10 Date: 8/: User: JL	5/2025	State: Colorado County: Fremont		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M021-101
Agency	or organization i	name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQ	UIPMENT CO	<u>ost</u>			
Basic Machin Horsepowe Blade Typ Attachmen Shift Bas	er: 405 ee: Semi-Unive nt: NA ss: 1 per day				
Data Source Cost Breakdown			<u> </u>		
		Ф 275 01	Utilization %		
Ownership Cos Operating Cos		\$275.01 \$161.84	NA 100		
Ripper own. Cos		\$0.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cos		\$0.00	0		
Operator Cos	st/Hour:	\$38.02	NA		
Initial Volume Swell factor Loose volume	: 13,267 : 1.000				
Source of estima		1,120 feet of highwal Cat Handbook	1, ~30 ft high (see Task A	A03.1)	
HOURLY PR	ODUCTION				
Average push di Unadjusted hour		75 feet 1,514.3 LCY/hr			
Materials consis	tency description:	Loose stockpile 1.2			
Average push gr Average site alti		feet			
Material weight:	2,900	lbs/LCY			
Weight descripti	on: Decon	nposed rock - 50% Rock	, 50% Earth		
	orrection Factor Operator Skill:	0.750	Source (AVG.)		
Materia	l consistency:	1.200	(CAT HB)		
D	ozing method:	1.200	(SLOT)		
	Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)		

Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.225	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.6966

Adjusted unit production: 1,054.86 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production: 1054.86 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size: 1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost: \$0.450/LCY

Total job time: 12.58 Hours
Total job cost: \$5,972

TRUCK/LOADER TEAM WORK

Task description:	Replace	Topsoil				
Site: Ranch Land Ro	ck Pit #2	Permit Action	on: 2025 Insp	<u> </u>	Permit/Job#: M	2003021
PROJECT IDEN	NTIFICATION					
Task #: 301		State: Colora	ado	Ab	breviation: No	ne
Date: 8/5/20	025	County: Fremo	nt		Filename: M0	21-301
User: <u>JLC</u>						
Agency or	organization nan	ne: DRMS				
HOURLY EQUI	PMENT COST	_			is: 1 per day	
	Fruck Loader Tea		Equipment Descri teric 12-18 cy, 6x4			
-	Truck Loader Tea		Г 980Н	<u> </u>		
Supp	ort Equipment -L	oad Area: NA				
D 114		imp Area: NA				
Koad IV	Iaintenance –Mote Wa-	or Grader: NA ter Truck: NA				
		1111				
Cost Breakdown:	Truck/Loa			Equipment		ce Equipment
	Truck	Loader	Load Area	Dump Area	Motor Grader	Water Truck
%Utilization-machine:	100	85	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ownership cost/hour:	\$28.52	\$69.91	NA	NA	NA	NA
Operating cost/hour:	\$58.59	\$53.75	NA	NA	NA	NA
%Utilization-riper:	NA	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ripper own. cost/hour:	NA	\$0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ripper op. cost/hour:	NA	\$0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
Operator cost/hour:	\$24.86	\$59.52	NA	NA	NA	NA
Unit Subtotals:	\$111.97	\$183.18	NA	NA	NA	NA
Number of Units:	2	1	0	0	0	0
Group Subtotals:	Work:	\$407.12	Support:	\$0.00	Maint:	\$0.00
Total work team co	st/hour: \$407.12					
MATERIAL QU	ANTITIES					
Initial volume		CCY		factor: 1.215		
Loose volume	39,20	4 LCY				
	ource of estimated			2003 - original app	o. (6" over 40Ac.)	1
Source	of estimated swe		Handbook			
	Material Purch	ase Cost: \$0.00 otal Cost: \$0.00				
	10	παι σοσιφο.σο	,			
HOURLY PRO	DUCTION					
Truck Capacity:						
Truck Payload (wei						
Material v		·1	Pounds/LCY			
Desci Rated Pa	ription: Top So ayload: 50,300		Pounds			
Payload Ca			LCY			

<u>Γruck Bed (volume) Basis</u> Struck Volume:	12.0	00 Lo	CY				
Heaped Volume:	18.0	00 Lo	CY				
Average Volume:	15.0	00 Lo	CY				
Adjusted Volume:	18.0	00 Lo	CY				
F;	al Truck	Voluma P	ased on Number o	f Landar Dassas	12.75	LCY	
Loading Tool Capacity	ai iiuck	volume B	ased on Number o	1 Loader 1 asses.	12.73	LC1	
Loading 1001 Capacity				Buck	et Size Class: N	JA	
Rated Capacity:	,	7.500	LCY (heaped)	Buen			_
Bucket Fill Factor:		0.850		ny (80% - 90%) 0.8	350		_
Adjusted Capacity:		6.375	LCY	., (_
Job Condition Correctio	ıs:_		S	ite Altitude (ft.): <u>5</u>	350 feet		
	— True	ck	Loader	Source			
Altitude Adj:	1.00		1.000	(CAT HB)		
Job Efficiency:	0.83		0.830	(CAT HB	/		
N C.	0.02	10	0.020				
Net Correction:	0.83	50	0.830				
		Number o	of Loading Tool Pa	asses Required to I	Fill Truck:	p	oasse
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu	vels: vs. Job e within	Condition I this Basic I	Rating: NA NA NA	usses Required to I	Fill Truck:		passe
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders	vels: vs. Job within Mater	Condition I this Basic I	Rating: NA NA NA	usses Required to I	Fill Truck:	<u>2</u> p	oasse:
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders	vels: vs. Job within Mater	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript	Rating: NA NA NA	asses Required to I	Fill Truck: Dump:0.100		oasse
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min	vels: vs. Job within Materi	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar	Rating: NA Rating: NA		Dump: 0.100		
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min	vels: vs. Job e within Mater :): s - Unad	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar	Rating: NA Rating: NA		Dump: 0.100	0	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader	vels: vs. Job within Mater :): s - Unad	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA neuver: NA c Loader Cycle Ti		Dump: 0.100	0 0.550 minu	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor	vels: vs. Job e within Mater .): s - Unad	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA neuver: NA c Loader Cycle Ti	me (load, dump, n	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.)	0 0.550 minu Source	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor Material	vels: vs. Job e within Mater .): s - Unad e Mixe E Mixe E Con	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA c Loader Cycle Ti 0.02	me (load, dump, n	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.020	0.550 minu Source (Cat HB)	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor Materia Stockpile Truck Ownership Operation	vels: vs. Job e within Material : Mixe : Con : Con : Con	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic ed material veyor or do amon owner stant operat	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA c Loader Cycle Ti 0.02 vzer piled 10 ft. hig rship of trucks and tion -0.04	me (load, dump, n	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.020 0.000 -0.040 -0.040	0.550 minu Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB)	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor Material Stockpile Truck Ownership	vels: vs. Job e within Mater .): s - Unad Mixe Con Con Cons	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic ed material veyor or do	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA c Loader Cycle Ti 0.02 tion of trucks and tion -0.04 0.00	me (load, dump, n	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.020 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000	0.550 minu Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB)	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor Materia Stockpile Truck Ownership Operation	vels: vs. Job e within Mater .): s - Unad Mixe Con Con Cons	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic ed material veyor or do amon owner stant operat	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA c Loader Cycle Ti 0.02 tzer piled 10 ft. high rship of trucks and tion -0.04 0.00 Net Cycle Tir	me (load, dump, ngh and up 0.00 l loaders -0.04 loaders -0.04	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.020 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.060	0.550 minu Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) minutes	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor Materia Stockpile Truck Ownership Operation	vels: vs. Job e within Mater .): s - Unad Mixe Con Con Cons	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic ed material veyor or do amon owner stant operat	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA c Loader Cycle Ti 0.02 vzer piled 10 ft. high rship of trucks and tion -0.04 0.00 Net Cycle Tir Adjusted Load	me (load, dump, n gh and up 0.00 I loaders -0.04 me Adjustment: ler Cycle Time:	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.020 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.060 0.490	Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) minutes minutes	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor Materia Stockpile Truck Ownership Operation	vels: vs. Job e within Mater .): s - Unad Mixe Con Con Cons	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic ed material veyor or do amon owner stant operat	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA c Loader Cycle Ti 0.02 vzer piled 10 ft. high rship of trucks and tion -0.04 0.00 Net Cycle Tir Adjusted Load	me (load, dump, ngh and up 0.00 l loaders -0.04 loaders -0.04	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.020 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.060	0.550 minu Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) minutes	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor Material Stockpile Truck Ownership Operatior Dump Targer	vels: vs. Job e within Mater .): s - Unad Mixe Con Con Cons	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic ed material veyor or do amon owner stant operat	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA c Loader Cycle Ti 0.02 vzer piled 10 ft. high rship of trucks and tion -0.04 0.00 Net Cycle Tir Adjusted Load	me (load, dump, n gh and up 0.00 I loaders -0.04 me Adjustment: ler Cycle Time:	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.020 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.060 0.490	Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) minutes minutes	
Selected Value Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor Materia Stockpile Truck Ownership Operation Dump Target	vels: vs. Job e within Material: s - Unades Mixes Com Com Nom	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic ed material veyor or do amon owner stant operat	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA c Loader Cycle Ti 0.02 vzer piled 10 ft. high rship of trucks and tion -0.04 0.00 Net Cycle Tir Adjusted Load	me (load, dump, n gh and up 0.00 d loaders -0.04 me Adjustment: ler Cycle Time:	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.020 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.060 0.490	Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) minutes minutes	
Excavators and Front Sho Machine Cycle Time Selected Valu Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min Load: NA Wheel and Track Loader Cycle Time Factor Material Stockpile Truck Ownership Operation Dump Targer	vels: vs. Job e within Mater .): s - Unad Com Com Nom	Condition I this Basic I ial Descript Mar justed Basic ed material veyor or do mon owner stant operat ninal target	Rating: NA Rating: NA tion: NA tion: NA c Loader Cycle Ti 0.02 tzer piled 10 ft. hig rship of trucks and tion -0.04 0.00 Net Cycle Tir Adjusted Load Net Load T	me (load, dump, ngh and up 0.00 loaders -0.04 loaders -0.04 ler Cycle Time:	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.020 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.060 0.490 0.590	Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) minutes minutes minutes	

<u>Truck Travel (Haul & Return) Time:</u> Road Condition: <u>Rutted dirt, little maintenance, no water, 2" tire penetration 5.0</u>

Haul Route:

Seg#	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	500.00	1.00	5.00	6.00	1855	0.349

Haul Time: 0.349 minutes Return Route: Grade (%) Roll. Res Total Res Velocity Travel Seg# Haul Distance Time (Ft) (%) (%) (fpm) (min) 5.00 4.00 500.00 -1.00 2849 0.211

Return Time: 0.211 minutes
Total Truck Cycle Time: 2.550 minutes

Loading Tool unit

Production 701.83 LCY/Hour Adjusted for job efficiency: 582.52 LCY/Hour Truck Unit Production 300.00 LCY/Hour Adjusted for job efficiency: 249.00 LCY/Hour

Optimal No. of Trucks: 2 Truck(s) Selected Number of Trucks: 2 Truck(s)

Adjusted hourly truck team production: 498.00 LCY/Hour Adjusted single truck/loader team production: 498.00 LCY/Hour Adjusted multiple truck/loader team production: 498.00 LCY/Hour

JOB TIME AND COST

 Fleet size:
 1
 Team(s)
 Total job time:
 78.72
 Hours

 Unit cost:
 \$0.818
 /LCY
 Total job cost:
 \$32,050

BULLDOZER WORK

Task descr	iption:	Grad	le Topsoil				
: Ranch I	Land Roo	ck Pit #2	Per	mit Action:	2025 Insp	Permit/Job#:	M2003021
PROJEC	T IDEN	TIFICATION	<u>ON</u>				
Task #:	302		State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date:	8/5/20)25	County:	Fremont		Filename:	M021-302
User:	JLC						
A	gency or	organization	name: DI	RMS			
HOURLY	Y EQUI	PMENT CO	<u>DST</u>				
Basic M	[achine:	Cat D9T - 9	SU				
	epower:	405					
	e Type:	Semi-Unive	ersal				
Attac	chment:	NA					
Shif	t Basis:	1 per day					
Data	Source:	(CRG)					
Cost Break	down:						
COSt Break	. uo wii				Utilization %		
Ownershi	p Cost/H	our:		\$275.01	NA		
Operatin				\$161.84	100		
Ripper own				\$0.00	NA		
Ripper of				\$0.00	0		
Operato	r Cost/H	our:		\$38.02	NA		
Total unit (-					
Initial Vo	olume:	8,000 1.000					
Loose vo	_	8,000 LCY					
Source of 6		volume: swell factor:	Calculati Cat Hand	on - original lbook	application		
HOURLY	Y PROE	<u>UCTION</u>					
Average pu			200 feet				
Unadjusted	i hourly p	production: _	700.0 LCY	/hr			
Materials c	onsisten	cy description	Loose	stockpile 1.2			
Average pu Average si			feet				
Material w	eight:	_1,600	lbs/LCY				
Weight des	scription:	Top S	oil				
Job Condit		ection Factor			Source		
		rator Skill: _		.750	(AVG.)		
M		onsistency: _		.200	(CAT HB)		
	Dozii	ng method:		.200	(SLOT)		
		Visibility:	1.	.000	(AVG.)		

Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	1.438	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 1.0312

Adjusted unit production: 721.84 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production: 721.84 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size: 1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost: \$0.658/LCY

Total job time: 11.08 Hours
Total job cost: \$5,263

REVEGETATION WORK

Task description:	Reseed Disturbed 40	acre phase				
: Ranch Land Rock P	Pit #2 Permit A	Permit Action: 2025 Insp Permit/Job#:		M2003021		
PROJECT IDENTIF	<u>ICATION</u>					
Task #: 401 Date: 8/5/2025 User: JLC Agency or orga		orado mont				None M021-401
FERTILIZING						
Materials			T		Ţ	
Description		Units / Acre	Unit	Cost	/ Unit	Cost /Acre
				\$		\$
				Tota	al Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$0.00
Application					1	
Description						Cost /Acre
						\$
		Total	Fertilizer	Application	n Cost/Acre	\$0.00
<u> TILLING</u>						
Description						Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DMe	G}					\$109.95
			7	Total Tilling	g Cost/Acre	\$109.95
SEEDING						
Seed Mix				Rate – PLS LBS /	Seeds per SQ.	Cost /Acre

Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
0.60	9.79	\$17.60
1.25	4.05	\$22.04
1.00	5.97	\$13.91
2.25	7.39	\$55.65
1.10	3.89	\$9.18
1.50	3.96	\$125.06
0.50	0.00	\$27.33
0.50	0.69	\$10.17
1.00	0.47	\$46.21
	PLS LBS / Acre 0.60 1.25 1.00 2.25 1.10 1.50 0.50	PLS LBS / Acre 0.60 9.79 1.25 4.05 1.00 5.97 2.25 7.39 1.10 3.89 1.50 3.96 0.50 0.00 0.50 0.69

\$472.00

\$472.00

	Totals Seed Mix	9.70	36.20	\$327.14
Application				
Description				Cost /Acre

Total Seed Application Cost/Acre

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Drill seeding (MEANS 32 92 19.13 0020)

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered	1.00	TON	\$504.56	\$504.56
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$504.56

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$239.35
Power mulcher (MEANS 32 91 13.16 0350)		\$141.57
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$380.92

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
	\$0.00				

JOB TIME AND COST

 No. of Acres:
 40
 Cost /Acre:
 \$1,794.57

 Estimated Failure Rate:
 25%
 Cost /Acre*:
 \$1,794.57

*Selected Replanting Work Items: __TILLING,SEEDING,MULCHING

Initial Job Cost: \$71,782.80

Reseeding Job Cost: \$17,945.70

Total Job Cost: Job Hours: \$40.00

REVEGETATION WORK

Task description: Res	seed 25% of Phase 1	Area				
Ranch Land Rock Pit #2	Permit Action: 2025 Insp Permit/Job#:			: M2003021		
PROJECT IDENTIFICATI Task #: 402 Date: 8/5/2025	State: Colo County: Frem					None M021-402
User: <u>JLC</u> Agency or organization	n name: DRMS					
<u>ERTILIZING</u>						
Description		Units / Acre	Unit	Cost	t / Unit	Cost /Acre
				\$		\$
				Tota	al Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$0.00
pplication Description						Cost /Acre
		Total	Fertilizer	Application	n Cost/Acre	\$0.00
ILLING						
Description						Cost /Acre
						\$
			ר	Fotal Tilling	g Cost/Acre	\$0.00
EEDING						
Seed Mix				Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Grama - Hachita				0.60	9.79	\$17.60
Indian Ricegrass - Nespar				1.25	4.05	\$22.04

Little Bluestem - Camper

Thickspike Wheatgrass - Critana

Sideoats Grama - Butte

Needle and Thread

Saltbush, Four Wing

Sumac, Skunkbrush

Rose, Wood's

\$13.91

\$55.65

\$9.18

\$125.06

\$27.33

\$10.17

\$46.21

1.00

2.25

1.10

1.50

0.50

0.50

1.00

5.97

7.39

3.89

3.96

0.00

0.69

0.47

	Totals Seed Mix	9.70	36.20	\$327.14
Application				

Description	Cost /Acre
Drill seeding (MEANS 32 92 19.13 0020)	\$472.00
Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$472.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered	1.00	TON	\$504.56	\$504.56
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$504.56

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$239.35
Power mulcher (MEANS 32 91 13.16 0350)		\$141.57
Т	otal Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$380.92

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre					\$0.00

JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres: 2.8 Cost /Acre: \$1,684.62 Estimated Failure Rate: 25% Cost /Acre*: \$1,684.62

*Selected Replanting Work Items: SEEDING,MULCHING

Initial Job Cost: **\$4,716.94** Reseeding Job Cost: \$1,179.23 Total Job Cost: \$5,896 Job Hours: **3.00**

REVEGETATION WORK

Task description:	Reseed Disturbed P	hase 3 Area				
Ranch Land Rock	Pit #2 Permit	Action: 2025	Insp		Permit/Job#:	M2003021
PROJECT IDENTIF	FICATION					
Task #: 403 Date: 8/5/2025 User: JLC	County: F	olorado remont				None M021-403
Agency or org	anization name: <u>DRMS</u>	8				
<u>ERTILIZING</u>						
Materials Description		Units / Acre	Unit	Cost	:/Unit	Cost /Acre
-				\$		\$
				Tota	al Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$0.00
pplication						
Description						Cost /Acre
		Total	Fertilizer A	Application	ı Cost/Acre	\$0.00
TILLING						
Description						Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DM	<u>.G}</u>					\$109.95
			T	otal Tilling	g Cost/Acre	\$109.95
EEDING						
Seed Mix				Rate – PLS LBS /	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre

Seed Mix	PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Grama - Hachita	0.60	9.79	\$17.60
Indian Ricegrass - Nespar	1.25	4.05	\$22.04
Little Bluestem - Camper	1.00	5.97	\$13.91
Sideoats Grama - Butte	2.25	7.39	\$55.65
Thickspike Wheatgrass - Critana	1.10	3.89	\$9.18
Needle and Thread	1.50	3.96	\$125.06
Rose, Wood's	0.50	0.00	\$27.33
Saltbush, Four Wing	0.50	0.69	\$10.17
Sumac, Skunkbrush	1.00	0.47	\$46.21

	Totals Seed Mix	9.70	36.20	\$327.14
Application				

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill seeding (MEANS 32 92 19.13 0020)		\$472.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$472.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered	1.00	TON	\$504.56	\$504.56
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$504.56

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$239.35
Power mulcher (MEANS 32 91 13.16 0350)		\$141.57
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$380.92

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
		Totals	Nursery Stoc	ek Cost / Acre	\$0.00

JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres: 22.2 Cost /Acre: \$1,794.57 Cost /Acre*: \$1,794.57

Estimated Failure Rate: 25%

*Selected Replanting Work Items: __TILLING,SEEDING,MULCHING

Initial Job Cost: \$39,839.45 Reseeding Job Cost: \$9,959.86
Total Job Cost: \$49,799 Job Hours: **23.00**

None

Filename: M021-501

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Colorado

Fremont

Site:	Ranch Land Rock Pit #2	Permit Action:	2025 Insp	Permit/Job#:	M2003021
<u>P</u>	ROJECT IDENTIFICATION				

Date: 8/5/2025 User: JLC

501

Agency or organization name: DRMS

EQUIPMENT TRANSPORT RIG COST

Shift basis: 1 per day
Cost Data Source: CRG Data

Abbreviation:

Truck Tractor Description: GENERIC ON-HIGHWAY TRUCK TRACTOR, 6X4, DIESEL POWERED,

400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006)

Truck Trailer Description: GENERIC FOLDING GOOSENECK, DROP DECK EQUIPMENT

TRAILER (25T, 50T, AND 100T)

Cost Breakdown:

Task description:

Task #:

Available Rig Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51+ Tons
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$21.47	\$38.32	\$48.96
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$31.47	\$60.11	\$65.86
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$22.52	\$22.52	\$22.52
Helper Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$22.25	\$22.25
Total Unit Cost/Hour:	\$75.46	\$143.20	\$159.59

Mob/demob equipment

State:

County:

NON ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return Trip	DOT Permit
Description	Unit	Cost/hr/ unit	Cost/hr/uni	Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/ fleet
	(TONS)		t		fleet		
Cat D9T - 9SU	60.01	\$275.01	\$159.59	1	\$434.60	\$159.59	\$250.00
CAT 980H	33.12	\$69.91	\$143.20	1	\$213.11	\$143.20	\$250.00
Drill/Broadcast	25.00	\$5.99	\$75.46	2	\$162.90	\$150.92	\$250.00
Seeder with							
Tractor							
Power Mulcher	6.00	\$29.91	\$75.46	1	\$105.37	\$75.46	\$250.00
(Bowie LD-90)							

Subtotals: \$915.98 \$529.17 \$1,000.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Generic 12-18 cy, 6x4	\$111.97	2	\$223.94	\$223.94
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 1 T.	\$113.83	1	\$113.83	\$113.83
Crew				

Subtotals: \$337.77 \$337.77

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:

Total one-way travel distance:

Average Travel Speed:

CAÑON CITY

miles

45.00

mph

Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *
 '* two round trips with haul rig:
 Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost **
 ** one round trip, no haul rig:

\$6,325.63

\$300.24

<u>Transportation Cycle Time:</u>

	Non-	
	Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.44	0.44
Return Time (Hours):	0.44	0.44
Loading Time (Hours):	0.83	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.83	NA
Subtotals:	2.55	0.89

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time:	5.10	Hours
Total job cost:	\$6,626	