

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:		MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Sokol Pit		M-1980-193	Sand and gravel	El Paso
INSPECTION TYPE:		WEATHER: Windy	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring			October 3, 2024	12:00
OPERATOR:		OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERA	TION:
Rocky Mountain Materials and Asp	ohalt, Inc.	Phil Courtney	112c - Construction	Regular Operation
REASON FOR INSPECTION:		BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:	
Normal I&E Program		Complete Bond	\$113,757.00	
DATE OF COMPLAINT:		POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:	
NA		None	None	
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPE	CTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DAT	TE:
Hunter Ridley			October 10, 2024	
	11 ,	n.		
	Hunter	Kidley		
	1.0.00 0			

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Gen. Mine Plan Compliance **PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION:** Problem: Failure to give notice of an intermittent operator status.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator shall submit a Technical Revision, with the required \$216 revision fee, to update and clarify the current approved mine plan to reflect existing and proposed activities by the corrective action date.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 1/10/25

OBSERVATIONS

This inspection was conducted by Hunter Ridley with the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division) as part of the normal monitoring program. The Permittee, Rocky Mountain Materials and Asphalt (RMMA) was represented by Phil Courtney during the inspection. The Sokol Pit is accessed from Soap Weed Rd (approximately 1.5 miles west of Calhan) where the pit entrance is approximately 2.75 south of US Hwy 24. This is a 112c sand and gravel mine. It was not operating at the time of the inspection.

<u>Availability of Records:</u> Annual fees are paid through October 2023. The previous inspection was on October 29, 2020. The approved post-mine land use is rangeland. There are no open infractions. The Division and the

Operator discussed updates to be made to the Annual Map to clarify where growth media piles are stored onsite.

Backfilling and Grading: Sufficient backfill material appeared to be available for final reclamation.

Excess Spoil and Dev. Waste: Overburden piles were observed in the southeast corner. Overburden is not distinct from topsoil in the area. Overburden piles appeared stable.

Financial Warranty: The Division currently holds a financial warranty amount of \$113,757.00 for this site. In an effort to ensure the Financial Warranty adequately reflects the actual current cost of fulfilling the requirements of the approved reclamation plan, the Division has updated the reclamation cost estimate. The Division has found the current bond to be **inadequate** for reclamation of the site. The updated required bond amount is \$175,224.00. This is an **increase of \$61,467.00**. A copy of staff calculations has been attached with this report. A notice of surety increase will be sent under separate cover.

Fish and Wildlife: No impacts to fish or wildlife were observed.

<u>Hydrologic Balance</u>: No standing water was observed in the pit and no exposed groundwater was observed. Several wash ponds are approved under the permit. These ponds are allowed to vary in size but should not be any larger than 100' wide by 200' long. ponds would start out at approximately 15' to 20' deep. During the inspection, the concrete lined ponds were noted to be within the approved size limits. Ponds were dry and growing vegetation (Photos 2 and 3). An abandoned pump house is located in the middle of the main pit area (Photo 5).

<u>Gen. Compliance with Mine Plan:</u> The operation appeared to be in compliance with the approved mine plan. Google Earth was used to measure the disturbed area, which was estimated to be approximately 35 acres. The current maximum affected area allowed at one time by the permit is 50 acres (approved in AM-01).

The approved mine plan (AM-01) allows the highwalls to be excavated at 1H:1V slopes, then backfilled to 3H:1V for reclamation. High walls were estimated to vary between 20 and 40 feet in height and showed signs of erosion. However, the Division was not concerned that this had the potential for offsite impacts. Several stockpiles of material were piled throughout the site, along with a pile of milled asphalt (Photo 1).

According to site representatives and information provided with the annual report, the site has not actively mined more material from highwalls since 2022. They have however, been removing a few piles of material each year for limited use. This use being less then 180 days per year. However, sale of stockpiled material is occurring via activity which does not fall under 'Indications of Temporary Cessation' as defined in Rule 1.13.2. Therefore, a notice to the Division for a status change to intermittent operation is appropriate. This status change is necessary for the Sokol Pit in order to remain in compliance with Rule 1.13 regarding temporary cessation requirements. Therefore, the Division is citing a problem for failure to give notice of an intermittent operator status. The Operator shall, by the corrective action due date, submit to the Division a Technical Revision Application for Intermittent Status.

Off-site Damage: The operation appeared to be confined to the permit boundary based on onsite observations.

<u>Roads:</u> Haul and access roads did not appear to be a source of sediment that could be tracked offsite.

<u>Revegetation</u>: No revegetation had been initiated at the time of the inspection. No noxious weeds were observed.

<u>Sediment Control</u>: Erosion rills and gullies were observed along all the highwalls onsite (Photos 4,7, and 8). However, this erosion had not progressed much since the Division's last inspection in 2020 and did not appear to be a source where sediment could be tracked offsite. Thus, no BMPs were needed at the time of the inspection.

<u>Support Facilities On-site</u>: No processing equipment was located onsite. The scale had been removed and the scale house remained, though this had been abandoned (Photo 1).

<u>Signs and Markers</u>: The permit sign was properly posted. Several larger company signs had blown down in the wind. Boundary markers consisted of fences on the east and south sides, and T-posts on the north and west sides.

Permit Stipulations: There are no permit stipulations.

<u>Storm Water MGT Plan</u>: No oil or fuel spills observed. Empty and dried out flocculant storage tanks were located in the corner of the main pit (Photo 6). The Division does not consider flocculant to be a hazardous chemical and therefore does not require secondary containment. Stormwater drains to the pit and infiltrates within a sediment pond area (Photo 7).

<u>Topsoil</u>: Topsoil, not distinct from overburden has been stripped and stockpiled for reclamation in several areas, but mainly along the southeastern and northwestern corners of the main pit area (Photo 3). These are labeled 'reclamation piles' on the site's annual map.

Photographs taken during the inspection have been included below. Responses to this inspection report should be directed to: Hunter Ridley at the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety, 1313 Sherman St., Room 215, Denver, CO 80203. Direct contact can be made by phone at 720-868-7757 or via email at hunter.ridley@state.co.us

PERMIT #: M-1980-193 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: HCR INSPECTION DATE: October 3, 2024

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: An empty scale house remains; the scale has been removed.



Photo 2: View west of the overgrown and dry wash ponds.



Photo 3: View southeast of a topsoil / overburden pile in the background, wash ponds are in the foreground.



Photo 4: View north of a highwall area.



Photo 5: View north of an abandoned pump house.



Photo 6: Empty and partially empty tanks of flocculant in the southwest corner of the open pit area.



Photo 7: View of the sediment pond area to the west of the wash pond area.



Photo 8: View north from within the site's western-most pit area.

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

This list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each. No problems or possible violations were noted during the inspection. The mine operation was found to be in full compliance with Mineral Rules and Regulations of the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board for the Extraction of Construction Materials and/or for Hard Rock, Metal and Designated Mining Operations. Any person engaged in any mining operation shall notify the office of any failure or imminent failure, as soon as reasonably practicable after such person has knowledge of such condition or of any impoundment, embankment, or slope that poses a reasonable potential for danger to any persons or property or to the environment; or any environmental protection facility designed to contain or control chemicals or waste which are acid or toxic-forming, as identified in the permit.

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>Y</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES Y	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>Y</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>N</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>Y</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE Y	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>NA</u>	· · ·	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

<u>Inspection Contact Address</u> Phillip Courtney Rocky Mountain Materials and Asphalt, Inc. C/o Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. 1627 Cole Blvd, Suite 200 Lakewood, CO 80401

COST SUMMARY WORK

Т	ask descrip	otion:	Post Inspection	Update					
Site:	Sokol Pit Permit Action:		HCR 2024		Permit/Jol	b#: <u>M1980193</u>			
PR	OJECT	IDENTIFIC	CATION						
	Task #:	000	State:	Colorado		1	Abbreviation:	None	
	Date:	9/19/2024	County:	El Paso			Filename:	M193-000	_
	User:	HR1							
<u>TA</u>	C	ency or organi	ization name: <u>DR</u>	RMS					
Task	Descrip	otion			Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost	
001	Backfil	l and Grading			DOZER	1	23.30	\$8,060	
002	Spread	Topsoil (4") o	over 35 acres		DOZER	1	183.70	\$59,346	
003	Revege	tation of 35 a	cres		REVEGE	1	35.00	\$68,591	
004	Mob an	d De-mob			MOBILIZE	1	1.66	\$1,621	

004	Nob and De-mob	MODILIZE	1	1.00	\$1,021	
005	Remove concrete pond structures	DEMOLISH	1	26.00	\$4,178	
		<u>SUBTO</u>	TALS:	269.66	\$141,796	

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$2,864
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$1,489
Job superintendent:	76.64	Total =	\$6,075
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$14,180
		TOTAL O & P =	\$24,608
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) =	\$166,404

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation: Reclamation management and/or administration:	\$500 0.00 5.00	Total = Total =	\$500 \$0 \$8,320
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
	TOTAL IN	NDIRECT COST =	\$33,428
TOTAL BO	\$175,224		

Page 1 of 2

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Backfill and Grading			
Sokol Pit	Permit Action	n: <u>HCR 2024</u>	Permit/Job#:	M1980193
PROJECT IDENTIE	FICATION			
Task #: 001	State: Colorad	lo	Abbreviation:	None
Date: $9/19/2024$			Filename:	M193-001
User: HR1	y			
Agency or orga	anization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPM	ENT COST			
Basic Machine: Ca	at D8T - 8SU			
Horsepower: 31				
×1	mi-Universal			
	shank ripper			
	per day			
Data Source: (C	RG)			
Cost Breakdown:				
	.	Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:				
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$109.7			
Ripper own. Cost/Hour: Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$13.69			
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$9.24			
	\$346.00			
MATERIAL QUAN' Initial Volume: 12,-				
Swell factor: 1.12				
Loose volume: 13,	995 LCY			
Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe	ll factor: Cat Handbook	d Excel calc		
HOURLY PRODUC	TION			
Average push distance:	100 feet			
Unadjusted hourly produ	action: 852.6 LCY/hr			
Materials consistency de	escription: Consolidated stor	ckpile 1.0		
Average push gradient:	-20 %			
Average site altitude:	6,900 feet			
Material weight:	2,550 lbs/LCY			
Weight description:	Earth - Dry packed			
Job Condition Correction		Source		
Operator		(AVG.)		
Material consis		(CAT HB)	,	
Dozing m	ath a d. 1100	(EOO/OI)		
		(50% SL))	
Visi	bility: 1.000	(30% SL) (AVG.))	

Job efficiency:		0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pi	Spoil pile:		(FND-RF)
Push gradie	ent:	1.426	(CAT HB)
Altitu	de:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:		0.902	(CAT HB)
Blade type:		1.000	(PAT)
Net correction	on:	0.7046	
Adjusted unit production:	60	0.74 LCY/hr	
5 1		0.74 LCY/hr	

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.576/LCY

Total job time:	23.30 Hours
Total job cost:	\$8,060

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Spread Topsoil ((-)====	401.05		
Sokol Pit	Per	mit Action:	HCR 2024	Permit/Job#:	M1980193
PROJECT IDENTI	FICATION				
Task #: 002	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 9/19/2024		El Paso		Filename:	M193-002
User: HR1				-	
Agency or orga	anization name:	RMS			
HOURLY EQUIPM	ENT COST				
	at D8T - 8SU				
Horsepower: 31					
•	emi-Universal				
Attachment: <u>N</u> . Shift Basis: 1					
	per day CRG)				
	.NU)				
Cost Breakdown:					
o o			<u>Utilization %</u>		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$173.32	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour: Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$109.71	100		
Ripper own Cost/Hour		\$0.00	NA		
		¢0.00			
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	100		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$323.07 \$323.07	\$0.00 \$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: <u>18</u> , Swell factor: <u>1.1</u>	\$323.07 \$323.07 TITIES 822 25				
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: Loose volume: 21,	\$323.07 \$323.07 TITIES 822 25 175 LCY	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN' Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu	\$323.07 \$323.07 TITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume:Division	\$40.04			
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: Loose volume: 21,	\$323.07 \$323.07 TITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume:Division	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe	\$323.07 \$323.07 FITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume: Division Cat Hance	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe	\$323.07 \$323.07 FITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume: Division cat Hance CTION	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volt Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance:	\$323.07 \$323.07 FITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume: Division cat Hance TION 350 feet	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe	\$323.07 \$323.07 FITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume: Division cat Hance TION 350 feet	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volt Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance:	\$323.07 \$323.07 TITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume: Division ell factor: Cat Hance CTION action: 350 feet 233.3 LCY	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated sweet HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product	\$323.07 \$323.07 TITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume: Division ell factor: Cat Hance CTION action: 350 feet 233.3 LCY	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN' Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: 1.1 Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated sweether HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency definition	\$323.07 \$323.07 TITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume: Division 11 factor: Cat Hance TION 2350 feet 233.3 LCY escription: Consol	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN' Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: 1.1 Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volto Source of estimated sweet HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency de Average push gradient:	\$323.07 \$323.07 TITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume: Division 11 factor: Cat Hand TION 2350 feet 233.3 LCY escription: Consol 0 %	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: 1.1 Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	\$323.07 \$323.07 TITIES 822 25 175 LCY ume: Division dl factor: Cat Hance TION action: 350 feet 233.3 LCY escription: Consol 0 % 6,900 feet	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: 1.1 Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swee HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correctio	\$323.07 \$323.07 Size 175 25 175 175 LCY ume: Division If factor: Cat Hand 25 175 LCY ume: Division If factor: Cat Hand 21 Cat Hand 21 Division 233.3 LCY escription: Consol 0 % 6,900 feet 2,550 lbs/LCY Earth - Dry packed n Factor	\$40.04	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: 1.1 Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swee HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correctio Operator	$\begin{array}{c c} & \$323.07 \\ \hline \$323.07 \\ \hline \$323.07 \\ \hline \\ $	\$40.04 	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: 1.1 Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated sweether HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency details Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator Material consist Operator	$\begin{array}{c c} & \$323.07 \\ \hline \$323.07 \\ \hline \$323.07 \\ \hline \\ $	\$40.04 	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 18, Swell factor: 1.1 Loose volume: 21, Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swee HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator Material consis Dozing m	$\begin{array}{c c} & \$323.07 \\ \hline \$323.07 \\ \hline \$323.07 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \$323.07 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \$323.07 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \$323.07 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \$22 \\ \hline \\ \$25 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 155 \\ \hline \\$	\$40.04 	NA		

Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.902	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correction:	0.4941	
	5.07 L CV/h-	

Adjusted unit production:	115.27 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	115.27 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$2.803/LCY

Total job time:	183.70 Hours
Total job cost:	\$59,346

REVEGETATION WORK

Task descrip	otion:	Revegetation of 35 acres	
Site: Sokol Pit	,	Permit Action: HC	<u>CR 2024</u> Permit/Job#: <u>M1980193</u>
Task #: Date:	003 9/19/2024	State: <u>Colorado</u> County: El Paso	Abbreviation: None Filename: M193-003
User:	HR1		1 mename. M193-003

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Ammonium nitrate, 33-0-0	10.00	pound	\$0.64	\$6.42
Superphosphate, 0-20-0 with 12% S	40.00	pound	\$0.71	\$28.43
			Total Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$34.85

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Tractor towed spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0120)		\$43.12
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$43.12

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$117.61
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$117.61

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Grama - Hachita	0.20	3.26	\$5.73
Little Bluestem - Native	0.50	2.98	\$7.70
Sideoats Grama - Butte	0.90	2.95	\$21.74
Streambank Wheatgrass - Sodar	1.40	4.56	\$11.62
Western Wheatgrass - Arriba	1.60	4.04	\$14.45
Prairie Sandreed - Goshen	0.20	1.25	\$3.41
Totals Seed Mix	4.80	19.06	\$64.65

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		\$236.64
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$236.64

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$492.78	\$985.56
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$985.56

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$85.37
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$85.37

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
				\$ \$0.00	

JOB TIME AND COST

	No. of Acres:	35	Cost /Acre:	\$1,567.80	
Estimate	ed Failure Rate:	25%	Cost /Acre*:	\$1,567.80	
*Selected Replanting	ng Work Items:	FERTILIZING,TIL	LING,SEEDING,MU		
_	-	LCHING			
Initial Job Cost:	\$54,873.00				
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$13,718.25				
Total Job Cost:	\$68,591				
Job Hours:	35.00				

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description:	-						
Sokol Pit		Permit	Action: HCR	2024]	Permit/Job#:	M1980193
ROJECT IDE	NTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #: 004	Ļ	State: Co	olorado		Abbre	eviation: Nor	ie
Date: 9/1	9/2024	County: El	Paso		Fi	lename: M1	93-004
User: HR	.1						
Agency	or organizatior	n name: DRMS					
QUIPMENT 1	RANSPOR	<u>T RIG COST</u>					
					Shift ba	sis: 1 per o	day
				(Cost Data Sour	rce: CRG I	Data
Truck	Tractor Desc	ription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH				EL POWERED,
				400 HP	(2ND HALF,	2006)	
					· · ·	<i>,</i>	
Truc	k Trailer Desc	ription: G	ENERIC FOLD	ING GOC	,	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
Truc	k Trailer Desc	ription: G		ING GOC	SENECK, DF (25T, 50T, AN	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
	k Trailer Desc	ription: G		ING GOC	,	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
ost Breakdown:		·	7	ING GOC TRAILER	(25T, 50T, AN	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C	apacities	0-25 Tons	7 26-50 Tons	ING GOC TRAILER 51+	(25T, 50T, AN	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership	apacities 0 Cost/Hour:	·	7	ING GOC TRAILER 51+	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
ost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating	apacities	0-25 Tons \$10.44	26-50 Tons \$22.18	ING GOC TRAILER 51+ \$2 \$5	(25T, 50T, AN	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating Operator	apacities Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55	ING GOC <u>TRAILER</u> 51+ \$2 \$5 \$2 \$2 \$2	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94 55.65	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating Operaton Helper	apacities Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52	ING GOC TRAILER 51+ \$2 \$5 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating Operaton Helpen Total Unit	apacities Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53	ING GOC TRAILER 51+ \$2 \$5 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53	ROP DECK EQ	UIPMENT
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating Operaton Helper	apacities Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53	ING GOC TRAILER 51+ \$2 \$5 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53	ROP DECK EQ ND 100T)	
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating Operaton Helpen Total Unit	apacities Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour:	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78 Haul Rig	ING GOC TRAILER 51+ \$2 \$5 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53	ROP DECK EQ ND 100T) Return Trip	DOT Permit
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating Operaton Helpen Total Unit	apacities Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPN Weight/ Unit	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44 MENT:	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78	ING GOC TRAILER 51-1 \$2 \$5 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$1	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53 25.64	ROP DECK EQ ND 100T)	DOT Permit
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating Operator Helper Total Unit ON ROADAB Machine Description	apacities Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPM Weight/ Unit (TONS)	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44 MENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	ING GOC TRAILER 51+ \$2 \$5 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet Size	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53 25.64 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	ROP DECK EQ ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating Operator Helper Total Unit ION ROADAB Machine Description Cat D8T - 8SU	apacities Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPM Weight/ Unit (TONS) 52.21	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44 MENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit \$187.01	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t \$125.64	ING GOC TRAILER	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53 25.64 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$312.65	ROP DECK EQ ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$125.64	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet \$250.00
Cost Breakdown: Available Rig C Ownership Operating Operator Helper Total Unit ON ROADAB Machine Description	apacities Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPM Weight/ Unit (TONS)	0-25 Tons \$10.44 \$26.48 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$59.44 MENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	26-50 Tons \$22.18 \$54.55 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$122.78 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	ING GOC TRAILER 51+ \$2 \$5 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet Size	(25T, 50T, AN - Tons 23.94 55.65 22.52 23.53 25.64 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	ROP DECK EQ ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 1 T. Crew	\$24.60	1	\$24.60	\$24.60
		Subtotals:	\$24.60	\$24.60

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:	CALHAN	
Total one-way travel distance:	5.00	miles
Average Travel Speed:	30.00	mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$1,612.51	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$8.20	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non-	
	Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.17	0.17
Return Time (Hours):	0.17	0.17
Loading Time (Hours):	0.25	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.25	NA
Subtotals:	0.83	0.33

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **1.67** Hours

Total job cost: \$1,621

DEMOLITION WORK

	Task description:	Remove concrete pond stru	ctures		
Site:	Sokol Pit	Permit Action:	HCR 2024	Permit/.	Job#: <u>M1980193</u>
PROJE	CT IDENTIFICATI	ON			
Task # Date User	: 10/8/2024	State: Colorado County: El Paso		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M193-005
	Agency or organ	ization name: DRMS			
<u>UNIT C</u>	<u>OSTS</u>			Location adju	stment: 87.90 %

Structure or Item Description	Dimensions	Demolition Menu Selection	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Concrete Pond Structures	1000 L.F.	Demo. and on-site disposal in existing pit, 1.0 ft. x 2 ft Max. 200 ft. push	1,000.00	LF	\$4.75	\$4,753.50

				Total Cost	
		Subtotal		(adjusted for	
Job Hours:	26.00	(unadjusted):	\$4,753.50	location):	\$4,178.33