

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:		MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
		M-1994-092	Gravel	Fremont
INSPECTION TYPE:		WEATHER: Clear	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring			May 23, 2024	08:50
OPERATOR:		OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERA	TION:
Rocky Mountain Excavating, LLC		Shawnee Koch, Bennie Koch, Bennie	110c - Construction	Limited Impact
		Koch Jr.		•
REASON FOR INSPECTION:		BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:	
Normal I&E Program		Complete Bond	\$18,220.00	
DATE OF COMPLAINT:		POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGE	NCY:
NA		None	None	
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPE	CTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DAT	E:
Jocelyn Carter			June 25, 2024	
·	Joan	Rath		

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

This list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each. No problems or possible violations were noted during the inspection. The mine operation was found to be in full compliance with Mineral Rules and Regulations of the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board for the Extraction of Construction Materials and/or for Hard Rock, Metal and Designated Mining Operations. Any person engaged in any mining operation shall notify the office of any failure or imminent failure, as soon as reasonably practicable after such person has knowledge of such condition or of any impoundment, embankment, or slope that poses a reasonable potential for danger to any persons or property or to the environment; or any environmental protection facility designed to contain or control chemicals or waste which are acid or toxic-forming, as identified in the permit.

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY <u>Y</u>	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES \underline{Y}
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING NA	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>Y</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>Y</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>Y</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN NA	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP NA
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE NA	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION NA	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>NA</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS NA	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

PERMIT #: M-1994-092 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: JLC INSPECTION DATE: May 23, 2024

OBSERVATIONS

The inspection of the Iron Mountain Road Gravel Pit was conducted by me, Jocelyn Carter, on behalf of the Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety (Division/DRMS). Shawnee Koch, Bennie Koch, and Bennie Koch Jr. were present during the inspection representing Rocky Mountain Excavating, LLC., the Operator. The weather was clear, with a slight breeze, and warm temperatures at the time of the inspection. The week prior to the inspection, the area experienced one snow event and three rain events.

Iron Mountain Road Gravel Pit is about 14 miles southwest of Canon City in Fremont County, Colorado. To access the site from the intersection of U.S. Highway 50 and County Road 3, travel south on County Road 3 for 2.6 miles, stay to the west on Copper Gulch Road for 10 miles, then travel east on County Road 1 for 0.7 miles, the entrance to the site is on the north side of the road. There is a mine sign posted in accordance with Rule 3.1.12(1) at the gate (see Photo #1).

There was no mining activity occurring during the inspection, the equipment on site included four screeners and crushers, a generator, a hydraulic excavator, a wheel loader, and a flatbed trailer. There was a large stockpile of processed material and a series of smaller stockpiles of processed material observed near the largest screener and crusher (see Photo #4). There were multiple stockpiles of larger material observed around the perimeter of the pit floor. There were also a few concrete blocks observed near where the hydraulic excavator was parked (see Photo #8).

Amber Pike with the BLM Royal Gorge Field Office contacted me regarding the financial warranty for this site. The operation is reaching the end of their contract with the BLM and a new financial warranty needed to be calculated for the renewed contract. The updated reclamation cost estimate (RCE) calculated by DRMS is attached to this report.

Photographs taken during this inspection are included at the end of this inspection report.

Any questions regarding this inspection should be directed to me, Jocelyn Carter, by email at Jocelyn.carter@state.co.us or by phone at (720) 666-1065.

Records

A records review was completed for this application. The recent annual report was submitted January 12, 2024, and was not properly completed. The Operator reported that 0.0 acres currently affected; it was observed that 8.43 acres have been affected by the operation, to include the road.

The current bond held for this operation is \$18,220.00. It was last calculated in 2016 as a result of the SO2 application process and is no longer adequate. This operation is on Federal land and an updated financial warranty was calculated in coordination with Amber Pike with the BLM Royal Gorge Field Office. An updated bond calculation is attached to this report.

Hydrological Balance

According to the last inspection, conducted by Tim Cazier on July 24, 2019, there was exposed groundwater on the west end of the pit. The exposed groundwater has been backfilled and there was no exposed groundwater observed during the inspection. There was some water observed on the pit floor in the center of the operation (see Photo #5). The observed water is attributed to a recent spring snow and rain events. There are no apparent issues with the hydrologic balance in the area.

General Mine Plan Compliance

The operation appeared to be following the approved mining plan.

Signs and Markers

There was a sign in accordance with Rule 3.1.12 (see Photo #1). The permit boundary is fenced, the fence appeared to be in good condition with no issues.

Overburden/Developed Waste

Woody material that was removed for the mining operation is stored and segregated from other mineral material to be used in reclamation operations.

Financial Warranty

The financial warranty was recalculated based on the observed disturbance; the updated RCE is attached to this report. The Operator will be given until July 9, 2024, to review the Division's cost estimate and provide the Division with any questions or concerns. The Division may issue a surety increase notice after this date. The Operator will have sixty days from the surety increase notice to provide additional financial warranty.

Backfill & Grading

The west portion of the pit has been backfilled to address exposed groundwater. The backfilling has prevented the continuance of groundwater exposure, but grading would still need to be done and is included in the attached REC (see Photo #6).

Processing Facilities

There are no permanent processing facilities on site, but there is a small structure on the east side of the road at the entrance of the mine site. The small shed/shack is about 4' x 4' x 9' and is in good condition (see Photo #10). All processing equipment are mobile units, there were four screeners/crushers observed on site. Two of the four were located on the pit floor and were extended with recent processed stockpiles at the end of the conveyor belts. The other two screeners appeared to have been moved away from the operation and not in use.

Fish & Wildlife

There does not appear to be any negative impact on the wildlife because of mining operations.

Roads

The road appears to be in good condition. There does appear to be an issue with the access road, the southern half of the access road leading to the mine site from Iron Mountain Road on the approved maps does not align with the actual route of the road. When this was discussed with the Operator, they stated that the road had always been there and was maintained by BLM. DRMS is currently in communication with BLM to get further clarification on the status of the road.

Explosives

Explosives are used for the operation by contractors; no explosives are kept on the mine site. The last blasting event occurred in Fall of 2022 according to the Operator.

PERMIT #: M-1994-092 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: JLC INSPECTION DATE: May 23, 2024

Topsoil

The topsoil berms were observed, located along the southwest portion of the permit area. The Topsoil berms appeared to be stable and in good condition. The amount of topsoil is also estimated to be sufficient for reclamation operations.

Revegetation

Common mullein was observed on site at the time of inspection, the occurrence of the noxious weed was sparse and not considered to be a significant issue by the Division. The Operator stated they will contact the county to have them spray the area.

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo #1: Posted mine sign per Rule 3.1.12(1).



Photo #2: View of mine site from north portion of permit area, looking south.



Photo #3: View of mine site from northeast portion of permit area, looking southwest.



Photo #4: View of mine site from northwest portion of permit area, looking southeast. Large screener and crusher and associated processing stockpiles are seen on the right side of the photo.



Photo #5: View of pit from the southeast portion of the permit area, looking to the northwest. The standing water from recent storm events can be seen in the center of the photo.



Photo #6: View of the backfilled area where groundwater had been exposed; from the west portion of the pit floor looking to the west southwest.



Photo #7: View of the recent processing activity, looking to the north.



Photo #8: View of the recently excavated area, the standing water can be seen along with the concrete blocks next to the hydraulic excavator.



Photo #9: View of the upper portion of the road, looking to the south at an equipment parking area, the flatbed trailer, one of the screeners, and the generator can be seen.



Photo #10: View of the pit area to the right and the upper portion of the road can be seen on the left of the photo. The small shack/shed can be seen to the left of the road.

PERMIT #: M-1994-092 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: JLC INSPECTION DATE: May 23, 2024

Inspection Contact Address
Shawnee Koch, Bennie Koch, Bennie Koch Jr. Rocky Mountain Excavating, LLC 2976 L Path Cotopaxi, CO 81223

Reclamation Cost Estimate update Enclosure

CC: Amy Eschberger, DRMS

COST SUMMARY WORK

Iron Mou	ıntain Road G	ravel Pe	rmit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Jol	b#: <u>M1994092</u>
PROJECT Task #:	IDENTIFICA 000	ATION State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
	6/17/2024 JLC	County:	Fremont		Filename:	M092-000

Task	Description	Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost
001	Backfill/Re-grading (3 300-CY Piles)	DOZER	1	2.88	\$742
002	Topsoil Replacement	DOZER	1	16.57	\$4,261
003	Revegetation of 8.43 Acres	REVEGE	1	10.00	\$16,385
004	Mobilization	MOBILIZE	1	3.54	\$1,472
		<u>SUBTO</u>	TALS:	32.99	\$22,860

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance: 2.02 $Total = \underline{\$462}$ Performance bond: 1.05 Total = \$240 Job superintendent: 16.50 Total = \$1,073 Profit: 10.00 Total = \$2,286

> TOTAL O & P = \$4,061

CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) = \$26,921

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): \$500 Total = \$500 Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation: 4.25 Total = \$1,144 Reclamation management and/or administration: 5.00 \$1,346

> CONTINGENCY: 0.00 Total = \$0

> > TOTAL INDIRECT COST = \$7,052

TOTAL BOND AMOUNT (direct + indirect) = \$29,912

BULLDOZER WORK

	Backf					
Iron Mountain Pit	Road Gravel	Per	mit Action:	2024 Inspection	_ Permit/Job#:	M1994092
PROJECT IDE	NTIFICATIO	N				
			C 1 1		A11 '	NT
Task #: 001	/2024	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: $\frac{6/17}{\text{User:}}$	/2024	County:	Fremont		Filename:	M092-001
		omai DI	OMC			
	or organization n		RMS			
HOURLY EQU	<u>IPMENT CO</u>	<u>ST</u>				
Basic Machine:	Cat D7R DS	XR Series	П			
Horsepower:	240					
Blade Type:	Semi-Univer	sal		<u></u>		
Attachment:	3-shank ripp	er				
Shift Basis:	1 per day			<u></u>		
Data Source:	(CRG)					
Cost Breakdown:				1		
				<u>Utilization %</u>		
Ownership Cost/			\$114.76	NA 100		
Operating Cost/			\$91.98	100		
Ripper own. Cost/			\$9.06	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/			\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/	Hour:		\$41.30	NA		
MATERIAL QU						
Initial Volume:	900					
Swell factor:	1.060		<u>—</u>			
Loose volume:	954 LCY		_			
Source of estimate	d volume:	DRMS (i	ncl ~600 CV			
Source of estimate	d swell factor:	Cat Hand		Y for SW highwall backfi	[1]	
HOURLY PRO				Y for SW highwall backfi		
HOURLY PRO	<u>DUCTION</u>	Cat Hand		Y for SW highwall backfi	ill)	
	DUCTION unce:		book	Y for SW highwall backfi	ill)	
HOURLY PRO Average push dista	DUCTION unce: production:	Cat Hand 100 feet 714.3 LCY	/hr	Y for SW highwall backfi		
HOURLY PRO Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten	DUCTION ance: production: acy description:	Cat Hand 100 feet 714.3 LCY	/hr			
HOURLY PRO Average push dista Unadjusted hourly	DUCTION ance: production: ncy description: lient:25 %	Cat Hand 100 feet 714.3 LCY Compa	/hr			
HOURLY PRO Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push grad	production: acy description: de: -25 % 7,550 f	Cat Hand 100 feet 714.3 LCY Compa	/hr			
HOURLY PRO Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push grad Average site altitud	production: incy description: de: -25 % 7,550 f 3,250 l	Cat Hand 100 feet 714.3 LCY Compa	/hr			
HOURLY PRO Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push grad Average site altitud Material weight: Weight description Job Condition Cor	production: production: ncy description: de: -25 % 7,550 f 3,250 l Gravel rection Factor	Cat Hand 100 feet 714.3 LCY Compa	/hr cted fill or e	mbankment 0.9		
HOURLY PRO Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consister Average push grad Average site altitud Material weight: Weight description Job Condition Cor	production: ncy description: ient:25 %	Cat Hand 100 feet 714.3 LCY Compa eet bs/LCY - Pitrun 0.	/hr	mbankment 0.9		

Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.700	(FND-MF)
Push gradient:	1.516	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.708	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.4630

Adjusted unit production: 330.72 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production: 330.72 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size: 1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost: \$0.777/LCY

Total job time: 2.88 Hours 70tal job cost: 742

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	_ 1 opse	on Replace	ment			
Iron Mountair Pit	n Road Gravel	Per	mit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Job#:	M1994092
PROJECT IDE	ENTIFICATIO)N				
			a			
Task #: 002		State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
	7/2024	County:	Fremont		Filename:	M092-002
User: JLC						
Agency	or organization i	name: DI	RMS			
HOURLY EQU	JIPMENT CO	<u>ST</u>				
Basic Machine	: Cat D7R DS	XR Series	П			
Horsepower		7 1111 201102		_		
Blade Type		rsal		_		
Attachment				_		
Shift Basis		1				
Data Source						
Cost Breakdown:				Utilization %		
Ownership Cost	/Hour:		\$114.76	NA		
Operating Cost			\$91.98	100		
Ripper own. Cost			\$91.98	NA		
			·			
Ripper op. Cost			\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost	/Hour:		\$41.30	NA		
Total unit Cost/He Total Fleet Cost/H						
Total Fleet Cost/1	10u1. \$237.1	10				
MATERIAL Q	<u>UANTITIES</u>					
Initial Volume:	6,800					
Swell factor:	1.215					
Loose volume:	8,262 LCY					
Source of estimate		6 inches	 over DRMS-	estimated 8.43 acres (inc	cl. access	
		road)				
Source of estimate	ed swell factor:	Cat Hand	lbook			
HOURLY PRO	<u>DDUCTION</u>					
Average push dist	ance:	175 feet				
Unadjusted hourly		464.9 LCY	/hr			
Materials consiste	ency description:	Loose	stockpile 1.2			
Average push gra	dient: -20 %					
Average push gra Average site altitu		feet				
· ·						
Material weight:	_1,600	lbs/LCY				
Weight description	n: Top So	oil				
Job Condition Co				Source		
			.750	Source (AVG.)		

Dozing method:	1.000	(GEN.)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.700	(FND-MF)
Push gradient:	1.426	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	1.438	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 1.0723

Adjusted unit production: 498.51 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production: 498.51 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size: 1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost: \$0.516/LCY

Total job time: 16.57 Hours
Total job cost: \$4,261

REVEGETATION WORK

Γas		_						
	Iron Mountain Road Gravel Pit	Per _	mit Action:	2024	Inspection		Permit/Job	#: <u>M1994092</u>
R	OJECT IDENTIFICATION	<u> </u>						
	Task #:003	State:	Colorado			Abb	reviation:	None
	Date: 6/17/2024	County:	Fremont			_	Filename: _	M092-003
	User: <u>JLC</u>							
	Agency or organization na	ame: DR	MS					
EI	RTILIZING							
at	terials							
T	Description		Un Ac	its /	Unit	Cost	: / Unit	Cost /Acre
	10-34-0, 18-46-0, 5-10-5		75.		pound	\$0.50		\$37.25
	200.0, 10.10.0, 10.10.0				pound		al Fertilizer Materials	
I	plication Description Truck whirlwind spreader (MEA	NS 32 01 9	90 13 0140)				Cost/Acre	\$37.25 Cost /Acre
I		NS 32 01 9	90.13 0140)				Cost/Acre	
I	Description	ANS 32 01 9	90.13 0140)	Total	Fertilizer A	pplication		Cost /Acre
	Description	ANS 32 01 9	90.13 0140)	Total			ı Cost/Acre	Cost /Acre \$17.42 \$17.42 Cost /Acre \$100.40
	Description Truck whirlwind spreader (MEA LLING Description	ANS 32 01 9	90.13 0140)	Total				Cost /Acre \$17.42 \$17.42 Cost /Acre \$100.40
	Description Truck whirlwind spreader (MEA LLING Description	ANS 32 01	90.13 0140)	Total			ı Cost/Acre	Cost /Acre \$17.42 \$17.42 Cost /Acre \$100.40
IIL EH	Description Truck whirlwind spreader (MEA LLING Description Chisel plowing {DMG}	ANS 32 01	90.13 0140)	Total	To		ı Cost/Acre	Cost /Acre \$17.42 \$17.42 Cost /Acre \$100.40
IIL.	Description Truck whirlwind spreader (MEA LLING Description Chisel plowing {DMG}	ANS 32 01	90.13 0140)	Total	To	Rate – PLS LBS /	g Cost/Acre Seeds per SQ.	Cost /Acre \$17.42 \$17.42 Cost /Acre \$100.40
IIL II C	Description Truck whirlwind spreader (MEA LLING Description Chisel plowing {DMG} EDING Seed Mix Blue Grama - Hachita Indiangrass - Cheyenne	ANS 32 01 9	90.13 0140)	Total	To	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre 0.75 1.25	Seeds per SQ. FT 12.24 3.81	Cost /Acre \$17.42 \$17.42 Cost /Acre \$100.40 \$100.40 Cost /Acre
EH II I	Description Truck whirlwind spreader (MEA LLING Description Chisel plowing {DMG} EDING Seed Mix Blue Grama - Hachita Indiangrass - Cheyenne Little Bluestem - Pastura	ANS 32 01 9	90.13 0140)	Total	To	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre 0.75 1.25 0.70	Seeds per SQ. FT 12.24 3.81 4.18	Cost /Acre \$17.42 \$17.42 Cost /Acre \$100.40 \$100.40 Cost /Acre \$11.98 \$14.13 \$9.44
TIL COLUMN STATE OF THE STATE O	Description Truck whirlwind spreader (MEA LLING Description Chisel plowing {DMG} EDING Seed Mix Blue Grama - Hachita Indiangrass - Cheyenne	ANS 32 01	90.13 0140)	Total	Te	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre 0.75 1.25	Seeds per SQ. FT 12.24 3.81	Cost /Acre \$17.42 \$17.42 Cost /Acre \$100.40 \$100.40 Cost /Acre

A	pplication	

Totals Seed Mix

\$86.16

39.20

9.40

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		\$232.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$232.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$429.79	\$859.57
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$859.57

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$74.46
Power mulcher (MEANS 32 91 13.16 0350)		\$147.67
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$222.13

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre					\$0.00

JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres: 8.43 Cost /Acre: \$1,554.93

Estimated Failure Rate: 25% Cost /Acre*: \$1,554.93

*Selected Replanting Work Items: FERTILIZING,TILLING,SEEDING,MU

LCHING

Initial Job Cost: \$13,108.06

Reseeding Job Cost: \$3,277.01

Total Job Cost: \$16,385

Job Hours: 10.00

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description: M	obilization				
Iron Mountain Road Gravite: Pit	v el Permi	t Action: 2024 In	spection	Permit/Job	#: <u>M1994092</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFICAT	<u>ION</u>				
Task #: 004 Date: 6/17/2024 User: JLC		Colorado Tremont		breviation: Filename:	None M092-004
Agency or organization	on name: DRM	S			
EQUIPMENT TRANSPO	RT RIG COST		Shift Cost Data So		per day RG Data
Truck Tractor Des	cription: GENI	GENERIC ON-HIGHWAY TRUCK TRACTOR, 6X4, DIESEL POWERED, 400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006)			
Truck Trailer Des	cription:				
Cost Breakdown:					
Available Rig Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51+ Tons		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$20.26	\$36.04	\$47.05		
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$39.51	\$76.08	\$82.85		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$22.52	\$22.52	\$22.52		
Helper Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$23.53	\$23.53		

NON ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Total Unit Cost/Hour:

\$82.29

Machine Description	Weight/ Unit (TONS)	Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Cat D7R DS XR Series II	35.93	\$123.82	\$158.17	1	\$281.99	\$158.17	\$250.00

\$175.95

\$158.17

Subtotals: \$281.99 \$158.17 \$250.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with Tractor	\$14.81	1	\$14.81	\$14.81
Power Mulcher (Bowie LD-90)	\$57.02	1	\$57.02	\$57.02
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 1 T. Crew	\$27.44	1	\$27.44	\$27.44

Subtotals: \$99.27 \$99.27

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:

Total one-way travel distance:

Average Travel Speed:

CAÑON CITY
miles
45.00
mph

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non-	
	Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.56	0.56
Return Time (Hours):	0.56	0.56
Loading Time (Hours):	0.33	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.33	NA
Subtotals:	1.77	1.11

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job cost: 3.54 Hours

Total job cost: \$1,472