



March 11, 2025

Ms. Hunter Ridley
Environmental Protection Specialist
Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining & Safety
Department of Natural Resources
1313 Sherman Street, Room 215
Denver, CO 80203

RE: Colowyo Coal Company L.P.
Permit No. C-1981-019
Technical Revision 16 (TR-166)
Reclamation Plan Revision

Dear Ms. Ridley,

Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association Inc. (Tri-State), is the parent company to Axial Basin Coal Company, which is the general partner to Colowyo Coal Company L.P. (Colowyo). Therefore, Tri-State on behalf of Colowyo is submitting technical revision 166 (TR-166) to Permit No. C-1981-019.

Colowyo current topsoil replacement plan targets specific post mine land uses, with slopes less than ten percent receiving four inches of topsoil and slopes greater than ten percent receiving a variable replacement depth from six to twelve inches. Seeding of these areas also occurs with seed mixtures tailored to post mine land uses of wildlife and grazing areas. These components of the Colowyo's reclamation plan have been implemented since 2010.

Recently, Colowyo determined it was necessary to evaluate the success of this reclamation plan and contracted with Cedar Creek Associates, Inc (Cedar Creek). Cedar Creek evaluated the wildlife areas, also referred to as sagebrush steppe areas, to evaluate overall implementation and the effectiveness of establishing sagebrush dominate shrub patches in the wildlife targeted areas. Cedar Creek evaluated thirteen reclamation units that were reclaimed with four inches topsoil replacement depths and sagebrush specific seed mixtures. Data indicates that woody plant density at these reclamation units is not achieving desired outcomes of the plan. Most of the units have required more adaptive management over the bond liability period, increase in noxious weeds, and reseeding has occurred at most of the reclamation units attempting to assist with establishment of perennial grass and shrubs. The technical memo outlining this evaluation is included under this technical revision for the Division; however, it is not intended to become part of the permit application package.

On February 18, 2025, Tri-State along with Cedar Creek Associates, Inc., met with the Division to discuss potential updates to Colowyo's reclamation plan including revising the topsoil laydown at Colowyo and revising the seed mixtures implemented when reseeding areas that target the post mine land uses. During the meeting, Cedar Creek presented the data in the attached memo the Division. During the meeting, Tri-State indicated it will propose revising the topsoil replacement plan to a uniform nine inches of topsoil to be replaced on both post mine land uses of wildlife and grazingland respectively. This replacement plan once approved will be implemented beginning in 2025. A more



March , 2025

Page 2

uniform depth replacement should provide additional moisture retention in the topsoil and additional rooting zones with deeper topsoil especially on the wildlife specific areas. A more uniform deeper topsoil has shown to be successful at Colowyo in pre-2010 reclamation areas. Colowyo has adequate topsoil onsite to be successful moving to a uniform topsoil replacement plan, and Tri-State refers the Division to Figure 5-3 in the 2024 Annual Reclamation Report which provides an overall topsoil balance for Colowyo at the end of December 2024.

Tri-State also proposed to the Division during the meeting, that it intends to revise the wildlife seed mixture which will still include a heavy sagebrush seed component but will add back in more rhizomatous grasses allow for quicker establishment to limit erosion. The broadcast component of the wildlife mixture will be revised to be utilized in more targeted specific areas that Colowyo determines is conducive to sagebrush establishment and persistence included wind protected areas, snow drifting areas etc.

All the items noted above have been included in the materials proposed under TR-166 and are included for the Division's review under this cover. Also included in this technical revision is a change of index sheet to ease incorporation of this revision into the permit document, and a public notice for the Division's review. If you should have any additional questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Tony Tennyson at (970) 824-1232 or at tennyson@tristategt.org.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

4BE980BE59E442F...
Chris Gilbreath
Senior Manager
Remediation and Reclamation

CG:TT

Enclosure

cc: Bureau of Land Management (via upload)
Tony Tennyson (via email)
File: C. F. 1.1.2.152



Technical Memo

PO Box 272150
Fort Collins, CO 80527

Date: January 20, 2025

To: Tony Tennyson, Tri-state Generation and Transmission

From: Cedar Creek Associates, Inc.

Subject: Colowyo Mine – Status of Sagebrush Steppe Seeded Areas

Cedar Creek Associates, Inc. (Cedar Creek) was contracted to evaluate the status of sagebrush steppe seeded areas at the Colowyo Mine based on revegetation assessments conducted between 2011 and 2023. In 2010, Colowyo began implementing variable depth topsoil distribution. In wildlife habitat (sagebrush steppe) targeted areas (flatter areas with less than 10% slopes), topsoil replacement depth will target an average of four inches, with a more uniform application depth to encourage proper seeding depth and conditions for sagebrush establishment, with the ultimate goal of establishing sagebrush dominant shrub patches on the reclamation. To further aid sagebrush establishment, the sagebrush steppe seed mix was amended to reduce competition from grasses, especially sod-forming species such as thickspike wheatgrass, in hopes of elevated diversity and better performance from slower growing species. The updated permit language identified that since the amount of grasses (and all sod-formers) had been substantially reduced for this sagebrush steppe mix, it was possible that grass emergence may not be satisfactory for erosion control or life form diversity.

After over 10 years of variable depth topsoil distribution and sagebrush steppe seeding, this memo serves to summarize the results of the implementation of these new protocols, especially as it relates to established and sustained woody plant density and desirable vegetation cover.

Findings and Discussion

Based on the revegetation assessments from 2011-2023, there are 4 units in East Pit, 8 units in West Pit, and 1 unit in South Taylor (a total of 13 units) where a portion or the entirety of the units were implemented with the Sagebrush Steppe protocols, including thinner topsoil and the amended seed mix with reduced sod forming grasses. Unit EP055 was never mined, but rather served as a coal stockpile. Therefore, it is not representative of reclamation at Colowyo and is not incorporated into the analysis below. The table below displays the findings, and results which do not meet the goals of Sagebrush Steppe are highlighted in red within the perennial plant cover and woody plant density columns.

Elevated annual and noxious weed cover are highlighted in yellow.

In summary, established and sustained woody plant density was only achieved on 2 of the 12 units (EP054 and EP061). The remaining units exhibit insufficient densities to be considered shrub patches in accordance with the performance criteria at Colowyo. Therefore, the implementation of Sagebrush Steppe protocols on these units has failed to meet the desired goals greater than 80% of the time. In addition, perennial plant establishment has been slow on 7 of the 12 units (EP062, WP014, WP015, WP016, WP025, WP030, and WP031), which has led to elevated annual and noxious plant cover and potential wind and water erosion issues. As identified in the updated permit language presented above, the implementation of the Sagebrush Steppe protocols is creating problematic vegetation establishment and ongoing erosion risk. As a result, Colowyo must apply adaptive management to these units more frequently than the Grazingland targeted reclamation units or reclamation units prior to 2010. These units have needed to be reseeded (sometimes multiple times), application of weed control measures (greater than other units onsite), implementation of erosion control measures, and sometimes re-topsoiled to achieve revegetation performance criteria.

The Sagebrush Steppe program is not achieving the anticipated and desired outcomes as both the woody plant density and vegetation cover can be characterized as poor. Many of the units have required adaptive management to even meet the performance criteria. In addition, equipment operators have reported redistribution of the thinner topsoil application depths to be very difficult. Overall, the Sagebrush Steppe program is costly and difficult to implement while it is not meeting its goals, and the units are requiring remediation to meet the revegetation requirements. Therefore, it is recommended that Colowyo no longer apply the thinner topsoil depths and consider reworking the seed mix to achieve better revegetation outcomes without interventions.

Status of Sagebrush Steppe Seeded Areas									
	Unit	Year	Reveg Age	Total Plant Cover	Perennial Plant Cover	Annual / Noxious Plant Cover	Woody Plant Density	Topsoil Depth in Sagebrush Steppe Areas	Comments
East Pit	EP054	2012	Year 2	22.8	7.5	15.4	137.1	3-6 inches	
		2014	Year 4	27.5	12.7	14.8	221.2		
		2017	Year 7	42.4	34.4	8.0	706.9		
	EP055*	2012	Year 2	39.8	3.4	36.4	684.0	3-4 inches	Never mined, reclaimed coal stockpile
		2014	Year 4	30.5	13.7	16.7	1,597.2		
		2017	Year 7	35.6	33.3	2.3	2,495.6		
	EP061	2020	Year 2	29.7	12.1	17.6	3,412.9	5-8 inches	
		2022	Year 4	45.1	25.0	20.1	4,810.4		
	EP062	2023	Year 2	19.0	0.5	18.5	2.7	2-8 inches	Reseeded unit in 2020 & 2023
West Pit	WP014	2011	Year 2	32.9	8.8	24.1	24.3	3-9 inches	
		2013	Year 4	47.5	28.5	18.9	126.8		
		2016	Year 7	38.6	33.3	5.3	78.2		
	WP015	2012	Year 2	38.4	1.7	36.7	206.6	2-5 inches	Part of this unit was re-topsoiled and is now WP025
		2014	Year 4	32.9	5.3	27.6	18.9		
		2017	Year 7	49.4	25.5	23.9	40.5		
	WP016	2014	Year 2	53.1	2.7	50.4	54.0	3-5 inches	
		2016	Year 4	41.8	30.5	11.3	67.4		
	WP018	2015	Year 2	39.9	30.5	9.4	32.4	3-6 inches	
		2017	Year 4	55.4	43.6	11.8	105.2		
		2020	Year 7	27.3	23.1	4.2	243.0		
	WP020	2018	Year 2	37.3	17.9	19.4	56.7	2-6 inches	Significant wind erosion, reseeded 2 times
		2020	Year 4	38.1	18.5	19.5	32.4		
	WP025	2019	Year 2	44.5	9.6	34.9	5.4	4-5 inches	
	WP030	2023	Year 4	22.8	2.4	20.4	13.5	4-5 inches	Reseeded unit in 2020 & 2023
	WP031	2021	Year 2	27.9	0.3	27.6	2.7	4-6 inches	Reseeded 10 acres
		2023	Year 4	48.8	2.2	46.6	0.0		
South Taylor	ST001	2015	Year 4	39.2	19.9	19.3	194.3	3-5 inches	Ongoing erosion issues
		2018	Year 7	25.0	22.6	2.4	172.7		
		2022	Year 11	30.4	27.2	3.2	159.2		

* Not a representative unit.

CHANGE SHEET FOR PERMIT REVISIONS, TECHNICAL REVISION, AND MINOR REVISIONS

Mine Company Name: Colowyo Coal Company

Date: **March 7, 2025**

Permit Number: **C-1981-019**

Revision Description: **TR-166 Reclamation Plan
Revision**

Volume Number	Page, Map or other Permit Entry to be REMOVED	Page, Map or other Permit Entry to be ADDED	Description of Change
1	Table of Contents page ii (1 page)	Table of Contents page ii (1 page)	Table of Contents has been updated.
1	Page 2.05-16 through 2.05-37 (22 pages)	Page 2.05-16 through 2.05-37 (22 pages)	Section 2.05.4 has been updated which caused a pagination shift through the reminder of the section.
1	Tables, page vii (1 page)	Tables, page vii (1 page)	List of Tables has been updated.
1	Tables, pages 115 - 126 (12 pages)	Tables, pages 115 - 124 (9 pages)	Volume 1 tables have been updated.
2A			No Change
2B			No Change
2C			No Change
2D			No Change
2E			No Change
3			No Change
4			No Change
5A			No Change
5B			No Change
6			No Change
7			No Change
8			No Change
9			No Change
10			No Change
12			No Change
13			No Change
14			No Change
15			No Change
16			No Change
17			No Change
18A			No Change
18B			No Change

CHANGE SHEET FOR PERMIT REVISIONS, TECHNICAL REVISION, AND MINOR REVISIONS

Mine Company Name: Colowyo Coal Company

Date: **March 7, 2025**

Permit Number: **C-1981-019**

Revision Description: **TR-166 Reclamation Plan
Revision**

Volume Number	Page, Map or other Permit Entry to be REMOVED	Page, Map or other Permit Entry to be ADDED	Description of Change
18C			No Change
18D			No Change
19			No Change
20			No Change
21			No Change
22			No Change
22			No Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.05 APPLICATION FOR PERMIT FOR SURFACE OR UNDERGROUND MINING ACTIVITIES – MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR OPERATIONS AND RECLAMATION PLAN.....	1
2.05.1 OBJECTIVES.....	1
2.05.2 OPERATION PLAN – ESTIMATED AREA FOR LIFE OF OPERATION.....	1
2.05.3 OPERATION PLAN – PERMIT AREA PRODUCTION METHODS AND EQUIPMENT.....	1
2.05.4 RECLAMATION PLAN.....	16
2.05.5 POST-MINING LAND USES.....	26
2.05.6 MITIGATION OF SURFACE MINING OPERATION IMPACTS.....	27
2.06 REQUIREMENTS FOR PERMITS FOR SPECIAL CATEGORIES.....	1
2.06.1 SCOPE.....	1
2.06.2 EXPERIMENTAL PRACTICES MINING.....	1
2.06.3 MOUNTAINTOP REMOVAL MINING.....	1
2.06.4 STEEP SLOPE MINING.....	1
2.06.5 PERMITS INCORPORATING VARIANCES FROM APPROXIMATE ORIGINAL CONTOUR RESTORATION REQUIREMENTS FOR STEEP SLOPE MINING.....	1
2.06.6 PRIME FARMLANDS.....	1
2.06.7 VARIANCES FOR DELAY IN CONTEMPRANEOUS RECLAMATION REQUIREMENTS IN COMBINED SURFACE AND UNDERGROUND MINING OPERATIONS.....	1
2.06.8 SURFACE COAL MINING AND RECLAMATION OPERATIONS ON AREAS OR ADJACENT TO AREAS INCLUDING ALLUVIAL VALLEY FLOORS.....	2
2.06.9 AUGERING AND HIGHWALL MINING.....	5
2.06.10 COAL PROCESSING PLANS AND SUPPORT FACILITIES NOT LOCATED WITHIN THE PERMIT AREA.....	6
2.06.11 IN-SITU PROCESSING ACTIVITY.....	6
RULE 2 MISCELLANEOUS REQUIREMENTS	1
2.07 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND APPROVAL OF PERMIT APPLICATIONS.....	1
2.08 PERMIT REVIEWS, REVISIONS AND RENEWALS, AND TRANSFER, SALE AND ASSIGNMENT.....	1
2.10 MAPS AND PLANS – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	1
RULE 3 PERFORMANCE BOND REQUIREMENTS.....	1
RULE 4 PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	1
4.01 GENERAL PROVISIONS.....	1
4.01.1 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES.....	1
4.02 SIGNS AND MARKERS.....	1
4.02.1 SPECIFICATIONS.....	1
4.02.2 MINE AND PERMIT IDENTIFICATION SIGNS.....	1
4.02.3 PERIMETER MARKERS.....	1
4.02.4 DURATION OF MAINTENANCE.....	2
4.02.5 STREAM BUFFER ZONE MARKERS.....	2
4.02.6 BLASTING SIGNS.....	2
4.02.7 TOPSOIL MARKERS.....	2
4.03 ROADS.....	2
4.03.1 HAUL ROADS.....	2
4.03.2 ACCESS ROADS.....	5
4.03.3 LIGHT-USE ROADS.....	5
4.04 SUPPORT FACILITIES	6
4.05 HYDROLOGIC BALANCE	7
4.05.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	7
4.05.2 WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AND EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS.....	8

The topography following mining and reclamation activities is shown on the Postmining Topography Map (Map 19). Cross sections relating the premining and postmining topographic configurations are presented as the Premining and Postmining Cross Section Maps (Maps 20 and 20A).

Coal Handling Structures

Map 1 Surface ownership shows pre-mining contours and Map 19A Postmining Topography Gossard Area shows post-mining contours of the loadout. All facilities not to be included as part of the post-mining land use will be removed (see Section 2.05.5). After the facilities are removed, the land will be regraded to blend with the existing undisturbed topography, retopsoiled and revegetated in accordance with Section 2.05.4.

The detailed description of the various coal crushing, handling and loadout facilities for the Colowyo operation is found under the Mine Facilities Section in 2.05.3. The location of the coal processing facilities is found on the Existing Structures - North Map (Map 21), the Existing Structures - South Map (Map 22) and the Existing Structures- Lower Wilson/South Taylor Map (Map 22A).

Coal Processing Waste and Non-Coal Processing Waste

Not applicable. Colowyo uses dry crushing facility for coal preparation; no coal processing waste, as defined in Rule 1.04 “DEFINITIONS”, is produced from any part of the mining operations.

Underground Development Waste

Not applicable. Colowyo is not conducting an underground operation.

Return of Coal Processing Waste to Abandon Workings

Not applicable. No coal processing waste as defined in Rule 1.04 “DEFINITIONS”, is produced from any part of the Colowyo mining operations.

2.05.4 Reclamation Plan

The objective of the reclamation plan is to stabilize the soil, maintain hydrologic function, re-establish appropriate vegetation, and to restore the approximate original contour of the mined area. Ultimately, the areas being mined will be returned to an appropriate and productive post-mining land use, with watersheds having their approximate pre-mining character. In general, the long term appearance and beneficial uses of the mined area will be similar to that which would have been encountered prior to mining activity.

The principal basis of Colowyo’s reclamation plan is to rebuild a post mine landscape that mimics the natural terrain features, accounting for local slope aspects, steepness, and topographic features. By incorporating variation in the terrain, the reclamation system will encourage the establishment, succession, and persistence of mixed native vegetation communities. These efforts will facilitate

the establishment of reclaimed plant communities that meet the designated post mining land use of rangeland, with the subcomponents of grazingland and wildlife habitat. Please see Section 2.05.5 for a detailed description of the post mine land uses at Colowyo.

Areas designated as grazingland for the post mining land use will aim to establish vegetation communities comprised of species primarily selected for palatability and production, with incidental wildlife habitat. The reclamation seed mixes utilized in grazingland targeted areas are designed to establish highly productive stands of native perennial grasses to support grazing and forage, yet the mixes contain forbs and shrubs to also provide additional benefits for incidental wildlife use.

Areas designated for wildlife habitat as the post mining land use will aim to establish a sagebrush steppe vegetation community. The reclamation seed mix utilized in sagebrush steppe targeted areas is designed to encourage sagebrush establishment by adapting the seed mix to reduce perennial grass competition to give sagebrush and forbs an opportunity to establish. Reclamation techniques that will encourage the deposition and entrapment of blowing snow (to increase spring soil moisture) are also employed in sagebrush steppe targeted areas, to provide a competitive advantage to sagebrush over perennial grasses. These techniques include taking advantage of site-specific opportunities for the development of convex and concave surfaces along with the potential development of small berms along the contour and approximately perpendicular to prevailing winds.

The reclamation timetable and associated acreages for the various aspects of the mining operation are provided on Table 2.03-1.

In the South Taylor reclamation areas, geomorphic reclamation techniques will be implemented in the final PMT surface. Geomorphic reclamation techniques are used to design and construct a PMT, which breaks up long continuous slopes with smaller watersheds, adds sinuosity to the post mine permanent channels, and creates a landform that is erosionally stable. Part of the final configuration of the South Taylor geomorphic reclamation PMT surface specifically targets topography to harvest wind and snow for reestablishment of tall shrubs and aspens, which are required for the revegetation success of the South Taylor Pit.

Backfill and Grading Plan

As discussed in detail in Section 2.05.3, the mining method implemented by Colowyo is referred to as open-pit multiple seam/single seam dragline mining. The overburden material from the initial boxcut area was deposited in a permanent valley fill. As mining progresses, overburden material from each successive cut is backfilled into the previously mined out area. This cycle was repeated for the entire mining area. Because an open-pit mining technique is used, the regrading and backfilling of the spoil material is as contemporaneous as possible behind the mined-out area to facilitate proper leveling of the overburden material.

The backfilled mining areas are graded to establish the approximate original contour and to blend in with the undisturbed areas outside the mining limits. Additional information on the backfilling and regrading plan are discussed further in Section 2.05.3 and Section 4.14.

Final grading before topsoil placement will be conducted in a manner that minimizes erosion and provides a surface for the topsoil that minimizes slippage. If spoil compaction is a problem, the spoil will be ripped with a dozer to minimize compaction, assure stability, and minimize slippage after topsoil replacement. Where possible, development of concave landforms (to encourage snow entrapment) will be developed.

Where necessary, the overburden surface will be roughened by ripping or discing etc., to ensure a bond between the topsoil and spoil to reduce slippage. To date there is no evidence of topsoil slippage on reclaimed areas. A few small tension cracks resulting from settling of fill along tie in locations with highwall have occurred in a few areas. However, these areas within a year or two after reclamation, soon stabilize and begin to fill in.

The final post mine surfaces are shown on Map 19, 19A, and 19B. Appropriate cross sections that show the anticipated final surface configuration of the reclaimed area, in conjunction with the existing pre-mining topography are shown Maps 20, 20A and 20B.

Topsoil Redistribution Plan

As discussed in Section 2.05.3, prior to any mining-related disturbances, all available topsoil will be removed from the site to be disturbed, and will be redistributed or stockpiled as necessary to satisfy the needs of the reclamation timetable described herein. The topsoil redistribution plan is also broken into three distinct timeframes which are pre-2005, 2005 to 2009, and post-2010. Each plan is described in more detail below.

Pre-2005 and 2005-2009 Topsoil Redistribution Plan

Prior to 2005, essentially all reclamation units were covered with an average of 18 inches of topsoil. From 2005 through 2009, reclamation areas received an approximate average of 8 inches of topsoil.

2010 - 2024 Topsoil Redistribution Plan

A variable topsoil replacement plan was utilized at Colowyo from 2010 to 2024. This topsoil replacement plan targeted a variable depth replacement directly tied to the post mine land uses presented in Section 2.05.5, targeting the two rangeland components consisting of grazingland and wildlife habitat (sagebrush steppe).

In the grazingland targeted areas (areas with slopes greater than 10%), topsoil was redistributed utilizing variable replacement depths. Thinner topsoil (approximately six inches) was replaced on ridge tops, and topsoil replacement depths gradually thickened moving down the slopes toward the drainage bottoms.

In wildlife habitat (sagebrush steppe) targeted areas (flatter areas with less than 10% slopes), topsoil replacement depth was targeted an average of four inches, with a more uniform application depth which was attempting to encourage proper seeding depth and conditions for sagebrush establishment.

An evaluation of the success of this topsoil application methodology for the wildlife habitat areas, including seed mixtures utilized, was conducted in early 2025. The evaluation of the success of this plan indicated that the uniform topsoil replacement on the flatter areas required Colowyo to implement greater adaptive management on these specific areas including greater erosion, poor woody plant and vegetative cover, and an increase in noxious weeds. Application of the uniform depth was determined to be extremely difficult for operators, and the overall plan was determined to be limiting Colowyo's efforts to successfully establish the appropriate revegetation in these areas.

Post 2024 Topsoil Redistribution Plan

Starting in 2025 and based on successful revegetation from the 2005-2009 period, Colowyo will implement a uniform topsoil replacement on both the wildlife and grazingland areas of nine inches. The seeding that will occur with this topsoil replacement plan outlined in below in *Revegetation Plan*, is targeted to the post mine land uses presented in Section 2.05.5, targeting the two rangeland components consisting of grazingland and wildlife habitat (sagebrush steppe). The two land uses are based on the expected species composition of the anticipated revegetation.

General Topsoil Handling Procedures

Colowyo will ensure proper topsoil resource management through various quality assurance and control procedures. Procedures utilized to account for topsoil volumes include an annual analysis of the topsoil balance, accounting for volumes in stockpiles, current and following year's reclamation areas, the total disturbance area, and the results of topsoil stripping activities each year. Detailed soil maps for the permit area assist operations and guide management in preparation and scheduling for topsoil salvage activities. Topsoil resources are generally segregated by area (East Pit, West Pit, Section 16, South Taylor Area, facilities, Gossard Loadout, etc.), to ensure that these resources are reapplied to the general areas from which they came.

During topsoil removal in advancement of the mining operations, dozers will be utilized to pile up the topsoil so it can be loaded and hauled to stockpile or immediately to a reclamation area. Scrapers may also be employed for topsoil removal as deemed appropriate. Topsoil salvage is guided by the existing soil maps and resources available to Colowyo personnel. Topsoil salvage is avoided during times of soil saturation, as a best management practice to avoid overly compacting the soil.

Topsoil stockpiles are revegetated as soon as is practicable to prevent losses from wind and water erosion. Stockpiles are seeded with a mix of native reclamation species to stabilize the stockpile. All stockpiles are properly labeled as topsoil to avoid mishandling, and detailed as-built information is collected to accurately calculate stockpile volumes as a quality control procedure. All topsoil stockpiles are protected with a ditch and berm around their perimeter to conserve the resource.

When topsoil is to be reapplied following stockpiling, topsoil is normally loaded from stockpile with loaders and trucks, and then hauled to the backfill reclamation areas, where it is dumped and graded for final placement. Topsoil hauled in trucks will be dumped strategically to minimize handling and disturbance, and then pushed out with dozers and/or scrapers until spread to the appropriate locations and depths. Reapplied topsoil will be graded in a manner that maintains surface roughness to help minimize sheet flow and erosion while also creating microtopography

to assist vegetative diversity on the reclamation. On steeper slopes (typically greater than 10% slope) Colowyo will also employ the use of contour furrows and cross ripping following topsoil laydown to create slope breaks and increase surface roughness on otherwise long and straight slopes.

At the discretion of Colowyo, native soil, collected from the local ecosystems, will be used to inoculate reclamation areas with beneficial mycorrhizae. Mycorrhizae are symbiotic relationships that form between fungi and plants. The fungi colonize the root system of a host plant, providing increased water and nutrient absorption capabilities while the plant provides the fungus with carbohydrates formed from photosynthesis.

Revegetation Plan

Following the topsoiling of an area, Colowyo will reseed the topsoiled area as soon as is practicable in accordance with the targeted post mining land use as described in Section 2.05.5. Seeding is targeted to occur during in the fall, prior to the first snowfall event (typically mid to late October).

Colowyo typically uses a rangeland drill to complete seeding on both targeted post mine land uses. However, Colowyo also can utilize a Truax (Trillon) drill if deemed necessary. At times, broadcast seeding may be required on steeper areas, wet areas, very rocky areas, or simply on areas that were missed by the other seeding equipment. If seeding cannot be completed prior to seasonal snowfall, broadcast seeding may occur in the spring as soon as ground conditions allow. Broadcast seeding of the sagebrush steppe areas may also be seeded directly into snowbanks if winter or spring conditions allow. When broadcasting is utilized and ground conditions allow, a very light tine harrow or similar equipment may be dragged behind the seeder to facilitate improved soil to seed contact.

Seed Mixes

Two seed mixes are utilized at Colowyo, with each mix designed to facilitate revegetation meeting the designated post mining land use of rangeland, subcomponents of grazingland and wildlife habitat (sagebrush steppe). The mixes have been adapted over time in response to changing regulatory requirements, and thorough evaluations of quantitative emergence and dominance data from reclaimed and released reclamation areas. The mixes represent the seasonal varieties and lifeforms present in the pre-mine area and are comprised entirely of native species. The lone introduced taxon included in both seed mixes (cicer milkvetch), which provides excellent forage for wildlife and livestock, is very successful on Colowyo's existing reclamation, and is an excellent species for providing necessary habitat requisites for a variety of insects that in turn are especially important to other wildlife.

Grazingland Seed Mixture

The reclamation seed mixture for post mine areas targeting grazingland is presented on Table 2.05-7. The grazingland seed mixture contains sufficient diversity for ecological stability, erosion control for steeper slopes, and will meet the goals of the designated post mining land use. The seed mixture contains a variety of grasses, forbs and shrub species well adapted to the soil and moisture conditions found at Colowyo. The seed mixture includes species capable of occupying the anticipated micro-habitats encountered in the reclaimed areas. This seed mixture will be quickly effective for erosion control in young reclamation, while also facilitating the desired post-mining

vegetative community with the same seasonal varieties and lifeforms of the pre-mined area.

The species and seeding rates provided on Table 2.05-7 have been adapted from an analysis of the success of past mixes, and the resulting emergence and dominance data within previously successful revegetated areas.

Wildlife Habitat Seed Mixture

The reclamation seed mixture for areas targeting wildlife habitat (sagebrush steppe) is presented in Table 2.05-8. This seed mix will be applied entirely by drill methods, using a rangeland drill. This seed mixture also contains sufficient diversity for ecological stability. This mixture contains a variety of grasses, forbs and shrub species well adapted to the soil and moisture conditions found at Colowyo and should provide both the structural diversity and life form diversity necessary for the designated sagebrush steppe wildlife habitat. The seed mixture includes species capable of occupying the anticipated micro-habitats encountered in the reclaimed areas and contains sufficient sagebrush seed to hopefully encourage at least some emergence each year, and occasional substantial emergence when climatic conditions are favorable.

It was found that grass emergence (2010 – 2024 topsoil replacement plan period) was not satisfactory for erosion control and that shrub density was not being established. Therefore, some sod-forming grasses have been included in this mix. However, there is still an emphasis on providing opportunities for sagebrush and other shrubs to establish. In addition, Colowyo may elect to interseed supplemental shrub seed using broadcast methods in areas conducive to shrub establishment, such as low-lying drainages, areas protected from the wind, and areas where snow collects.

Contingency Seed Substitutions

Should a species in either the grazingland or wildlife seed mixture not be available, a native species will be selected that is suitable for climatic conditions of the region that is available from the seed vendor for substitution.

Fencing

Where Colowyo deems appropriate, smaller areas within a larger areas seeded to wildlife habitat may be fenced to encourage shrub development and to limit browsing by local wildlife.

Mulching Techniques

Mulching techniques are not currently employed at Colowyo, except in rare instances. During the initial permitting processes, Colowyo proposed that on slopes flatter than 4h:1v that rather than utilize a hay mulch, a stubble mulch or no mulch be used on reclaimed areas. The use of mulch on these relatively flat slopes was demonstrated to be of no value towards reclamation at the Colowyo site. The application of mulch was identified to produced problems with delayed germination on the reclaimed areas, rather than solving an assumed erosion problem, which is addressed through other methods.

Mulches tend to shade the soil, thus slowing the rise in soil temperature needed for germination of

RULE 2 PERMITS

seeds. At Colowyo, the higher elevation and typical late spring snows result in cooler spring temperatures and delayed soil thawing. By eliminating the use of mulch, the soil temperature is increased earlier in the spring, thus enabling the seeds to germinate earlier when soil moisture conditions are optimum, immediately following snowmelt. Earlier growth also results in further root development by the plants, aiding survival through the dry and hot summer months.

Without the use of a mulch, erosion control has been maintained with surface manipulation methods such as contour furrows, drainage benches and permanent drainage channels. The initial reclamation at Colowyo that began in 1978 is indisputable evidence that the methods used at Colowyo have proven highly successful in controlling erosion on slopes as steep as 3h:1v until vegetative cover has established. Where deemed necessary by Colowyo (e.g., sagebrush steppe targeted areas, south-facing slopes, high wind areas, etc.), mulching techniques (or other practices such as chisel plowing, or discing on the contour) will be reinstated as necessary.

Irrigation

No irrigation is planned for areas to be seeded.

Pest and Disease Control

Noxious plants, as defined in Section 1.04, will be managed in accordance with the following section – “Weed Management Plan”. If insects become a problem to the point where they endanger the successful establishment of the seeded vegetation on the reclaimed area, they will also be controlled using methods suggested by the Colorado State University Extension Service. All herbicides and pesticides utilized will be those that are approved by the appropriate state and federal governmental agencies responsible for the approval and distribution of such agents.

Weed Management Plan

A listing of Colorado’s noxious weeds (A, B, and C lists) as well as an indication of Rio Blanco and Moffat Counties’ listed taxa are indicated on Table 2.05-10 along with an indication of those taxa that have been observed on or near the Colowyo mine. As indicated on this table, there are no “A” list taxa known from the area. “A” list taxa must be eradicated. To the contrary, there are seven (7) “B” list (must be managed) taxa known from the environs of the Colowyo Mine as well as three (3) “C” list (management may be required by local governments) species. Of these 10 species, common mullein and poison hemlock from the “C” list, and Russian olive from the “B” list are not overly problematic and will normally not require attention. In fact the Russian olive was purposefully planted in the reclamation. If “infestations” of common mullein or poison hemlock evolve, they will be treated in the same manner as the more problematic species.

The remaining seven species: hoary cress, musk thistle, Canada thistle, bull thistle, houndstongue, black henbane, and downy brome (cheatgrass) will be the primary focus of the program and will likely receive attention as appropriate at the Colowyo mine. In addition, continued monitoring of reclamation will focus on identification of any new noxious weeds.

For the most part, noxious weeds observed on or near Colowyo reclamation do not achieve

RULE 2 PERMITS

“infestation” levels. By infestation, Colowyo means: 1) relative cover contribution of one noxious weed species or a combination of noxious weed species exceeding three percent in a revegetated stand; or 2) a "patch" of any listed species in which the noxious weed component exceeds 25% relative cover and occupies an area larger than 100 square feet on any disturbed area. Rather, noxious weeds tend to occur as scattered individuals or small pockets of individuals. This distribution suggests that spot control will be the only effective procedure that can be utilized.

To manage these seven noxious weed species populations, Colowyo will either perform itself, or contract out, annual weed control activities. Weed control will typically involve herbicide application at the appropriate rates and during the appropriate life stages (as possible) to effect control. Spot applications will be preferred over “blanket” applications to prevent loss of desirable reclaimed taxa such as seeded forbs and shrubs, however, blanket application may be necessary if any infestation areas are observed.

All Colowyo staff remain vigilant for pockets of noxious weeds in the reclamation. If larger concentrations are observed, they will be mapped, recorded with GPS, or other means of identification to facilitate control by weed spraying crews. Both the weed spraying crew and the revegetation monitoring crews will be especially important in this regard.

In addition to revegetated areas, vigilance will be maintained for other locations conducive to noxious weed populations. Such areas include: riparian areas, topsoil piles, major traffic areas, road cuts and fill slopes, ditches, pond embankments, non-use areas, etc.

Weed control measures may include mowing, disking (conventional cultivation), burning, grazing, or applying an approved herbicide. Weedy annual species (such as pennycress) with a single season life cycle provide initial site stabilization and moisture conservation in newly seeded reclamation sites; as such they will not be specifically targeted for control. Historically, seedings on reclaimed sites have greatly out competed annual weed infestations within three or four growing seasons.

Specific control measures will be selected by evaluating the location, growth characteristics and vulnerability of each weed. Management efforts will begin after proper planning and evaluation are performed. Proper use of chemicals applied during weed control is ensured by oversight of weed spraying activities by individual(s) certified by the State of Colorado to handle and apply herbicides.

Measures for Determining Success of Revegetation

Measures for determining successful revegetation are outlined in Section 4.15.

Soil Testing Plan

From conception to the mid-1990's, Colowyo tested for topsoil fertility. In order to assure that the reapplied topsoil would support the proposed post-mining land use of rangeland, a soil sampling program was implemented. Soil samples were taken randomly over each topsoiled area and were analyzed for nitrate-nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Historical results indicated adequate

nutrient value to support post-mining revegetation.

Colowyo has demonstrated through numerous years of monitoring that topsoil fertility is not a concern at the mine; this is mainly due to the nutrient rich soil that is commonly present throughout the region. As a result, Colowyo has suspended the soil testing program requirements, until such time as Colowyo determines that the soil fertility adversely affects the reclamation and/or the post-mining land use.

As needed, other soil amendments could be considered for addition to the reclaimed areas to support reclamation efforts.

Acid-Forming and Toxic-Forming Materials

No significant acid-forming materials exist within the overburden soil or coal seams to be mined. Therefore, Colowyo will not undertake special handling procedures as described in Section 2:05.3. A detailed description of the chemical characteristics of soils and overburden materials is presented under Sections 2.04.6 and 2.04.9.

For a detailed description of the special handling of spoil material and sampling programs, refer to the Production Methods and Equipment Segment of this section.

Flammable liquids, such as oil and fuel, will be protected from spilling into other areas by earthen, concrete or HDPE lined structures surrounding each storage facility. A spill containment control plan has been developed to protect against spills.

All major equipment on the mine site will be equipped with portable fire extinguishers or automatic fire suppression systems. The water truck used for dust suppression at the mine site could also be used to control most fires.

Sealing of Exploration and Mine Holes

Exploration and mine holes which remain open for use as a water supply well or for use as a groundwater monitoring well will be completed with casing or piezometers at sufficient height above the land surface to prevent drainage of surface water or entrance of foreign material into the well, and will be fitted with caps to prevent the introduction of objects other than monitoring and sampling equipment. When the groundwater monitoring wells are no longer needed or required for any purpose, each well will be eliminated by plugging with concrete to the surface and removal of the associated surface structure.

Plugging procedures utilized for exploration drill holes that will not be mined through during the current Permit term are as follows:

1. Drill holes drilled deeper than the stripping limit (450-500 feet) will be plugged by pumping cement or heavy solids bentonite Plug Gel or chips through the drill stem from the bottom up to within 3 feet of the ground surface.
2. Drill holes shallower than stripping limits (450-500 feet) may be plugged with the ready-

RULE 2 PERMITS

mix concrete method instead the method in #1 to within 3 feet of the ground surface.

3. Drill holes with no water or coal zones may be plugged by backfilling with cuttings, and placing a plug ten feet below the ground surface to support a cement plug or bentonite chips to within 3 feet of the ground surface.

For safety considerations, exploration drill holes that will eventually be mined through during normal mining activities need only be covered with wood, plastic or other such material or otherwise bermed to prevent access until mining operations mine through each hole.

Those holes completed in aquifers will be sealed entirely with cement or other suitable sealant to within 3 feet of the ground surface.

Where possible, the sealed holes will be marked. At times reclamation operations will cover up the sealed drill holes and marking of holes will not be possible.

Within 60 days of the abandonment of a drill hole, approved drilling program or when requested by the Division, the following information will be submitted:

- a) Location of drill hole as plotted accurately on a topographic map.
- b) Depth of drill hole.
- c) Surface elevation of drill hole.
- d) Intervals where water was encountered during drilling activities.
- e) Diameter of drill hole
- f) Type of amount of cement or other sealant used.
- g) Name of drilling contractor and license number of rig.
- h) How the hole was worked.

Exploration taking place inside and outside of the permit area will be handled through the Notice of Intent (NOI) procedures. See the appropriate NOI for details for each program.

Water and Air Quality Control Techniques

Steps to be taken to comply with the Clean Water Act and other applicable water quality laws and regulations and health and safety standards include a comprehensive drainage and sediment control plan described in Section 2.05.3 and Sections 4.05.1 through 4.05.18. With respect to compliance with the Clean Water Act, Colowyo has a discharge permit from the Colorado State Department of Health under the National Pollutant and Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). Compliance with this permit will serve to effect compliance with the Clean Water Act and the Colorado Water Quality Control Act. A copy of this submittal is presented in Exhibit 7, Hydrology Information.

Colowyo, likewise, operates under several emission permits from the Colorado Department of Health, Air Pollution Control Division. Fugitive dust control measures will be employed as an integral part of the mining and reclamation operations.

Colowyo conducts air quality monitoring at the site in accordance with the requirements of emission permits approved by the Colorado Air Pollution Control Division. A copy of all

applicable emission permits has been included in Exhibit 8 of the application.

Details of pollution control measures are discussed in section 2.05.6.

2.05.5 Post-mining Land Uses

The implementation of the reclamation plan as described in Section 2.05.4 will restore the disturbed land to the pre-mining use of rangeland, with two targeted subcomponents of grazingland and wildlife habitat (sagebrush steppe). Replacement of grazingland will be facilitated by targeting revegetation efforts toward primarily grassland communities. Because grasslands are effective for erosion control, this post mine land use will be implemented on those lands with slopes greater than 10%. Replacement of wildlife habitat will be facilitated by targeting revegetation efforts toward the re-establishment of a sagebrush steppe community. Because early-seral sagebrush steppe is less able to preclude erosion, it will be limited to those lands with slopes less than 10%.

The post mining land use of rangeland for the reclaimed area has been designed to match the pre-mining land uses found in the area. Specifically, Colowyo will reclaim the mined areas to a rangeland condition capable of supporting both domestic livestock and wildlife. One of the objectives of the reclamation plan will be to provide grazing for livestock, and the other objective will be to restore and improve habitats for deer, elk, and sage grouse.

Comments from the Bureau of Land Management and the State of Colorado approving the post mining land use are provided in Exhibit 1, Documents and Leases.

The observation of hundreds of deer and elk consistently utilizing reclaimed areas at Colowyo confirm success in meeting these goals. It is generally recognized that the herbaceous communities of grasses and forbs found on older reclaimed mining areas and other similar areas in northwest Colorado have in fact attracted these important wildlife species from surrounding native rangelands. Therefore, even though the grassland targeted areas are designed for livestock grazing, they exhibit a considerable component of wildlife habitat benefits as well.

Shrubs will also be replaced through seeding techniques to meet applicable regulatory requirements as described in Section 4.15.8. The post-mining land use is graphically shown on the Post-mining Topography Map (Map 19).

To support the proposed post-mining land use, small water impoundments (stock ponds) will be constructed to encourage an even distribution of grazing animals over the reclaimed site and to enhance the areas for wildlife. These small structures will also replace the existing water rights associated with the stock ponds that existed pre-mining. If necessary, Colowyo will submit designs for these small impoundments to the Division prior to their construction.

Also, to provide access in the area for ranching purposes, the access road from Highway 13 will be left in place after mining is complete, and a number of "ranch roads" will be provided on the reclaimed area to approximate the roads that were in the area before mining. The access road will be narrowed from 26 to 12 feet, the asphalt removed, sideslopes reduced to 4:1 and the sides

revegetated.

The consideration of rangeland as a post-mining land use is identical to the discussion in Section 2.04.3. The limitations on changing to an alternative land use are fully discussed in that Section.

2.05.6 Mitigation of Surface Mining Operation Impacts

Air Pollution Control Plan

Colowyo maintains fugitive dust control measures as an integral part of all mining and reclamation activities. Presently, Colowyo operates under numerous Emission Permits issued from the Colorado Department of Health, Air Pollution Control Division, as more particularly described in Section 2.03.10. Copies of all applicable emission permits issued by the Colorado Department of Health are available onsite and can be reviewed by request. Colowyo conducts air quality monitoring at the site in accordance with the requirements of the emission permits.

The principal fugitive dust control practices employed by Colowyo are as follows:

Roads

Colowyo employs a dust suppression program for in pit roads and other unpaved roads which primarily involves periodic watering. Mine water trucks run periodically as needed over the roads wetting down any dusty conditions. During the dryer months of the year, the water trucks will wet down the roads which are being utilized a minimum of two or three times per shift. If determined to be necessary as an addition to periodic watering, a chemical dust suppression agent may be used during the dry months on the primary in pit roads. To this date, however, chemical stabilization of the unpaved in pit roads has not been successful for more than a short period of time due to changing weather conditions and the use of heavy haulage trucks.

Colowyo has surfaced “in-pit” roads with gravel or crushed rock; however, no roads in the pit area will be paved with asphalt. Asphalt could not sustain the enormous weights of the haulage equipment currently in use. Likewise, crawler equipment would rip the asphalt surface causing an extremely hazardous condition for all equipment and personnel. All roads in the mining operation will be constantly maintained by a motor grader, scraper, or rubber tired dozer to remove any coal, rock, or any other debris. Smooth and clean road surfaces are essential for not only minimizing dust, but also for allowing efficient, safe and economic use of haulage equipment.

The haul roads have been paved with asphalt to provide for emission control. The paved roads include approximately five miles of road from State Highway 13 to the main office building, the road from the main office building to the Gossard coal loadout, and the road from the shop facility to the Gossard coal loadout.

A strict speed control will be implemented for all roads to control dust and to provide for safe operation of the equipment.

Most haul road embankment slopes and adjacent areas have been mechanically stabilized and

RULE 2 PERMITS

seeded with a mixture shown in Table 7, Reclamation Seed Mixture. Mechanical stabilization has consisted of furrowing, chiseling, "cat tracking" and mulch, depending on accessibility to the slopes.

No travel of unauthorized vehicles will be allowed on anything other than established roads. All overburden haulage equipment will be restricted only to appropriate roads.

Colowyo does not plan to cover any of the haul trucks because the roundtrip between the coal crushing facility and the active mining area will be relatively short, and the loaded trucks will be moving slowly. Also, care will be taken by the front-end loader or shovel operators not to overfill any of the haul trucks so as to cause excessive fugitive dust.

Coal Crushing Facility

Coal will be hauled from the various mining areas in haulage trucks to the primary crusher facility as shown on the Existing Structures - South Map (Map 22). Following primary crushing, the coal is hauled to the Gossard Loadout facility, as shown on the Existing Structures - North Map (Map 21).

The coal crushing and conveying operations at the primary crusher and the Gossard Loadout have been equipped with a water spraying system at all coal transfer points. A four-sided enclosure has been installed on the truck dump at the primary crusher to prevent excessive dust emissions. The secondary crusher at the Gossard Loadout has a bag house to control coal dust emissions. A stacking tube with metal doors is also used to minimize coal dust emissions at the 100,000 ton crushed coal stockpile. The air quality control measures at the coal crushing handling and loadout facilities have been approved by the Colorado Department of Health, Air Pollution Control Division.

Colowyo maintains several areas for coal storage near the shop facilities and also near the Gossard Loadout. Inactive storage piles have been sloped and compacted to prevent wind erosion and spontaneous combustion. If coal dust becomes troublesome in the active coal storage piles, a mobile water truck with a high pressure pump and nozzle is available for dust suppression. No thermal dryers are used in the coal crushing and handling facilities.

Disturbance

Colowyo, in as much as practical, minimizes the area of land disturbed at any one time. Topsoil is removed only to the extent necessary to accommodate the mining operations. Through the mine plan, the rehandling of both topsoil and overburden is kept to a minimum. Reclamation of disturbed areas will commence as contemporaneously as possible.

As necessary, mobile water truck will be assigned to work in topsoil or overburden removal operations to keep any dusty conditions under control. Planting of special windbreak vegetation in the permit area is not planned.

Blasting

Sequential blasting is utilized as a standard practice to reduce the amount of unconfined particulate matter produced.

Complete blasting information is set forth in Section 2.05.3 and Sections 4.08.1 through 4.08.6.

Fish and Wildlife Plan

Prior to and during the early years of mining, Colowyo implemented wildlife management and range management programs to offset the potential impacts of mining on wildlife and to improve the rangeland in surrounding areas which had deteriorated after years of overgrazing. Other protection measures were also implemented to minimize any possible effects of the increased mining activity.

Also, during the early stages of pre-planning for the mining operation, Colowyo adopted a policy to return the land to a condition capable of supporting the diverse wildlife populations that the area currently supports. The assumption in the late 1970s was that shrub reestablishment would play a key role in wildlife habitat mitigation. These early efforts were unique in that revegetation with shrub species, especially native shrub species, had never been an integral part of pre-mine planning in the West. Virtually no information was available and very little was known about the growth requirements of native species. To reach these early objectives, Colowyo implemented revegetation and wildlife habitat use studies designed to determine the feasibility and techniques of revegetating disturbed areas with native shrub vegetation adapted to northwest Colorado. However, after decades of experience, it has become obvious that reestablishment of shrubs on the reclaimed area is not critical to encourage wildlife use such as by elk.

For example, in recent years it has been observed that elk herds of between 200 and 400 animals utilize the reclaimed grasslands of the mine as foraging habitat. These numbers increase to between 2000 and 4000 animals during the hunting season and then slowly drop off as the snow depths increase and the elk herds migrate to lower elevations. The animals return in the Spring for the early green-up. This occurs for at least three reasons: 1) elk are primarily grazers (grass consumers) by nature, 2) there is abundant, high quality grass on the reclaimed areas especially in comparison to surrounding country which exhibits very little if any grassland acreage and relatively low grass production in shrublands, and 3) elk have learned that harassments (such as hunting) are minimized on mining areas (refuge effect) which allows them to forage in relative peace. Likewise, mule deer populations have been observed on reclaimed grasslands at elevated densities (40-60 animals on a daily basis during the Spring, Summer, and Fall periods). Similarly, 15-20 pronghorn utilize the reclamation on a daily basis during the Spring and early Summer periods.

Following the winter, it has been observed in early spring that forage utilization on the reclamation often ranges between 70 and 90 percent, especially near water sources. In fact, utilization is often so elevated that both elk and mule deer turn to the few unfenced shrubs that have been established about the reclaimed area and cause extensive hedging damage. Over the years it has been observed that such hedging eventually leads to the death of most of these over-utilized shrubs.

Because of the dependence on these areas, and the shrub populations, efforts by Colowyo (as indicated in the previous portions of Section 2.05) have continued to improve reclamation techniques. As discussed in this revision, new and significant strides are being taken to re-establish sagebrush steppe communities as well as grassland areas. Many of these new measures will benefit not only the large game animal segment of the wildlife community, but also other components such as sage grouse and sharp-tailed grouse populations that are dependent on sagebrush and other woody species for forage and cover.

Impacts of Mining Operations on Wildlife Resources Within the Mine Plan Area

Several short term negative impacts to wildlife are to be expected in the permit area. Removal of vegetation communities and habitats will be the most direct impact, resulting in a reduction of forage and cover. Non-mobile species will be destroyed in localized areas as vegetation and topsoil are removed. Mobile species will be temporarily displaced until mined areas are reclaimed. As the mine progresses, some changes in topography will occur through the removing of vegetation, rock outcroppings, draws, etc. which form natural shelters.

Disturbance of soils will affect soil profiles, micro-climate, and other soil properties.

The backfilling and grading as required in Section 4.14.2 will assure that topographic features and drainage patterns will be returned to approximate original contour.

Wildlife species inhabiting the permit area that have the most potential for being affected include deer, elk, sage grouse, and raptors. However, experience to date has shown that all of these species have adapted to the presence of the Colowyo operation, resulting in minimal direct impact. Most of the mitigation measures, protection measures, and habitat improvement techniques are directed toward this wildlife group.

Range and Wildlife Management Programs

Data collected during pre-mine studies during 1974 - 1976 indicated overuse by cattle, deer, and elk. A majority of the browse species (serviceberry, oak, snowberry, bitterbrush, sage, chokecherry) showed overutilization to varying degrees. (It has been evident both past and present that many of the shrubs are in a decadent condition.)

The results of past poor range management practices and heavy browse use have been a reduction in growth with less available forage. In addition, species such as oak and serviceberry have grown taller, with palatable growth being limited to a height which can be reached only by the largest animals.

As oak and serviceberry have grown taller, large windbreaks have been created. In the winter, these areas hold the snow, which becomes deep enough to limit all access by deer and elk. Thirty years of observations on the permit area have shown that winter use of the mountain shrub type by elk and deer is highly dependent on snow depth and severity of winter weather conditions. The use of serviceberry has been limited to shrubs near the edges of the stands where less snow buildup

occurs. Depending on snow depth, elk and deer populations tend to concentrate on south facing hill slope areas where snow depth is minimal.

Colowyo began fencing the boundaries of the Federal lease during the fall of 1976. The fencing was completed during the summer of 1977. At this time all cattle were removed from the lease area. The fencing was completed as part of an overall grazing management program to improve the rangeland after several years of over-grazing. In 1991, Colowyo constructed a similar fence to provide a boundary for the areas added to the Permit and to exclude grazing in this area.

Disturbed Areas

Disturbed acreage has been kept to a minimum in the permit area by proper planning for the location of mine support facilities, haul roads, and pit advance. The mining methods, as discussed in Section 2.05.3, allow for a minimum amount of disturbance on an annual basis (less than 100 acres per pit), when compared to strictly one or two seam mines with similar production levels which disturb several hundred acres annually per pit. Topsoil and vegetation are removed during the summer and fall months to allow for only enough disturbance to facilitate mining advance through June of the following year.

Habitat Improvement Program

Prior to start-up of mining, Colowyo initiated a big game habitat improvement program in January 1976. The purpose of this on-going program was to increase range carrying capacity by increasing available browse and increased access to herbaceous species. Another objective of the program was to provide increased forage on selected undisturbed areas on and adjacent to the mine site to draw wildlife away from newly reclaimed areas until the vegetation became established. A third benefit was to improve enough habitat prior to and during mining in order to offset the temporary loss of habitat from mining.

The technique for habitat improvement involved using a rubber tired or tracked dozer during the winter months, preferably when there was minimal snow cover and the ground was frozen, to shear off the dormant shrubs a few inches above ground level.

The shrubs tended to shear or break off easily when the ground was frozen leaving the root systems undisturbed. During the following spring, vigorous new growth from root sprouting occurred, and easy access was provided for deer and elk. This technique has had the additional effect of allowing grasses and forbs to establish stands that will compete with the shrubs, thus prolonging heights useable by wildlife. Approximately 30 acres of overmature decadent shrubs, i.e., serviceberry, oak, and chokecherry was “brushed” on an annual basis through 1986.

Although no specific data has been collected on these areas, general observations have shown that the areas are heavily utilized by both deer and elk. On all of the areas, any new shrub sprouting is kept down to a height of only a few inches. The one-acre plot that was cleared of vegetation and fenced in 1977 for testing by the Meeker Environmental Plant Center can be used as a good comparison of the differences between browsed and unbrowsed areas that have had similar treatments. Several of the unbrowsed shrubs that have grown up from root sprouting in the Plant

Center plot have attained heights of up to four feet in just a few years. Over a five-year period, we feel the cumulative effects of improving 50-75 acres per year for deer and elk use has been increasingly successful in meeting the objectives of increasing available forage and drawing wildlife away from reclaimed areas.

This wildlife mitigation program is considered a success and was discontinued at permit renewal as reclaimed areas are now attracting a large population of local wildlife populations. Also, suitable areas within the permit for this mitigation had been increasingly difficult to find. Much of the habitat suitable for improvement had already received treatment.

Sagegrouse Mitigation

In a preliminary findings document dated December 11, 1981, the Division requested additional information on sagegrouse use of the Colowyo permit area and a description of habitat mitigation measures. Colowyo submitted the following response, dated May 25, 1982, which satisfied the remaining concerns of the Division.

Sagegrouse Mitigation

I. Ongoing Mitigation Offsetting Current Loss of Sagegrouse Habitat Due to Mining.

Prior to 1976 due to the prior landowners' grazing practices, the rangeland both within the permit area and surrounding areas was in an overgrazed condition.

After 1976 the following changes in the management of the land, then owned by Colowyo, took place which indirectly increased the sagegrouse nesting and brood rearing capacity of the overall area. This increased carrying capacity of the sagegrouse habitat provides the mitigation for any displaced sagegrouse population during mining.

1. From 1976 until 1979 all livestock grazing was stopped in order to allow the range to rest and to return to a more productive state. The immediate benefit to sagegrouse was the increased production of herbaceous vegetation which, along with insects, is an important component to the sagegrouse brood population diet. A secondary benefit was the end of any nest trampling and end of disturbance and heavy grazing around watering areas due to livestock grazing.
2. During 1976 a fence was constructed around the Federal coal lease which eliminated all further livestock grazing in this area. Since 1976 to the present, sagegrouse have continued to benefit as described as #1 above.
3. All other areas outside of the lease fence (approximately 6,000 acres) have been grazed since 1979 at 60% of carrying capacity. This rate would allow for an increased sagegrouse brood population over that which the area supported in an overgrazed condition.

4. Since 1976, numerous areas of thick, decadent stands of the mountain shrub vegetation within and adjacent to the lease area have been cleared of brush as part of the big game mitigation program. As a result of the brushing, the production of succulent herbaceous vegetation has increased, offering more forage for the sage grouse brood population.

The above changes in Management practices of the rangeland around the Colowyo mining area contribute to the increased capability of supporting any displaced sage grouse nesting and brooding population. No additional treatments to mitigate for a displaced sage grouse population are in effect, nor would other methods likely be as effective.

II. Post-mining Mitigation for Sagegrouse

As stated in the Permit Application, sage grouse use of the area to be mined is for nesting and brood rearing purposes.

According to information contained within the Bureau of Land Management Technical Note #330, "Habitat Requirements and Management Recommendations for Sage Grouse," the most important factor for nesting habitat in the sagebrush vegetation type is sagebrush. Within this vegetative community, the majority of sage grouse nests occur under sagebrush. It is assumed that within the mountain shrub vegetative community, sage grouse nest would be found under the mountain shrub components as well as sagebrush.

The most important factor for brooding habitat is the availability of the appropriate foods for the chicks. Also, during the later summer months of brood rearing, the availability of water becomes important.

Within the pre-mine vegetative community, the nesting cover component is assumed to be sagebrush as well as other elements of the mountain shrub community.

Within the post-mining vegetative community, seeded shrubs will supply the necessary requirements for nesting cover.

Within the literature no specific location of nests seem to be indicated other than a preference for less dense and shorter shrubs which seem to indicate a need for quick escape should the hen be flushed unexpectedly. The density and structures of the shrub component within the post-mine community should provide the diversity of cover and density suited to sagegrouse nesting.

Within the pre-mine vegetative community, insects and succulent vegetation provide the majority of the food for the developing chicks. As these food sources mature and dry, the grouse will move to areas still supporting succulent vegetation. These sites include springs, seeps, drainage bottoms and water impoundments. During the late summer and fall months, the important food plants dry up on the upland slopes and the grouse will tend to remain closer to available watering areas where some succulent vegetation is still

available. Many of the grouse are then observed in the alfalfa and irrigated meadowlands on areas around the mining area.

Within the post-mine vegetative community, the food component for brood rearing will be provided by insects and succulent vegetation on reclaimed areas early in chick development. Later into the summer months, as food sources dry up on the upland slopes, food will be available near water impoundments and drainage bottoms being returned to the post-mining topography. The literature indicates no optimum distance between nesting sites and food sources. Evidently, the location of nesting sites are independent of food sources, rather, the nesting locations are based on available cover, and the grouse movements are tied to the availability of succulent vegetation.

For the most part, the mitigation measures indicated above had the desired impact of improving conditions for sage grouse on undisturbed areas under Colowyo control. To the contrary, original reclamation plan measures did not result in a sagebrush component consistent with the original projections in many areas of the mine, especially the old reclaimed units that were revegetated with “introduced” pasture grasses. Beginning in the late 1990s and as evident in revegetated units that have been seeded since then, the sagebrush component of reclamation has improved substantially, but is still not up to original expectations. Therefore, substantial changes to the reclamation plan have been introduced in this submittal to hopefully, make another quantum leap forward in the ability to establish sagebrush steppe communities. Many changes in techniques have been proffered including variable topsoil depths, significantly increased amounts of the appropriate sagebrush seed, proper planting techniques to encourage sagebrush, etc. Given success of these techniques elsewhere in the mining industry, the potential is strong that the original projections for sagebrush establishment at Colowyo will be realized from this point forward.

Additional Mitigation Measures

The pre-planning for a minimum amount of annual disturbance, the establishment of herbaceous species, the replacement of native shrub species, and habitat improvement techniques are the most important areas for minimizing impacts to wildlife, several other protection measures are in effect.

Electric power lines located in the permit area will be constructed in accordance with the requirements of Section 4.18 to minimize potential electrical hazards to large raptors.

Vehicle use within the permit area is limited to the active mining area and the various support facilities. Off-road vehicle use is kept to a minimum and is usually only authorized for surveying, environmental data collection and monitoring, security, etc. Travel by foot, which causes much more disturbance to wildlife than vehicle traffic, is highly unlikely outside active mining areas.

Hunting with firearms inside Colowyo’s permit boundary is allowed and is strictly managed by Colowyo.

Speed limits in the mine area are limited to reduce the likelihood of collisions between vehicles

and wildlife. Colowyo employees are fully aware of the possibility of encountering wildlife on and -around the mine site and take special care to avoid these species.

In summary after several years of mining at Colowyo, the question is no longer whether coal mining at Colowyo has had an adverse impact on local wildlife populations. The population of deer and elk in the vicinity of Colowyo is reaching record levels. There is little doubt that wildlife populations are drawn to the reclaimed areas because of the availability of quality herbaceous vegetation. The immediate vicinity around Colowyo has become well known as a wildlife refuge, particularly during big game seasons.

The issue now is how can Colowyo assist CPW in efforts to control wildlife populations to a level that can be supported by adjacent ranges. To do so, in 1990 we have entered into a cooperative effort with the CPW to establish a "Ranching For Wildlife" area located south of Hayden. Colowyo has also cooperated with the CPW in allowing public hunters access to company properties in Axial Basin Ranch to increase harvest of local cow elk populations.

The concern for wildlife mitigation has clearly evolved from a concern for the impact of mining on the wildlife population to a concern for involving Colowyo in managing increasing populations especially for big game animals, particularly elk. As one of the large landowners in the region, Colowyo will continue to work with the CPW to assist where possible to manage local big game populations.

With regard to sage grouse populations, Colowyo believes that the new revegetation metrics presented within this submittal will more completely address the concern for negative impacts to area populations and brooding habitat. As this new reclamation technology progresses and adapts into the future, it is anticipated that sage grouse use of reclaimed lands will return to pre-mining levels, or perhaps return to elevated levels as has been experienced at certain Wyoming mining operations.

Related to this mitigation and emphasis on wildlife populations, focus must be maintained on the fact that Colowyo is the landowner on the overwhelming majority of disturbed acreage. Were it not for the need for permitting of coal mining operations, and the desire to be a responsible steward of the land, the company could select to manage lands in a manner similar to other Western ranching operations that emphasize red meat production from livestock with little concern for the needs of wildlife.

Protection of Hydrologic Balance and Water Quality

Based on the data, other references available and reclamation plans previously presented in this section, the Colowyo Mine will not adversely affect the hydrologic balance or water quality of the adjacent areas.

The Colowyo Coal Company intends to use all practical methods to maintain the hydrologic balance and water quality in its present state and may improve the surface water characteristics as a result of reclamation procedures. The focus of this discussion will center on the permit area as it is the area of mining disturbance.

The hydrologic balance, previously discussed, will be protected through a number of procedures designed to mitigate any potential impact from mining. Temporary and permanent diversions will route runoff away from disturbed areas to minimize erosion and sediment loss. Temporary channels are designed to safely pass the runoff from a 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event and, where necessary, will be constructed using bank stabilization methods including energy dissipators, sediment traps, and dug outs or a combination of these methods. Drainage culverts will also use energy dissipators at the outlets if necessary so that runoff will not cause additional erosion and subsequently increased total suspended solids (TSS) levels. Detention ponds will be used to detain runoff water from the disturbed areas to allow the TSS to settle out and to attain acceptable concentrations for other parameters consistent with the requirements of the NPDES Permit. Any Small Area Exemptions (SAE's) employed will be designed to minimize contributions of TSS to the hydrologic balance.

Infiltration and percolation of precipitation in the mine area may be enhanced by the reclamation techniques of contour furrowing on hillsides and the continued excellent revegetation success at Colowyo. Infiltration rates for the pre-mined and post-mine condition of the land were presented earlier. Striffler and Rhodes (1981) showed through field measurements, using an intense rainfall simulation, that infiltration capacities of the mulched and revegetated areas were much greater than the pre-mine estimates. Runoff from the revegetated and contour-furrowed areas has been minor to date, as documented by Colowyo Mine personnel. Flows from Streeter Gulch will be moderated with the detention pond.

Moderated flows will continue to pass through the historic drainages. Recharge of the limited groundwater systems in the mine will not be inhibited and may be enhanced through the use of the above techniques.

Groundwater protection, per se, is not necessary in the permit area as essentially no continuous groundwater system exists. Perched aquifers of limited nature will be impacted only in the mine area property. This water will be evaporated. The quantity of groundwater is minimal as evidenced by the lack of water in test holes and the dry active pit.

Protection of water quality will also be maintained at the present variable limits through the use of the reclamation procedures listed above. Groundwater will not be affected as the supplies are minimal. Surface water will not be significantly impacted in the mine area. An ongoing monitoring program is maintained by the Colowyo Coal Company to verify the conclusions in the permit application. Sampling stations are maintained and samples collected in accordance with the water monitoring plan approved by the Division. Refer to the annual reclamation reports for results of the sampling program.

Flow volumes in the Goodspring Creek Alluvial Valley Floor may be impacted by the Colowyo Mine through exercise of water rights. However, the impact is expected to be minimal as the water rights used are owned by the Colowyo Coal Company and are not an integral part of any ranching or farming operation. The Colowyo Augmentation Plan will mitigate the effects on any other water rights and will provide for flows downstream of the affected area. Quality of water in Good Spring Creek will not be affected by the exercise of the water rights.

As discussed under Hydrologic Balance-Permit Area, changes in flow volumes caused by mining and reclamation operations will be less than the accuracy range of present day flow measuring equipment.

In summary, the Colowyo Mine will not significantly affect the hydrologic balance or water quality of the general area or the permit area and the affect to the hydrologic balance within the permit area will be insignificant. Temporary increases in TDS and associated common ions are expected to affect quality in backfilled spoils within the permit area. Refer to annual reports for additional information regarding hydrologic monitoring.

Protection of Public Parks and Historical Places

No public parks are located within the permit or adjacent areas; therefore, no public parks will be affected by the proposed mining operations. Likewise, the proposed mining operations will not effect any places included on or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historical Places.

Because no public parks or historic places, included on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historical Places, will be adversely affected, this Section of the regulations is not applicable to this permit application.

Surface Mining Near Underground Mining

No surface mining activities within the permit area will be conducted within 500 feet of an underground mine. Map 31, Red Wing Mine provides additional information.

Previous underground mining has taken place in the vicinity of the Colowyo operation; this previous mining is discussed in Sections 2.04.3 and 2.04.4

TABLES

TABLE 2.04.11-5 PELLET GROUP PLOTS INTENSIVE TRANSECTS (DAYS USE/ACRE).....	79
TABLE 2.04.11-6 AERIAL GAME COUNTS (NUMBERS OF ANIMALS)	80
TABLE 2.04.11-7 SEX AND AGE RATIOS	81
TABLE 2.04.11-8 WILDLIFE TRANSECT OBSERVATIONS	82
TABLE 2.04.11-9 RODENT SPECIES/HABITAT TYPE	84
TABLE 2.04.11-10 USFWS – SMALL MAMMAL TRANSECTS (PART 1)	87
TABLE 2.04.11-11 WILDLIFE SPECIES THAT OCCUR OR ARE LIKELY TO OCCUR IN THE COLOWYO PERMIT AREA	89
TABLE 2.04.11-12 USFWS – BIRD TRANSECTS.....	101
TABLE 2.04.11-13 USFW – BREEDING BIRD TRANSECTS	104
TABLE 2.04.11-14 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED WILDLIFE SPECIES LIST.....	106
TABLE 2.04.11-15 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED PLANT SPECIES LIST.....	107
TABLE 2.05-1 TOPSOIL BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 2021	108
TABLE 2.05-2 HISTORICAL COAL SHIPPED (SOLD) AND ANTICIPATED ANNUAL SHIPPED (SOLD).....	109
TABLE 2.05-3 MAJOR EQUIPMENT LIST.....	110
TABLE 2.05-4 BLASTING PARAMETERS – OVERBURDEN (TYPICAL)	112
TABLE 2.05-5 BLASTING PARAMETERS – OVERBURDEN PRE-SPLIT SHOT (TYPICAL)	113
TABLE 2.05-6 BLASTING PARAMETERS – COAL (TYPICAL)	114
TABLE 2.05-7 GRAZINGLAND SEED MIXTURE	115
TABLE 2.05-8 WILDLIFE HABITAT SEED MIXTURE.....	116
TABLE 2.05-10 NOXIOUS WEED LISTS/OBSERVATIONS.....	117
TABLE 4.15-1 HERBACEOUS COVER OF THE MOUNTAIN SHRUB TYPE PREMINE AND REFERENCE AREAS	118
TABLE 4.15-2 HERBACEOUS PRODUCTION OF THE MOUNTAIN SHRUB TYPE PREMINE AND REFERENCE AREAS	119
TABLE 4.15-3 HERBACEOUS COVER OF THE SAGEBRUSH TYPE PREMINE AND REFERENCE AREAS.....	120
TABLE 4.15-4 HERBACEOUS PRODUCTION OF THE SAGEBRUSH TYPE PREMINE AND REFERENCE AREAS	121
TABLE 4.15-5 HERBACEOUS COVER OF THE SAGEBRUSH TYPE OLD (1980) AND NEW (1981) REFERENCE AREAS	122
TABLE 4.15-6 HERBACEOUS PRODUCTION OF THE SAGEBRUSH TYPE OLD (1980) AND NEW (1981) REFERENCE AREAS.....	123
TABLE 4.15-7 PREMINE SPECIES DIVERSITY	124

Table 2.05-7 Grazingland Seed Mixture

Grazingland Seed Mix		Seeds (per Pound)	Seeding Rate (lbs. PLS / Acre)	% of Mix (by # of Seeds)	Seeds (per Acre)	Seeds (per Sq. Foot)
GRASSES						
<i>Bromus marginatus</i>	Mountain Brome	90,000	1.00	2.75	90,000	2.07
<i>Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus</i>	Thickspike Wheatgrass	154,000	1.25	5.88	192,500	4.42
<i>Elymus trachycaulus ssp. trachycaulus</i>	Slender Wheatgrass	159,000	0.75	3.64	119,250	2.74
<i>Festuca saximontana</i>	Rocky Mountain Fescue	680,000	0.50	10.39	340,000	7.81
<i>Leymus cinereus</i>	Great Basin Wildrye	130,000	0.50	1.99	65,000	1.49
<i>Nassella viridula</i>	Green Needlegrass	181,000	0.75	4.15	135,750	3.12
<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	Western Wheatgrass	110,000	1.50	5.04	165,000	3.79
<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata ssp. inermis</i>	Beardless Bluebunch Wheatgrass	117,000	2.00	7.15	234,000	5.37
Grass Subtotal		-	8.25	40.99	1,341,500	30.80
FORBS						
<i>Achillea millifolium</i>	Western Yarrow	2,770,000	0.10	8.46	277,000	6.36
<i>Astragalus cicer</i>	Cicer Milkvetch	145,000	0.30	1.33	43,500	1.00
<i>Linum lewisii</i>	Lewis Flax	293,000	0.25	2.24	73,250	1.68
<i>Penstemon strictus</i>	Rocky Mountain Penstemon	592,000	0.25	4.52	148,000	3.40
Forb Subtotal		-	0.90	16.55	541,750	12.44
SHRUBS						
<i>Artemisa tridentata vaseyana</i>	Mountain Big Sagebrush	2,500,000	0.50	38.19	1,250,000	28.70
<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	Fourwing Saltbush	52,000	1.60	2.54	83,200	1.91
<i>Symphoricarpos rotundifolius</i>	Roundleaf Snowberry	75,000	0.75	1.72	56,250	1.29
Shrub Subtotal		-	2.85	42.46	1,389,450	31.90
Total		-	12.00	100.00	3,272,700	75.13

Table 2.05-8 Wildlife Habitat Seed Mixture

Sagebrush Steppe Seed Mix		Seeds (per Pound)	Seeding Rate (lbs. PLS / Acre)	% of Mix (by # of Seeds)	Seeds (per Acre)	Seeds (per Sq. Foot)
GRASSES						
<i>Bromus marginatus</i>	Mountain Brome	90,000	0.50	0.87	45,000	1.03
<i>Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus</i>	Thickspike Wheatgrass	154,000	1.00	2.98	154,000	3.54
<i>Elymus trachycaulus ssp. trachycaulus</i>	Slender Wheatgrass	159,000	1.50	4.62	238,500	5.48
<i>Festuca saximontana</i>	Rocky Mountain Fescue	680,000	0.20	2.63	136,000	3.12
<i>Leymus cinereus</i>	Great Basin Wildrye	130,000	0.20	0.50	26,000	0.60
<i>Nassella viridula</i>	Green Needlegrass	181,000	1.00	3.51	181,000	4.16
<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	Western Wheatgrass	110,000	1.50	3.20	165,000	3.79
<i>Poa ampla</i>	Big Bluegrass	882,000	0.20	3.42	176,400	4.05
<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata ssp. inermis</i>	Beardless Bluebunch Wheatgrass	117,000	2.00	4.53	234,000	5.37
Grass Subtotal		-	8.10	26.26	1,355,900	31.13
FORBS						
<i>Achillea millifolium</i>	Western Yarrow	2,770,000	0.10	5.36	277,000	6.36
<i>Astragalus cicer</i>	Cicer Milkvetch	145,000	0.30	0.84	43,500	1.00
<i>Linum lewisii</i>	Lewis Flax	293,000	0.20	1.13	58,600	1.35
<i>Penstemon palmeri</i>	Palmer Penstemon	610,000	0.10	1.18	61,000	1.40
<i>Penstemon strictus</i>	Rocky Mountain Penstemon	592,000	0.20	2.29	118,400	2.72
Forb Subtotal		-	0.60	7.34	379,100	8.70
SHRUBS						
<i>Artemisa tridentata vaseyana</i>	Mountain Big Sagebrush	2,500,000	1.00	48.41	2,500,000	57.39
<i>Artemisia cana</i>	Silver Sagebrush	850,000	0.50	8.23	425,000	9.76
<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	Fourwing Saltbush	52,000	2.00	2.01	104,000	2.39
<i>Chrysothamnus nauseosus</i>	Rubber Rabbitbrush	400,000	1.00	7.75	400,000	9.18
Shrub Subtotal		-	4.50	66.40	3,429,000	78.72
Total		-	13.20	100.00	5,164,000	118.55

Table 2.05-10 Noxious Weed Lists/Observations

Table 2.05-10 Noxious Weed Lists / Observations							
Colorado "A" List Noxious Weeds (Must be eradicated)							
Scientific Name	Common Name			Scientific Name	Common Name		
<i>Alhagi maurorum</i>	camelthorn	Not on Moffat or Rio Blanco County lists and not observed on or near Colowyo Mine		<i>Hydrilla verticillata</i>	hydrilla	Not on Moffat or Rio Blanco County lists and not observed on or near Colowyo Mine	
<i>Centaurea pratensis</i>	meadow knapweed			<i>Isatis tinctoria</i>	dyer's woad		
<i>Centaurea solstitialis</i>	yellow starthistle			<i>Lespedeza cuneata</i>	sericea lespedeza		
<i>Centaurea virgata</i>	squarrose knapweed			<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	purple loosestrife		
<i>Chondrilla juncea</i>	rush skeletonweed			<i>Peganum harmala</i>	African rue		
<i>Crupina vulgaris</i>	common crupina			<i>Salvia aethiopis</i>	Mediterranean sage		
<i>Euphorbia cyparissias</i>	cypress spurge			<i>Salvinia molesta</i>	giant salvinia		
<i>Euphorbia myrsinites</i>	myrtle spurge			<i>Senecio jacobaea</i>	tansy ragwort		
<i>Hieracium aurantiacum</i>	orange hawkweed			<i>Taeniatherum caput-medusae</i>	medusahead rye		
Colorado "B" List Noxious Weeds (Must be managed)							
Scientific Name	Common Name	County List (M=Moffat, RB = Rio Blanco)	On/near Colowyo Mine	Scientific Name	Common Name	County List (M=Moffat, RB = Rio Blanco)	On/near Colowyo Mine
<i>Acroptilon repens</i>	Russian knapweed	M RB		<i>Euphorbia esula</i>	leafy spurge	M RB	
<i>Anoda cristata</i>	spurred anoda			<i>Hesperis matronalis</i>	dame's rocket		
<i>Anthemis arvensis</i>	scentless chamomile			<i>Hibiscus trionum</i>	Venice mallow		
<i>Anthemis cotula</i>	mayweed chamomile			<i>Hyoscyamus niger</i>	black henbane	RB	YES
<i>Artemisia absinthium</i>	absinth wormwood			<i>Lepidium latifolium</i>	perennial pepperweed	RB	
<i>Cardaria draba</i>	hoary cress	RB	YES	<i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i>	oxeye daisy		
<i>Carduus acanthoides</i>	plumeless thistle	RB		<i>Linaria dalmatica</i>	broad-leaved Dalmatian toadflax	RB	
<i>Carduus nutans</i>	musk thistle	RB	YES	<i>Linaria genistifolia</i>	narrow-leaved Dalmatian toadflax		
<i>Carum carvi</i>	wild caraway			<i>Linaria vulgaris</i>	yellow toadflax	RB	
<i>Centaurea diffusa</i>	diffuse knapweed	M RB		<i>Matricaria perforata</i>	scentless chamomile		
<i>Centaurea maculosa</i>	spotted knapweed	M RB		<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>	Eurasian watermilfoil		
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Canada thistle	RB	YES	<i>Onopordum acanthium</i>	Scotch thistle	RB	
<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	bull thistle		YES	<i>Onopordum tauricum</i>	Scotch thistle		
<i>Clematis orientalis</i>	Chinese clematis			<i>Potentilla recta</i>	sulfur cinquefoil		
<i>Cynoglossum officinale</i>	houndstongue	RB	YES	<i>Saponaria officinalis</i>	bouncingbet		
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>	yellow nutsedge			<i>Tamarix chinensis</i>	salt cedar		
<i>Dipsacus fullonum</i>	common teasel			<i>Tamarix parviflora</i>	salt cedar		
<i>Dipsacus laciniatus</i>	cutleaf teasel			<i>Tamarix ramosissima</i>	salt cedar		
<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i>	Russian-olive		YES	<i>Tanacetum vulgare</i>	common tansy		
<i>Elytrigia repens</i>	quackgrass			<i>Verbascum blattaria</i>	moth mullein		
<i>Erodium cicutarium</i>	redstem filaree						
Colorado "C" List Noxious Weeds (Management may be required by local government)							
Scientific Name	Common Name	County List (M=Moffat, RB = Rio Blanco)	On/near Colowyo Mine	Scientific Name	Common Name	County List (M=Moffat, RB = Rio Blanco)	On/near Colowyo Mine
<i>Abutilon theophrasti</i>	Velvetleaf			<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>	Common St. Johnswort		
<i>Aegilops cylindrica</i>	Jointed goatgrass			<i>Panicum miliaceum</i>	Wild proso millet		
<i>Arctium minus</i>	Common burdock	RB		<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	Perennial sowthistle		
<i>Bromus tectorum</i>	Downy brome		YES	<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	Johnsongrass		
<i>Cichorium intybus</i>	Chicory			<i>Tribulus terrestris</i>	Puncturevine		
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	Poison hemlock		YES	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	Common mullein	RB	YES
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	Field bindweed	RB		Only On Rio Blanco County List			
<i>Halogeton glomeratus</i>	Halogeton	RB		<i>Centaurea nigra</i>	black knapweed	RB	

TABLES

Table 4.15-1 Herbaceous Cover of the Mountain Shrub Type Premine and Reference Areas

<u>Premine Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous M(80%)
Mountain Shrub	100	21.8	20	41.8	21.4	43
<u>Reference Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous M(80%)
Mountain Shrub	50	21.6	20.2	41.8	18.8	33

“t” – test comparison

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 t_e & = & 0 \\
 \text{“t”}_{.80} & \text{(table)} & = 1.28 \\
 1.28 & > & 0
 \end{array}$$

TABLES

Table 4.15-2 Herbaceous Production of the Mountain Shrub Type Premine and Reference Areas

<u>Premine Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous M(80%)
Mountain Shrub	100	5.9*	5.5*	11.5*	9.0	101
<u>Reference Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous M(80%)
Mountain Shrub	50	6.5*	5.9*	12.4*	9.6	99

“t” – test comparison

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & t_e = & .56 \\
 \text{“t”}_{.80} & (\text{table}) = & 1.28 \\
 & & 1.28 \quad .56
 \end{array}$$

* Production is in grams per 1800 cm². For conversion to pounds per acre multiply by conversion factor of 49.56.

TABLES

Table 4.15-3 Herbaceous Cover of the Sagebrush Type Premine and Reference Areas

<u>Premine Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous M(80%)
Sage	195	15.5	15.4	31.0	21.8	81
<u>Reference Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous M(80%)
Sage	50	13.8	13.2	27.0	9.09	19

“t” – test comparison

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 t_e & = & 1.26 \\
 \text{“t”}_{.80} \text{ (table)} & = & 1.28 \\
 & & 1.28 \quad 1.26
 \end{array}$$

TABLES

Table 4.15-4 Herbaceous Production of the Sagebrush Type Premine and Reference Areas

<u>Premine Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous M(80%)
Sage	195	3.6*	5.1*	8.8*	6.9	102
<u>Reference Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous M(80%)
Sage	50	3.3*	4.9*	8.2*	5.3	69

“t” – test comparison

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 t_e & = & .57 \\
 \text{“t”}_{.80} \text{ (table)} & = & 1.28 \\
 1.28 & .> & .57
 \end{array}$$

* Production is in grams per 1800 cm². For conversion to pounds per acre multiply by conversion factor of 49.56.

Table 4.15-5 Herbaceous Cover of the Sagebrush Type Old (1980) and New (1981) Reference Areas

<u>Old (1980) Reference Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous M(90%)
Sage	100	17.3	11.4	28.7	17.3	98
<u>New (1981) Reference Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous m(90%)
Sage	120	18.75	11.42	30.17	15.23	68.54

Table 4.15-6 Herbaceous Production of the Sagebrush Type Old (1980) and New (1981) Reference Areas

<u>Old (1980) Reference Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous m(90%)
Sage	100	14.29*	5.95*	20.24*	10.98	79
<u>New (1981) Reference Area</u>						
	N	Grass X	Forb X	Total Herbaceous X	Total Herbaceous S	Total Herbaceous m(90%)
Sage	120	16.2*	6.3*	23.1*	14.95	113

* Production is in grams per 3600 cm². For conversion to pounds per acre multiply by conversion factor of 24.78.

TABLES

Table 4.15-7 Premine Species Diversity

<u>Plant Species</u>	<u>Percent Weighted Cover</u>	<u>Percent Weighted Composition</u>	<u>Plant Species</u>	<u>Percent Weighted Cover</u>	<u>Percent Weighted Composition</u>
Agropyron spp.	.29	.8	Achillea lanulosa	2.45	6.7(3)
Agropyron intermedium	.05	.1	Allium spp.	.61	1.7
Agropyron riparium	.15	.4	Astragalus bisiculatus	.55	1.5
Agropyron	3.95	10.8(2)	Aster spp.	T	-
Agropyron spicatum	.49	1.3	Balsamorhiza sagittata	.96	2.6
Bromus spp.	.48	1.3	Circium spp.	.41	1.1
Bromus inermis	.44	1.2	Erigeron annuus	.21	.6
Bromus	.57	1.6	Eriogonum spp.	.40	1.1
Bromus tectorum	.36	1.0	Gallium spp.	2.07	5.7
Dactylis glomerata	T	-	Halplopappus spp.	.15	.4
Elymus cinereus	.10	.3	Helianthella spp.	.20	.6
Hordeum hystrix	.20	.6	Lathyrus leucanthus	.59	1.6
Koeleria cristata .	.05	.1	Leptotaenia spp.	.15	.4
Melica bulbosa	.15	.4	Lupinus caudatus	3.71	10.2
Oryzopsis hymenoides	.41	1.1	Oxytropis spp.	.15	.4
Poa ampla	T	-	Penstemon strictus	.05	.1
Poa pratensis	8.25	22.6(1)	Phlox bryoides	.10	.3
Sitanion hystrix	.36	1.0	Ratibida columnaris	.19	.5
Stipa comata	.97	2.7	Senecio integerimus	T	-8
Stipa viridula	1.20	3.3(4)	Tragopogon dubius .	.10	.3
			Vicia americana	.59	1.6
			Wyethia amplexicaulis	.34	.9
			Unknown forbs	<u>4.20</u>	<u>11.5</u>
TOTAL				36.65	100.4%

() Numbers that designate the four species to be used to characterize the pre-mine vegetative diversity standard

T = trace