

July 14, 2024

Mr. Patrick Lennberg Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, CO 80203

RE: M-1977-439 TR07 Response to Adequacy Review No. 2

Dear Mr. Patrick Lennberg:

Please accept this letter as our response to your Adequacy Review No 2.

 A discrepancy with terminology needs to be addressed. In the submitted materials for TR-07, the pit at the northwest side of the site is named E-II and the pit at the southeast side is named E-I. However, older materials in the file for this permit indicate that these are opposite; the northwest area is Pit E-I and the southeast area is E-II. An example of this is Exhibit C-4, submitted in 2021 for Amendment No. 4 (AM-4)

<u>Response</u>: As requested, the attached exhibits have been revised to reflect E-l in the northwest and E-ll in the southeast as shown inn AM-4 and AR-3.

I can be reached at 970-407-3631 or julie.mikulas@martinmarietta.com if any additional information is needed.

Sincerely,

Julie Mikulas

Julie Mikulas Regional Land Manager

Rocky Mountain Division – Northern Office 1800 N Taft Hill Road, Fort Collins, CO 80534 julie.mikulas@martinmarietta.com www.martinmarietta.com



IDENTIFIED STRUCT	URES		IDENTIFIED STRUCTURES				
STRUCTURE ID	STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION	OWNER	STRUCTURE ID	STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION	OWNER		
A-1	UNLINED POND	WILLIAM O & PAULETTE M SEAWORTH	A-31	STRUCTURE/DIESEL FUEL	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, IN		
A-2	WATER STORAGE RESERVOIR/ACCESS	WILLIAM O & PAULETTE M SEAWORTH	A-32	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, IN		
A-3	CONVEYOR	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-33	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, IN		
A-4	UNLINED POND/ACCESS	RONNY AND BILLY LLC	A-34	STRUCTURE/ELECTRICAL PANEL	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, IN		
A-5	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY REA, INC	A-35	WATER STORAGE RESERVOIR	CITY OF GREELEY, FORT COLLINS		
A-6	TAFT HILL ROAD	LARIMER COUNTY ROAD AND BRIDGE	A-36	STRUCTURE/GRAVEL APPURTENANCE	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, IN		
A-7	STRUCTURE/BUILDING (TO BE REMOVED)	ANIMAL FRIENDS ALLIANCE	A-37	CITY OF FORT COLLINS TRAIL SYSTEM PATH	CITY OF FORT COLLINS		
A-8	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	ANIMAL FRIENDS ALLIANCE	A-38	STRUCTURE/RESIDENCE	JOHN F JR & SUSAN E GLASS		
A-9	STRUCTURE/BUILDING/APPURTENANCES	ANIMAL FRIENDS ALLIANCE	A-39	STRUCTURE/RESIDENCE/APPURTENANCES	RYAN ZIGRAY RESOURCES, INC.		
A-10	STRUCTURE/BUILDING/APPURTENANCES	BIANCA KATZ & MIKE L BRASKICH	A-40	CITY OF FORT COLLINS TRAIL SYSTEM PARKING LOT	CITY OF FORT COLLINS		
A-11	STRUCTURE/RESIDENCE/SEPTIC SYSTEM/APPURTENANCES	ALBERT R & SHARON E KOONCE	A-41	STRUCTURE/RESIDENCE/APPURTENANCES	KENNETH C & KATHLEEN M HOPK		
A-12	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY REA, INC	A-42	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY REA, INC		
A-13	ACCESS EASEMENT TO KOA	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-43	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC MAJOR TRANSMISSION LINE	PLATTE RIVER POWER AUTHORIT		
A-14	SEWERLINE & WATERLINE	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-44	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY REA, INC		
A-15	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY REA, INC	A-45	STRUCTURE/APPURTENANCES	CITY OF GREELEY, FORT COLLINS		
A-16	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-46	OPEN POND	CITY OF GREELEY, FORT COLLINS		
A-17	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-47	WATER STORAGE RESERVOIR	CITY OF GREELEY, FORT COLLINS		
A-18	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-48	FENCE	CONNELL RESOURCES, INC		
A-19	STRUCTURE/BUILDING/APPURTENANCES	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-49	IRRIGATION LATERAL	DAVID L & VIRGINIA S SLATTEN		
A-20	UNLINED POND	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-50	IRRIGATION LATERAL	SEAWORTH PROPERTIES LLC		
A-21	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-51	FENCES	SEAWORTH PROPERTIES LLC		
A-22	STRUCTURE/PROPANE TANKS	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-52	FENCES	TRACY J & MICHAEL D KEEGAN		
A-23	RIVER DROP STRUCTURE	LARIMER AND WELD IRRIGATION CO	A-53	IRRIGATION LATERAL	LOVELAND READY MIX INC		
A-24	IRRIGATION COMPANY STRUCTURES AND CANAL	LARIMER AND WELD IRRIGATION CO	A-54	UNPAVED ACCESS ROAD	LOVELAND READY MIX INC		
A-25	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	LARIMER AND WELD IRRIGATION CO	A-55	DRAINAGE DITCH	PKR FARM LLC		
A-26	IRRIGATION COMPANY STRUCTURES AND CANAL	ARTHUR IRRIGATION COMPANY	A-56	WATERLINE AND EASEMENT	CITY OF GREELEY		
A-27	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-57	WATERLINE AND SERVICE	WEST FORT COLLINS WATER DIS		
A-28	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-58	TELEPHONE LINE	CENTURYLINK		
A-29	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-59	GAS LINE	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF C		
A-30	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-60	OPEN POND	WILLIAM & PAULETTE SEAWORTH		

6 7	
OWNER: LOVELAND READY-MIX CONCRETE INC	TETRA TECH Mutual Mutual Mutual Mutual Intervence Interv
OWNER: MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	
A-50 TOTAL FUND OWNER: KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	PTION BY L SUBMITTAL BY CY REVIEW 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
LOVELAND WATER DISTRICT, NORTH WELD COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, E. LARIMER COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	IARIETTA MARK DATE DESCRIF DFFICE 12/23/21 ORIGINA DFFICE 11/10/22 ADEQUA TY, COLORADO 11/10/22 ADEQUA ONDITIONS 11/10/22 ADEQUA AN AN 11/10/22 ADEQUA
IS	DRMH PROJ: 117-8741002 DESN: JJA DRWN: JJA CHKD: KKJ



IDENTIFIED STRUCTURES			IDENTIFIED STRUCTURES			
STRUCTURE ID	STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION	OWNER	STRUCTURE ID	STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION	OWNER	
A-1	UNLINED POND	WILLIAM O & PAULETTE M SEAWORTH	A-31	STRUCTURE/DIESEL FUEL	MARTIN MARIET	
A-2	WATER STORAGE RESERVOIR/ACCESS	WILLIAM O & PAULETTE M SEAWORTH	A-32	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIET	
A-3	CONVEYOR	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-33	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	MARTIN MARIET	
A-4	UNLINED POND/ACCESS	RONNY AND BILLY LLC	A-34	STRUCTURE/ELECTRICAL PANEL	MARTIN MARIET	
A-5	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY REA, INC	A-35	WATER STORAGE RESERVOIR	CITY OF GREELE	
A-6	TAFT HILL ROAD	LARIMER COUNTY ROAD AND BRIDGE	A-36	STRUCTURE/GRAVEL APPURTENANCE	MARTIN MARIET	
A-7	STRUCTURE/BUILDING (TO BE REMOVED)	ANIMAL FRIENDS ALLIANCE	A-37	CITY OF FORT COLLINS TRAIL SYSTEM PATH	CITY OF FORT CO	
A-8	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	ANIMAL FRIENDS ALLIANCE	A-38	STRUCTURE/RESIDENCE	JOHN F JR & SUS	
A-9	STRUCTURE/BUILDING/APPURTENANCES	ANIMAL FRIENDS ALLIANCE	A-39	STRUCTURE/RESIDENCE/APPURTENANCES	RYAN ZIGRAY RE	
A-10	STRUCTURE/BUILDING/APPURTENANCES	BIANCA KATZ & MIKE L BRASKICH	A-40	CITY OF FORT COLLINS TRAIL SYSTEM PARKING LOT	CITY OF FORT CO	
A-11	STRUCTURE/RESIDENCE/SEPTIC SYSTEM/APPURTENANCES	ALBERT R & SHARON E KOONCE	A-41	STRUCTURE/RESIDENCE/APPURTENANCES	KENNETH C & KA	
A-12	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY REA, INC	A-42	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY	
A-13	ACCESS EASEMENT TO KOA	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-43	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC MAJOR TRANSMISSION LINE	PLATTE RIVER P	
A-14	SEWERLINE & WATERLINE	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-44	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY	
A-15	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	POUDRE VALLEY REA, INC	A-45	STRUCTURE/APPURTENANCES	CITY OF GREELE	
A-16	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-46	OPEN POND	CITY OF GREELE	
A-17	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-47	WATER STORAGE RESERVOIR	CITY OF GREELE	
A-18	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-48	FENCE	CONNELL RESOL	
A-19	STRUCTURE/BUILDING/APPURTENANCES	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-49	IRRIGATION LATERAL	DAVID L & VIRGIN	
A-20	UNLINED POND	KAMPGROUNDS OF AMERICA	A-50	IRRIGATION LATERAL	SEAWORTH PRO	
A-21	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-51	FENCES	SEAWORTH PRO	
A-22	STRUCTURE/PROPANE TANKS	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-52	FENCES	TRACY J & MICH	
A-23	RIVER DROP STRUCTURE	LARIMER AND WELD IRRIGATION CO	A-53	IRRIGATION LATERAL	LOVELAND READ	
A-24	IRRIGATION COMPANY STRUCTURES AND CANAL	LARIMER AND WELD IRRIGATION CO	A-54	UNPAVED ACCESS ROAD	LOVELAND READ	
A-25	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	LARIMER AND WELD IRRIGATION CO	A-55	DRAINAGE DITCH	PKR FARM LLC	
A-26	IRRIGATION COMPANY STRUCTURES AND CANAL	ARTHUR IRRIGATION COMPANY	A-56	WATERLINE AND EASEMENT	CITY OF GREELE	
A-27	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-57	WATERLINE AND SERVICE	WEST FORT COL	
A-28	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-58	TELEPHONE LINE	CENTURYLINK	
A-29	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-59	GAS LINE	PUBLIC SERVICE	
A-30	STRUCTURE/BUILDING	MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC.	A-60	OPEN POND	WILLIAM & PAULI	

A-20		TETRA TECH	www.tetratech.com	1900 S. SUNSET STREET, SUITE 1-E LONGMONT, COLORADO 80501 TEL: 303.772.5282
A-24 A-23 MINER: LARIMER AND WELD IRRIGATION CO DWNER: CITY OF PORT COLLINS				
	BY			
	MARK DATE DESCRIPTION 12/23/21 ORIGINAL SLIBMITTAL	11/10/22 ADEQUACY REVIEW 1		
ATERIALS, INC. MATERIALS, INC. MATERIA	MARTIN MARIETTA	HOME OFFICE LARIMER COUNTY, COLORADO		EXISTING CUNUTIONS PLAN
S SLATTEN RTIES LLC RTIES LLC U RTIES LLC D KEEGAN IX INC	PRO DESI DRW CHKI	J: N: D: C	¹¹⁷⁻	8741002 JJA JJA KKJ







EXHIBIT D – THE MINING PLAN

Excavation is occurring on a deposit of Valley-fill materials of the Pleistocene and recent ages which makes up the flood plain of the Cache La Poudre River. The deposit can occur naturally at the surface, or where it has been exposed by flooding or other erosion. The majority of the deposit is overlain by soil. The deposit extends to a variable depth of 10 to 20 feet, with the majority of the deposit occurring to a depth of 15 feet.

Referring to the soil series descriptions under Exhibit I, deposit material will be considered to commence at the top of the C soil profile horizon for affected soils. The C horizon occurs at depths of one to five feet from the surface, depending upon the soil/ A and B soil profile horizons will be salvaged as soil and managed as discussed under Exhibit I. The exception will be on areas lacking soil profile development [(92) – Riverwash soils], or where soil was removed prior to 1973 [(42) – Mined-out areas]. On such areas, the deposit will be considered to occur at the surface.

Underlying the deposit throughout the affected lands is a deposit of Pierre Shale. The shale is encountered as a result of deposit variations. Since the shale occurs under reduced conditions, it appears grey to dark grey when exposed. Shale will be treated as waste material and will be left in piles on the pit floor to aid in the creation of an irregular pond bottom for improved aquatic habitat. The shale is not expected to have an adverse effect on the water quality of the resulting pond since it is a natural part of the local hydrology. It should be noted as well that the shale will be minimally encountered, and will not comprise the majority of the pit floor, since residual deposit material and reject fines will remain there as well. A typical cross section of area geology, and related drill log information, is located at the back of Exhibit G – Water Resources.

Site preparation prior to mining will occur in the following manner. First, trees and other woody vegetation, where encountered and requiring removal, will have the slash windrowed and burned, or will be hauled off site to a designated land fill. Tree trucks and limbs will be stored for disposal into final ponds for fish habitat enhancement; or will be bucked and sold or given away as firewood; or will be hauled off site to a designated land fill. Every effort will be made to avoid nonessential removal or impact to mature woody vegetation. Existing woody vegetation can be viewed under Exhibit C1 and C2, Existing Conditions Aerial Photograph.

EXHIBIT D; PAGE 1

Next, soil to be salvaged will be removed with scrapers in advance of one years anticipated excavation. Maintaining optimum vegetation cover as excavation progresses over the site, will aid in maintaining overall site stability. Salvaged soil will be stockpiled on the excavation perimeters. The stockpile will not exceed 25 feet in width or 3 feet in height, and all final slopes will be at 3h:lv. slopes, and stockpile height will be limited by the area extent to which they are confined, and by the percent slope as indicated. All remaining excess soil will be stockpiled at plant site locations and made available for sale. Soil volume records will be maintained to assure adequate soil replacement depth. Stabilization of soil stockpiles, soil replacement depths, and other soil management information is detailed under Exhibit I – Soils Information.

Following soil salvage, excavation will proceed to remove the aggregate deposit and transport it to one of the plant site/deposit stockpile areas for processing. All earth moving operations utilize modern earthmoving equipment, including (but not limited to): scrapers, front-end loaders, shovels, draglines, bulldozers, backhoes, haulers, and other equipment of various makes, sizes, and capacities.

As excavation advances, grading of the perimeter will occur concurrently with mining. Only the advancing pit wall will be steeper than 3h:lv (with the exception of areas of excavation greater than 10 feet below the final anticipated pond water level, where slopes may approach 2h:lv). All other slopes will be maintained at 3h:lv, or flatter. Some slope anomalies may occur where slopes exceed 3h:lv, but not steeper than 2h:lv. Such anomalies will generally not exceed 25 linear feet, but may occur within five feet above to ten feet below the anticipated final elevation of the water in the resulting ponds. This is contrary to Rule 6.1 (f), and is mentioned, not in an effort to circumvent the rule, but to allow some room for error. Such anomalies will not exceed 10% of the total linear feet of the final shoreline for each individual pond, resulting in an allowance of 10% slope error.

Before excavation can occur to any significant extent, and in order to mine the resulting pits in a dry condition, dewatering must occur. Eventually, dewatering trenches are created at the bottom of the pit floor. Water is discharged at points identified on Exhibit C3 and C4. The dewatering of pits is discussed in detail under Exhibit G – Water Resources.

EXHIBIT D, PAGE 2

The excavation limits are represented under Exhibit C3 and C4, and establish specific minimum distances between the excavation and man-made structures or natural features. Anomalies of 10% of the total length involved may occur where excavation breaches the minimum setbacks, however, such anomalies will not exceed 10% of the required setback distance. These setbacks, or buffers, are specified below:

- * Adjacent property (i.e., permit boundaries) = 25 feet.
- * Irrigation ditches = 50 feet.
- * Residential buildings (unless a written agreement specifying closer distances exists) = 200 feet.
- * Colorado Southern Railroad and adjacent power line = 50 feet.
- * Taft Hill Road = 75 to 125 feet.
- * Fort Collins Recreation Trail = 25 feet.

As indicated under Exhibit C1, KOA Campground contains several buildings that are located within 200 feet of the excavation as proposed under Area G, phases I & II, as presented under Exhibit C3, Mining Plan Map. This area was previously part of the permit as Area Z and was mined and released prior to this amendment. KOA has been mailed a structure agreement a long with all other structures within 200 feet.

Where excavation has already occurred on some pre-1973 areas, excavation came closer to the river than 100 feet. There were no existing buffer commitments under the original permits when this occurred however. River erosion has also contributed to narrower buffers between the excavation and the river. Excavated materials will be stockpiled or processed at area 5. Where material is washed at the wash plant, a settling pond is used to minimize siltation of resulting ponds. Settling pond locations identified on the previous Exhibit C were in Areas 1, 2, and pre-1973 mine areas and F. Area 1, 2, and pre-1973 area settling ponds are no longer used and have been backfilled with the exception of a small stormwater pond on the south end of Area 1. Area 5 plant site/deposit stockpile will be mined as part of phase **I** of area E excavation. Areas 3 and 4 plant site/deposit stockpiles were also mined, extending east and west a pre-1973 pond during excavation of area E, phase **II**. Areas 1 and 2 will function as plant sites long after mining at the HOME OFFICE MINE is completed. Presently, the principal processing occurs in area 5. Area 1 has other service buildings north and south of the office Area 1 is the present location of the asphalt processing facilities.

EXHIBIT D, Page 3

Areas W, X, and Y, were affected by mining operations prior to 1973, and have not been re-affected since. They have a commercial/industrial use at present. These areas will only be marginally affected by excavation of Areas 3, 4, G-1 and G – II. Area V, will remain undisturbed for the life of the mining operation with the exception of the conveyor bridge overhead.

Table II no longer applies and has been removed. A general description of the sequencing of the excavation and mining timetable follows, and is summarized in Table III. The mining timetable will be adjusted in the annual report as necessary. Reclamation is detailed in the following Exhibit E.

TABLE III EXCAVATION SEQUENCE AND MINING TIMETABLE

- AREAS W, X, Y PRE-1973 DISTURBANCE.
- AREA Z PRE-1973 DISTURBANCE AND RELEASED FROM THE PERMIT
- AREAS T, U UNAFFECTED AND RELEASED FROM THE PERMIT
- AREA, V UNAFFECTED LANDS.
- AREAS B, D, H MINING COMPLETED AND RELEASED FROM THE PERMIT.
- AREAS C, I MINING COMPLETED AND RELEASED FROM THE PERMIT
- AREA F MINING COMPLETED AND USED FOR SILT STORAGE
- AREA J MINING COMPLETED AND RELEASED FROM THE PERMIT
- AREA E 1988 THROUGH 2024
- AREA A MINING COMPLETED AND RELEASED FROM THE PERMIT
- AREA 5 MINING 2022-2024 AS PART OF AREA E
- AREA G MINING COMPLETED

EXHIBIT D, Page 4

ADDENDUM – EXHIBIT D – THE MINING PLAN

Response to the CMLRD letter of adequacy of 15 October 1987

 Backfilling of the Area 1 pond is dependent upon reject materials from the wash plant and other inert, non-toxic fill for which a rate cannot be determined. However, due to the length of the project and relatively small size of the pond, backfilling is assured within the periods provided for the life of the mining and reclamation timetables. Note: For this 2022 Amendment, Area 1 has all been backfilled except for a small stormwater pond which will remain.

This Area is scheduled for alternate reclamation as detailed under the 10/15/87 addendum to Exhibit E.

ADDENDUM – EXHIBIT D – MINING PLAN Amendment 03 submitted 30 June 2006

The overall mining plan for the site does not deviate greatly from the plan previously presented in the July 1987 Amendment 02. In 2005, Lafarge mined through a portion of the 25-foot offset berm separating what is known as the Seaworth Parcel (Exhibit C-4, Area F) and the Taft Hill Expansion Pit (Permit No. M-2001-051). This resulted in a disturbance of an additional 0.38 acres. The berm was backfilled and re-established in order to remain in compliance with the Taft Hill Expansion permit and the Home Office Pit Mining Plan was amended.

ADDENDUM-EXHIBIT D-MINING PLAN Technical Revision 07 submitted 7/14/2024

Based on the Flood Evaluation completed by Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. dated January 8, 2024, there is high ground in the northwest corner of Area E-I that would prevent inundation of the area during a flood. Martin Marietta commits to maintain this naturally occurring elevated ground as it is within the designated mining setbacks from the property boundaries and river.

In the northeast corner of Area E-II, there are two minor spill areas identified that would require a .5 foot of fill in one spill area and 2 feet of fill in the other spill area. Martin Marietta commits to adding the appropriate fill to bring these spill areas up to Elevation 5021.

EXHIBIT D, Page 5

3.0 FLOOD EVALUATION OF AREA E-I and E-II

Based on the Flood Evaluation completed by Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. dated January 8, 2024, there is high ground in the northwest corner of Area E-I that would prevent inundation of the area during a flood. Martin Marietta commits to maintain this naturally occurring elevated ground as it is within the designated mining setbacks from the property boundaries and river.

In the northeast corner of Area E-II, there are two minor spill areas identified that would require a .5 foot of fill in one spill area and 2 feet of fill in the other spill. Martin Marietta commits to adding the appropriate fill to bring these spill areas up to Elevation.



Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. Civil • Water Resources • Environmental

DATE:	January 8, 2024	ACE PROJECT NO.: COMMM04
то:	Julie Mikulas, Regional Land Manager/West D	ivision – Martin Marietta Materials
FROM:	Brian Smith, P.E.: Principal Engineer/Project N	Nanager – Anderson Consulting Engineers 300
SUBJECT:	Flood Evaluation of Taft Hill Site Near Fort Co	llins, Colorado

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

In September 2023, Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. (ACE) was contracted by Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. (MMM) to conduct a hydraulic evaluation of the Cache la Poudre River along MMM's Taft Hill site near Fort Collins, Colorado. The purpose of this hydraulic evaluation is twofold: 1) to determine how the 100-year flood is currently conveyed through the site, particularly south of the river and west of Taft Hill Road, and 2) address comments from the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety (DRMS) about how the 100-year flood will safely be conveyed through two planned unlined gravel pits in this area as currently permitted. The gravel pits will ultimately be reclaimed as water storage reservoirs by the property owners (City of Greeley, Fort Collins-Loveland Water District, North Weld County Water District and East Larimer County Water District) once mining is complete. The property owners will be re-permitting the unlined gravel pits similar to what they did for others pits that have been released from the current M-1977-439 permit, approved as M-2011-049 and M-2018-039. A vicinity map illustrating the location of the gravel pits relative to the river and Taft Hill road is provided as Figure 1 in Attachment A to this memo. As shown on Figure 1, these gravel pits are referred to as Pit "E-I" and Pit "E-II".

The effective FEMA flood hazard information for the study area was obtained from FEMA's map service center website and pertinent information related to this study is provided in Attachment B. Hydrology for the effective study along the Cache la Poudre River was developed by the United States Army Corps of Engineers in 1988. The 1988 hydrologic results were utilized in the development of the original hydraulic model for the Cache la Poudre River that became effective in the early 1990s. As part of FEMA's Map Modernization Program to provide flood hazard information in a digital format, Larimer County retained Ayres Associates to conduct an update to the original 1990s hydraulic model. This study, which was completed in 2005, was adopted by FEMA and became effective in 2006 as part of the County's first Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM). The 2005 Ayres study was conducted along approximately 5.5 miles of river, extending from Wood Street (located 1,600 feet downstream of Shields Street) upstream to Watson Lake.

The DFIRM update provides the most recent hydraulic model and flood hazard mapping that has been adopted by FEMA for the current study reach west of Taft Hill Road. It is noted that the effective Flood Insurance Study (FIS) information documented in Attachment B does not report hydrology for the Cache la Poudre River west of the confluence with Dry Creek. This confluence occurs near Timberline Road in Fort Collins, which is approximately 6 river miles downstream of Taft Hill Road. The original hydraulic model, and the 2005 restudy, utilized more detailed discharge profiles than the values reported in the effective FIS. The 100-year peak discharge upstream of Taft Hill Road that was included in the original and 2005 hydraulic models, and utilized for this study, is 14,100 cfs.



Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. Civil • Water Resources • Environmental

In 2014, MMM commissioned ACE to update the 2005 effective hydraulic model to better reflect existing conditions at that time with respect to the gravel mining operations and to evaluate potential improvements to their batch plant operations at their yard located directly east of Taft Hill Road on the south side of the river. This study extended from the Larimer and Weld Diversion Dam (located approximately 2,300 feet east of Taft Hill Road) to the western extents of MMM's mining operation (located approximately 2,800 feet west of Taft Hill Road). The 2014 study incorporated 2013 LiDAR data to update the geometry of the hydraulic model cross sections and to delineate flood hazards more accurately. The 2014 study also collected in-channel ground survey along the cross sections within the study reach to document river geometry changes as a result of the 2013 flood. This in-channel survey was incorporated in the 2014 hydraulic model.

Hydrology for the 2014 study matched the hydrology from the 2005 effective study, with 14,100 cfs utilized as the peak flow for the 100-year event throughout the study reach. Results from the 2014 updated hydraulic model were utilized to remap flood hazard delineations through the study area. A comparison of the updated flood hazard boundaries from the 2014 study to those developed from the effective 2005 study are presented as Figure 2 in Attachment C. As illustrated on Figure 2, the 2014 study predicted minor spilling into the northeast and southeast corners of Pit E-II during the 100-year event. It is noted that 2014 study was not submitted to FEMA for formal adoption to update the effective flood hazard information along this reach of the Cache la Poudre River. However, the 2014 study represents the best available and most-up-to date model of this reach of the Cache la Poudre River.

The updated one-dimensional (1D) model from the 2014 study was originally going to be utilized to assess the flood evaluation as part of this study for the area where gravel pits E-I and E-II will be located. However, the upstream study limits of the 2014 study stopped east of the area where gravel pit E-II will be located, which would have required further updates to the 2014 model. In 2019, ACE developed a preliminary two-dimensional (2D) HEC-RAS model of the river between Shields Street and Overland Trail to help assess the complex hydraulic interactions between the river and the gravel pits in the overbanks. This study was mostly focused on the results in the vicinity of the Larimer and Weld Diversion Dam, but also includes the area that is being evaluated as part of this study. Since the 2014 1D model would have required further updates to properly assess the flood conditions needed for this study, and the 2019 preliminary 2D model already covers the current study area and will provide a better representation of the complex hydraulic interactions between the river and the gravel pits in the overbanks, it was decided that the 2D model would be updated and utilized for this study. As subsequently discussed, information from the 2014 1D model was utilized in the development of the 2D model.

2D MODEL DEVELOPMENT:

The development of the 2D model included the following: 1) defining the domain extents; 2) developing and incorporating topographic surface data for the domain area; 3) defining breaklines to inform the creation of mesh boundaries along critical topographic features; 4) defining the Manning's roughness coefficients for the domain area, and 5) creating the 2D mesh. The domain for the 2D model was generally set to be outside of the 100-year floodplain boundaries delineated on the effective FIRM panel provided in Attachment B. Figure 3 in Attachment D illustrates the extent of the 2D modeling domain for this study. For the initial 2D modeling



Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. Civil • Water Resources • Environmental

assessment, and to compare results from the 2D model with those from the 2014 study, the 2013 LiDAR data was utilized as the base topographic surface for the 2D model. Since LiDAR cannot penetrate through water, the in-channel ground survey collected as part of the 2014 study was incorporated into the 2013 LiDAR surface and utilized to develop an in-channel surface between cross sections for the 2D model. Breaklines were defined throughout the modeling domain and set along important topographic features such as the top of the river bank, berms surrounding the gravel pits, and at the crown, top and toe of road embankments. Defined breaklines for the 2D model are also shown on Figure 3.

Manning's roughness coverages for the 2D modeling domain were defined based on the coefficients utilized in the 2014 1D model. In general, roughness coefficients for the river corridor were set between 0.040 to 0.048. Roughness coefficients for the overbanks, outside of the gravel pits, were set between 0.040 and 0.045. Roughness coefficients for areas where flood waters will travel over existing water in the gravel pits were set at 0.020, and a roughness value of 0.025 was used for roadways. Figure 4 in Attachment D shows the Manning's roughness coverage for the 2D modeling domain.

The goal of developing the 2D modeling mesh was to optimize the number of cells, and corresponding model run time, by providing adequate mesh definition in areas of interest to the study, while reducing mesh definition in other areas. In general, the initial mesh cell size was defined with a 25-foot by 25-foot grid spacing over the entire 2D domain. The defined breaklines were then utilized to refine the mesh to decrease cell size and increase hydraulic resolution in areas of interest or areas with rapidly changing topography. Along the breaklines, the mesh generator aligns the faces of the adjacent 2D cells to the prominent features of the terrain. Cell sizes adjacent to the breaklines range in size from 10 to 25 feet. Examples of the various cell sizing as part of the mesh development are provided on Figure 5 in Attachment D. The final mesh included approximately 120,000 cells with an average cell size of approximately 20-feet by 20-feet.

The HEC-RAS 2D modeling software also has the capability to model hydraulic structures within the 2D domain. This provides the flexibility to account for bridge and culvert hydraulics as part of a typical 2D surface flow model. In HEC-RAS 2D, the hydraulic structure locations are connected to the 2D mesh with the use of a special breakline that allows the model to compute the hydraulics through the structure with the use of standard 1D culvert and bridge computation equations that are then correlated back to the 2D surface mesh at the upstream and downstream breakline locations. This enables the hydraulic structure equations to appropriately account for tailwater conditions from the 2D mesh on the downstream side of the structure and then the headwater computed from the 1D structure. For the current study, the Taft Hill Road Bridge and Taft Hill Road relief culvert, which is located north of the bridge, were incorporated into the 2D model mesh. Geometric data for these structures were obtained as part of the 2014 in-channel survey efforts. The location of these structures is shown on Figure 5 in Attachment D.

For the 2D modeling boundary conditions, the downstream model boundary condition was set to match normal depth computations with a slope of 0.01 ft/ft. The upstream boundary condition was set to match the 100-year flow hydrograph. This hydrograph was obtained from the hydrologic study that was conducted for the Cache la Poudre River watershed by ACE in 2014. This hydrologic study was conducted as part of FEMA's RiskMAP update and has been reviewed and approved for use by FEMA. Figure 6 in Attachment D provides a



Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. Civil • Water Resources • Environmental

plot of the hydrograph utilized as inflow for the 2D model. It is noted that the 100-year flood hydrograph has peak discharge of 14,100 cfs, which matches the hydrology utilized in the 1D hydraulic models. HEC-RAS Version 6.3 was utilized to conduct the 2D evaluation for this study. The model simulations were evaluated using the shallow water equation with Eulerian-Lagrangian computation approach, with adjustable timesteps based on the Courant Number, to provide greater accuracy in shallow water locations. With this computation approach, the model takes approximately three hours to run. At the conclusion of the 2D simulation, a computation log file is written. This file contains the volume accounting check for the entire model run. Volume accounting is a useful metric to gage the overall "health" of the model and is expressed in terms of a percent error. Best practices for 2D modeling suggest that the percent error should be less than 1%, but 2%-3% can be acceptable depending on the modeling objectives. All 2D model simulations for this study have errors of less than 0.02%.

2013 TOPOGRAPHIC CONDITION RESULTS:

As previously mentioned, the initial model run was conducted with the 2013 LiDAR data that was supplemented with in-channel ground survey data at the 1D model cross section locations to refine the inchannel surface for the 2D model. The 100-year inundation areas resulting from the 2D modeling of the 2013 Topographic Condition are presented in Figure 7 in Attachment E. The 2D inundation limits shown on Figure 7 provide good correlation to the updated flood hazard delineations developed from the 2014 1D model update as shown on Figure 2 in Attachment C. The 2D model results indicate that the area where Pit E-II is located will not be inundated during a 100-year flood event. The 2D model results also indicate that a minor spill is predicted to occur into the northeast corner of Pit E-II during a 100-year event. This minor spill prediction is similar to the 2014 1D model results.

2023 TOPOGRAPHIC CONDITION RESULTS:

On November 3, 2023, ACE staff conducted a site visit of the area where Pits E-I and E-II are located to determine how the ground elevations have changed in this area compared to 2013 conditions that are represented in the 2013 LiDAR. Based on this site visit it was determined that a buffer zone has been maintained between the river and the proposed gravel pit locations. Ground elevations within this buffer zone appear to not have been modified since 2013 and are assumed to match the 2013 LiDAR data. Ground elevations outside of this buffer zone have changed due to the on-going gravel mining and stockpiling operations, which is evident when a time lapse of aerial imagery for this site is reviewed using Goggle Earth. As part of their material management program, MMM conducts periodic drone flights of the area to capture real time aerial imagery and LiDAR data to help track their mining and stockpile operations. In order to assess if any of the ground elevation modifications that have occurred in this area since 2013 have changed the predicted 100-year inundation boundary, MMM provided ACE with imagery and LiDAR data collected from a drone flight conducted on October 30, 2023. A copy of the done imagery provided by MMM from the October 30th flight is provided as Figure 8 in Attachment F. The buffer zones where ground elevations appear to not have changed since 2013 are also identified on Figure 8.

The drone LiDAR provided by MMM was reviewed by ACE and determined to be vertically and horizontally referenced to the same datums (NAVD88 and Colorado State Plane) as the 2013 LiDAR data and was deemed



Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. Civil • Water Resources • Environmental

to be appropriate for use with this study to represent changes in ground elevations within the study area since 2013. The 2023 LiDAR data was incorporated into the 2013 LiDAR to develop a new composite surface that reflects 2023 data where ground elevations have changed and 2013 data outside of this area. The 100-year inundation areas resulting from the 2D modeling of the 2023 Topographic Condition are presented on Figure 9 in Attachment F. The 2D inundation limits shown on Figure 9 are similar to the results from the 2D 2013 Topographic Condition run and the 2014 1D model. As shown on Figure 9, the 2D model results with the 2023 LiDAR incorporated still indicate that the area where Pit E-I is located will not be inundated during a 100-year flood event. The 2D model results with the 2023 LiDAR incorporated to occur into the northeast corner of Pit E-II during a 100-year event, similar to the results from the 2013 Topographic Condition run and the 2014 to occur into the northeast corner of Pit E-II during a 100-year event, similar to the results from the 2013 Topographic Condition run and the 2014 to occur into the northeast corner of Pit E-II during a 100-year event, similar to the results from the 2013 Topographic Condition run and the 2014 1D model results.

The results of the 2023 Topographic Condition simulation were utilized to further evaluate the minor spill that is being predicted to occur during the 100-year flood in the northeast corner of Pit E-II. A profile line was defined in the 2D modeling domain to plot the existing ground surface in the location where the spill is predicted to occur and to determine the magnitude of the spill. As shown on Figures 10 and 11 in Attachment F, the spill is occurring over two low areas where flow depths are predicted to be less than 1-foot. The peak magnitude of the flow spill was estimated to be less than 1 cfs.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Three separate hydraulic analyses were documented and conducted as part of this study to determine the 100year flood inundation areas along the Cache la Poudre River, upstream of Taft Hill Road, where MMM is proposing to excavate two gravel pits that are referred to as Pit E-I and Pit E-II as illustrated on Figure 1 in Attachment A. Based on the results of the hydraulic analyses documented and conducted as part of this study, ACE provides the following conclusions:

- Results of all three analyses (2014 1D model, 2013 Topographic Condition 2D model, and 2023 Topographic Condition 2D model) indicate that the 100-year flood will not inundate the area where Pit E-I is being proposed.
- Results of all three analyses indicate that a minor spill will likely occur into the northeast corner of Pit
 E-II during the 100-year flood. Results of the 2D modeling indicate that the magnitude of this spill will be less than 1 cfs and that the flow depth of the spill will be less than 1-foot. Based on the 2D model results, this spill is anticipated to occur for a short duration of time, less than 4 hours, during the peak of the 100-year hydrograph.

It is ACE's opinion that the minor spill predicted to occur into the northeast corner of Pit E-II will not result in catastrophic failure of nearby infrastructure or the potential capture of the river by the gravel pit. It is likely that some erosion along the pit embankment would occur if this spill does become activated during a 100-year event. However, this erosion would likely result in localized rills and gullies along the embankment that could be repaired after the flood event. If this erosion potential is a concern to the DRMS, the predicted spill could be temporarily eliminated by adding a small amount of fill in the low areas along the edge of the pit, as shown on Figure 11. For flood events that exceed the 100-year, it is likely that flow will enter Pit E-I from the west and cascade into Pit E-II. Therefore, it is further recommended that the installation of permanent spillways be



Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. Civil • Water Resources • Environmental

considered as part of the infrastructure improvements when these gravel pits are converted into formal water storage facilities by the property owners with the re-permitting for water storage. It is ACE's understanding that the owners of the future water storage facilities have already identified the need for these spillways to protect their facilities from potential flooding risk. The 2D models developed as part of this study could be utilized to further evaluate and design these spillways, if needed. Electronic copies of the hydraulic models prepared as part of this study have been digitally provided.

I hereby certify that the hydraulic analysis and documentation associated with study were prepared by me or under my direct supervision for Martin Marietta Materials, Inc.

Responsible Engineer: Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Brian A. Smith, P.E. Colorado Registration #41276

Attachment A. Vicinity Map Attachment B. Effective Study Documentation Attachment C. 2014 Study Documentation Attachment D. 2D Model Development Information Attachment E. 2013 Condition Results Attachment F. 2023 Condition Results



ATTACHMENT A. VICINITY MAP

Figure 1: Vicinity Map



ATTACHMENT B. EFFECTIVE STUDY DOCUMENTATION

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

VOLUME 1 OF 6



LARIMER COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER
BERTHOUD, TOWN OF	080296
ESTES PARK, TOWN OF	080193
FORT COLLINS, CITY OF	080102
JOHNSTOWN, TOWN OF	080250
LARIMER COUNTY, UNINCORPORATED AREAS	080101
LOVELAND, CITY OF	080103
TIMNATH, TOWN OF	080005
WELLINGTON, TOWN OF	080104





REVISED:

JANUARY 15, 2021

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER 08069CV001E

Version Number 2.5.3.6

Flooding Source	Community	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit	HUC-8 Sub- Basin(s)	Length (mi) (streams or coastlines)	Floodway (Y/N)	Zone shown on FIRM	Date of Analysis
Cache La Poudre River	Fort Collins, City of; Timnath, Town of; Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	Larimer-Weld County Line Road	NP	10190006	19.9	Y	AE	2005
Cache La Poudre LEMAYDS	Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	NP	NP	10190006	-	N	А	2005
Cache La Poudre LINC	Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	NP	NP	10190006	-	N	А	2005
Cache La Poudre Lowflow Channel	Fort Collins, City of	Confluence with Cache La Poudre River	1.9 miles upstream of confluence with Cache La Poudre River	10190007	1.9	N	AE	2005
Cache La Poudre L PATH	Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Cache La Poudre River	0.8 miles upstream of confluence with Cache La Poudre River	10190007	0.8	N	AE	2005
Cache La Poudre River-Interstate Highway 25 Divided Flow	Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	At Larimer-Weld County Line Road	0.1 miles upstream of Larimer- Weld County Line Road	10190007	0.1	Y	AE	2005
Cache La Poudre River Split LPATH	Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Cache La Poudre River Split RPATH	Confluence with Boxelder Creek Overflow Channel	10190007	0.9	Y	AE	2005
Cache La Poudre Split RPATH	Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	At Gravel Pit Access Road	Confluence with Boxelder Creek Overflow Channel	10190007	0.2	Y	AE	2005
Cedar Creek	Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Big Thompson River	0.1 miles upstream of Cedar Cove Road	10190006	0.1	Y	AE	1985
Coal Creek	Wellington, Town of; Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Boxelder Creek	2.4 miles upstream of confluence with Boxelder Creek	10190007	2.4	N	AE	2005
Cooper Slough	Larimer County Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Lake Canal	A East Poudre Trail	10190007	2.9	Y	AE	2005

Table 9: Summary of Discharges (continued)

			Peak Discharge (cfs)					
Flooding Source	Location	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	10% Annual Chance	4% Annual Chance	2% Annual Chance	1% Annual Chance	1% Annual Chance Plus	0.2% Annual Chance
Buckhorn Creek	At Masonville Below Redstone Creek	122.50	6,321	*	13,593	18,059	*	32,000
Buckhorn Creek	At Masonville Above Redstone Creek	92.00	4,674	*	10,321	13,862	*	24,000
Cache La Poudre Lowflow Channel	Upstream of Convergence with Cache La Poudre River	*	*	*	*	1,309	*	*
Cache La Poudre Lowflow Channel	At Fossil Creek Ditch Diversion Dam	*	*	*	*	12,071	*	*
Cache La Poudre LPATH	Upstream of Convergence with Cache La Poudre River	*	*	*	1,142	3,983	*	16,015
Cache La Poudre River	Downstream of Confluence with Boxelder Creek	1,537	6,750	*	13,200	17,400	*	32,400
Cache La Poudre River	Upstream of Confluence with Boxelder Creek	1,537	5,820	*	11,400	15,000	*	27,900
Cache La Poudre River	Downstream of Confluence with Dry Creek	*	6,700	*	12,700	16,600	*	30,100
Cache La Poudre River	Upstream of Confluence with Dry Creek	*	5,370	*	10,200	13,300	*	24,100
Cedar Creek	At Confluence with Big Thompson River	19.75	2,460	*	6,530	9,400	*	20,000
Coal Creek	At Town of Wellington	10.6	230	*	600	830	*	1,300

This location is near — Timberline Road in Eastern Fort Collins

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

VOLUME 2 OF 6



LARIMER COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER
BERTHOUD, TOWN OF	080296
ESTES PARK, TOWN OF	080193
FORT COLLINS, CITY OF	080102
JOHNSTOWN, TOWN OF	080250
LARIMER COUNTY, UNINCORPORATED AREAS	080101
LOVELAND, CITY OF	080103
TIMNATH, TOWN OF	080005
WELLINGTON, TOWN OF	080104



REVISED:

JANUARY 15, 2021

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER 08069CV002E

Version Number 2.5.3.6

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
СК	237 158	102	1 /61	9.5	1 988 1	1 088 1	1 988 6	0.2
	237,130	550	1,401	8.5	4,300.4	4,300.4	4,300.0	0.2
CM	238 183	1,363	7 148	1.9	4 994 7	4 994 7	4 994 8	0.0
CN	238 974	736	3 039	4.6	4 994 7	4 994 6	4 994 9	0.3
CO	240.553	292	2.387	5.9	5.002.7	5.002.7	5.002.7	0.0
CP	241,276	108	1,263	7.7	5,004.8 ² 5,004.8 ³	5,004.8	5,004.8	0.0
CQ	242,255	1,153	4,349	3.2	5,007.9 ² 5,010.1 ³	5,007.9	5,007.9	0.0
CR	242,685	609	2,616	5.4	5,008.5 ² 5.014.9 ³	5,008.5	5,008.5	0.0
CS	243,225	286	1,388	10.2	5,009.1 ² 5.016.6 ³	5,009.1	5,009.1	0.0
СТ	244,123	845	4,582	3.1	5,017.7 ² 5.017.0 ³	5,017.7	5,017.7	0.0
CU	244,143	745	4,276	3.3	5,017.7 ²	5,017.7	5,017.7	0.0
CV	244,551	713	2,736	5.2	5,020.1 ² 5,021.6 ³	5,020.1	5,020.5	0.4
CW	246,128	1,065	5,962	2.4	5,022.7 ²	5,022.7	5,023.0	0.3

reet above mouth
 ²Levees Failed
 ³Levees Intact

TABLE 23

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

FLOODWAY DATA

LARIMER COUNTY, CO

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

FLOODING SOURCE: CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER

	LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FEET NAVD88)			
CR SEC	OSS TION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
C	CX	247,787	242	1,240	11.5	5,027.1 ²	5,027.1	5,027.3	0.2
¹ Feet a ² Levee ³ Levee	CY CZ DA DB DC DD DE DF DG DH DI DJ DK DL DM DN DO DN DO DC DL DM SFailed s Intact	248,897 249,797 251,777 252,327 253,541 254,560 255,598 256,927 257,969 259,082 260,703 261,610 262,380 263,459 263,564 263,971 265,046 265,297 th	185 174 258 212 124 277 270 809 161 570 1,687 985 1,150 351 386 328 332 259	1,265 1,308 1,717 1,235 1,042 1,581 1,767 2,923 2,028 4,303 4,796 3,595 3,752 1,506 3,633 1,881 2,197 1,719	11.3 10.9 8.4 11.9 13.8 9.1 8.2 4.9 14.2 4.6 3.1 3.7 3.9 10.4 4.8 7.8 6.7 8.6	5,027.2 5,033.2 5,038.4 5,047.7 5,050.5 5,057.6 5,062.4 5,069.1 5,074.3 5,080.4 5,088.6 5,093.0 5,098.0 5,100.6 5,104.7 5,110.4 5,110.9 5,118.0 5,118.9	5,033.2 5,038.4 5,047.7 5,050.5 5,057.6 5,069.1 5,074.3 5,080.4 5,088.6 5,093.0 5,098.0 5,100.6 5,104.7 5,110.4 5,110.9 5,118.0 5,118.9	5,033.2 5,038.4 5,047.7 5,050.5 5,057.6 5,062.4 5,069.3 5,074.5 5,080.4 5,093.5 5,098.4 5,101.0 5,104.7 5,110.4 5,111.0 5,118.1 5,119.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\$
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY			FLOODWAY DATA						
AND INCORPORATED AREAS					FLOODING SOURCE: CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER				

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

VOLUME 5 OF 6



LARIMER COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER
BERTHOUD, TOWN OF	080296
ESTES PARK, TOWN OF	080193
FORT COLLINS, CITY OF	080102
JOHNSTOWN, TOWN OF	080250
LARIMER COUNTY, UNINCORPORATED AREAS	080101
LOVELAND, CITY OF	080103
TIMNATH, TOWN OF	080005
WELLINGTON, TOWN OF	080104





REVISED:

JANUARY 15, 2021

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER 08069CV005E

Version Number 2.5.3.6



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The **community map repository** should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations** (BFEs) and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) Report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS Report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Floodway Data table shown on this FIRM.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study Report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

FEMA recommends that a Flood Insurance Policy be purchased for structures in areas where **levees** are shown as providing protection from the 1% annual chance flood. Flooding is not covered by standard property/fire/dwelling insurance policies nor is it covered by Homeowners Insurance, Renters Insurance, Condominium Owners Insurance, or Commercial Property Insurance. Contact your insurance agent and local floodplain administrator for further information.

Visit <u>http://www.fema.gov/pdf/fhm/frm_gsah.pdf for information on levees and the risk</u> of flooding in areas shown as being protected by levees.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was State Plane Colorado North (feet). The **horizontal datum** was NAD 83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same **vertical datum**. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at <u>http://www.ngs.noaa.gov</u> or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

Spatial Reference System Division National Geodetic Survey, NOAA Silver Spring Metro Center 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 (301) 713-3191

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242, or visit its website at <u>http://www.ngs.noaa.gov.</u>

Base map information shown on this FIRM was provided by the Larimer County GIS and Mapping Department. Additional input was provided by the City of Fort Collins Geographic Information Service Division. These data are current as of 2005.

The **profile baselines** depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles in the Flood Insurance Study report. As a result of improved topographic data, the profile baseline, in some cases, may deviate significantly from the channel centerline or appear outside the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact the FEMA Map Service Center at 1-800-358-9616 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The FEMA Map Service Center may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at <u>http://www.msc.fema.gov.</u>

If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call **1-877-FEMA MAP** (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at <u>http://www.fema.gov.</u>

Larimer County Vertical Datum Offset Table			
Flooding Source	Vertical Datum Offset (ft)	Flooding Source	Vertical Datum Offset (ft)
Cache La Poudre River	3.0		

Example: To convert Cache La Poudre River elevations to NAVD 88, 3.0 feet were added to the NGVD 29 elevations.



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).





ATTACHMENT C. 2014 STUDY DOCUMENTATION



ATTACHMENT D. 2D MODEL DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION





Figure 5: Mesh Development





ATTACHMENT E. 2013 CONDITION RESULTS



ATTACHMENT F. 2023 CONDITION RESULTS







Figure 10: Zoomed in View of Predicted Spill Location

