

Simmons - DNR, Leigh <leigh.simmons@state.co.us>

M2018057, Old Town Reclamation Project, Inspection Report

Simmons - DNR, Leigh <leigh.simmons@state.co.us> To: mason.drake@venturegoldusa.com, PATRICK MAHER <mptmaher@msn.com> Mon, Sep 23, 2024 at 2:15 PM

The report of last week's inspection of the Old Town Project is attached.

Please note that action is required on your part.

Feel free to contact me with any questions.

Leigh Simmons Environmental Protection Specialist



COLORADO Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety Department of Natural Resources

P 720.220.1180 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, CO 80203 leigh.simmons@state.co.us | https://drms.colorado.gov

M2018057_OldTownRecProj_2024InspectionReportAndRCE.pdf 3655K



MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:		MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Old Town Reclamation Project		M-2018-057	Silver, gold, lead and	Gilpin
INSPECTION TYPE:		WEATHER: Clear	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring			September 17, 2024	10:30
OPERATOR:		OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERAT	FION:
Venture Resources, Inc.		Mason Drake	110d - Designated Li	imited Impact
REASON FOR INSPECTION:		BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:	
Normal I&E Program		Complete Bond	\$16,750.00	
DATE OF COMPLAINT:		POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGE	NCY:
NA		None	None	
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPE	CTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DAT	E:
Leigh Simmons			September 23, 2024	
_	10	0	-	
	1 AR	tis		
	1.0	7		

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Financial Warranty

PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION: Problem: The financial warranty is not adequate to reclaim the site in accordance with the approved reclamation plan. This is a failure to maintain the proper financial warranty amount to complete reclamation of the affected lands pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-117(4)(b) of the Act. **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** Please review the attached Reclamation Cost Estimate and respond with comments within 30 days. After 30 days the Division will initiate a separate Surety Increase notice to increase the financial warranty. The operator will have 60 days from the date on the Surety Increase notice to post the additional financial warranty.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 10/25/24

INSPECTION TOPIC: Signs & Markers

PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION: Problem: The mine identification sign and affected area boundary markers were not observed per the requirements of Rule 3.1.12. The Operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site post a sign, which shall be clearly visible from the access road, with a minimum size equaling one hundred and eighty-seven (187) square inches, such as eleven (11) inches in height and seventeen (17) inches in width, with appropriate font size, with the following: the name of the Operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board; and the permit number.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator must post a sign at the entrance to the mine site which shall be clearly visible from the access road and specify the following; the name of the operator, indicate that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board, and the permit number. The boundaries of the affected area must be marked by monuments or other markers that are clearly visible and adequate to delineate such boundaries.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 10/25/24

OBSERVATIONS

This inspection was conducted as part of the normal monitoring program established by the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division). The inspection was conducted by Leigh Simmons of the Division, and accompanied by Mason Drake of Venture Resources Inc., (permitee and operator).

The Old Town Reclamation Project is a 110(d) operation with a total permit area of 2.76 acres, located approximately 3 miles north of Idaho Springs in Gilpin County, accessed off Druid Mine Road, just east of the junction with Virginia Canyon Road; the average elevation is 9,150 ft.

The permit was issued on April 17, 2019, and has not been revised. As has been documented in Annual Reports, as well as previous Inspection Reports, no mining activity has occurred at the site since the permit was issued.

The locations of photos taken during the inspection are shown on the screenshot of the field map.

Financial Warranty:

The Division currently holds a reclamation bond of \$16,750 for the site. As is customary, the Reclamation Cost Estimate (RCE) was re-evaluated with current unit costs at the time of the inspection. No changes to the RCE tasks were made, but unit costs and indirect costs were updated to be consistent with other estimates made by the Division. The RCE is appended to this report and shows that the total reclamation liability has increased to \$26,661, so the current bond is insufficient. A problem has been cited above.

Signs and Markers:

For a 110(d) permit, the permit boundary and affected area boundary are identical. The boundary is shown on several maps, including Map E1, Mining Plan, Affected Area Description. An attempt was made to georeference the map, using the property lines shown on the map and a GIS layer of legacy tax parcels made available by Gilpin County; although this process is not perfect, it was possible to digitize an approximate permit boundary polygon for use in the field. No boundary markers could be located in the field. A problem has been cited above.

MAPS & PHOTOGRAPHS



Figure 1: Screenshot of inspection map, showing approximate permit boundary in purple and inspection features in green



Figure 2: Gate on entrance road, 355



Figure 3: Historic waste dump from south, 356



Figure 4: Eastern edge of historic waste dump, 357





Figure 6: Northern edge of permit area from south, 358



Figure 7: Evidence of surface runoff, 359



Figure 8: Northern edge of permit area from north, 360



Figure 9: Historic mine shaft, 361

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>N</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY PB	(RD) ROADS <u>N</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>N</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>N</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>N</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>N</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>N</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>PB</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>N</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION <u>N</u>	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>N</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

Inspection Contact Address

Mason Drake Venture Resources, Inc. P.O. Box 3338 Idaho Springs, CO 80452

Enclosure

CC:

COST SUMMARY WORK

_	Old Town Project	n Reclamation	n Pe	rmit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Job#	t: <u>M2018057</u>
<u>'</u>		IDENTIFIC					
	Task #:	000	State:	Colorado			None
	Date:	9/23/2024	County:	Gilpin		Filename:	057-2024
	Dute.						

TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)

Task	Description	Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost
001	Rip Final Surface	RIPPER	1	4.06	\$1,399
002	Revegetation	REVEGE	1	3.00	\$9,364
003	Soil Amendments	SITEMAINT	1	6.00	\$6,055
		ENANCE	ļ		
004	Mobilization	MOBILIZE	1	2.15	\$3,190
		<u>SUBTO</u>	TALS:	15.21	\$20,008

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$404
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$210
Job superintendent:	7.60	Total =	\$602
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$2,001
		TOTAL O & P =	\$3,217
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) =	\$23,225

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	<u>\$0</u> 8.59	_ Total = Total =	\$0 \$1,995
Reclamation management and/or administration:			\$1,440
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
	TOTAL I	NDIRECT COST =	\$6,653
TOTAL BO	ND AMOUNT (d	lirect + indirect) =	\$26,661

BULLDOZER RIPPING WORK

1	Task description:	Rip Fin	al Surface	9				
Site:	Old Town Reclama Project	tion	Pern	nit Action:	2024 Inspection	n I	Permit/Job#:	M2018057
	PROJECT IDENTI	FICATION	N					
	Task #: 001		State:	Colorado		Abl	breviation:	None
	Date: $9/23/2024$	4	County:	Gilpin			Filename:	057-001
	User: LDS	<u>.</u>		onpin				
	Agency or org	anization na	me: DR	MS				
	HOURLY EQUIPM	-		WIG .				
÷	Basic Machi		⊥ 8T - 8SU			Uoranowar		310
	Ripper Attachme		nk Ripper			Horsepower: Shift Basis:	-	ber day
	Ripper / Ittaelinik	. <u> </u>	in rupper			Data Source:		CRG)
	Cost Breakdown:						`	
	Cost Dieakuowii.					Utilization %		
	Ow	nership Cost/	Hour:		\$173.32	NA		
		erating Cost/			\$109.71	100	_	
		nership Cost/			\$14.53	NA	_	
		erating Cost/			\$7.95	100 NA	_	
		perator Cost/ tal Unit Cost/			\$38.59 \$344.10	NA	_	
	10				\$344.10			
	Tot	al Fleet Cost/	Hour:	\$344	4.10			
	MATERIAL QUAN	NTITIES		Sele	ected estimating	method: Are	ea	
:	Alternate Methods:							
mic:	NA		Bank	Volume:	NA	BCY		NA
rea:	2.76	acres	Rip L	Depth (ft):	1.00	Volume:	4,453	BCY o
	Sour	rce of estimat	ed quantity	y: Attachi	ment V - Cost E	stimate		
	HOURLY PRODU	CTION						
	<u>Seismic:</u>	C .:	: - V -1	.:	NT A	fa at /a a	d	
		Sei	smic Veloc	city:	NA	feet/se	cond	
	<u>Area:</u>							
		0	Ripping De	±	1.00	feet/pa		
		Average R Average R	Ripping Wi		7.08 450.00	feet/pa feet/pa		
			Dozer Sp		88.00	feet/mi		
		Average M			0.25	minute		
		Production			0.818	acres/h	-	
	Job Condition Correction	on Factors						
	Unadjust	ed Hourly U	nit Product	ion:	0.818	Acres/	hr	
		,	Site Altitu		9,080	feet		
			Altitude A		1.00	(CAT)	HB)	
		J	ob Efficie		0.83	(1 shif		
			let Correct	•	0.83	multip		
		Adjusted Ho	ourly Unit I	Production:	0.68	Acres/hr		
		Adjusted Ho	urly Fleet l	Production:	0.68	Acres/hr		
:	JOB TIME AND CO	<u>OST</u>						
	Fleet size:	1 (Grader(s)		Total job time	e:	4.06	Hours
	Unit cost: \$50)6.707 I	Per acre		Total job cos	+ •	\$1,399	
		JU./U/ I			T UTAL TOD COS	ι	リエ・シフフ	

CIRCES Cost Estimating Software

REVEGETATION WORK

Project	n Reclamation	Per	rmit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Jol	o#: <u>M2018057</u>
PROJECT	IDENTIFICA	<u>FION</u>				
Task #:	002	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
rask n.		County:	Gilpin		Filename:	057-002
Date:	9/23/2024	County.	Onpin			

FERTILIZING

Materials Units / Cost / Unit Cost /Acre Description Unit Acre \$2,961.16 \$1,332.52 Manure, delivery (average cost), per ton 0.45 ton **Total Fertilizer** Materials \$1,332.52 Cost/Acre

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Manure, tractor spreader (MEANS 32 91 13.23 4450)		\$77.10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$77.10

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$117.61
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$117.61

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Arizona Fescue - Redondo	2.00	22.96	\$30.13
Canby Bluegrass - Canbar	1.50	31.89	\$22.49
Sheep Fescue - Azay	1.00	15.61	\$4.83
Thickspike Wheatgrass - Critana	3.00	10.61	\$24.45
Western Wheatgrass - Rosanna	4.00	10.10	\$35.10
Totals Seed Mix	11.50	91.16	\$116.99

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		\$236.64
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$236.64

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$492.78	\$985.56
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$985.56

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$85.37
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$85.37

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre					\$0.00

JOB TIME AND COST

	No. of Acres: ed Failure Rate: ng Work Items:	Cost /Acre: Cost /Acre*:	
Initial Job Cost: Reseeding Job Cost:	\$1,216.64		
Total Job Cost: Job Hours:			

SITE MAINTENANCE

	Task description: Old Town Reclamation Project	Soil Amendments Permit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/.	Job#: M2018057
<u>PROJE</u>	CT IDENTIFICATION	<u>1</u>			
Task #:	: 003	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date:	: 9/23/2024	County: Gilpin		Filename:	057-003
User:	: LDS				

UNIT COSTS

Maintenance Item	Hours per Year	Menu Selection	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Apply Lime	3.00	USER PROVIDED	555.00	Bags	\$10.00	\$5,550.00
		ITEM				
Other Soil	3.00	USER PROVIDED	2.76	Acre	\$183.00	\$505.08
Amendments		ITEM				

Job Hours: <u>6.00</u>

Total Cost: \$6,055.08

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Т	ask descripti	on: N	Aobilization							
e: _	Old Town Project	Reclamation	Peri	mit Action:	2024	Inspectior	.]	Permit/Joł	o#: <u>M</u>	2018057
<u>PF</u>	ROJECT II	DENTIFICA	<u>TION</u>							
	Task #:	004	State:	Colorado			Abbre	eviation:	None	
	Date:	9/23/2024	County:	Gilpin				lename:	057-0	04
	User:	LDS		•						
	Agen	cy or organizat	ion name: DR	MS						
<u>E(</u>)UIPMEN'	<u>T TRANSPO</u>	ORT RIG COST	<u>Γ</u>						
							Shift ba	sis'	1 per da	v
						(Cost Data Sou		CRG Da	
<u>Co</u>	T: <u>st Breakdow</u>	ruck Trailer De <u>n:</u>	escription:	GENERIC		ING GOC	(2ND HALF, DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, AN	ROP DEC	K EQUI	IPMENT
A	vailable Rig	g Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50	Tons	51-	- Tons			
	Owners	ship Cost/Hour	: \$10.44	\$22	2.18	\$2	23.94			
		ting Cost/Hour			.55		55.65			
		ator Cost/Hour		\$22			22.52			
		per Cost/Hour			8.53		23.53			
	Total U	Jnit Cost/Hour	: \$59.44	\$12	2.78	\$1	25.64			
<u>N(</u>	ON ROADA	ABLE EQUI	PMENT:							
Ν	Aachine	Weight	Owner shi	o Haul F	Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return		DOT Permit
	Description	Unit	Cost/hr/ un			Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/	/ fleet	Cost/ fleet
	•	(TONS))	t			fleet			
	Cat D8T - 8SU		\$187.85	\$125.64		1	\$313.49	\$125.64		\$250.00
S	Drill/Broadcast eeder with ractor	25.00	\$41.02	\$59.44		2	\$200.92	\$118.88		\$500.00
Р	ower Mulcher Bowie LD-90)		\$27.21	\$59.44		1	\$86.65	\$59.44		\$250.00

Subtotals: \$601.06 \$303.96 \$1,000.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 1 T. Crew	\$46.67	1	\$46.67	\$46.67
		Subtotals:	\$46.67	\$46.67

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:	CENTRAL CITY	
Total one-way travel distance:	3.10	miles
Average Travel Speed:	15.00	mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$3,171.08	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost **		_
** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$19.29	

Transportation Cycle Time:

Haul Time (Hours): Return Time (Hours):	Non- Roadable Equipment 0.21 0.21	Roadable Equipment 0.21 0.21
Return Time (Hours): Loading Time (Hours):	0.21 0.33	0.21 NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.33	NA
Subtotals:	1.08	0.41

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **2.15** Hours

Total job cost: \$3,190