

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Ted Franciscotti Pit #1	M-2007-006	Sand and gravel	Huerfano
INSPECTION TYPE:	WEATHER:	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring	Cloudy	December 17, 2024	10:40
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERA	FION:
Fremont Paving & Redi-Mix, Inc.	Jodi Schreiber	112c - Construction	Regular Operation
REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:	
Normal I&E Program	Complete Bond	\$81,383.00	
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGE	NCY:
NA	None	None	
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DAT	E:
Amber M. Gibson	A at 10 ha	January 10, 2025	
	A HAR ISON		
	Andre Atter		

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Availability of Records

PROBLEM: The annual report maps have missing/inadequate information. This is a problem for failure to provide all of the information required pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-116 and the Annual Report Form. **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**: The Operator shall submit the 2025 annual report and map with all of the materials required by the Annual Report Form on or by the permit's 2025 anniversary date. **CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 6/17/25

INSPECTION TOPIC: Acid and Toxic Materials

PROBLEM: A fuel spill has occurred at the site which has impacted soils.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The Operator shall remediate the spill and submit a final report to the Division containing at least the following information:

1.) A description of how the spill was cleaned up containing at a minimum - the appropriate maps, volumes removed, and photo documentation.

2.) Evidence that the contaminated soil was disposed of by an approved method (such as sent to an approved landfill, land farming, recycling center, etc.).

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 6/17/25

INSPECTION TOPIC: General Compliance with the Mine and Reclamation Plans

PROBLEM: The current mining and reclamation plans need to be updated and clarified pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-112 (1)(c)(VI) and C.R.S. 34-32.5-116 (1). The Operator must provide sufficient information to describe or identify how the operator intends to conduct the operation and conduct reclamation.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The Operator shall submit a Technical Revision, with the required \$216 revision fee, to update and clarify the current approved mine plan and reclamation plan exhibits and maps to reflect existing and proposed activities by the corrective action date.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 6/17/25

INSPECTION TOPIC: General Compliance with the Mine Plan

PROBLEM: Tamarisk (salt cedar) trees are present within, or have volunteered into, the permit area and are becoming established. This is a problem for failure to employ weed control methods for a state listed noxious weed species within the permitted area, and to reduce the spread of weeds to nearby areas as required by Section 3.1.10 (6) of the rule.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The Operator shall implement the existing weed control plan and provide the Division with photo evidence indicating that the weeds have been eradicated by the corrective action date. **CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 6/17/25

OBSERVATIONS

The Ted Franciscotti Pit #1 was inspected by Amber Gibson with the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division/DRMS). The inspection was completed as part of the Division's routine monitoring inspection program. The site was previously inspected by the Division on June 24, 2020 as a routine monitoring inspection. Jodi Schreiber, representing the Operator, accompanied me during the inspection. The weather was cool and the sky was partly cloudy.

The Ted Franciscotti Pit #1 is adjacent to County Road 640 (which becomes 613 near the east side of the permit boundary) and is approximately 1.75 miles west from the junction of the frontage road along Interstate 25. The site itself is approximately 9.5 miles north of Walsenburg, CO. The site is surrounded by rangeland and farmland with the post-mining land use being rangeland. The site is permitted as a 282-acre 112c Construction Materials Reclamation Permit with a maximum allowed disturbance of 25 acres. The site is a phased operation and will be mined in seven phases. The primary commodities being mined at the site are sand and gravel.

Availability of Records:

The annual report, map, and fee are paid through June 17, 2025. The Division reviewed the last four years of annual reports and maps and determined the following items must be addressed prior to the 2025 submittal of the annual report and map. This has been cited as a problem above.

The Division found that the recent annual report maps were inadequate.

- For the 2025 submittal of the annual report map please refer to the Annual Report Form. The Annual Report Form states that as required by the Colorado Land Reclamation Act for the Extraction of Construction Materials (C.R.S. 34-32.5-116), the Permittee <u>shall attach a map to the report that</u> <u>accurately depicts:</u>
 - i. the permit boundary,
 - ii. the current affected area boundary and;
 - iii. the location of the acreages specified in Items no. 8-12 and 15.

Items 8-12 and 15 on the Annual Report Form are listed below.

- #8. Number of acres currently affected (mining + incomplete and or unreleased reclamation).
- #9. Number of acres that were newly affected during the current report year.
- #10. Number of acres that were reclaimed during the current report year.
- #11. Estimated new acreage to be affected in the next report year.
- #12. Estimated acres to be reclaimed in the next report year.
- #15. Is adequate topsoil reserved for reclamation, based on your approved permit?
- 2. Please also include the following features:
 - A google earth background image
 - A north arrow and scale
 - A legend indicating the polygons and/or lines for the features identified in items 8-12 and 15 on the form OR include clear labels for each feature.

Financial Warranty:

The Division currently holds a reclamation bond in the amount of \$81,383 for this site. The Division has estimated the reclamation liability at the site based on the currently approved reclamation plan with

considerations stemming from a video chat conversation with the Operator and found it to be \$109,250-- a difference of \$27,867 from the bond currently held. The Division's cost estimate is enclosed with this report. <u>The Operator will have 14 days</u> (January 24, 2025), from the issuance of this report to submit any questions on the cost estimate. If no questions are received, the Division may issue a surety increase notice for the difference. The Operator will have 60 days from the date of the notice to submit and obtain acceptance of the increase in financial warranty from the Division in accordance with Rule 4.2.1(2).

Hydrologic Balance and Sediment Control:

The mine plan for this site states that "Silt fences and or sediment retention berms or ditches will be maintained alongside roads and excavation areas to reduce erosion and limit runoff from storm events into pit areas as necessary". Upon entering the pit, the inspector observed that the pit slopes inward, and that a combination of stormwater berms and overburden stockpiles around the pit boundary were in place to help ensure that sediment and stormwater run-off does not leave the site. No signs of sediment or stormwater run-off leaving the site were observed during the inspection.

General Compliance with Mine Plan:

Recent activity/main pit area

The mine was not active during the inspection but had been active recently. Mining at this site has remained within Phase 1 (Maps 1 & 2a). The current pit consists of excavated areas along the north and east banks, as well as some expansion to the south. Product stockpiles and overburden stockpiles (as mentioned in the section above) were observed throughout the mining area (Photo 1). The presence of a visual berm along the northeast corner of Phase 1 was observed and is located where the Permittee's Mining Plan Map indicates. A few Tamarisk trees were observed within the current active mining area (Photo 2). The establishment of both Tamarisk and Russian Olive trees within the historic mining areas (Photos 3-5) has been noted in previous inspection reports (Tamarisk was also observed in the historic area during the current inspection), and had prompted the need for a technical revision (TR1) to establish a weed management plan. Per the approved revision, the Operator shall employ weed control measures throughout the affected area – with the highest priority being the eradication of existing infestations. The presence of noxious weeds throughout the affected area has been cited as a problem above. The Operator shall provide photo evidence to the Division that the noxious weeds have been eradicated through methods approved in the weed management plan by the corrective action date.

Concrete & asphalt stockpiles

To the west of the entrance road, concrete and asphalt stockpiles were observed to the northeast of the area labeled on the approved Mining Plan map as the "Asphalt & Other Process Equipment Operations Area (Some Stockpiling)" (see the Permittee's Mining Plan Map; Maps 1 and 2b; Photos 6 & 7). These were noted in the Division's 2020 inspection as well. In 2020, the inspector was unaccompanied during the inspection and stated in the report that the Operator is not currently approved to backfill with imported inert material, and would need to submit and obtain approval of a technical revision in order to do so. When asked about the stockpiles during the 2024 inspection, the Operator stated that they do not intend to use the material for backfill, but instead want to crush the concrete and incorporate it in with the product and recycle the asphalt in the future.

Breakdown of approved acreage to be affected in Phase 1

Per the currently approved permitting materials, Phase 1 includes approximately 92 acres. Of the 92 acres, 32 acres consist of an area where two separate terminated 111 permits (D.G. Huskin Construction Co (M1997-042 and M1998-081)) were located. The remaining 60 acres include 30 acres of pre-law/historic mining disturbance that will be recontoured so that the slope across the site is more uniform (per the Reclamation Plan), and 30 acres containing spoil material and/or unmined 'pockets' remaining from previous operations (See the enclosed

Permittee's original aerial depiction of minable areas within Phase 1). Upon permitting, Phase 1 was designed as a 'clean-up' portion of the operation, where the unmined 'pockets' would be/may be mined, spoil material would be removed/sold/re-graded, depressed areas left from poor pre-law reclamation would be backfilled with spoil/overburden material and recontoured, a five acre 'Operations Area' would be maintained through Phase 7, and an approximate five acre area on the east side of where the 111 permits had been located would be mined (not included on the Permittee's aerial map but clarified in an adequacy review). In total, <u>only 35 acres of the 92 acre Phase 1 area is currently approved to be mined/excavated</u> (addressed though an adequacy review during the permitting process). See Tables 1 and 2 below for a breakdown of the acreages mentioned above.

Table 1: Description of total acres within Phase 1				
Acres	Acreage Descriptions			
30	Acreage of historic mining to be backfilled, regraded, and revegetated			
	Acreage containing spoil piles (to be processed and/or used to reclaim the			
30	historic mining) and unmined virgin soil 'pockets' that may be mined			
	Acreage approx. 27 acres containing the two terminated Huskin 111 operations			
32	and 5 acres of minable virgin soil on the east side			
Total = 92				

Table 2: Description of the 35 acres of the 92-acre Phase 1 that were to be excavated			
Acres	Acreage Description		
30	Unmined pockets/spoil pile areas		
5	Unmined portion of the 111 Huskin area		
Total = 35			

Breakdown of maximum approved affected acres in Phase 1

The approved mine plan states that no more than 25 acres will be affected in Phase 1 at any one time. Of the 25 acres, 10 acres include the 5 acres of an unmined area within the east side of the 111 Huskin permit area and approximately 5 acres for the Huskins Reject Pile (see enclosed Permittee maps); 10 acres include 10 acres to be mined at any one time (of the 30-acre remnant spoil piles/unmined pocket areas), and the last five acres include the Operations Area. See Table 3 below for a breakdown of the maximum approved acreages.

Table 3: Total p	Table 3: Total planned disturbance at any one time in Phase 1 totaling a 25-acre maximum				
Acres	Acreage Description				
10	Mining/excavating within the 30 acres of unmined pockets/spoil pile areas				
5	Removing the material from the Huskin 111 Reject Pile to use for backfilling the 30 acres of depressed historic areas. This was to be done concurrently with mining the unmined pockets.				
5	Mining the unmined area to the east of the terminated Huskin 111's				
5	Operations area, placed where the terminated and reclaimed 111 Western Mobile permit was located within the Phase I area to be used throughout all Phases (1-7).				
Total = 25					

Following discussions between the Operator and the Division during and after the inspection, the Division is under the impression that mining in 'pockets' may no longer be the operating plan at the site. Although, when looking at aerial imagery in comparison to the Permittee's map showing the pockets, it does appear that new mining disturbance has still primarily been within the unmined pockets and the historic mining areas. The Reclamation Plan Map also states that no trees on the site will be disturbed and refers to the aerial photo map showing the minable pockets (enclosed). In comparison with updated ArcGIS imagery (Maps 1, 2a, and 2b), it appears that some of the trees along the northeast side have been removed as part of recent operations, as well as some trees within the depressed area on the northside of the permit.

Landowner's Pit within Phase 1

There is a pit within the central/south portion of Phase 1 (north of the 111 area) that the Operator stated was created and operated by the landowner for personal use on their land in and around the site (Photos 8-10: Maps 1 and 2b). This pit is within the area labeled as the Western Mobile Reject Backfilled & Reclaimed area on the Permittee's aerial map and is not included as one of the minable pockets. In the current mining plan, this area is depicted as the Permittee's Operations Area -- to be used throughout the life of the mine. Because the landowner's pit is within the Phase 1 permitted area, and consists of active mining activities, it is the Operator's responsibility to ensure that the area is reclaimed according to the approved plan. It was also noted in the Division's previous reports, and observed during this inspection, that it appears that the land owner has been using various areas of the permit area to store materials. These materials are not part of the reclamation plan and will have to be removed prior to bond release.

Huskin Reject Pile Area

According to the Division's previous inspections, as well as a statement from the Operator during the inspection, the material in the Huskin Reject Pile area on the west side of Phase 1 has solely been utilized by the landowner. During the inspection, the Division observed the landowner's equipment in the area (Photos 11-13). Following the inspection, the Division looked at aerial imagery from 2006-2019 in Google Earth (Figure 1), and more recent imagery (undated) on ArcGIS online (see Map 2c), and it appears that this pile has steadily been depleted throughout the years. The Division estimates that roughly 5,875 cubic yards of material remains to be used as backfill/removed/sold/re-graded/incorporated into product. The Division notes that per the mining and reclamation plans, much of this material was intended to be used to backfill, regrade, and recontour the depressed area in the north side of the permit and the depressed historic mining areas in the east.

Estimated 2024 disturbance acreage

The Division's 2020 inspection report estimated that there was approximately 20 acres of disturbance that needed to be reclaimed at the time of the inspection. The 20 acres consisted of 7.75 acres in the eastern area encompassing the pre-law mining disturbances, 9.1 acres of the north/central depressed area that needs recontouring, and 3 acres near the reject stockpile. The polygons created during the 2020 inspection have been overlain onto the 2024 inspection maps (Map 1) for comparison. The Division now estimates that approximately 24.85 acres of disturbance needs to be reclaimed within the Phase 1 area. The new disturbance acreage includes 8.2 acres in the eastern area, 9.1 acres of the central depressed area, 3 acres near the reject stockpile, and 1.55 acres for the landowner's open pit.

Action needed

Due to the discrepancies between the original plan and the current operating strategy, these items have collectively been cited as a problem above. <u>The Operator shall submit a revision to the mine and reclamation</u> plans, the mine and reclamation plan maps, the index map, and to any other affected exhibits to clarify and revise the plan for Phase 1 moving forward – and to any of the Phases 2-7 if needed – by the corrective action date.

Acid and Toxic Materials:

No fuel storage was onsite during the inspection. The Operator stated that when temporary fuel storage is brought onsite, it is stored in MSHA approved containment structures – either in double walled tanks or within an earthen berm that has a holding capacity of at least 110% of the tank.

To the west of the remaining Reject Pile Area, where the landowner has some equipment stored, a small spill was observed (Photo 13). The spill appeared to be spilt fuel and therefore has been cited as a problem above. The Operator shall ensure that the spill is cleaned and shall submit photo evidence to the Division that this has been done by the corrective action date.

<u>Roads:</u>

The current entrance to the site is via the location described in the currently approved mining plan. The mining plan states the following: "Access to the site will be off Huerfano County Road 613. A site access road with a double span steel lockable gate already exists from previous permits at the northeast corner of the proposed area of operations. This access will be utilized for the duration of the mining activities". During the inspection, the Operator showed the inspector where a new scale had been built in 2023 (Maps 1 and 2a; Photo 14). The Operator stated that a new entrance to the site that leads directly to the new scale (Photo 15) will be the new site access point. The road is not included on any of the aerial maps in this report because it was constructed after the most recent aerial imagery was taken (Map 1). The Division told the Operator during the inspection that they will need to submit a technical revision that reflects the new entrance to the site on the map and in (at minimum) the location and mining plan narrative portions of the approved permit application package. The Operator stated that they plan to do so once they determine the plan for how the fences and gates will be rearranged. Because the Operator will be required to submit a revision to address the problems in the General Compliance with Mine Plan section above, the Operator may include this with that revision application.

Reclamation Success:

The area within Phase 1 that contained the terminated 111 permits is still fenced off. Reclamation within this area includes sloping, grading, and revegetation. The reclamation within the area appears to be mostly stable at this time (Photo 16). A piece of equipment owned by the landowner was observed within the 111 area (Photo 17).

Backfilling, Grading, & Revegetation:

The reclamation plan states that the depressed areas from the pre-law operations will be filled with spoil/overburden material and recontoured so that the landscape is returned to a more uniform topography across the site. At the time of the inspection, reclamation in the area to the north (labeled as Depressed Area to be Recontoured on Maps 1 and 2b) has not yet been initiated. Additionally, the pre-law basin, located just west of the Operator's mining area, also has not yet been backfilled and recontoured. Some areas along the east border within the pit area have been partially sloped and/or stockpiled against to reduce the reclamation liability for grading highwalls to a 3H:1V slope (Photos 18-21). There are a few spots where there is still about a three-foot tall highwall remaining (Photo 20), but most of these areas have material stockpiled against them or have been partially graded.

Signs and Markers:

The majority of Phase 1 is bound by fences. Part of the southern boundary is that of the south boundary of the terminated 111 area. On the Mining Plan map, and within the mining plan narrative, it states that the fence is a mix of barbed wire and electric fencing to help keep out cattle while vegetation becomes established. At the inspection, when asked, the Operator stated that it is all barbed fencing, and that they do not believe there is electric fencing onsite.

A mine sign was posted at the entrance to the site in compliance with Rule 3.1.12(1) (Photo 22).

<u>Topsoil:</u>

Topsoil piles were observed along the east sides and above the pit walls, within the north and northeast side of

the Phase 1 (Photo 23). Along the southside of the landowner's pit, there appears to be either topsoil or overburden stockpiled (Photo 10). Because the Operator is responsible for reclaiming mining activities within the permitted boundary, the Operator shall ensure that there is enough topsoil preserved to replace it at a depth of at least six inches (per the reclamation plan) across the site.

Conclusion:

This concludes the Division's Inspection Report; a few maps and a figure displaying topics discussed during the inspection -- and a subset of corresponding photographs that were taken during the time of the inspection -- are included below. If you need additional information or have any questions, please contact me by email at amber.gibson@state.co.us or by telephone at (720) 836-0967.

Inspection Contact Address

Jodi Schreiber Fremont Paving & Redi-Mix, Inc. P.O. Box 841 Canon City, CO 81215

Enclosures: Permittee's Approved Exhibit C - Mining Plan Map Permittee's Approved Exhibit F – Reclamation Plan Map Permittee's original aerial depiction of minable areas within Phase 1 2024 Updated Reclamation Cost Estimate

CC: Jared Ebert, DRMS

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>PB</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>PB</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION Y
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>PB</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>PB</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / **PB** = **Problem cited** / **PV** = Possible violation cited

MAPS, FIGURES, & PHOTOGRAPHS



Map 1: Inspection overview map for the Division's inspection conducted for the Ted Franciscotti Pit #1 (permit no. M-2007-006) on December 17, 2024. The map was generated using ArcGIS Online. The aerial imagery is undated, but in comparison with available Google Earth imagery, it is more recent than September 2019. The contents in this photo focus on the Phase 1 area of the permitted boundary. All the polygons, besides the white and the red polygons, correspond with the Division's 2020 inspection report map. The green polygon indicates the approximate location of the permit boundary. The large white polygon indicates the area where the Operator is actively mining, and an estimation of the new road's orientation on the north side. The small white polygon to the southwest of the active mining area encompasses some pre-law disturbance that is currently approved to be backfilled, regraded, and revegetated per the reclamation plan. The red polygon indicates the area where the landowner has excavated material for use on their property on and around the site. The red dots indicate the locations where inspection photos were taken, and the numbers correspond to the inspection photo's numbers throughout this report.



Photo 1: Looking southwest from the eastern permitter of the permit into the Operator's active pit area. Pictured are some product stockpiles on the pit floor.



Photo 2: Looking northeast into the pit from the southside of the active pit area. Pictured in the foreground is an overburden/historic spoil pile, circled are a few Tamarisk trees, and in the background is a product stockpile.



Photo 3: Looking northwest along the road that separates the Operator's active area (right) from the southwestern-most historic mining area (left). Circled are some Tamarisk trees.



Photo 4: Looking west at the southwestern-most historic mining area. The arrows point to some Tamarisk trees.



Photo 5: Looking south at the southwestern-most historic mining area. Some Tamarisk trees are pictured in the middle and right side of the photo.



Photo 6: Looking north at concrete stockpiles.



Photo 7: Looking north at asphalt stockpiles.



Photo 8: Looking west at the working face within the landowner's excavated area.



Photo 9: Looking east along the south boundary of the landowner's pit disturbance. The arrows point to possible topsoil stockpiles.



Photo 10: Looking north along the west boundary of the landowner's pit disturbance.



Photo 11: Looking north along the west edge of the remaining Reject Pile. To the west of the remaining pile, there is what appears to be an equipment storage area with the landowner's equipment.



Photo 12: Looking west at the south edge of the remaining Reject Pile and at the equipment storage area within the Reject Pile area.



Photo 13: Looking southeast at the spill within the Reject Pile area.



Figure 1: Figure shows the gradual depletion of the Reject material in the Huskin Reject Pile area from 2006-2019 using Google Earth aerial imagery. See Map 2c for more recent imagery of the pile from ArcGIS Online.



Photo 14: Looking north at the new truck scale and scale house constructed in 2023.



Photo 15: Looking south toward the pit from County Road 640/613. The yellow arrow points to the current access road. The red arrow points to the new road that leads to the scale.



Photo 16: (Left) Looking southeast at the east side of one of the reclaimed pits in the Huskin 111 area. (Right) Looking southwest at the west side of one of the reclaimed pits in the Huskin 111 area.



Photo 17: Looking west along the fence separating the 111 area from the north side of Phase 1. Circled is a piece of equipment owned by the landowner within the 111 area.



Photo 18: Looking south along the fence line on the east side of the permit boundary. The stockpile pictured along the edge is the topsoil pile in Photo 23.



Photo 19: Looking north along the fence line on the east side of the permit boundary. Areas along the fence line in this portion, and down to the pit floor, do not exceed a 2H:1V slope.



Photo 20: Looking east at an area along the east fence line within the Operator's pit where a small (~3 foot) portion of highwall remains.



Photo 21: Looking north into the Operator's active mining area at product, overburden, and some topsoil stockpiles. Most of the edges around the pit have been sloped or have stockpiles against them.



Photo 22: Mine sign located along the current access road at the entrance to the site.



Photo 23: Looking southeast at the topsoil piles within the Operator's active area.



Map 2a: Close up of the current operating area within Phase 1 at the Ted Franciscotti Pit #1.



Map 2b: Close up of the current Depressed Area that needs to be recontoured on the northside of Phase 1, the landowner's pit, and the location where concrete and asphalt are stockpiled (Photos 6 and 7).



Map 2c: Close up of the current Huskin Reject Pile Area that has been slowly depleted by the landowner throughout the years (see Figure 1).







COST SUMMARY WORK

1	ask descrip	otion:	Reclamation Co	ost Estimate 2	2024 Update		
Site:	Ted Fran	ciscotti Pit #1	Pe	rmit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Jol	o#: <u>M2007006</u>
<u>P</u>]	ROJECT	IDENTIFIC.	ATION				
	Task #:	000	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
	Date:	1/2/2025	County:	Huerfano		Filename:	M006-000
	User:	AMG					
	Age	ency or organiz	ation name: DI	RMS			

TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)

Task		Form	Fleet	Task	
1 45K	Description	Used	Size	Hours	Cost
001	Grade slopes within east disturbance to 3H:1V	DOZER	1	1.62	\$356
002	Grade highwalls within landowner's pit to 3H:1V	DOZER	1	1.53	\$337
003	Grade Out Reject Stockpile	DOZER	1	40.82	\$8,965
004	Spread topsoil over 25 acres	DOZER	1	69.49	\$15,172
005	Revegetate 25 acres	REVEGE	1	30.00	\$53,587
006	Mobilization/Demobilization	MOBILIZE	1	5.92	\$4,383
	<u>SUBTOTALS:</u> 149.38 \$82,800				

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$1,673
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$869
Job superintendent:	74.69	Total =	\$5,921
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$8,280
		TOTAL O & P =	\$16,743
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) = $\frac{1}{2}$	\$99,543

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs):	\$500	Total =	\$500
Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	4.25	Total =	\$4,231
Reclamation management and/or administration:	5.00		\$4,977
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
		TOTAL INDIRECT COST =	\$26,450

TOTAL BOND AMOUNT (direct + indirect) = ______\$109,250

Task # 001

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Grade sl	opes within east dist	urbance to 3H:1V		
: <u>Ted Franciscotti</u>	Pit #1	Permit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Job#:	M2007006
PROJECT IDEN	TIFICATION				
Task #:001		State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 1/2/20	025 0	County: <u>Huerfano</u>		Filename:	1
User: AMG					
Agency or	organization nam	ne: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUI	PMENT COST	_			
Basic Machine:	Cat D7R DS X	R Series II			
Horsepower:	240				
Blade Type:	Semi-Universal	l			
Auachment: Shift Basis	<u>3-snank ripper</u>				
Data Source:	(CRG)				
Cost Breakdown:					
			Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/H	our:	\$90.24	NA		
Operating Cost/H	our:	\$78.95	100		
Ripper own. Cost/H	our:	\$9.23	50		
Operator Cost/H	our:	\$38.59	NA		
Initial Volume: Swell factor:	902 1.060				
Loose volume:	956 LCY				
Source of estimated	volume: 2	2024 Inspection lengtl 2H:1V	n est. ~ 1559' L x 15' H	slope	
Source of estimated	swell factor:	Cat Handbook			
HOURLY PROD	UCTION				
Average push distan	ice: 50	feet			
Unadjusted hourly p	production: 1,0	22.9 LCY/hr			
Materials consistence	y description:	Consolidated stockr	ile 1.0		
Average push gradie Average site altitude	ent: <u>-15 %</u> e: <u>6,100 feet</u>	;			
Material weight:	2,900 lbs/	LCY			
Weight description:	Sand and	gravel - Dry			
Job Condition Corre	ection Factor		Source		
Oper	rator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)		
Material co	onsistency:	1.000	(CAT HB)		
LI0711	is memoa.	1.100	(30% SL)		

Task # 001

Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)		
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)		
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)		
Push gradient:	1.329	(CAT HB)		
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)		
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)		
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)		
Net correction: 0.5773				
Adjusted unit production: 59	0.52 LCY/hr			

JOB TIME AND COST

Adjusted fleet production: **590.52** LCY/hr

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.372/LCY

Total job time:	1.62 Hours
Total job cost:	\$356

BULLDOZER WORK

							•		
: _	Ted Fran	nciscotti	Pit #1		Per	mit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Job#:	M2007006
Pł	ROJECT	F IDEN	TIFI	CATIO	<u>N</u>				
	Task #:	002			State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
	Date:	1/2/20	25		County:	Huerfano		Filename:	M006-002
	User:	AMG			5			-	
	Ag	gency or	organ	ization n	ame: DI	RMS			
H	OURLY	EQUII	PME	NT CO	<u>ST</u>				
	Basic Ma	achine:	Cat	D7R DS	XR Series	Π			
	Horse	power:	240	• • • •	1				
	Attacl	Type:	Sem 2 ab	1-Univer	sal		_		
	Shift	Basis:	3-sn	ank ripp r dav	er				
	Data S	Source:	$\frac{1}{(CR)}$	G)			_		
C		-	(011	0)					
<u>C</u> C	ost Breake	10WN:					Litilization 0/		
C	Ownershir	o Cost/Ha	our.			\$90.24	NA		
	Operating	g Cost/Ho	our:			\$78.95	100		
Ri	pper own	Cost/Ho	our:			\$9.25	NA		
Ţ	Ripper op.	. Cost/He	our:			\$2.60	50		
1						** • • •	N T 4		
1	Operator	Cost/Ho	our:			\$38.59	NA		
Та	Operator	Cost/Ho	our:	\$210.6	2	\$38.59	NA		
To To	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (Cost/Hou Cost/Hour Cost/Hou	our: _ 	\$219.6 \$219.6	<u>3</u> 3	\$38.59	NA		
То То <u>М</u>	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (IATERIA	Cost/Hou Cost/Hou Cost/Hou AL QU	our:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES	<u>3</u> 3	\$38.59	NA		
To To <u>M</u>	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (IATERIA Initial Vol	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hou <u>AL QU</u> lume:	our:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES	3 3	\$38.59	NA		
To To <u>M</u>	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hou AL QUA lume:	our:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES	3 3	\$38.59	NA		
To To <u>M</u>	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QUA lume: lume: lume:	Dur:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES	3 3	\$38.59	NA		
To To <u>M</u> I So	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hou AL QUA lume: actor: lume: stimated	Dur: 	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES .CY	3 3 2024 Insj	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' J	H slope	
To To To So	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QUA lume: lume: stimated	Jur:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TTIES 	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l	H slope	
To To M I So So	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour ALQUA lume: lume: stimated	ann	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CY he: factor:	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l	H slope	
To To M I So So	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QU/ lume: actor: lume: stimated stimated	Dur:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES .CY he: factor:	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l	H slope	
To To M I So So <u>H(</u>	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour ALQUA lume: lume: stimated stimated	Jur:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES .CY he: factor: ION	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' 1	H slope	
To To To So So <u>Ho</u>	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY verage pus	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QUA lume: lume: stimated stimated CPROD sh distan	Dur:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES .CY he: factor: ION	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand 50 feet	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l	H slope	
To To To So So <u>Ho</u> Ur	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QU/ lume: actor: lume: stimated stimated CPROD sh distan hourly p	Dur:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CY he: factor: ION tion:	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand 50 feet 1,022.9 LC	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' 1 	H slope	
To To To So So <u>Ho</u> Ur Ma	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour ALQUA lume: lume: stimated stimated PROD sh distan hourly p	Jour:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CCY he: factor: ION tion:	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hanc 50 feet 1,022.9 LC Compa	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l mbankment 0.9	H slope	
To To To So So So Hu Av Ur Ma	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet (IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted aterials co	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QU/ lume: actor: lume: stimated stimated <u>PROD</u> sh distan hourly p onsistenc sh gradie	our:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CCY ne: factor: ION tion: ription: -15 %	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hanc 50 feet 1,022.9 LC Compa	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l mbankment 0.9	H slope	
To To To So So <u>Ho</u> Av Ur Ma	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted faterials co verage pus	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QU/ lume: actor: lume: stimated stimated PROD sh distan hourly p ponsistence sh gradie e altitude	ann pur: ir: 725 1.124 815 I volum swell UCT ce: roduct y desc nt:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CY he: factor: ION tion: pription: -15 % 6,100 f	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand 50 feet 1,022.9 LC Compa Čeet	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l mbankment 0.9	H slope	
To To To So So <u>H(</u> Av Ur Ma	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted aterials co verage pus verage site	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QU/ lume: actor: lume: stimated stimated <u>PROD</u> sh distan hourly p onsistenc sh gradie e altitude	and pur:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CY ne: factor: ION tion: -15 % 6,100 f	3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand 50 feet 1,022.9 LC Compa Čeet	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l mbankment 0.9	H slope	
To To To So So So <u>H(</u> Av Ur Ma Av Av	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted aterials cc verage pus verage site aterial we	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QU/ lume: actor: lume: stimated stimated stimated PROD sh distan hourly p onsistence sh gradie e altitude	anti pur: ir: 725 1.124 815 1.124 815 volum swell UCT ce: roduct y desc it: ::	\$219.6 \$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CY he: factor: ION tion: -15 % 6,100 f 2,900 1	3 3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand 50 feet 1,022.9 LC Compa čeet bs/LCY	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l mbankment 0.9	H slope	
To To To So So So Mu Av Ur Ma Av Av Ma	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted aterials cc verage pus verage pus verage pus verage pus verage pus verage pus verage pus verage pus	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QU/ lume: actor: lume: stimated stimated stimated PROD sh distan hourly p onsistency sh gradie e altitude sight: cription:	anti pur: ir: 725 1.124 815 I volum swell UCT ce: roduct y desc nt: ::	\$219.6 \$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CY he: factor: ION tion: -15 % 6,100 f 2,900 l Sand a	3 3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand 50 feet 1,022.9 LC Compa Yeet bs/LCY nd gravel -	\$38.59	 n est ~ 742.23 L x 10' l mbankment 0.9	H slope	
To To To So So Mi Av Ur Mi Av Mi Mi Mi So Ur	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted aterials cc verage pus verage site aterial we feight desc b Conditio	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QUA lume: lume: stimated stimated stimated PROD sh distan hourly p onsistence sh gradie e altitude sight: cription: on Corre	our:	\$219.6 \$219.6 \$219.6 CTIES CY he: factor: ION tion: -15 % 6,100 f 2,900 l Sand a Factor	3 3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand 50 feet 1,022.9 LC Compa Čeet bs/LCY nd gravel -	\$38.59		H slope	
To To To So So Mi Av Ur Mi Av Mi Av Mi	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O IATERIA Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted aterials co verage pus verage pus	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QU/ lume: lume: stimated stimated Stimated CPROD sh distan hourly p consistenc sh gradie e altitude sight: cription: <u>on Corre</u> Oper	our:	\$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CY ne: factor: ION tion: cription: 6,100 f 2,900 l Sand a <u>Factor</u> kill:	3 3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand 50 feet 1,022.9 LC Compa Peet bs/LCY nd gravel - 0	\$38.59		H slope	
To To To So So <u>H</u> Ur Ma Av Av Ma Ma Ur	Operator otal unit C otal Fleet O Initial Vol Swell fa Loose vol ource of es ource of es OURLY verage pus nadjusted faterials cc verage pus verage pus verage pus verage pus initial vel faterial vel definition Ma	Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour Cost/Hour AL QU/ lume: actor: lume: stimated stimated PROD sh distan hourly p onsistenc sh gradie e altitude e altitude cight: cription: <u>Oper</u> aterial co	ANTI 725 1.124 815 I volum swell UCT ce: roduct y desc nt: : :	\$219.6 \$219.6 \$219.6 TIES CY ne: factor: ION tion: tion: cription: 6,100 f 2,900 l Sand a <u>Factor</u> kill: ncy:	3 3 3 2024 Insp .75H:1V Cat Hand 50 feet 1,022.9 LC Compa Čeet bs/LCY nd gravel - 0 0	\$38.59		H slope	

	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.329	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Adjusted unit production:	531.50 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	531.5 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.413/LCY

Total job time:	1.53 Hours
Total job cost:	\$337

BULLDOZER WORK

lask desemption.						
Ted Franciscotti	Pit #1	Per	mit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Job#:	M2007006
PROJECT IDEN	TIFICATIO	DN				
Task #: 003		State [.]	Colorado		Abbreviation [.]	None
Date: $1/2/20$)2.5	County:	Huerfano		Filename:	M006-003
User: AMG		county.			-	11000 000
Agency or	organization r	name: DF	RMS			
HOURLY EQUI	PMENT CO	<u>ST</u>				
Basic Machine:	Cat D7R DS	XR Series	II			
Horsepower:	240					
Blade Type:	Semi-Unive	rsal				
Attachment:	3-shank ripp	er				
Shift Basis:	1 per day					
Data Source:	(CRG)					
Cost Breakdown:						
				<u>Utilization %</u>		
Ownership Cost/H	our:		\$90.24	NA		
Operating Cost/H	our:		\$78.95	100		
Ripper own. Cost/H	our:		\$9.25	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/H	our:		\$2.60	50		
Operator Cost/H	011111		\$38 59	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Hou	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u>	<u>3</u> 3	<i>\$20123</i>			
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QU Initial Volume:	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: \$219.6 ANTITIES 5,875	3 3				
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume:	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: \$219.6 ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY	<u>3</u> 3				
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: \$219.6 ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume:	3 3 Inspection	n Estimate us	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: \$219.6 ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor:	3 3 <u>Inspection</u> Cat Hand	n Estimate us book	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROD	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: \$219.6 ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor: DUCTION	3 3 Inspection Cat Hand	n Estimate us	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Hou MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROD	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor: DUCTION	3 3 Inspection Cat Hand	n Estimate us book	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Hou Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROD Average push distan	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor: DUCTION nce:	3 3 3 Inspection Cat Hand 300 feet 311 1 LCY	n Estimate us book	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROD Average push distan Unadjusted hourly p	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor: DUCTION nce: production:	3 3 3 Inspection Cat Hand 300 feet 311.1 LCY/	n Estimate us book	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated MOURLY PROD Average push distan Unadjusted hourly p	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor: DUCTION nce: production: cy description:	3 3 3 Inspection Cat Hand 300 feet 311.1 LCY/ Compa	n Estimate us book	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated MOURLY PROD Average push distan Unadjusted hourly p Materials consistence Average push gradie Average site altitude	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES <u>5,875</u> 1.060 <u>6,228</u> LCY volume: swell factor: <u>DUCTION</u> nce: production: cy description: ent: <u>-5 %</u> e: <u>5 %</u>	3 3 3 <u>Inspection</u> Cat Hand 300 feet 311.1 LCY/ <u>Compa</u> feet	n Estimate us book	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated MOURLY PROD Average push distan Unadjusted hourly p Materials consistence Average push gradie Average site altitude	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor: <u>DUCTION</u> nce: production: cy description: ent:5 % e:6,100 =	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	n Estimate us book	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated MOURLY PROD Average push distan Unadjusted hourly p Materials consistence Average push gradie Average site altitude Material weight: Weight description:	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor: DUCTION nce: production: cy description: ent:5 % e:6,100 : Sand a	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated MOURLY PROD Average push distan Unadjusted hourly p Materials consistence Average push gradie Average site altitude Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Corre	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES <u>5,875</u> <u>1.060</u> <u>6,228</u> LCY volume: swell factor: <u>DUCTION</u> nce: production: cy description: ent: <u>-5 %</u> e: <u>6,100</u> <u>Sand a</u> ection Factor	3 3 3 Inspection Cat Hand 300 feet 311.1 LCY/ Compa feet bs/LCY nd gravel - 1	n Estimate us book	sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated Mource of estimated Mource of estimated Average push distan Unadjusted hourly p Materials consistence Average push gradie Average site altitude Material weight: Weight description: <u>Job Condition Corree</u> Open	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor: DUCTION nce: production: cy description: ent:5 % e:6,100 = Sand a ection Factor rator Skill:	3 3 3 Inspection Cat Hand 300 feet 311.1 LCY/ Compa feet bs/LCY nd gravel - 1 0.		sing GE imagery and es ming GE imagery and es mbankment 0.9 Source (AVG.)	t 5' H avg.	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated Mource of estimated Materials consistence Average push distan Unadjusted hourly p Materials consistence Average site altitude Material weight: Weight description: <u>Job Condition Corree</u> Open	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES 5,875 1.060 6,228 LCY volume: swell factor: <u>DUCTION</u> nce: production: cy description: ent:5 % e:6,100 : Sand a <u>section Factor</u> rator Skill: onsistency:	3 3 3 <u>Inspection</u> Cat Hand 300 feet 311.1 LCY/ <u>Compa</u> feet bs/LCY nd gravel - 1 0. 0.		sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg	
Total unit Cost/Hou Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated Mource of estimated Mource of estimated Average push distan Unadjusted hourly p Materials consistence Average push gradie Average site altitude Material weight: Weight description: <u>Job Condition Corree</u> Open Material co Dozim	r: <u>\$219.6</u> ur: <u>\$219.6</u> ANTITIES <u>5,875</u> <u>1.060</u> <u>6,228 LCY</u> volume: swell factor: <u>DUCTION</u> nce: production: cy description: ent:5 % e:6,100 = 2,900 = Sand a ection Factor rator Skill: ng method:	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		sing GE imagery and es	t 5' H avg.	

Task # 003

Job efficienc	y: 0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pil	le: 0.900	(SSD-FC)
Push gradier	nt: 1.115	(CAT HB)
Altitud	le: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weigh	nt: 0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade typ	e: 1.000	(PAT)
Net correctio	n: 0.4904	
Adjusted unit production:	152.56 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production:	152.56 LCY/hr	

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$1.440/LCY

Total job time:	40.82 Hours
Total job cost:	\$8,965

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Spread topsoil over 25 acres			
: <u>Ted Franciscotti Pit</u>	t #1 Permit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M2007006</u>
PROJECT IDENTIF	ICATION			
Task #: 004	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: $1/2/2025$	County: Huerfano		Filename:	M006-004
User: AMG				
Agency or orga	anization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPMI	ENT COST			
Basic Machine:	at D7R DS XR Series II	_		
Horsepower: 24	40	_		
Blade Type: Solution	emi-Universal			
Attachment: <u>3</u>	-shank ripper	_		
Shift Basis: 1	per day	_		
Data Source: (C	JRG)	_		
<u>Cost Breakdown</u> :		Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	: \$90.24	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:	: \$78.95	100		
Ripper own	. \$9.25	NA		
Cost/Hour:	£1.20	25		
Ripper op. Cost/Hours	\$1.50	23		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour.	<u>5210.55</u>			
MATERIAL QUAN	<u>FITIES</u>			
Initial Volume: 20	,167			
Swell factor: 1.2	215 503 L CY			
	<u>,505 LC 1</u>			
Source of estimated vo	dume: 6 ²⁷ deep over 25 acres			
Source of estimated sw	Cat Handbook			
HOURLY PRODUC	TION			
	200.6			
Average push distance:	: 280 feet			
Unadjusted hourly	324.4 LCY/hr			
production:				
Materials consistency of	description: <u>Partly consolidated</u>	stockpile 1.1		
Average push	-5 %			
gradient:				
Average site altitude:	6,100 feet			
Material weight:	1,600 lbs/LCY			
Weight description:	Top Soil			
lob Condition Correction	n Factor	Source		
	1140101	Source		

Task # 004

Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	1.100	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.100	(50% SL)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.900	(SSD-FC)
Push gradient:	1.115	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	1.438	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 1.0869

Adjusted unit production:	352.59 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	352.59 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.619/LCY

Total job time:	69.49 Hours	
Total job cost:	\$15,172	

Page 1 of 2

REVEGETATION WORK

Т	ask descrip	otion:	Revegetate 25 ac	cres			
ite:	Ted Fran	ciscotti Pit #1	Per	rmit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Jol	o#: <u>M2007006</u>
<u>PI</u>	ROJECT	IDENTIFIC	ATION				
	Task #:	005	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
	Date:	1/2/2025	County:	Huerfano		Filename:	M006-005

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$117.61
Weed control spraying (MEANS 31 31 16.13 3100)	\$338.80
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$456.41

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Grama - Hachita	0.90	14.69	\$25.78
Indiangrass - Cheyenne	0.60	1.83	\$7.38
Little Bluestem - Native	0.40	2.39	\$6.16
Sideoats Grama - El Reno	1.00	3.28	\$24.42
Galleta	0.20	0.73	\$11.09
Western Wheatgrass - Native	4.80	12.12	\$43.23
Needlegrass, Green - Lodorm	0.50	2.08	\$4.32
Winter Fat	0.50	1.27	\$23.36
Totals Seed Mix	8.90	38.39	\$145.75

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		\$236.64
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$236.64

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Hay, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$492.78	\$985.56
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$985.56

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$85.37
Power mulcher (MEANS 32 91 13.16 0350)		\$157.25
Toto	Mulah Application Cost/Asus	
1003	i Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$242.63

JOB TIME AND COST

	No. of Acres:	25	Cost /Acre:	\$2,066.99
Estimat	ed Failure Rate:	20%	Cost /Acre*:	\$382.39
*Selected Replanti	ng Work Items:	SEEDING		
Initial Job Cost:	\$51,674.75			
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$1,911.95			
Total Job Cost:	\$53,587			
Job Hours:	30.00		_	

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description:	Mo	bilization/Demob	ilization				
: <u>Ted Francisco</u>	tti Pit #1	Permit	Action: <u>2024</u>	Inspectio	<u>n</u>	Permit/Job#: <u>N</u>	12007006
PROJECT IDE	NTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #: 006		State: Co	olorado		Abbro	eviation: None	2
Date: 1/2 User: AN	/2025 IG	County: Hu	ierfano		F:	ilename: M00	6-006
Agency of	or organization	n name: DRMS					
EQUIPMENT 1	RANSPOR	<u>T RIG COST</u>					
					Shift ba Cost Data Sou	rce: 1 per da	ay ata
Truck	Tractor Desc	ription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH	WAY TR 400 HI	UCK TRACTO P (2ND HALF,	OR, 6X4, DIESE 2006)	L POWERED,
Truck	k Trailer Desc	ription: G	ENERIC FOLD	ΟING GOO ΓRAILER	OSENECK, DI 2 (25T, 50T, A)	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T)	JIPMENT
<u>Cost Breakdown:</u>							
Available Rig C	apacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51	+ Tons		
Ownership	Cost/Hour:	\$10.44	\$22.18	\$	23.94		
Operating	Cost/Hour:	\$26.48	\$54.55	\$	55.65		
Operator	Cost/Hour:	\$22.52	\$22.52	\$	22.52		
Helper	Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$23.53	\$	23.53		
Total Unit	Cost/Hour:	\$59.44	\$122.78	\$	125.64		
NON ROADAB	LE EQUIPN	MENT:					
Machine Description	Weight/ Unit (TONS)	Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Cat D7R DS XR Series II	35.93	\$99.49	\$122.78	1	\$222.27	\$122.78	\$250.00
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with Tractor	25.00	\$41.02	\$59.44	2	\$200.92	\$118.88	\$250.00
Power Mulcher (Bowie LD-90)	6.00	\$27.21	\$59.44	1	\$86.65	\$59.44	\$250.00

Subtotals: \$509.84 \$301.10 \$750.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 3/4 T.	\$119.71	1	\$119.71	\$119.71
		Subtotals:	\$119.71	\$119.71

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:	PUEBLO	
Total one-way travel distance:	43.00	miles
Average Travel Speed:	55.00	mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$4,195.57	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$187.18	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non-	
	Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.78	0.78
Return Time (Hours):	0.78	0.78
Loading Time (Hours):	0.70	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.70	NA
Subtotals:	2.96	1.56

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **5.93** Hours

Total job cost: **\$4,383**