

April 4, 2024

Mike Langston Langston Concrete, Inc. 902 South Union Street P.O. Box 279 Florence, CO 81226

RE: Florence Sand & Gravel Pit – File No. M-1992-051; Amendment (AM-1); Adequacy Review 2

Dear Mr. Langston,

On October 8, 2024, the Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety (Division/DRMS) began the technical review of the amendment application (AM-1) for the Florence Sand & Gravel Pit, Permit No. M-1992-051, requesting to add 4.92 acres to the permit area, revised the mining plan to include a wash plant, and increasing the maximum disturbed area at any one time to 70 acres. The responses to the preliminary adequacy review and adequacy review 1b were received by the Division on April 1, 2025. After reviewing the responses, the following items remain unresolved or require further clarification. Please provide the following requested information and any supplemental documents to address the identified deficiencies.

Rule 6.4.3 Exhibit C – Pre-mining and Mining Plan Map(s) of Affected Lands

- 1. Rule 6.4.3(a) requires that a map show adjoining surface owners of record. Maps titled "Exhibit B-2" and "Exhibit B-3 Exhibit C-1" both show the adjoining parcels with parcel numbers. Provide owner names for the parcels.
- 2. Maps submitted in response to the adequacy review do not depict locations of buildings located north of the affected land within two hundred (200) feet of the permit boundary or the location of the Minnequa Canal. Identify the locations of each building and the Minnequa Canal in accordance with Rule 6.4.3(b).
- 3. Provide the names of the owners of the buildings located and the Minnequa Canal located within two hundred (200) feet of the affected land, per Rule 6.4.3(g).

Rule 6.4.5 Exhibit E – Reclamation Plan

4. The Division understands and acknowledges that revegetating the site will not be necessary given the post-mined land use of industrial/commercial. However, it is still the responsibility of the permittee to monitor and manage any noxious weeds on the affected area in accordance with Rule 3.1.10(6). Provide a weed management plan in Exhibit E –



April 4, 2025 Mike Langston Langston Concrete, Inc. Page **2** of **4**

Reclamation Plan, per Rule 3.1.10(6) and Rule 6.4.5(2)(c).

Rule 6.4.6 Exhibit F – Reclamation Plan Map

- 5. The map titled "Exhibit F Reclamation" does not show the final land use for the affected lands. Please include information about the post mine land use of the affected lands, per Rule 6.4.6(b).
- 6. The map titled "Exhibit F Reclamation" shows the breakdown of the current areas and their associated acreages, please remove these labels from the map in order to prevent confusion as they do not pertain to what the lands are expected to be upon completion of reclamation activities. Additionally, the pond area and wash plant area are also shown on this map. It is clear that the cement slabs and walled areas associated with the wash plant will remain in place after mining and reclamation; is it the intention to leave the wash plant and the settling pond as well?

Rule 6.4.7 Exhibit G – Water Information

- 7. The location of the Minnequa Canal is not clearly given on the maps titled "Exhibit C Pre Mining" and "Exhibit C-2 Mining Plan" submitted in the adequacy response. Please submit updated maps to include the location of the Minnequa Canal per Rule 6.4.7(2)(a), in addition to Rule 6.4.3(b) given above in item #2.
- 8. According to the Water Lease Agreement A-373 submitted with the application, the Applicant has access to 77 shares of water from the Union Ditch. It is stated that the operation will require a total of 1 million gallons for each month of operation. Will the 77 water rights allocated in the water lease be sufficient for the operational needs? If not, it is stated that the secondary water source for the operation is the City Water District; how much water is anticipated to be used from this water source?

Rule 6.4.12 Exhibit L – Reclamation Costs

9. The current bond held by the Division for the financial warranty is \$38,100.00. In Exhibit L: Reclamation Costs, within the AM-1 application, an additional amount of \$5,840.40 is proposed to be added to the required financial warranty to account for the additional 5 acres. The reclamation cost estimate (RCE) was calculated by the Division using the information provided in the AM-1 application. The RCE was calculated based on the average highwall height of 42 feet at a length of 2,000 feet. The reclamation acreage and current mine operation acreage (sourced from submitted maps) are considered for the calculation of spreading 6 inches of material for "plating" the affected areas. Additionally, weed management is being considered over the total 70 acres of the maximum disturbed area. The Division's calculated RCE does not account for any

April 4, 2025 Mike Langston Langston Concrete, Inc. Page **3** of **4**

reclamation tasks that would be associated with reclaiming the settling pond. Please be advised, that the purpose of the RCE is to estimate the State's cost for performing reclamation of the site based on the worst-case scenario of the proposed disturbance of the mining activities. According to the Division's RCE, the required Financial Warranty is \$146,309.00. Details of the calculated RCE are attached with this letter. In the case that the settling pond will need to be backfilled and reclaimed, the attached RCE will not be sufficient to account for the required financial warranty. Please provide information regarding the provided estimation of an addition \$5,840.40, information for reclamation if the Division's RCE.

Rule 6.4.19 Exhibit S – Permanent Man-made Structures

- 10. In accordance with Rule 6.4.19 *et seq.*, structure agreements should be provided with owners of permanent man-made structures that are within 200 feet of the permit boundary or an engineer evaluation demonstrating that such structures shall not be damaged as a result of the mining operation. The submitted application and subsequent response point to the permit file for the existing agreements. After a review of the permit file and the landowners of record with Fremont County, not all of the required documentation could be located in the permit file. It appears this requirement should include documentation for structures (buildings, fences, etc.) on lands owned by the following individuals. Please resubmit the structure agreements and/or the engineering evaluation for these structures.
 - a. Marinac, Donna Marie
 - b. Shipman, Joshua Jay
 - c. Saunders, Toni D.
 - d. Plouvier, Gregory R. & Janie M.
 - e. Banta, Constantine A.
 - f. Padilla, Cymon J.
 - g. Meigs, Stephen

This concludes the Division's second adequacy review of the AM-1 application. The Division reserves the right to further supplement this document with additional adequacy items and /or details necessary.

The decision date for the AM-1 application is April 6, 2025. At that time, if the issues identified are not resolved to the satisfaction of the Division, the application may be denied. Please respond with sufficient time to allow the Division to completely review the submitted responses to the above items. If additional time is needed, please submit an extension request in writing to the Division prior to the decision date.

April 4, 2025 Mike Langston Langston Concrete, Inc. Page 4 of 4

If you have any questions or concerns, I can be reached by email at <u>Jocelyn.carter@state.co.us</u> or by phone at (720)666-1065.

Sincerely,

Clowit the

Jocelyn Carter Environmental Protection Specialist

Ec: Amy Eschberger, DRMS Zac Langston, Langston Concrete, Inc.

Enclosures: Division's RCE

COST SUMMARY WORK

Ta	ask description:	Cost Summary					
Site:	Florence Sand & Grav	vel Pit Per	mit Action:	2025 AM1		Permit/Job	#: <u>M1992051</u>
<u>PR</u>	OJECT IDENTIFIC	CATION					
	Task #: 000 Date: 4/4/2025 User: JLC	County:	Colorado Fremont		/	Abbreviation: Filename:	None M051-000
	Agency or organiz	zation name:DR	MS				
TA	<u>SK LIST (DIRECT (</u>	<u>COSTS)</u>					
Task	Description			Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost
001	Push Down Highwall	s, 2,000' length, 42'	Height	DOZER	4	11.05	\$11,280
002	Rough Grading/Platin	ng 6"		DOZER	4	78.67	\$80,287
003	Grading 70 Acres			GRADER	2	11.23	\$8,201
004	Weed Management			REVEGE	1	26.00	\$0
005	Mob/Demob Equipme	ent		MOBILIZE	1	6.28	\$13,598

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$2,290
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$1,190
Job superintendent:	66.62	Total =	\$5,281
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$11,337
		TOTAL O & P =	\$20,098
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) =	\$133,464

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation: Reclamation management and/or administration:	\$500 4.25 5.00	Total =	\$500 \$5,672 \$6,673
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
		TOTAL INDIRECT COST =	\$32,943
			0146 300

TOTAL BOND AMOUNT (direct + indirect) = <u>\$146,309</u>

Task # 001

Page 1 of 2

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Push	Down Highwalls, 2,000	' length, 42' Height		
Florence Sand &	Gravel Pit	Permit Action:	2025 AM1	Permit/Job#:	M1992051
PROJECT IDENT	FIFICATIO	DN			
Task #: 001		State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: $\frac{4}{4}/202$	25	County: Fremont		Filename:	M051-001
User: JLC		J			
Agency or c	organization	name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIP	MENT CO	<u>INST</u>			
Basic Machine:	Cat D7R DS	Series II LGP			
Horsepower:	240				
Blade Type:	Straight				
Attachment:	3-shank ripp	ber			
Shift Basis:	1 per day				
Data Source:	(CRG)				
Cost Breakdown:					
COSt DICARGOWII.			Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Ho	ur:	\$114.76	NA		
Operating Cost/Ho		\$91.98	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Ho		\$9.06	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Ho		\$0.75	15		
Operator Cost/Ho	ur:	\$38.59	NA		
MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume:	<u>NTTTIES</u> 22,969				
	1.125				
Loose volume:	25,840 LCY				
Source of estimated v	volume:	AM1 Application, 20 Slope	00' Highwall @ Ave 42	?' height, 2:1	
Source of estimated s	well factor:	Cat Handbook			
HOURLY PRODU	UCTION				
Average push distance		75 feet			
Unadjusted hourly pr					
	oduction:	594.6 LCY/hr			
Materials consistency	_		pile 1.0		
Materials consistency Average push gradier Average site altitude:	description:	Consolidated stock	pile 1.0		
Average push gradier	/ description: nt: <u>-25 %</u> <u>5,250</u>	Consolidated stock	pile 1.0		
Average push gradier Average site altitude:	$\frac{-25\%}{5,250}$	Consolidated stock			
Average push gradier Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correct		<u>Consolidated stock</u> feet lbs/LCY	, 75% Earth		
Average push gradier Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correct Opera		Consolidated stock feet lbs/LCY nposed rock - 25% Rock 0.750	, 75% Earth Source (AVG.)		
Average push gradier Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correc Opera Material cor		Consolidated stock	, 75% Earth		

Task # 001

Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	1.000	(DOZ-OC)
Push gradient:	1.516	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.868	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Adjusted unit production:	584.49 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	2337.96 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	4 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.437/LCY

Total job time:	11.05 Hours
Total job cost:	\$11,280

BULLDOZER WORK

		ough Grading	i iating v			
Florence Sand &	Gravel P	it Per	mit Action:	2025 AM1	Permit/Job#:	M1992051
PROJECT IDEN	TIFICA	ΓΙΟΝ				
Task #: 002		State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 4/4/202	25	County:	Fremont		Filename:	M051-002
User: JLC		_				
Agency or o	organizati	on name: D	RMS			
HOURLY EQUIP	PMENT	<u>COST</u>				
Basic Machine:	Cat D7R	DS Series II L	.GP			
Horsepower:	240					
Blade Type:	Straight					
Attachment: _ Shift Basis:	3-shank 1 per day					
Data Source:	(CRG)	/				
Cost Breakdown:						
				Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Ho			\$114.76	NA		
Operating Cost/Ho Ripper own. Cost/Ho			\$91.98 \$9.06	100 NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hc			\$9.00	15		
Operator Cost/Ho			\$38.59	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour		5 14				
Total unit Cost/Hour Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA	ır: \$1 ,	55.14 020.55				
Total Fleet Cost/Hou	ır: <u>\$1,</u>	020.55				
Total Fleet Cost/Hou <u>MATERIAL QUA</u> Initial Volume:	ır: \$1 ,	020.55				
Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor:	ır: §1, ANTITIE 29,152	020.55				
Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor:	nr: \$1, ANTITIF 29,152 1.250 36,440 LC volume:	020.55 ES CY 	 plication 36. dbook	1 Acres		
Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated v	r: \$1, ANTITIE 29,152 1.250 36,440 LC volume: swell factor	020.55 ES CY Dr: <u>AM1 Ap</u> Cat Hand		 1 Acres		
Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated of Source of estimated of	r: §1 , ANTITIE 29,152 1.250 36,440 LC volume: swell facto UCTION ce:	020.55 <u>ES</u> <u>CY</u> <u>AM1 Ap</u> or: <u>Cat Hanc</u> <u>400 feet</u>	dbook	1 Acres		
Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated y Source of estimated y Source of estimated y Average push distance	r: §1 , ANTITIF <u>29,152</u> <u>1.250</u> 36,440 LC volume: swell factor <u>UCTION</u> ce: roduction:	020.55 <u>ES</u> <u>CY</u> <u>AM1 Ap</u> <u>CY</u> <u>Cat Hanc</u> <u>400 feet</u> <u>180.4 LCY</u>	dbook //hr	1 Acres		
Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated source of estimated so	r: §1 , ANTITIH 29,152 1.250 36,440 LC volume: swell facto UCTION ce: roduction: y descripti nt: <u>0 %</u>	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{020.55} \\ \hline \textbf{CY} \\ \hline \textbf{CY} \\ \hline \textbf{CY} \\ \hline \textbf{CY} \\ \hline \textbf{Cat Hand} \\ \hline \textbf{Cat Hand} \\ \hline \textbf{M} \hline \hline \textbf{M} \hline \hline \textbf{M} \hline $	dbook //hr			
Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated y Source of estimated y Average push distand Unadjusted hourly pu Materials consistency Average push gradier	r: $$1$, ANTITIE 29,152 1.250 36,440 LC volume: swell facto UCTION ce: roduction: y descripti nt: $0 %$: $5,2$	$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{020.55} \\ \underline{\textbf{CS}} \\ \underline{\textbf{CY}} \\ \underline{\textbf{CY}} \\ \underline{\textbf{CY}} \\ \underline{\textbf{CY}} \\ \underline{\textbf{Cat Hand}} \\ \underline{\textbf{Cat Hand}} \\ \underline{\textbf{M}} \\ \underline{\textbf{400 feet}} \\ \underline{\textbf{180.4 LCY}} \\ \underline{\textbf{fon:} \\ \underline{\textbf{Partly}}} \\ \underline{\textbf{6}} \\ \end{array} $	dbook //hr			
Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated y Source of estimated y Source of estimated y Materials consistency Average push gradie: Average push gradie: Average site altitude	x: \$1, $\underline{29,152}$ 1.250 $\underline{36,440}$ \underline{LC} volume: swell factor swell factor \underline{UCTION} cce: roduction: y description $\underline{0, 9}$: $\underline{5,2}$ $\underline{2,6}$	020.55 <u>ES</u> <u>AM1 Ap</u> <u>CY</u> <u>CY</u> <u>Cat Hand</u> <u>400 feet</u> <u>180.4 LCY</u> <u>40 feet</u>	dbook //hr consolidated	stockpile 1.1		
Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated y Source of estimated y Source of estimated y Materials consistency Average push distand Unadjusted hourly pu Materials consistency Average push gradie: Average site altitude Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correct	r: $$1$, ANTITIE 29,152 1.250 36,440 LC volume: swell facto UCTION ce: roduction: y descripti nt: $0 %$: $5,2$ 2,6 Dec ction Facto	020.55 2S CY AM1 Ap CY Cat Hand A00 feet 180.4 LCY ion: Partly 6 40 feet 50 lbs/LCY composed rock or	dbook //hr consolidated 			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated of Source of estimated of Source of estimated of MOURLY PROD Average push distand Unadjusted hourly pro- Materials consistency Average push gradie: Average site altitude Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correct Operation	r: $$1$, ANTITIE 29,152 1.250 36,440 LC volume: swell factor UCTION ce: roduction: y descripti nt: 0 % : 2,6 Dec ction Factor ator Skill:	020.55 2S CY CY CY CAM1 Ap Cat Hand Cat Hand A00 feet 180.4 LCY ion: Partly 6 40 feet 50 lbs/LCY composed rock Dr 0	dbook //hr consolidated x - 25% Rock			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour MATERIAL QUA Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated wight: Materials consistency Average push distand Unadjusted hourly pu Materials consistency Average site altitude Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correct Opera Material con	r: $$1$, ANTITIE 29,152 1.250 36,440 LC volume: swell factor UCTION ce: roduction: y descripti nt: 0 % : 2,6 Dec ction Factor ator Skill:	020.55 ES CY AM1 Ap Cat Hand 400 feet 180.4 LCY ion: Partly 6 40 feet 50 lbs/LCY composed rock 0 1	dbook //hr consolidated 			

Job efficient	ey: 0.	.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pi	le: 0	.900	(SSD-FC)
Push gradie	nt: 1	.000	(CAT HB)
Altitud	le: 1	.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weig	nt: 0	.868	(CAT HB)
Blade typ	be: 1	.000	(PAT)
Net correction	n: 0.6419		
Adjusted unit production:	115.80 LCY/hr		
Adjusted fleet production:	463.2 LCY/hr		

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	4 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$2.203/LCY

Total job time:	78.67 Hours
Total job cost:	\$80,287

MOTOR GRADER WORK

Task description:	Grading 70 Acres			
Florence Sand & Gr	avel Pit Permit Action	a: _2025 AM1	Perr	nit/Job#: <u>M1992051</u>
PROJECT IDENTI	FICATION			
Task #: 003	State: Colorad	0	Abbrey	viation: None
Date: $\frac{4}{4}/2025$				ename: M051-003
User: JLC	County:	ı		
Agency or org	anization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPM	ENT COST			
Basic Machir	ne: CAT 16M		Horsepower:	297
Ripper Attachme	nt:		Shift Basis:	1 per day
			Data Source:	(CRG)
<u>Cost Breakdown:</u>				
			Utilization %	
	ership Cost/Hour:	\$212.21	NA	
	erating Cost/Hour:	\$124.88	100	
	ership Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	NA	
	erating Cost/Hour:	\$0.00		
-	perator Cost/Hour:	\$27.76	NA	
Tota	al Unit Cost/Hour:	\$364.85		
T . 4 .	l Fleet Cost/Hour: \$'	729.70		
	a to be graded or ripped:70.0 ce of estimated acreage:AM			acres
HOURLY PRODUC	TION			
<u></u>	Average Grader Speed:	3.25	mph	
	Selected Application:		blading (0-6 mph)	- 3 25
	Selected Blade Angle:	45	degrees	5.20
	Effective Blade Length:	11.30	feet	
Width	of blade overlap per pass:	2.00	feet	
	or ripping width per pass:	9.30	feet	
	d Hourly Unit Production:	3.6636	acres/hour	•
Job Condition Correctio	n Factors	Sit	e Altitude: <u>5240</u> fe	et
	Sour	ce		
Altitude Adj:	1.00 (CAT			
Job Efficiency:	0.85 (1sh/d, 1			
Net Correction:	0.8500 multipl			
	Adjusted Hourly Unit Productio	n: 3.1141	acres/Hour	
	Adjusted Hourly Fleet Productio		acres/Hour	
1	rujusicu mourry meet moducilo	II. 0.2202		
JOB TIME AND CO) <u>ST</u>			
Fleet size:	2 Grader(s)	Total job time:	11.24	Hours
Unit cost: \$1	17.16 per acre	Total job cost:	\$8,201	
0 mi cost. 31	17.16 per acre	rotar job cost:	J0,201	<u></u> .

REVEGETATION WORK

]	Task description:	Weed M	lanagement			
Site:	Florence Sand &	Gravel Pit	Permit Action:	2025 AM1	Permit/Job	o#: M1992051
P	ROJECT IDENT	IFICATION				
	Task #: 004 Date: 4/4/202 User: JLC	25 0	State: Colorado County: Fremont		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M051-004
	Agency or or	rganization nan	ne: DRMS			

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer Materials	
			Cost/Acre	\$0.00

Application

	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$0.00

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
			\$
Totals Seed Mix	0.00	0.00	\$0.00

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$

Total Seed Application Cost/Acre\$0.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Herbicide - 2,4D @ 1.0 pt/ac	2.00	ACRE	\$4.13	\$8.25
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$8.25

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Weed spray, truck, non-aquatic areas, ann. [DMG]		\$27.19
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$27.19

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
		Totals	Nursery Stoc	ck Cost / Acre	\$0.00

JOB TIME AND COST

Estimate *Selected Replantin	No. of Acres: d Failure Rate: ng Work Items:	0%	Cost /Acre: Cost /Acre*:	
Initial Job Cost: Reseeding Job Cost: Total Job Cost: Job Hours:	\$0.00 \$1,960			

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description	on: <u>Mo</u>	b/Demob Equipn	iciit				
: _Florence Sa	and & Gravel Pi	t Permit	Action: 2025	AM1	·	Permit/Job#: <u>N</u>	11992051
PROJECT II	DENTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #:	005	State: Co	olorado		Abbro	eviation: None	2
	4/4/2025 JLC	County: From	emont		Fi	ilename: M05	1-005
Ageno	cy or organization	n name: DRMS					
EQUIPMEN	TRANSPOR	<u>T RIG COST</u>					
				(Shift ba Cost Data Sou		
Tr	uck Tractor Desc	ription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH		JCK TRACTO (2ND HALF,	OR, 6X4, DIESE	L POWERED,
				400 HF	(2ND HALF,	, 2006)	
Tı	ruck Trailer Desc	ription: G		ING GOC	SENECK, DI	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
		ription: G		ING GOC		ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Cost Breakdown	<u>n:</u>]	DING GOO	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A)	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Cost Breakdown	<u>n:</u> g Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	DING GOO TRAILER 51-	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) + Tons	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners	<u>n:</u> g Capacities hip Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44	26-50 Tons \$22.18	DING GOC FRAILER 51- \$2	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 23.94	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat	n: c Capacities hip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55	DING GOO TRAILER 51- \$2 \$3	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 23.94 55.65	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat Operat	n: g Capacities hip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: ator Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52	ING GOC IRAILER 51- \$2 \$3 \$2 \$2	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat Opera Hel	n: c Capacities hip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55	VING GOC TRAILER 51- 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 23.94 55.65	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat Opera Hel Total U	n: g Capacities hip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: ator Cost/Hour: per Cost/Hour: Juit Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53	VING GOC TRAILER 51- 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat Opera Hel Total U NON ROADA	n: g Capacities hip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: tor Cost/Hour: per Cost/Hour: Jnit Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53	VING GOC TRAILER 51- 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T)	
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat Opera Hel Total U NON ROADA Machine	n: g Capacities hip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: ator Cost/Hour: per Cost/Hour: Juit Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44 MENT: Owner ship	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78 Haul Rig	Fleet	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53 25.64 Haul Trip	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T)	DOT Permit
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat Opera Hel Total U NON ROADA	n: g Capacities hip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: ator Cost/Hour: per Cost/Hour: Jnit Cost/Hour: ABLE EQUIPN Weight/ Unit	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44 MENT:	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78	VING GOC TRAILER 51- 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53 25.64 Haul Trip Cost/hr/	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T)	
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat Opera Hel Total U NON ROADA Machine Description	n: bip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: per Cost/Hour: Jnit Cost/Hour: ABLE EQUIPM Weight/ Unit (TONS)	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44 MENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	VING GOC TRAILER 51- 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53 25.64 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat Operat Hel Total U NON ROADA Machine Description Cat D7R DS	n: g Capacities hip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: ator Cost/Hour: per Cost/Hour: Jnit Cost/Hour: ABLE EQUIPN Weight/ Unit	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44 MENT: Owner ship	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni	Fleet	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53 25.64 Haul Trip Cost/hr/	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T)	DOT Permit
Cost Breakdown Available Rig Owners Operat Operat Hel Total U NON ROADA Machine Description	n: bip Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: ing Cost/Hour: per Cost/Hour: Jnit Cost/Hour: ABLE EQUIPM Weight/ Unit (TONS)	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44 MENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	VING GOC TRAILER 51- 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, A) Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53 25.64 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet

Subtotals: \$1,722.55 \$796.12 \$1,750.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 1 T.	Total Cost/hr/ unit \$27.44	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$27.44	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$27.44
Crew	\$27.44	1	\$27.44	\$27.44
		Subtotals:	\$27.44	\$27.44

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance: Average Travel Speed:	CANON CITY 10.00 25.00	miles mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$13,576.47	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$21.95	

Transportation Cycle Time:

Haul Time (Hours): Return Time (Hours): Loading Time (Hours): Unloading Time (Hours):	Non- Roadable Equipment 0.40 0.40 1.17 1.17	Roadable Equipment 0.40 0.40 NA NA
Subtotals:	3.14	0.80

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: 6.28 Hours

Total job cost: **\$13,598**