

# MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME: Table Mountain Quarry	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#: M-1999-004	MINERAL: Silica (quartz, quartzite)	COUNTY: Fremont	
INSPECTION TYPE:	WEATHER: Cloudy	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:	
Surety-Related Inspection  OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	November 9, 2023  TYPE OF OPERAT	10:00 FION:	
Holcim - WCR, Inc.	Kurt Thurmann	112c - Construction Regular Operation		

REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Surety Related	Complete Bond	\$208,171.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA	None	None
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:
Timothy Cazier, P.E.		February 23, 2024
Jocelyn Carter	Thing a Ca	

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

**INSPECTION TOPIC:** Reclamation Success

**PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION:** Problem: Current reclamation plan needs to be updated and clarified pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-116 (1). The operator must follow approved reclamation plan or provide sufficient information to describe or identify how the operator intends to conduct reclamation related to the new solar panel.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The operator shall submit a Technical Revision, with the required \$216 revision fee, to update and clarify the current approved reclamation plan to include the solar panel and ancillary equipment (e.g., batteries) in the reclamation plan and cost estimate by the corrective action date.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 4/08/24** 

#### **OBSERVATIONS**

This inspection was conducted as a surety review related to the recently approved Succession of Operator (SO-4). The Permittee (Holcim-WCR) was represented by Messrs. Kurt Thurmann and Lu Toxvard. Tim Cazier and Jocelyn Carter represented the Division. The Table Mountain Quarry is accessed from Highway 115 about 11 miles north of Penrose. The access road is approximately 3.5 miles long from Hwy 115 to the active pit area. This is a 112c silica/quartz mine. It was operating at the time of the inspection.

<u>Availability of Records:</u> Annual reports are current, having been filed through June 2023. The previous inspection was on March 15, 2022. The approved post-mine land use is wildlife habitat. There were no open infractions prior to the inspection. Both the surface and minerals are owned by the Colorado State Land Board (SLB).

Acid And Toxic Materials: No acid or toxic materials are involved in this operation.

<u>Backfilling and Grading:</u> Sufficient material appeared to be available to backfill the vertical highwall (see **Photo 1**).

<u>Excess Spoil and Dev. Waste:</u> A small overburden stockpile was observed (see **Photo 2**). The overburden is stripped ahead of advancing the highwall. There is no real difference between overburden and topsoil at this site.

<u>Explosives:</u> Blasting is performed at this site. Mr. Tuxvard indicated Buckly Powder would be starting to provide blasting service on site. Based on the previous inspection report, the nearest structure is 1.7 miles away.

<u>Financial Warranty:</u> The DRMS holds a \$208,171 bond, last updated in 2020 with the approval of TR-2. A draft bond estimate of \$273,168 was provided to Mr. Thurmann during the inspection and is attached to this report. A few revisions related to reclamation equipment were discussed during the inspection. Markups reflecting those discussions are included in the attached estimate and will be reflected in a final reclamation cost estimate. The presence of a new large solar panel prompted a discussion about the disposition of the solar panel for reclamation as it does not fit with the wildlife post-mine land use. The Division agreed to postpone the surety increase notice until the Permittee submits a technical revision updating the reclamation plan to address the solar panel. Mr. Thurmann said he would review the draft bond estimate.

<u>Fish and Wildlife:</u> No impact to wildlife was observed.

<u>Hydrologic Balance:</u> No standing water was observed in the pit and no exposed groundwater was observed.

<u>Gen. Compliance with Mine Plan:</u> The operation appeared to be in compliance with the approved mine plan. Mining has been occurring in Area A. A previous Permittee performed some mining in Area B. The maximum allowed disturbed area is 59 acres. No new disturbance was observed in Area B (see **Photo 3**). Google Earth was used to measure the disturbed area, which was approximately 40 acres, based on older 2019 imagery. Highwalls were estimated to be 40 feet in height and appeared stable (see **Photos 1** and **4**).

Off-site Damage: The operation appeared to be confined to the permit boundary, based on Google Earth review and site observations.

<u>Roads:</u> Haul and access roads did not appear to be a source of sediment that could be tracked offsite. Roads were wet from the recent storm.

Right of Entry: Site representatives stated the SLB lease was current at the time of the inspection.

<u>Reclamation Success:</u> No new reclamation had been initiated at the time of the inspection. A large solar panel had been erected near the truck scale (see **Photo 5**) which is not included in the reclamation plan. Site representatives stated the solar panel was installed to provide power for remotely operating the scale from the office in Cañon City. <u>The need to include the solar panel and ancillary equipment (e.g., batteries) in the reclamation plan and cost estimate is cited as a problem on p. 1 of this report.</u>

<u>Revegetation:</u> Knapweed (see **Photo 4**) was observed along the upper access roads. Mr. Tuxvard stated they had sprayed the knapweed in the spring (2023).

<u>Sediment Control:</u> No significant erosion problems were observed and no BMPs were needed at the time of the inspection.

<u>Support Facilities On-site:</u> A screen plant, crusher, excavator, and loaders were observed on site (see **Photo 6**) in addition to the truck scale, scale house and solar panel.

<u>Signs and Markers:</u> The permit sign was properly posted (see **Photo 7**) and boundary markers were observed to delineate the permit boundary.

<u>Permit Stipulations:</u> During the AM-01 review process, a commitment was made by the Permittee to limit the highwall to less than 2,000 lineal feet in order to keep the reclamation liability in line with the posted financial warranty. The width (east-west) of the current highwall is roughly 900 feet (based on Google earth imagery) with additional north-south wrap arounds on the east and west ends being less than 300 feet each demonstrating the total highwall length to be well less than the 2,000 limit.

<u>Storm Water MGT Plan:</u> No oil or fuel spills observed. Standing water was observed in the sediment pond (see **Photo 8**) from the recent storm.

<u>Structures:</u> No structures not owned by the Permittee were observed within 200 feet of the affected area boundary.

Post Inspection Meeting: The following items were discussed that required additional actions:

- Submit a technical revision to address the reclamation plan for the new solar panel.
- Holcim was to review the draft bond estimate and provide comment.

Please contact Tim Cazier (303)328-5229 or email at <a href="mailto:tim.cazier@state.co.us">tim.cazier@state.co.us</a> if you have any questions regarding this report.

# **PHOTOGRAPHS**



Photo 1. Area A active highwall (looking west).



Photo 2. Area A active highwall (looking north – note overburden/topsoil stockpiled on crest).

# PHOTOGRAPHS (cont.)



Photo 3. Area B pit disturbed by pre-2008 Permittee (looking NE).



Photo 4. Area A active highwall (looking NE).

# PHOTOGRAPHS (cont.)



Photo 5. Solar panel at truck scale.



Photo 6. Support equipment in Area A pit (looking east).

# **PHOTOGRAPHS** (cont.)



Photo 7. Permit sign off Hwy 115 entrance (Holcim sign circled).



Photo 8. Sediment pond (near Quonset hut, looking south).

## **GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS**

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY <u>Y</u>	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES $\underline{Y}$
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>Y</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES $\underline{Y}$	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>Y</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>Y</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN N	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP PB
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>Y</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION $\underline{Y}$	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>Y</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>Y</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>Y</u>	

#### **Inspection Contact Address**

Kurt Thurmann Holcim - WCR, Inc. 1687 Cole Blvd, Suite 300 Golden, CO 80401

Enclosure: Draft Bond Estimate

ec: Jocelyn Carter, DRMS Hunter Ridley, DRMS DRMS file Ben Teschner, SLB

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

# **COST SUMMARY WORK**

Т	Task description: Cost	Summary					
Site:	Table Mountain Quarry	Permit Action:	2023 SO Updat	e	Permit/.	Job#: <u>M1999004</u>	
Pl	ROJECT IDENTIFICATIO	ON					
	Task #: 000	State: Colorado		A	Abbreviation	: None	
	Date: 11/8/2023	County: Fremont			Filename	:: M004-000	
	User: TC1						
	Agency or organization	name: DRMS					
<u>T</u> .	ASK LIST (DIRECT COST	<u>ΓS)</u>					
ask			Form	Fleet	Task		
	Description	1 0	Used	Size	Hours	Cost	
10	Backfill highwall, adjusted blasting	volume for cast	DOZER	1	19.25	\$8,513	
11	Spread topsoil all areas		DOZER	1	32.33	\$14,300	
21	Haul topsoil for all areas		TRUCK1	1	152.79	\$84,400	
30	Drilling and blasting (see 03 \$1.14/CY	80.1 worksheet) @	NA	1	5.00	\$31,068	
40	Revegetation		REVEGE	1	50.00	\$57,623	
50	Demo/Haul Quonset Structu	ıre	DEMOLISH	1	12.00	\$11,968	
60	Mob/Demob Equipment		MOBILIZE	1	5.00	\$5,311	
			<u>SUBTO</u>	OTALS:	276	37 \$213,183	
IN	NDIRECT COSTS						
<u>O'</u>	VERHEAD AND PROFIT:						
		2.02			Total =	\$4,306	
	•	1.05			Total =	\$2,238	
		138.19			Total =	\$8,993	
		10.00			Total =	\$21,318	
					O & P =	\$36,856	
		CONTR	RACT AMOUNT	(direct +	O & P) =	\$250,039	
LE	EGAL - ENGINEERING - PRO	JECT MANAGEMENT:					
	Financial warranty processir	ng (legal/related costs):	\$0		Total =	\$0	
	Engineering work and/or co	ontract/bid preparation:	4.25	_	Total =	\$10,627	
	Reclamation management	and/or administration:	5.00	_	_	\$12,502	
		CONTINGENCY:	0.00		Total = _	\$0	
			TOTAL IN	NDIRECT	COST = _	\$59,985	

TOTAL BOND AMOUNT (direct + indirect) = \$273,168

# BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Backfill highwal	l, adjusted vo	lume for cast blastir	ıg	
ite: Table Mountain Qua	arry Per	mit Action:	2023 SO Update	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M1999004</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION				
Task #: 010 Date: 11/8/2023 User: TC1	State: County:	Colorado Fremont		Abbreviation: Filename:	None 010
Agency or organ	nization name: DF	RMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST				
Horsepower: 40: Blade Type: Ser Attachment: NA Shift Basis: 1 p	mi-Universal				
Cost Breakdown:	,	T.			
Ownership Cost/Hour: Operating Cost/Hour:		\$238.76 \$162.29	Utilization % NA 100	<u> </u>	
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour:		\$0.00 \$41.30	0 NA		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:  MATERIAL QUANT  Initial Volume: 29,7  Swell factor: 1.00  Loose volume: 29,7					
Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor:	ume: TR-2 - A		3 cast blast volume		
HOURLY PRODUCT	TION				
Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production:	50 feet 2,110.5 LC	Y/hr	_		
Materials consistency de	escription: Consol	idated stockpi	le 1.0		
Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	-15 % 7,130 feet	<u></u>			
Material weight:	2,800 lbs/LCY	_			
Weight description:	Granite - Broken				

Job Condition Correction Factor		<u>Source</u>
Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.200	(SLOT)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.900	(SSD-FC)
Push gradient:	1.329	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.821	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.7336

Adjusted unit production: 1,548.26 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production: 1548.26 LCY/hr

## **JOB TIME AND COST**

Fleet size: 1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost: \$0.286/LCY

Total job time: 19.25 Hours
Total job cost: \$8,513

# BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Spread topsoil all	areas			
te: Table Mountain Qua	arry Perm	it Action:	2023 SO Update	Permit/Jol	o#: <u>M1999004</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	<u>ICATION</u>				
Task #: 011 Date: 11/8/2023 User: TC1		Colorado Fremont		Abbreviation: Filename:	None 011
Agency or organ	nization name: <u>DRM</u>	IS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST				
Horsepower: 40 Blade Type: Se Attachment: NA Shift Basis: 1 p	mi-Universal		- - - -		
Cost Breakdown:	,		_		
Ownership Cost/Hour: Operating Cost/Hour:		\$238.76 \$162.29	<u>Utilization %</u> NA 100		
Ripper own.	·	\$0.00	NA		
Cost/Hour: Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$41.30	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour:  MATERIAL QUANT  Initial Volume: 79,0 Swell factor: 1.00 Loose volume: 79,6	005				
Source of estimated volumes Source of estimated swe factor:			or 59 ac @ 10"		
HOURLY PRODUCT	<u> TION</u>				
Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production:	50 feet 2,110.5 LCY/	hr			
Materials consistency de	escription: Partly co	nsolidated s	stockpile 1.1		
Average push gradient:	-10 %	_			
Average site altitude:	7,130 feet	_			
Material weight:	1,600 lbs/LCY			<u> </u>	
Weight description:	Top Soil				

Job Condition Correction Factor		Source
Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	1.100	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.200	(SLOT)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.225	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	1.438	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 1.1580

Adjusted unit production:

Adjusted fleet production:

2,443.96 LCY/hr

2443.96 LCY/hr

## **JOB TIME AND COST**

Fleet size: 1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost: \$0.181/LCY

Total job time: 32.33 Hours
Total job cost: \$14,300

# TRUCK/LOADER TEAM WORK

Task description:	Haul to	psoil for all areas	S			
Site: <b>Table Mountain</b>	Quarry	Permit Acti	on: _2023 SO Up	odate	Permit/Job#: M	1999004
DDA IFAT INF	ATIEICATION	Ī				
PROJECT IDEN	NIIFICATION	-				
Task #: 021 Date: 11/8/	2022	State: Colors		Ab	breviation: No: 021	
User: TC1	2023	County: Fremo	ont		rnename. 021	<u>:                                    </u>
	r organization nar	ne: DRMS				
HOURLY EQU	IPMENT COST	<u>Γ</u>		Shift bas	sis: <u>1 per day</u>	
			Equipment Descri	ption		
,	Truck Loader Tea		730			
Suns	oort Equipment -I		Т 962Н			
Տարլ		ump Area: NA				<u> </u>
Road M	Iaintenance –Mot	or Grader: NA				
	-Wa	ter Truck: NA				
Cost Breakdown:	Truck/Lo	ader Team	Support 1	Equipment	Maintenan	ce Equipment
Cost Di Cardowii.	Truck	Loader	Load Area	Dump Area	Motor Grader	Water Truck
%Utilization-machine:	100	100	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ownership cost/hour:	\$108.06	\$46.00	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Operating cost/hour:	\$71.88	\$40.72	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
%Utilization-riper:	NA	0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Ripper own. cost/hour:	NA	\$0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ripper op. cost/hour:	NA	\$0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
Operator cost/hour:	\$32.54	\$40.71	NA	NA	NA	NA
Unit Subtotals:	\$212.48	\$127.43	NA	NA	NA	NA
Number of Units:	2	1	0	0	0	0
Group Subtotals:	Work:	\$552.39	Support:	\$0.00	Maint:	\$0.00
Total work team co	ost/hour: \$552.39	)				
	<u> </u>					
<b>MATERIAL QU</b>	JANTITIES					
Initial volume	e: 70,111	CCY	Swell	factor: 1.000		
Loose volume	e: <b>70,11</b>	1 LCY				
Sc	ource of estimated	volume: TR-2	2, Exh L, pit floor	and backfilled are	ea	
Source	e of estimated swe	ell factor: Cat I	Handbook			
	Material Purch					
	10	otal Cost: \$0.00	J			<del></del>
<b>HOURLY PRO</b>	DUCTION					
Truck Capacity:						
Truck Payload (we	ight) Basis:					
Material	weight: 1,600		Pounds/LCY	-		
	ription: Top So		D 1			
Rated Payload Ca			Pounds LCY			
i ayibad Ca	ipacity		LC1			

Truck Bed (volume) Basis: Struck Volume:	17.10	LCY				
Heaped Volume:	22.10	LCY				
Average Volume:	19.60	LCY				
Adjusted Volume:	22.10	LCY				
Fina	al Truck Volume	e Based on Number of Loa	ader Passes:	18.06	LCY	
Loading Tool Capacity			-			
			Buck	et Size Class: N	NA .	_
Rated Capacity:	4.300	LCY (heaped)				_
Bucket Fill Factor:	1.050	Other - moist loam	(100-1)	10%) 1.050		_
Adjusted Capacity:	4.515	LCY				
Job Condition Correction	<u>s:</u>	Site A	ltitude (ft.): 7	130 feet		
	Truck	Loader	Source			
Altitude Adj:	1.000	1.000	(CAT HB)	)		
Job Efficiency:	0.830	0.830	(CAT HB)	)		
Net Correction:	0.830	0.830				
Loading Tool Cycle Time	. N	£1 1; T1 D	D: 1 4 - E	2:11 T1	4	
Loading Tool Cycle Time	<del>_</del>	er of Loading Tool Passes	Required to F	ill Truck:	1	passes
Excavators and Front Show	rels:	J	Required to F	ill Truck:	1	passes
Excavators and Front Show Machine Cycle Time	rels:	on Rating: NA	Required to F	ill Truck:	1	passes
Excavators and Front Show Machine Cycle Time Selected Value	r <u>els:</u> vs. Job Condition within this Bas	on Rating: NA NA NA		fill Truck:		passes
Excavators and Front Show Machine Cycle Time Selected Value	rels: vs. Job Condition within this Bast Material Desc	on Rating: NA NA NA				passes
Excavators and Front Show Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders	rels: vs. Job Condition within this Bas Material Desc ):	on Rating: NA NA NA				passes
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min. Load: NA	vs. Job Condition within this Bas Material Desc ):	on Rating: NA sic Rating: NA ription: NA Maneuver: NA		Dump: 0.100	0	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min. Load: NA	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc. ):  Unadjusted B	on Rating: NA ic Rating: NA ription:		Dump: 0.100	0	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min.  Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  Unadjusted B	on Rating: NA  ic Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  asic Loader Cycle Time (1	oad, dump, m	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0	0 0.500 min	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min. Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders  Cycle Time Factors	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  - Material Desc  - Material Desc  - No adjustme	on Rating: NA ic Rating: NA ription: NA  Maneuver: NA asic Loader Cycle Time (1	oad, dump, m	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.)	0 0.500 min	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min. Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders  Cycle Time Factors Material:	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):	on Rating: NA  ic Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  asic Loader Cycle Time (1	oad, dump, m	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.000	0    Source (Cat HB)	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min.  Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders  Cycle Time Factors  Material: Stockpile: Truck Ownership: Operation:	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  - Unadjusted B No adjustme Conveyor or Common ow Constant ope	on Rating: NA  ic Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  asic Loader Cycle Time (I  ent - factor not applicable (I  dozer piled 10 ft. high and mership of trucks and load eration -0.04	oad, dump, m	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.000 0.000 -0.040 -0.040	0 minumon Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB)	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min.  Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders  Cycle Time Factors Material: Stockpile: Truck Ownership:	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  - Unadjusted B No adjustme Conveyor or Common ow Constant ope	on Rating: NA  ic Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  rasic Loader Cycle Time (1  rent - factor not applicable (1  rent of dozer piled 10 ft. high and (2)  rent of trucks and load (2)  rent of trucks and load (3)  rent of trucks and load (4)  rent of trucks and load (4)	0.00 d up 0.00 ders -0.04	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.000 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000	0	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min.  Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders  Cycle Time Factors  Material: Stockpile: Truck Ownership: Operation:	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  - Unadjusted B No adjustme Conveyor or Common ow Constant ope	on Rating: NA  ic Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  asic Loader Cycle Time (I  ent - factor not applicable (I  dozer piled 10 ft. high and I  vnership of trucks and load I  get 0.00  Net Cycle Time A	0.00 d up 0.00 ders -0.04 djustment:	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.000 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.080	0 Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) minutes	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min.  Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders  Cycle Time Factors  Material: Stockpile: Truck Ownership: Operation:	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  - Unadjusted B No adjustme Conveyor or Common ow Constant ope	on Rating: NA  ic Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  asic Loader Cycle Time (I  cnt - factor not applicable (I  dozer piled 10 ft. high and I  vnership of trucks and load eration -0.04 get 0.00  Net Cycle Time Ac  Adjusted Loader Cycle Time Ac  Adjusted Loader Cycle Time Ac	oad, dump, m 0.00 d up 0.00 ders -0.04 djustment: ycle Time:	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.000 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.080 0.420	0	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min.  Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders  Cycle Time Factors  Material: Stockpile: Truck Ownership: Operation:	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  - Unadjusted B No adjustme Conveyor or Common ow Constant ope	on Rating: NA  ic Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  asic Loader Cycle Time (I  ent - factor not applicable (I  dozer piled 10 ft. high and I  vnership of trucks and load I  get 0.00  Net Cycle Time A	oad, dump, m 0.00 d up 0.00 ders -0.04 djustment: ycle Time:	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.000 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.080	0 Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) minutes	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min.  Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders  Cycle Time Factors  Material: Stockpile: Truck Ownership: Operation:	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  - Unadjusted B No adjustme Conveyor or Common ow Constant ope	on Rating: NA  ic Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  asic Loader Cycle Time (I  cnt - factor not applicable (I  dozer piled 10 ft. high and I  vnership of trucks and load eration -0.04 get 0.00  Net Cycle Time Ac  Adjusted Loader Cycle Time Ac  Adjusted Loader Cycle Time Ac	oad, dump, m 0.00 d up 0.00 ders -0.04 djustment: ycle Time:	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.000 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.080 0.420	0	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders Cycle Time Elements (min. Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders Cycle Time Factors Material: Stockpile: Truck Ownership: Operation: Dump Target:	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  - Unadjusted B  No adjustme Conveyor or Common ow Constant ope Nominal targ	on Rating: NA  ic Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  asic Loader Cycle Time (I  cnt - factor not applicable (I  dozer piled 10 ft. high and I  vnership of trucks and load eration -0.04 get 0.00  Net Cycle Time Ac  Adjusted Loader Cycle Time Ac  Adjusted Loader Cycle Time Ac	oad, dump, m 0.00 d up 0.00 ders -0.04 djustment: ycle Time: per Truck:	Dump: 0.100 naneuver): 0 Factor (min.) 0.000 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.080 0.420	0	
Excavators and Front Show  Machine Cycle Time Selected Value Track Loaders  Cycle Time Elements (min. Load: NA  Wheel and Track Loaders  Cycle Time Factors Material: Stockpile: Truck Ownership: Operation: Dump Target:  Truck Cycle Time:	vs. Job Condition within this Base Material Desc ):  - Unadjusted B  No adjustme Conveyor or Common ow Constant ope Nominal tars	on Rating: NA  ription: NA  Maneuver: NA  rasic Loader Cycle Time (I  ent - factor not applicable (I  dozer piled 10 ft. high and vnership of trucks and load eration -0.04 get 0.00  Net Cycle Time Ac  Adjusted Loader Cy  Net Load Time	Joad, dump, m Joad, dup	Dump: 0.100 Factor (min.) 0.000 0.000 -0.040 -0.040 0.000 -0.080 0.420 1.360	O Source (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) (Cat HB) minutes minutes minutes	utes

<u>Truck Travel (Haul & Return) Time:</u> Road Condition: <u>Firm, smooth, rolling, dirt/lt. surfaced, watered, maintained 3.0</u>

#### Haul Route:

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	500.00	-5.00	3.00	-2.00	3064	0.230

Haul Time: 0.230 minutes

Return Route:

1 Ctulii i	Retain Route.								
Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)			
1	500.00	5.00	3.00	8.00	1903	0.356			

Return Time: 0.356 minutes
Total Truck Cycle Time: 3.546 minutes

Loading Tool unit

Production \_\_\_\_\_552.86 LCY/Hour Adjusted for job efficiency: \_\_\_\_458.87 LCY/Hour

Truck Unit Production

305.58 LCY/Hour Adjusted for job efficiency: 253.63 LCY/Hour

Optimal No. of Trucks: 2 Truck(s) Selected Number of Trucks: 2 Truck(s)

Adjusted hourly truck team production: 507.27 LCY/Hour Adjusted single truck/loader team production: 458.87 LCY/Hour Adjusted multiple truck/loader team production: 458.87 LCY/Hour

**JOB TIME AND COST** 

Fleet size: 1 Team(s) Total job time: 152.79 Hours

Unit cost: \$1.204 /LCY Total job cost: **\$84,400** 

Task # 030.1 Highwall Blast to Backfill Volume Estimate PROJECT: **Table Mountain Quarry LEGEND** Highwall Length = 2000 ft **PERMIT No.:** M-1999-004 Input Result **HIGHWALL LOCATION: Active Highwall** Swell factor: Highwall Native Cut (A<sub>c</sub>) Volume 303.0 ft<sup>2</sup> 46.1 ft to Cut (A') per unit Length = ft<sup>2</sup> 496.9 - Competant or Rubblized? Native cut (A<sub>C</sub>) w/ Swell = 0.75 H Competant Highwall Cut Volume (A') ft² 64.9 **Native Material** per unit Length = 106.5 ft<sup>2</sup> CUT (Ac) Highwall cut (A<sub>1</sub>) w/ Swell = Cut Depth to Combined Fill Volume 1,080.0 ft<sup>2</sup> 1.0 V Achieve Fill: (AF) per unit Length = 1.0 V 13.2 ft 476.6 ft<sup>2</sup> Highwall Volume to Remain (A") per unit Length = 735,831.6 ft<sup>3</sup> Blasted Vol. NO Swell = 3.5 H OR 27,253.0 CY <--Existing or 1,206,764 ft<sup>3</sup> Estimated Average Highwall Blasted Vol. w/ Swell = Mined (HW) Height = OR 44,695.0 CY 38 ft Grade 1,206,764 ft<sup>3</sup> Reg'd Fill Vol. = Fill Height HIGHWALL OR 44,695 CY <--Highwall (HW Height - Cut Depth) = 24.8 ft Reclaimed Check Cut/Fill Balance: to Remain Ac + A' - [AF - A''] = 00.0 Grade 138.3 ft slope length as Fill (A") Slope surface 276,644 ft<sup>2</sup> area Combined OR 6.35 Acres FILL (A<sub>F</sub>) 133.0 ft 86.9 ft **HIGHWALL CUT - FILL VOLUME ESTIMATE** 

# **REVEGETATION WORK**

Table N	Mountain Quarry	Per	mit Actio	on: 2023	SO Update		Permit/Job#	: <u>M1999004</u>
ROJEC'	Γ IDENTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>						
Task # Date User	: 11/8/2023	State: County:	Colorad			_		None 040
	gency or organization	name: DR	MS					
<u>ERTILI</u>	ZING							
laterials								
Descrip	otion			Units / Acre	Unit	Cos	t / Unit	Cost /Acre
						\$		\$
						Tot	al Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$0.00
pplication  Descrip								Cost /Acre
								\$
				Total	Fertilizer A	pplication	n Cost/Acre	\$0.00
ILLING	<u> </u>							
Descrip	otion							Cost /Acre
	ontrol spraying (MEA	NS 31 31 16.	13 3100)					\$338.80
					To	otal Tillin	g Cost/Acre	\$338.80
EEDINC	<u>3</u>							
Seed M	ix					Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Gr	rama - Hachita					1.00	16.32	\$15.98

Big Bluestem - Native

Little Bluestem - Cimarron

Pubescent Wheatgrass - Luna

Western Wheatgrass - Arriba

Yellow Sweet Clover - Madrid

Sideoats Grama - Vaughn

<b>Totals Seed Mix</b>	22.25	79.84	\$175.31

CIRCES Cost Estimating Software

11.94

11.94

13.13

12.40

1.49

12.63

\$47.27

\$24.97

\$33.50

\$20.40

\$0.71

\$32.50

4.00

2.00

4.00

6.00

0.25

5.00

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Broadcast seeding [DMG]		\$267.22
	<b>Total Seed Application Cost/Acre</b>	\$267.22

## **MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$0.00

**Application** 

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

## **NURSERY STOCK PLANTING**

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
	\$0.00				

## **JOB TIME AND COST**

 No. of Acres:
 59
 Cost /Acre:
 \$781.33

 Estimated Failure Rate:
 25%
 Cost /Acre\*:
 \$781.33

\*Selected Replanting Work Items: TILLING,SEEDING

Initial Job Cost: \$46,098.47

Reseeding Job Cost: \$11,524.62

Total Job Cost: \$57,623

Job Hours: 50.00

## **DEMOLITION WORK**

	Task description:	Demo/Hau	l Quonset Struct	ture			
Site:	Table Mountain Quarry		Permit Action:	2023 SO Update	Permit/.	Job#:	M1999004
PROJE	ECT IDENTIFICATION	<u>1</u>					
Task #	t: 050	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	Non	e
Date	e: 11/8/2023	County:	Fremont		Filename:	050	
Useı	:: TC1						
	Agency or organizat	ion name:	DRMS				

## **UNIT COSTS**

## **Location adjustment: 91.50 %**

Structure or Item Description Dimensions		Demolition Menu Selection	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	<b>Total Cost</b>	
Quonset Structure (intact volume)	75'L x 40'W x ~18'H	Demo. only, small or single buildings (single story) - Steel structures	41,489.00	CF	\$0.20	\$8,173.33	
Loading Demo'd Quonset Structure (Operator demo'd vol.)	25 CY	Loading only, open areas (unconfined) - Track loader	25.00	CY	\$0.89	\$22.15	
Haul Away Quonset Structure	25 CY (2 x 60- mile RT)	Hauling only, per mile, 12-18 CY truck - 30 mph average speed	120.00	MI	\$9.01	\$1,081.50	
Demo Quonset Floor	22' x 40' x 8"	Demo. and on-site disposal in existing pit, 8 in. thick - Max. 200 ft. push	880.00	SF	\$1.67	\$1,468.02	
Demo Quonset Slab	20' x 30' x 8"	Demo. and on-site disposal in existing pit, 8 in. thick - Max. 200 ft. push	600.00	SF	\$1.67	\$1,000.92	
Demo Quonset Footer	16" x 16" x 211'	Demo. and on-site disposal in existing pit, 1.0 ft. x 2 ft Max. 200 ft. push	211.00	LF	\$5.00	\$1,055.95	
Landfill Fee for	25 CY	Dump fees - Building construction materials.	25.00	CY	\$11.10	\$277.50	

:	Solar Panel	:
-		a contract of the contract of

Job Hours: \_\_\_\_\_12.00

**Total Cost** (adjusted for

Subtotal (unadjusted): \$13,079.37 location): \$11,967.62

# EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description: N	lob/Demob Equip	ment				
ite: Table Mountain Quarry		t Action: 2023 SC	<u>O Update</u> Permit	Permit/Job#: M1999004		
PROJECT IDENTIFICAT	<u> TION</u>					
Task #: 060 Date: 11/8/2023 User: TC1	_	Colorado remont	Abbreviatio Filenam			
Agency or organizati	on name: DRM	S				
<b>EQUIPMENT TRANSPO</b>	RT RIG COST					
	i i orni		Shift basis: _ Cost Data Source: _	1 per day CRG Data		
Truck Tractor De	scription: GENI		AY TRUCK TRACTOR, 63 400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006)			
Truck Trailer De	scription:	GENERIC FOLDIN	IG GOOSENECK, DROP D AILER (25T, 50T, AND 10	ECK EQUIPMENT		
Cost Breakdown:						
Available Rig Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51+ Tons			
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$20.26	\$36.04	\$47.05			
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$39.51	\$76.08	\$82.85			
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$22.52	\$22.52	\$22.52			
Helper Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$23.53	\$23.53			
Total Unit Cost/Hour:	\$82.29	\$158.17	\$175.95			

#### **NON ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:**

	Machine Description	Weight/ Unit	Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
	1	(TONS)		t		fleet		
	Cat D9T - 9SU	60.01	\$238.76	\$175.95	1	\$414.71	\$175.95	\$250.00
-	CAT 12M	16.01	\$74.98	\$82.29	1	\$157.27	\$82.29	\$250.00
Ī	Cat 623G	41.35	\$252.73	\$158.17	1	\$410.90	\$158.17	\$250.00

Cat 730 Cat962H Subtotals: \$982.88 \$416.41 \$750.00

# **ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:**

Machine	ine Description		Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with Tractor		\$14.81	1	\$14.81	\$14.81	

Subtotals: \$14.81 \$14.81

## **EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time**

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:

Total one-way travel distance:

Average Travel Speed:

CAÑON CITY

miles

25.00

mph

Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost \*
 '\* two round trips with haul rig:
 Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost \*\*
 \*\* one round trip, no haul rig:

\$5,281.46

\$29.62

#### <u>Transportation Cycle Time:</u>

	Non-	
	Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	1.00	1.00
Return Time (Hours):	1.00	1.00
Loading Time (Hours):	0.25	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.25	NA
Subtotals:	2.50	2.00

## **JOB TIME AND COST**

Total job time:	5.00	Hours
Total job cost:	\$5.311	