

April 24, 2024

Miranda Kawcak Twentymile Coal, LLC 29515 Routt County Road No. 27 Oak Creek, CO 80467

# RE: Foidel Creek Mine- File No. C-1982-056, Minor Revision No. 325 (MR325), Adequacy Review #2

Dear Miranda Kawcak:

On April 22, 2024, the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division) received your adequacy response letter for MR325 at the Foidel Creek Mine, C-1982-056. The Division has reviewed the above referenced adequacy review response letter and material submitted. The following is a list of the adequacy review items from the Division's April 12, 2024, first adequacy review letter followed by the response provided by Twentymile Coal, LLC. If additional information or revision is required, it will be noted. If an item is resolved, that will be indicated.

 The cover letter submitted with MR325 states that a short 200-foot access road will need to be constructed to access the new WC Mains Utility Borehole site from pre-existing access roads. Additionally, a 900-foot access road will need to be constructed to access the possible 6 East Utility borehole site. Please specify by what means existing two track roads will be upgraded and please specify what materials the construction of any new access roads will require. i.e. Will gravel be used to improve access roads / create new roads? Or will all access roads and improved roads remain only as dirt roads?

a) The new construction of access roads will require an 8-inch layer of gravel to be placed on the road to allow for a sturdy base for the equipment accessing the site. Topsoil will be stripped from the new construction areas and stockpiles.

b) The existing roads have some gravel but not enough to withstand the traffic of the larger equipment and would not hold up well in wet conditions. TC will place gravel in locations necessary to keep the road passible for all equipment. Since the roads are already in place no topsoil will not be salvaged from these areas. The road improvements will remain in place and not be removed through the reclamation process. **Resolved.** 

2. Will the railroad crossing to be installed by Union Pacific also be removed by Union Pacific once final reclamation of the access road to 6 East is completed? Or will this be part of the mine's final reclamation costs? *a) The railroad crossing will be installed by Union Pacific and will have a life with the railroad. The landowner has requested that the crossing remain in place once the site is reclaimed to allow additional access to his property.* **Resolved.** 

The MR325 cover letter requests that both new borehole sites be certified as small area exemptions (SAE). While the borehole pads meet the size and drainage type requirements for SAE pursuant to Rule 4.05.2(3)(b)(i), the Division requires a technical demonstration that runoff from these areas will not breech effluent standards. A SEDCAD demonstration is the Division's preferred method, as similar



demonstrations have been completed previously for other boreholes onsite. However, a RUSLE equation may also be used. *a)* A SedCAD demonstration has been completed for each site and is submitted with this response. The 6E site has a large watershed that will require diversion ditches to divert the water around the site and not contribute to the stormwater flows of the disturbed area. The WC Mains Site is at the top of a watershed and has no area reporting through the site and therefore no diversion ditches will be required and the SedCAD demonstration is relatively short. **Resolved.** 

1. The Division has calculated a reclamation cost estimate for MR325 based on the above adequacy review responses. A copy of the estimate has been provided with this letter. The Division requests that Twentymile Coal, LLC review this calculation and submit any questions before the application decision date.

This letter shall not be construed to mean that there are no other technical deficiencies in your application. The Division will review your application to determine whether it is adequate to meet the requirements of the Act after submittal of all required items. The Division's proposed decision date for this revision is currently set for April 26, 2024. If you have any questions, please contact me at (720) 868-7757 or hunter.ridley@state.co.us.

Sincerely, Hunter C. Ridley

Hunter Ridley

Environmental Protection Specialist CC: Zach Trujillo, DRMS

# COST SUMMARY WORK

Т	Task description:	WC Mains and 6E Borehole	28			
Site:	Foidel Creek Mine	Permit Action:	MR325		Permit/Job#	: <u>C1982056</u>
<u>P</u> ]	ROJECT IDENTIFIC	CATION				
	Task #: 000 Date: 4/12/2024 User: HR1 Agency or organi					None 000
	ASK LIST (DIRECT	<u>COSTS)</u>	Form	Fleet	Task	
Task	Description		Used	Size	Hours	Cost
001	Plug & Seal WC Mai		BOREHOLE	1	28.00	\$13,486
002	Regrade borehole pac		GRADER	1	4.43	\$704
003	Replace topsoil on pa		DOZER	1	37.73	\$16,098
004	Revegetation of WC	Mains and 6E Boreholes	REVEGE	1	1.84	\$1,748
	DIDECT COSTS		SUBTO	DTALS:	72	\$32,036
	V <b>DIRECT COSTS</b> VERHEAD AND PROFI	T:				
	Liability insurar	nce: 2.02			$Total = \underline{\$64}$	
	Performance bo				$Total = \frac{$33}{$72}$	
	Job superintend	ent: 36.00 ofit: 10.00				343 204
	FIC	JIII. 10.00		τοται		530
		CONTR	RACT AMOUNT			3,566
LE	EGAL - ENGINEERING	- PROJECT MANAGEMENT:	:			
	Financial warranty pr	ocessing (legal/related costs):	\$0		Total = \$0	
		d/or contract/bid preparation:	4.25	_	Total = $\$1$ ,	639
		gement and/or administration:	6.20	_	\$2,	
		CONTINGENCY:	0.00		Total = \$0	

TOTAL BOND AMOUNT (direct + indirect) = \_\_\_\_\$42,596

TOTAL INDIRECT COST = \$10,560

## BOREHOLE SEALING WORK

I	Task description:	Plug & Sea	l WC Mains and	d 6E Boreholes		
Site:	Foidel Creek Mine		Permit Action:	MR325	Permit/.	Job#: <u>C1982056</u>
<u>PROJE</u>	CT IDENTIFICATIO	<u>N</u>				
Task #:		State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: User:		County:	Routt		Filename:	001
	Agency or organiza	tion name:	DRMS			

# UNIT COSTS

Borehole Description	Sealing/Item Method	Diameter	Length	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Borehole marker	Borehole location/identification marker (EA, material cost only)	NA	NA	2.00	EA	\$39.00	\$78.00
Seal WC Mains Utility BH	Portland cement grout ( Bag, material cost only94 lb. bag)	8.00"	1600	124.00	bag	\$19.95	\$2,473.80
Borehole plugs	PVC plug - 8 in. diameter borehole	8.00"	NA	2.00	EA	\$87.55	\$175.11
Seal 6E Utility Borehole	Portland cement grout ( Bag, material cost only94 lb. bag)	8.00"	1200	93.00	bag	\$19.95	\$1,855.35
Drill Rig and Labor	SCHRAMM T450WS	NA	NA	16.00	EA	\$522.23	\$8,355.68
Water truck	Water Tanker, 2,500 Gal.	NA	NA	16.00	EA	\$34.27	\$548.32

Job Hours: 28.00

Total Cost: \$13,486.00

# MOTOR GRADER WORK

Foidel Creek Mine	Perr	mit Action:	MR325	Perm	nit/Job#: <u>C1982056</u>
PROJECT IDENTII	FICATION				
Task #: 002	State:	Colorado		Abbres	viation: None
Date: $\frac{002}{4/12/2024}$		Routt			ename: $002$
User: $HR1$	County:	Route			<u> </u>
	anization name: DR	RMS			
HOURLY EQUIPM					
<b>Basic Machir</b>	ne: CAT 12M			Horsepower:	158
Ripper Attachme				Shift Basis:	1 per day
Ripper Attachiner	nt:			Data Source:	(CRG)
				Data Source.	(CRO)
<u>Cost Breakdown:</u>			1		
~			<b>A- 1 0 0</b>	Utilization %	
	ership Cost/Hour:		\$74.98	NA	
	erating Cost/Hour:		\$55.26	<u>100</u>	
	ership Cost/Hour: erating Cost/Hour:		\$0.00 \$0.00	NA	
	berator Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	NA	
1	al Unit Cost/Hour:		\$158.80	INA	
100			\$138.80		
Tota	l Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$158	5.80		
	a to be graded or rippe ree of estimated acreag		Cost est.		acres
HOURLY PRODUC	<b>TION</b>				
HOURLY PRODUC	<u>CTION</u> Average Grader Sp	eed:	1.50	mph	
<u>HOURLY PRODUC</u>	Average Grader Sp Selected Applicat	tion:	Finish	grading (0-2.5 mph)	) - 1.5
<u>HOURLY PRODUC</u>	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar	tion: 1gle:	Finish -1		) - 1.5
	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler	tion: ngle: ngth:	Finish -1 0.00	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet	) - 1.5
Width	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p	tion: ngle: ngth: pass:	Finish -1 0.00 2.00	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet	) - 1.5
Width Net grading	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p	tion: ngle: ngth: pass: pass:	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet feet	
Width Net grading Unadjuste	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p d Hourly Unit Product	tion: ngle: ngth: pass: pass:	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet feet acres/hour	
Width Net grading	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p d Hourly Unit Product	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion:	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet feet	
Width Net grading Unadjuste Job Condition Correctio	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p d Hourly Unit Product	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion: Source	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782 Si	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet feet acres/hour	
Width Net grading Unadjuste Job Condition Correctio Altitude Adj:	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p d Hourly Unit Product <u>n Factors</u> 1.00	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion: Source (CAT HB	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782 Si	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet feet acres/hour	
Width Net grading Unadjuste Job Condition Correctio Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency:	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p d Hourly Unit Product <u>n Factors</u> <u>1.00</u> 0.90	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion: Source (CAT HB (1sh/d, fav	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782 Si	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet feet acres/hour	
Width Net grading Unadjuste Job Condition Correctio Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction:	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p d Hourly Unit Product <u>n Factors</u> <u>1.00</u> 0.900	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion: Source (CAT HB (1sh/d, fav multiplier	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782 Si	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet acres/hour te Altitude: <u>6700</u> fe	
Width Net grading Unadjuste Job Condition Correctio Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction:	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p d Hourly Unit Product <u>n Factors</u> <u>1.00</u> 0.90 0.9000 Adjusted Hourly Unit	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion: (CAT HB (1sh/d, fav multiplier Production:	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782 Si 2) 	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet acres/hour te Altitude: <u>6700</u> fe	
Width Net grading Unadjuste Job Condition Correctio Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction:	Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p d Hourly Unit Product <u>n Factors</u> <u>1.00</u> 0.900	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion: (CAT HB (1sh/d, fav multiplier Production:	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782 Si	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet acres/hour te Altitude: <u>6700</u> fe	
Width Net grading Unadjuste Job Condition Correctio Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction:	Average Grader Sp Selected Applicat Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p ed Hourly Unit Product <u>n Factors</u> <u>1.00</u> 0.900 Adjusted Hourly Unit Adjusted Hourly Fleet	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion: (CAT HB (1sh/d, fav multiplier Production:	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782 Si 2) 	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet acres/hour te Altitude: <u>6700</u> fe	
Width Net grading Unadjuste Job Condition Correctio Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction: A JOB TIME AND CO	Average Grader Sp Selected Applical Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p ed Hourly Unit Product <u>n Factors</u> <u>1.00</u> 0.90 0.9000 Adjusted Hourly Unit Adjusted Hourly Fleet	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion: (CAT HB (1sh/d, fav multiplier Production:	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782 Si 5) 7.) 1.2404 1.2404	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet acres/hour te Altitude: <u>6700</u> fe	et
Width Net grading Unadjuste Job Condition Correctio Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction:	Average Grader Sp Selected Applicat Selected Blade Ar Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p ed Hourly Unit Product <u>n Factors</u> <u>1.00</u> 0.900 Adjusted Hourly Unit Adjusted Hourly Fleet	tion: ngle: pass: pass: tion: (CAT HB (1sh/d, fav multiplier Production:	Finish -1 0.00 2.00 7.58 1.3782 Si 2) 	grading (0-2.5 mph) degrees feet feet feet acres/hour te Altitude: <u>6700</u> fe	

# BULLDOZER WORK

	Internet topson of	i paus anu	access roads		
: Foidel Creek Mine	Perm	it Action:	MR325	Permit/Job#:	C1982056
PROJECT IDENTIFI	<b>ICATION</b>				
Task #: 003	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: $\frac{4}{12}/2024$	County:	Routt		Filename:	003
User: HR1				-	
Agency or organ	nization name:	MS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	ENT COST				
	D8T - 8SU				
Horsepower: 310					
•••	ni-Universal				
Attachment: NA					
Shift Basis: <u>1 pe</u> Data Source: (CR	er day				
Data Source: (CR	(0)				
Cost Breakdown:					
		<b>*</b> • • • •	Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$241.38	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:		\$143.92	100 NA		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour: Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00 \$0.00	<u>NA</u> 0		
		\$0.00			
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$41.50	NA		
MATERIAL QUANT	<u>'ITIES</u>				
Initial Volume: 8,872	3	_			
Initial Volume: 8,87 Swell factor: 1.00	3 0	_			
Initial Volume: 8,87 Swell factor: 1.00	3				
Initial Volume: 8,877 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,877 Source of estimated volur Source of estimated swell	3 0 <b>3</b> LCY me: <u>Division o</u> l factor: <u>Cat Handb</u>		on, Mining & Safety		
Initial Volume:8,877Swell factor:1.000Loose volume:8,873Source of estimated volum	3 0 <b>3</b> LCY me: <u>Division o</u> l factor: <u>Cat Handb</u>		on, Mining & Safety		
Initial Volume: 8,877 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,877 Source of estimated volur Source of estimated swell	3 0 <b>3</b> LCY me: <u>Division o</u> l factor: <u>Cat Handb</u>		on, Mining & Safety		
Initial Volume: 8,87 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,87 Source of estimated volur Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT	3 0 <b>3</b> LCY me: Division o l factor: Cat Handb <b><u>CION</u></b> 250 feet	ook	on, Mining & Safety		
Initial Volume: 8,87 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,87 Source of estimated volur Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance:	3 0 <b>3</b> LCY me: <u>Division o</u> 1 factor: <u>Cat Handb</u> <b><u>Cat Handb</u> <u><b>Cat Handb</b></u> <u><b>Cat Handb</b></u></b>	ook r	on, Mining & Safety		
Initial Volume: 8,87 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,87 Source of estimated volur Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produc Materials consistency des Average push gradient:	3 0 3 LCY me: <u>Division o</u> I factor: <u>Cat Handb</u> <b><u>CION</u> <u>250 feet</u> ction: <u>377.8 LCY/h</u> scription: <u>Partly co</u> <u>5 %</u></b>	ook r			
Initial Volume: 8,877 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,877 Source of estimated volur Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product	3 0 <b>3</b> LCY me: <u>Division o</u> 1 factor: <u>Cat Handb</u> <b><u>CION</u> <u>250 feet</u> ction: <u>377.8 LCY/h</u> scription: <u>Partly co</u></b>	ook r			
Initial Volume: 8,87. Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,87. Source of estimated volur Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	3 0 3 LCY me: Division o 1 factor: Cat Handb FION ction: 250 feet 250 feet 377.8 LCY/h ccription: Partly co 5 % 6,700 feet	ook r			
Initial Volume: 8,87 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,87 Source of estimated volur Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produc Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description:	3         0           3 LCY         3           me:         Division o           1 factor:         Cat Handb           FION         250 feet           ction:         377.8 LCY/h           ccription:         Partly co           5 %         6,700 feet           1,600 lbs/LCY         Top Soil	ook r	stockpile 1.1		
Initial Volume:       8,87.         Swell factor:       1.000         Loose volume:       8,87.         Source of estimated volur       8,87.         Source of estimated volur       Source of estimated volur         Source of estimated swell       HOURLY PRODUCT         Average push distance:       Unadjusted hourly product         Materials consistency des       Average push gradient:         Average site altitude:       Material weight:	3 0 3 LCY me: Division o l factor: Cat Handb CION 250 feet ction: 377.8 LCY/h ccription: Partly cc 5 % 6,700 feet 1,600 lbs/LCY Top Soil Factor	ook r onsolidated			
Initial Volume:       8,87.         Swell factor:       1.000         Loose volume:       8,87.         Source of estimated volur         Source of estimated volur         Source of estimated swell <b>HOURLY PRODUC1</b> Average push distance:         Unadjusted hourly product         Materials consistency dest         Average push gradient:         Average site altitude:         Material weight:         Weight description:         Job Condition Correction         Operator S         Material consistence	3         0           3 LCY         3 LCY           me:         Division o           1 factor:         Cat Handb <b>EION</b> 250 feet           ction:         377.8 LCY/h           ccription:         Partly co           5 %         6,700 feet           1,600 lbs/LCY         Top Soil           Factor         0.7           Skill:         0.7           ency:         1.1	ook r nsolidated 50 00			
Initial Volume:       8,87.         Swell factor:       1.000         Loose volume:       8,87.         Source of estimated volur         Source of estimated volur         Source of estimated swell <b>HOURLY PRODUC1</b> Average push distance:         Unadjusted hourly product         Materials consistency des         Average push gradient:         Average site altitude:         Material weight:         Weight description:         Job Condition Correction         Operator S         Material consiste         Dozing met	3         0           3 LCY         3 LCY           me:         Division o           1 factor:         Cat Handb <b>EION</b> 250 feet           ction:         377.8 LCY/h           ccription:         Partly co           5 %         6,700 feet           1,600 lbs/LCY         Top Soil           Factor         0.7           Skill:         0.7           ency:         1.1	ook <u>r</u> onsolidated <u>50</u> 00 00	stockpile 1.1 <u>Source</u> (AVG.)		

Job efficiency	: 0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile	: 0.700	(FND-MF)
Push gradient	: 0.903	(CAT HB)
Altitude	: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight	: 1.438	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	: 1.000	(PAT)
Net correction	: 0.6224	
Adjusted unit production:	235.14 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production:	235.14 LCY/hr	

# JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$1.814/LCY

Total job time:	<b>37.73</b> Hours
Total job cost:	\$16,098

# **REVEGETATION WORK**

Т	ask descrip	otion:	Revegetation of WC Mains	and 6E Boreholes	1	
Site:	Foidel Ci	eek Mine	Permit Action	: MR325	Permit/Job	o#: <u>C1982056</u>
<u>PI</u>	ROJECT	IDENTIFIC	ATION			
	Task #:	004	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
	Date:	4/12/2024	County: Routt		Filename:	004
	User:	HR1				
	Age	ency or organi	zation name: DRMS			

# **FERTILIZING**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer Materials	
			Cost/Acre	\$0.00

# Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

# **TILLING**

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$0.00

# **SEEDING**

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Alfalfa - Common	0.21	1.01	\$0.54
Orchardgrass - Elsie	0.48	5.95	\$1.49
Meadow Brome - Regar	0.40	0.37	\$1.59
Smooth Brome - Lincoln	0.77	2.56	\$2.56
Intermediate Wheatgrass - Rush	1.52	3.25	\$4.26
Pubescent Wheatgrass - Greenleaf	1.52	3.14	\$5.55
Slender Wheatgrass - Native	1.86	6.79	\$8.60
Milk Vetch, Cicer - Lutana	0.24	0.80	\$1.97
Timothy - Climax	0.39	11.19	\$0.62

Desert Wheatgrass	1.14	2.88	\$5.70
		27.04	
Totals Seed Mix	8.53	37.94	\$32.87

## Application

Description	Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)	\$232.00
Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$232.00

# **MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$0.00

**Application** 

Description		Cost /Acre
		\$
Tot	al Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

## NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre				\$0.00	

## JOB TIME AND COST

	No. of Acres:	5.5	Cost /Acre:	\$264.87
Estimate	ed Failure Rate:	20%	Cost /Acre*:	\$264.87
*Selected Replanti	ng Work Items:	SEEDING		
Initial Job Cost:	\$1,456.79			
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$291.36			
Total Job Cost:	\$1,748			
Job Hours:	1.84			