

## MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:	
Dewitt Excavating	M-1983-132	Sand	Prowers	
<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b>	WEATHER:	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:	
Monitoring	Clear	September 24, 2024	14:53	
OPERATOR:	<b>OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:</b>	TYPE OF OPERATION:		
DeWitt Excavating, Inc.	Staci Dewitt	110c - Construction Limited Impact		

<b>REASON FOR INSPECTION:</b>		BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program		Complete Bond	\$14,268.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:		POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA		None	None
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:		SIGNATURE DATE:
Ursula Armstrong			October 21, 2024
Amy Eschberger			
	C	werthe tourstand	
		$\bigcirc$	

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

**INSPECTION TOPIC:** Signs & Markers

**PROBLEM #1:** A proper mine identification sign was not posted at the entrance of the mine site. This is a problem for failure to post a mine identification sign in accordance with Rule 3.1.12(1).

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The Operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site post a sign, which shall be clearly visible from the access road, with a minimum size equaling one hundred and eighty-seven (187) square inches, such as eleven (11) inches in height and seventeen (17) inches in width, with appropriate font size, with the following: the name of the Operator, the operation name, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board, and the permit number. The operator shall submit photo documentation that a proper sign has been posted by the corrective action date.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** November 20, 2024

#### **INSPECTION TOPIC:** Financial Warranty

**PROBLEM #2:** The financial warranty is not adequate to reclaim the site in accordance with the approved reclamation plan. This is a failure to maintain the proper financial warranty amount to complete reclamation of the affected lands pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-117(4)(b) and Rule 4.2.1(1).

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The operator shall review the enclosed bond estimate and provide any comments or proof of reclamation completed by the corrective action deadline. If, by the corrective action deadline, no comments have been received, the Division will send a separate surety increase notice to the operator regarding the increase of the financial warranty. The operator will have 60 days from the date on the surety increase notice to post the additional financial warranty.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** November 4, 2024

## **OBSERVATIONS**

This was a normal monitoring inspection of the DeWitt Excavating site (Permit No. M-1983-132) conducted by Ursula Armstrong and Amy Eschberger of the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division). The operator was represented by Staci DeWitt. The site is located approximately ½ mile south of Lamar, CO in Prowers County. Access to the site is from the south off Co Rd Ff. The affected lands are owned by Ivory Terry DeWitt and Janet A. DeWitt. The site is situated in an area of sagebrush-covered sand hills. **Photos 1-12** taken during the inspection are included with this report.

This is a 110c operation permitted for 9.9 acres to mine blow sand for construction use. The approved mining plan includes beginning mining the western side of the sand dune which is elongated north-south, and generally proceeding in an easterly direction. The sand dune will be mined down approximately 15 feet to the level of surrounding lands. No topsoil is available on the sand dune to salvage for reclamation. The post-mining land use for the site is rangeland. The approved reclamation plan includes grading all disturbed land to 3H:1V or flatter, ripping the pit floor to reduce compaction, and seeding the site with a grass and clover mixture recommended by the local Soil Conservation Service (SCS). The SCS recommended the site be mulched with either grass hay or cereal straw at 4,000 lbs/acre, manufactured mulch netting, asphalt spray, jute netting, excelsior mat, or feedlot manure that has 60% or more by weight of heavy chunks 5 inches or more in diameter at 20 tons/acre. The SCS also recommended revegetating the southern portion of the site first, if possible, as the most damaging winds come from the south.

At the time of the inspection, the weather was clear, warm, and dry. A permit sign was posted at the main site entrance off Co Rd Ff. However, the sign was damaged due to several bullet holes, making it difficult to read. <u>The operator will need to replace the mine identification sign and ensure it meets all requirements of Rule</u> <u>3.1.12(1). A problem is cited for this issue; see Problem #1 in this report for the required corrective actions.</u> The corners of the permit boundary were marked with PVC pipes. The site was not active during the inspection. However, according to the operator, the site is active every year in accordance with its intermittent status. The operator representative told the Division mined material was recently hauled off the site and used for local construction in Lamar, and that they keep blow sand stored at their office location. For the operator to retain the permit, they need to be active every year (e.g. material extraction, hauling off-site, or processing stockpiled material), unless the operator wants to apply for Temporary Cessation or initiate final reclamation.

The sand pit is oriented in a north-south direction. The pit wall daylights primarily to the west, and is approximately 15 feet in height with slope gradients ranging from near vertical to 2H:1V. The Division estimates the pit wall to be approximately 1,100 feet in total length. A few material stockpiles were stored on the pit floor, and adjacent to the access road where it enters the pit. The previously mined western portion of the permit area was fairly flat and well-vegetated with grasses, some shrubs, and mature trees (primarily cottonwoods). Vegetative cover of the pit area consisted primarily of annual weeds (e.g., kochia, wild sunflowers) and a few volunteer cottonwood trees. There was some standing water on the pit floor, but given the recent storms in the area, the water is not considered an issue by the Division.

Several dirt bike trails were present throughout the permit area, including over portions of the pit wall and over the larger material stockpile on the pit floor. According to the Division's inspection report from April 18, 2012, the county owns a motorcycle track on the adjacent property, from which, the bikers will frequently ride across the operator's property, including the pit area. Once reclamation of the pit has been initiated, the operator will need to somehow restrict access to the pit to protect it from being re-disturbed by these activities. It appears the pit has almost been mined out given its proximity to the northern, southern, and eastern permit boundaries.

The Division observed an area located directly southeast of the permit area in which the operator is storing concrete rubble, asphalt rubble, slash piles, dirt piles, and old (empty) storage tanks. The Division estimates this storage area to cover approximately 5.75 acres. The Division found documentation in the permit file (November 30, 1993 inspection report) indicating these materials had been initially stored within the permit area, and the Division required the operator to either remove them from the permit area, or to revise the approved mining and reclamation plans to account for the temporary or permanent storage of these materials within the permit area. According to the October 10, 2019 inspection report, these materials were brought to the property from various construction jobs that were completed off site, and they are not associated with the mine site in any way. The Division did not find any mining related material in this area, and none of the stored construction material piles crossed into the mine permit boundary. The operator was reminded to be careful not to utilize this offsite storage area in any way for the mining operation, including storing material mined from the pit, storing material to be used for reclamation of the pit, using the area for mine operation parking, equipment storage, or any other use associated with the mining operation.

After conducting the inspection, the Division recalculated the required financial warranty for reclaiming the 6.85 acres of current disturbance at the site in accordance with the approved reclamation plan (see enclosed bond estimate). The Division estimates the required financial warranty to be in the amount of \$21,123.00, which is \$6,855.00 more than the currently held amount of \$14,268.00. A problem is cited for this issue; please see Problem #2 in this report for the required corrective actions. The operator will have a chance to review the Division's bond estimate and provide any comments prior to a Surety Increase being issued for the site. Once the Surety Increase is issued, the operator will have 60 days to submit the additional required financial warranty.

This concludes the report.

Any questions or comments regarding this inspection report should be forwarded to Ursula Armstrong at the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety, 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, CO 80203, via telephone at 720-793-3031, or via email at <u>ursula.armstrong@state.co.us</u>.

## **PHOTOGRAPHS**



**Photo 1.** View looking northwest across pit area from its southern edge. Note large material stockpile stored on pit floor.



**Photo 2.** View looking south across pit area, showing large material stockpile with dirt bike trail across its middle stored on pit floor.



Photo 3. View looking southeast across pit area.



Photo 4. View looking south across pit area at southern pit wall.



Photo 5. View looking north across the center of the permit area.



**Photo 6.** View looking north at northeastern pit wall, which has more vegetative cover consisting primarily of annual weeds.

#### PERMIT #: M-1983-132 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: UEA, AME INSPECTION DATE: September 24, 2024



Photo 7. View looking east at standing water on pit floor from recent storms.



Photo 8. Northwest permit boundary marker, directly next to DRMS employee (looking west).



**Photo 9.** View looking north at off-site storage area located directly southeast of permit area, showing various stockpiles of imported construction materials stored in this area.



**Photo 10.** View looking southwest at off-site storage area located directly southeast of permit area, showing dirt and stone stockpiles stored in this area.



**Photo 11.** View looking east at off-site storage area located directly southeast of permit area, showing concrete rubble, asphalt rubble, and an old storage tank stored in this area.



Photo 12: Mine identification sign with damage from bullet holes.

#### **GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS**

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY PB	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>Y</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>PB</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>Y</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>Y</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>N</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

Inspection Contact Address Dustin DeWitt DeWitt Excavating, Inc. 7395 U.S. Hwy 50 W Lamar, CO 81052

Encl(s): Division's bond estimate

CC: Amy Eschberger, DRMS

# COST SUMMARY WORK

Т	ask description:	Cost Summary				
Site:	Dewitt Excavating	Permit Action:	9-24-2024 Inspe	ection	Permit/Jol	p#: <u>M1983132</u>
PF	OJECT IDENTIFICA	ATION				
	Task #:         000           Date:         10/18/2024           User:         AME	State:ColoradoCounty:Prowers			Abbreviation: Filename:	None M132-000
	Agency or organiza	tion name: DRMS				
TA	ASK LIST (DIRECT C	<u>OSTS)</u>				
Task	Description		Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost
001	Grade pit wall to 3H:1V	/	DOZER	1	8.47	\$1,760
002	Rip pit floor (2.5 acres)		RIPPER	1	3.97	\$884
003	Revegetate 6.85 acres		REVEGE	1	6.85	\$11,771
004	Mobilization/Demobili	zation	MOBILIZE	1	2.16	\$1,932
			<u>SUBTO</u>	<u>TALS:</u>	21.45	\$16,347
	DIRECT COSTS ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insuranc Performance bond Job superintenden	e: 2.02 d: 1.05			Total = \$	330 172 850
	Profi	t: 10.00	RACT AMOUNT		$Total = \frac{\$}{\$ O \& P = \frac{\$}{\$ 2}}$	1,635 2,986 19,333
LE	GAL - ENGINEERING -	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	:			
	Engineering work and	essing (legal/related costs): for contract/bid preparation: ment and/or administration:	\$0 4.25 5.00			0 822 967
		CONTINGENCY:	0.00		Total = _\$	0
			TOTAL IN	DIRECT	$\Gamma \text{ COST} = $	4,775
		TOTAL BO	ND AMOUNT (di	irect + ir	ndirect) = <u>\$</u> 2	21,123

## BULLDOZER WORK

D		0.04.00041	D '4/T 1	
Dewitt Excavating	Permit Action:	9-24-2024 Inspection	Permit/Job	o#: <u>M198313</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION			
Task #: 001 Date: 10/18/2024 User: AME	State:         Colorado           4         County:         Prowers		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M132-001
Agency or organ	nization name: Deep End Solut	tions		
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST			
	t D7R DS XR Series II			
Horsepower: 240				
Blade Type: Ser Attachment: NA	mi-Universal			
	er day			
	RG)			
Cost Breakdown:	,			
Jost Divardowii.		Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$90.24	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$78.95	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$38.59	NA		
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 4,58 Swell factor: 1.12	3			
Initial Volume: <u>4,58</u> Swell factor: <u>1.12</u>	3			
Initial Volume: <u>4,58</u> Swell factor: <u>1.12</u>	23 24 19 LCY 1me: Highwall 1,100 ft L x	<u>15 ft H</u>		
Initial Volume: 4,58 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 5,14 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe	3	15 ft H		
Initial Volume: 4,58 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 5,14 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor:	3	15 ft H		
Initial Volume: 4,58 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 5,14 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production:	3       24       19 LCY       ume:     Highwall 1,100 ft L x       11     Cat Handbook       210N     50 feet			
Initial Volume: 4,58 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 5,14 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency de Average push	$\frac{33}{24}$ $\frac{19 \text{ LCY}}{19 \text{ LCY}}$ $\frac{10 \text{ Mighwall 1,100 ft L x}}{\text{ Cat Handbook}}$ $\frac{50 \text{ feet}}{1,022.9 \text{ LCY/hr}}$			
Initial Volume: 4,58 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 5,14 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency de	$\frac{33}{24}$ $\frac{9 \text{ LCY}}{19 \text{ LCY}}$ $\frac{10 \text{ M}}{50 \text{ feet}}$ $\frac{50 \text{ feet}}{1,022.9 \text{ LCY/hr}}$ $\frac{50 \text{ feet}}{1,022.9 \text{ LCY/hr}}$			
Initial Volume: 4,58 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 5,14 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency de Average push gradient:	33         24         19 LCY         ume:       Highwall 1,100 ft L x         11       Cat Handbook         210N         50 feet         1,022.9 LCY/hr         escription:       Compacted fill or end         -5 %			
Initial Volume: 4,58 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 5,14 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	33         24         19 LCY         ume:       Highwall 1,100 ft L x         11       Cat Handbook         11       50 feet         1,022.9 LCY/hr         escription:       Compacted fill or end         -5 %         3,700 feet			
Initial Volume: 4,58 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 5,14 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight:	33         24         19 LCY         11       Highwall 1,100 ft L x Cat Handbook         11       Cat Handbook         10N       50 feet         1,022.9 LCY/hr         escription:       Compacted fill or end         -5 %       3,700 feet         2,900 lbs/LCY       Sand and gravel - Dry			

Task # 001

Material consistency:	0.900	(CAT HB))
Dozing method:	1.200	(SLOT)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	1.000	(DOZ-OC)
Push gradient:	1.115	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.5944

Adjusted unit	608.01 LCY/hr
production: Adjusted fleet	608.01 LCY/hr
production:	

### JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.342/LCY

Total job time:	<b>8.47</b> Hours
Total job cost:	\$1,760

## BULLDOZER RIPPING WORK

Task description:	Rip pit floor (2.5 acres)				
Site: Dewitt Excavating	Permit Action	: <u>9-24-2024</u> Ir	nspection Pe	ermit/Job#: <u>M</u>	1983132
PROJECT IDENTIFIC	CATION				
Task #: 002	State: Colorado		Abbrev	iation: None	
Date: 10/18/2024	County: Prowers			mame: M132	-002
User: AME					
Agency or organi	zation name: Deep End Solu	utions			
HOURLY EQUIPMEN	T COST				
Basic Machine:	Cat D7R DS Series II LGP		Horsepower:	240	
Ripper Attachment:			Shift Basis:	1 per day	
11			Data Source:	(CRG)	
Cost Breakdown:					
Cost Breakdown.			Utilization %		
Owners	hip Cost/Hour:	\$90.24	NA		
	ing Cost/Hour:	\$78.95	100		
	hip Cost/Hour:	\$9.25	NA		
Ripper Opera	ting Cost/Hour:	\$5.20	100		
Operation	ator Cost/Hour:	\$38.59	NA		
Total U	Jnit Cost/Hour:	\$222.23			
Total F	leet Cost/Hour: \$22	2.23			
	φ <u>=</u>				
MATERIAL QUANTI' Alternate Methods:	<u>FIES</u> Sele	cted estimating	method: Area		
Seismic: NA	Bank Volume:		BCY	NA	
Area: 2.50 act	res Rip Depth (ft):	1.50	Volume: 0	5,050	BCY or CCY
Source	of estimated quantity: DRMS	S			
HAUDI V BDADUCTI					
HOURLY PRODUCTI					
Seismic:					
	Seismic Velocity:	NA	feet/secon	d	
<u>Area:</u>					
	verage Ripping Depth:	2.45	feet/pass		
A	verage Ripping Width:	6.50	feet/pass		
A	verage Ripping Length:	550.00	feet/pass		
	Average Dozer Speed:	88.00	feet/minut		
	verage Maneuver Time:	0.25	minutes/pa		
р	roduction per unit area:	0.758	acres/hour	•	
Job Condition Correction F	actors				
Unadjusted I	Iourly Unit Production:	0.758	Acres/hr		
	Site Altitude:	3,700	feet		
	Altitude Adj:	1.00	(CAT HB)	)	
	Job Efficiency:	0.83	(1 shift/da	y)	
	Net Correction:	0.83	multiplier		
	usted Hourly Unit Production: usted Hourly Fleet Production:		Acres/hr Acres/hr		
JOB TIME AND COST	<u>1</u>				
Fleet size: 1	Grader(s)	Total job tim	ie: <u>3.9</u>	8	Hours
Unit cost:\$353.4	Per acre	Total job cos	st:\$88	34	

## **REVEGETATION WORK**

Permit Action:	9-24-2024 Inspection	Dermit/Job#	1 (1000100
			: M1983132
ION			
State: Colorado County: Prowers			None M132-003
	State: Colorado County: Prowers	State: Colorado	State:ColoradoAbbreviation:ICounty:ProwersFilename:I

### **FERTILIZING**

#### **Materials**

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer Materials	
			Cost/Acre	\$0.00

## Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

## **TILLING**

Description		Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)		\$117.61
	<b>Total Tilling Cost/Acre</b>	\$117.61

### **SEEDING**

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Sand Dropseed	0.20	23.88	\$2.60
Sideoats Grama - El Reno	3.30	10.83	\$80.60
White Sweet Clover	1.50	8.95	\$8.51
Totals Seed Mix	5.00	43.66	\$91.71

## **Application**

Description	Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)	\$236.64

## Total Seed Application Cost/Acre \$236.64

#### **MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$492.78	\$985.56
<b>Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre</b>				\$985.56

### **Application**

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$85.37
	<b>Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre</b>	\$85.37

### **NURSERY STOCK PLANTING**

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
		Totals	Nursery Stoc	k Cost / Acre	\$0.00

### JOB TIME AND COST

Estimate *Selected Replantin	No. of Acres: ed Failure Rate: ng Work Items:	20%	Cost /Acre: Cost /Acre*:	
Initial Job Cost: Reseeding Job Cost: Total Job Cost: Job Hours:	\$449.84 \$10,841			

## EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description	on: Mo	bilization/Demob	ilization				
e: _Dewitt Exca	avating	Permit	Action: <u>9-24-</u>	2024 Inspe	ection	Permit/Job#:	M1983132
PROJECT ID	DENTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #: 0	004	State: Co	olorado		Abbre	eviation: Nor	ie
	0/18/2024	County: Pr	owers		Fi	lename: M1	32-004
User: A	AME						
Agenc	y or organizatior	n name: Deep E	nd Solutions				
C		<b>1</b>					
EQUIPMENT	T TRANSPOR	T RIG COST					
					Shift ba	sis: 1 per o	dav
				(	Cost Data Sou		
				`	Cost Data Sou		Jata
Tru	uck Tractor Desc	ription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH				EL POWERED,
					(2ND HALF,		
Tr	uck Trailer Desc	ription: G	ENERIC FOLD			· ·	UIPMENT
			]	RAILER	(25T, 50T, AN	ND 100T)	
Cost Breakdown	<u>1:</u>						
Available Rig	Canacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51-	+ Tons		
	hip Cost/Hour:	\$10.44	\$22.18		23.94		
	ing Cost/Hour:	\$26.48	\$54.55	\$5	55.65		
Opera	tor Cost/Hour:	\$22.52	\$22.52	\$2	22.52		
Help	per Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$23.53	\$2	23.53		
Total U	nit Cost/Hour:	\$59.44	\$122.78	\$1	25.64		
NON ROADA	BLE EQUIPN	<u> 4ENT:</u>					
Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return Trip	DOT Permit
Description	Unit	Cost/hr/ unit	Cost/hr/uni	Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/ fleet	
Description	(TONS)		t	5120	fleet		
Cat D7R DS	34.57	\$90.24	\$122.78	1	\$213.02	\$122.78	\$250.00
	51.57	\$70.2 I	\$122.70		Ψ210.02	φ122.70	Ψ230.00

Subtotals: \$435.29 \$245.56 \$500.00

\$122.78

\$250.00

\$222.27

### **ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:**

38.49

\$99.49

Series II LGP Cat D7R DS

Series II LGP

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Light Duty Pickup, 4x2, 1/2 T.	\$13.05	1	\$13.05	\$13.05
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with	\$79.16	1	\$79.16	\$79.16
Tractor				
		Subtotals:	\$92.21	\$92.21

\$122.78

1

## **EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time**

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance: Average Travel Speed:	LAMAR 2.00 50.00	miles
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$1,925.05	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$7.38	

Transportation Cycle Time:

Haul Time (Hours): Return Time (Hours):	Non- Roadable Equipment 0.04 0.04	Roadable Equipment 0.04 0.04
× /		
Loading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Subtotals:	1.08	0.08

### JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: 2.16 Hours

Total job cost: \$1,932