

# MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Keeling Pit	M-1991-081	Sand and gravel	Huerfano
INSPECTION TYPE:	WEATHER:	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring	Cloudy	January 11, 2024 10:00	
OPERATOR:	<b>OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:</b>	TYPE OF OPERAT	ΓION:
Sam Keeling	Sam Keeling	110c - Construction	Limited Impact
<b>REASON FOR INSPECTION:</b>	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	<b>BOND AMOUNT:</b>	
Normal I&E Program	Complete Bond	\$14,737.42	
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGE	NCY:
NA	None	None	
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DAT	E:
Amber Gibson	1	February 1, 2024	
	AAK		
	Augur ( Xaron D		

## The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

**INSPECTION TOPIC:** Acid And Toxic Materials

**PROBLEM:** Improper storage and containment of fuels and/or other hazardous materials was present on site. **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** All storage tanks, petroleum and any hazardous materials on site for any period of time shall have appropriate secondary containment. The site will also have to comply with all applicable SPCC requirements. Please supply photo documentation that any fuel or hazardous materials containers are stored properly - including applicable secondary containment structures by the corrective action date. Note that secondary containment structures to fan impermeable containment which could contain all contents of the tanks and various containers (when full) plus 10% of the total capacity. The Operator may also provide photo documentation that all containers have been removed from the site on or before the corrective action date.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 4/25/24

## **INSPECTION TOPIC: Other**

**PROBLEM:** Trash and refuse was noticed on the site. This is a problem at this time for failure to dispose of refuse in a manner that controls unsightliness or deleterious effects of such refuse pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-116(4)(e).

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The Operator shall submit a written notice to the Division with photo documentation, that the trash has been removed from the site by the corrective action date. **CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 4/25/24

## **INSPECTION TOPIC:** Signs & Markers

**PROBLEM:** The mine identification sign was posted at the entrance of the mine site, but has become illegible. This is a problem for failure to post a mine identification sign that meets the requirement of Section 3.1.12(1) of the Rule. The Operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site post a sign, which shall be clearly visible from the access road, with a minimum size equaling one hundred and eighty-seven (187) square inches, such as eleven (11) inches in height and seventeen (17) inches in width, with appropriate font size, with the following: the name of the Operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board; and the permit number.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The Operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site, post a sign which shall be clearly visible from the access road with the following: the name of the Operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board; and the permit number. The Operator shall submit photo documentation that a proper sign has been posted by the corrective action date.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 4/25/24

## **INSPECTION TOPIC:** Signs & Markers

**PROBLEM:** The affected area boundary markers are incorrectly placed. The area encompassed by the field markers is nearly an acre larger than the approved permit area boundary. This is a problem for failure to maintain boundary markers around the affected area as required by Section 3.1.12(2) of the rule. **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The Operator shall conduct a survey and replace the boundary markers in the correct location(s). The Operator shall provide photo and GPS coordinates of the adjusted marker locations as proof to the Division that this has been done by the corrective action date. **CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 4/25/24

## **INSPECTION TOPIC:** Topsoil

**PROBLEM:** The topsoil stockpiles do not have established vegetation on them and are therefore susceptible to erosion. Rule 3.1.9(1) states if topsoil is not replaced into the backfill area within a time short enough to avoid deterioration of the topsoil, vegetative cover or other means shall be employed so that the topsoil is protected from erosion.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The Operator shall seed the stockpiles with the seed mix that was submitted as part of the approved Reclamation Plan. If no seed mix has been provided, the operator shall submit a seed mix for approval as a technical revision to the permit along with the appropriate \$216 revision fee to the Division by the corrective action date. The Operator shall demonstrate compliance by submitting seed tags, a bill of sale or photographs of seeding activities. The Operator may also request a follow up inspection. **CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 4/25/24

# **OBSERVATIONS**

The Keeling Pit was inspected by Amber Gibson with the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division/DRMS). The inspection was completed as part of the Division's routine monitoring inspection program. The site was last inspected on June 7, 2019 as part of a routine monitoring inspection. Sam Keeling, the Operator, accompanied me during the inspection. The weather was cloudy and cold, and the ground had a thin layer of snow cover throughout most of the site.

The Keeling Pit is located approximately 4.5 miles northwest of La Veta, Colorado and about 0.5 miles north of County Road 440. The Keeling Pit is a 110c Limited Impact Construction Materials Operation, permitted for the removal of sand and gravel. The approved permit area is 9.9 acres and the approved post mining land use is rangeland. The current land uses surrounding the site are rural residential and rangeland.

### Availability of Records:

The annual report, fee, and map are current through September 29, 2024. There are no outstanding infractions.

### Acid And Toxic Materials:

During the Division's October 29, 2007 inspection, containers of used motor oil located adjacent to the pit were observed. The Operator was informed that these containers require secondary containment to contain the oil in the event that any containers leak. The Operator stated, at that time, that he had an extra stock tank that he would bring to the site to use as secondary containment.

During the 2024 inspection, a stock tank was observed near the pit, but an abundance of motor oil containers were placed around it (Photo 1). When asked about this, the Operator stated that they had been putting the oil containers in the stock tank. However, the Operator allows some people to bring their leftover motor oil to him. The Operator stated that they received more than they were able to use, or store in the tank, and that is why there are additional containers outside of the secondary containment. The Division informed the Operator that the additional containers need to be placed in secondary containment or removed from the site. This has been cited above as a problem. The Operator shall provide the Division with photo evidence that the motor oil containers have been placed within an appropriate secondary containment structure, or have been removed from the site, by the corrective action date.

## **Backfilling and Grading:**

The Operator has overburden stockpiles placed around the perimeter of the pit to reduce the push and haul distances required to backfill the slopes once reclamation begins (Photos 2-4). The Operator has also stockpiled additional overburden material in a line following closely with the Northern border (see Map 1). The Operator is cautioned not proceed any further north where the disturbance nearly meets the permit boundary. As a reminder, no disturbance is permitted outside of the permitted affected area boundary, including reclamation activities. Pursuant to Rule 3.1.5(3), the Operator shall protect areas outside of the affected land from slides or other damage. Failure to do so may be cited as a Possible Violation and the matter may be brought before the Mined Land Reclamation Board (MLRB) for an enforcement hearing.

### Financial Warranty:

The Division currently holds a reclamation bond in the amount of \$14,737.42 for this operation. The Division

has estimated the reclamation liability at the site, based on what is currently disturbed, and found it to be \$29,620-- a difference of \$14,882.58 from the bond currently held. The Division's cost estimate is enclosed with this report. The Operator will have 14 days (February 15, 2024), from the issuance of this report to submit any questions on the cost estimate. If no questions are received, the Division may issue a Surety Increase notice for the difference. The Operator will have 60 days from the date of the notice to submit and obtain acceptance of the increase in financial warranty from the Division in accordance with Rule 4.2.1(2).

### Hydrologic Balance:

No standing water, exposed groundwater, or evidence of off-site disturbance caused by surface water run-off was observed during the inspection. It appeared that any surface water falling on the site would drain into the pit, consistent with approved mining plan.

### Gen. Compliance with Mine Plan:

The Keeling Pit is conducted as an Intermittent Operation. The Operator stated that the last date of active excavation took place in September 2023, and that the last time material had been hauled off-site was in December 2023. The open pit area encompasses about 2.4 acres. The perimeter of the pit is constructed of highwalls with a maximum height of about 20 feet and an approximate length of 1400 feet (see Photos 4-7 and the pink line on Map 1). The highwalls appear to be stable at this time.

Excavation occurs in west and northerly directions. The Division walked around the disturbance on-site to determine the extent of the disturbance boundary by using the Esri Field Maps application. The yellow line on Map 1 indicates where the Division walked, and the blue line indicates an approximation of additional disturbance (gathered from aerial imagery in Google Earth Pro). The total disturbed area encompasses approximately 6.5 acres.

The permit boundary markers are not in the correct locations (see the Signs and Markers section below). Initially, it appeared that there was some disturbance in the area to the south that was off-site. The disturbance in this area consists of stockpiles and disturbance from equipment traffic (see the background of Photo 13). However, upon further review of the permit file, previous inspection reports, and Google Earth imagery, it was determined that this disturbance was likely created during the previous gravel pit and prior to permit issuance. The Division reminds the Operator that if they wish to utilize any of the material in the small stockpiles south of the boundary, this will qualify as re-disturbance, and will need to be incorporated into the permit boundary via an amendment or conversion application to avoid a possible violation citation and enforcement hearing before the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

A variety of mining equipment and vehicles are stored in and around the permit boundary (Photos 8-11), many of which have been there prior to the permitted operation. A few product stockpiles are also located throughout the site. When mining is active, crushing and screening is conducted on-site.

## Other:

Trash and debris were observed within the pit (Photo 4). The Operator stated that a lot of the trash had blown into the pit, but some pieces (like the refrigerator in Photo 12) were placed there for target practice. Trash and refuse must be disposed in a manner that controls unsightliness or deleterious effects of such refuse pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-116(4)(e). Thus, this has been cited as a problem above. The Operator must remove the trash/refuse/debris from the pit and provide the Division with photo evidence that this has been complied with by the corrective action date.

## <u>Roads:</u>

The entrance to the site is located approximately 0.5 miles north of County Road 440. The road ascends a slope that can be difficult to impossible to access in a vehicle during the winter months. The Operator stated that they cease mining in the winter and lock the entrance gate in an attempt to prevent theft and/or vandalism, which had occurred in the past. The haul road that leads into the pit is approved to remain post-reclamation.

### **Right of Entry:**

The Operator is the surface/subsurface owner, and therefore maintains legal right of entry.

### **Reclamation Success:**

No reclamation has occurred on-site. In the Division's 2016 and 2019 inspections, the Operator was informed that unless reclamation of some of the slopes takes place, there will be a cost increase issued for the financial warranty held by the Division. Per standard procedure, a cost estimate was calculated following the inspection to evaluate the cost that the State would incur to reclaim the site if it were to be forfeited or revoked. The updated reclamation cost estimate is discussed above in the Financial Warranty section, and is attached to this report.

During the Division's 2019 inspection, a problem citation was issued for the abundance of the state listed noxious weed Hoary Cress, observed throughout the northern portion of the permit boundary. Following the inspection, the Operator had submitted a revision (TR1) to update the operation's weed control management plan. Due to the dormant vegetation and snow cover, the Division could not verify that this problem continues to be resolved during the 2024 inspection. However, the Operator stated that per their TR1 revision, the county has been spraying for noxious weeds consistently over the last three to four years and that the problem has been resolved.

### Signs and Markers:

A sign was located at the entrance to the site. However, the sign is faded, which the Operator states is due to the sign being exposed to sand erosion from easterly blowing winds (Photo 13). This has been cited as a problem above. The Operator shall repair or replace the sign in accordance with Rule 3.1.12(1) and provide the Division with photo evidence that this has been done by the corrective action date.

Most of the affected area boundary markers were observed during the inspection. The north-east marker had been placed within a tire. The tire is still in place, but the marker had fallen down. Instead of having the Operator replace the marker, the Division informed him that during a review of the permit file prior to the inspection, it was determined that the permit boundary marked in the field (collected during the Division's 2019 inspection) exceeds the approved acreage (see Maps 1 and 2). This has been cited above as a problem. The Operator shall replace all of the boundary markers in their approved locations per the dimensions provided on the approved mining plan map (see Map 2), or hire a surveyor to conduct a survey and replace the markers. The Operator shall provide photos and GPS coordinates of the correctly placed markers to the Division by the corrective action date.

## Topsoil:

During the Division's 2001 inspection, the Operator was informed that the topsoil piles were located too close to mining activities, and that they needed to be stabilized with a vegetative cover. During the 2024 inspection,

the Operator was asked which stock piles were topsoil piles. The Operator indicated that the piles located in the south-east portion of the disturbed area were the primary topsoil piles (Photos 14 & 15). The piles are located in an area where disturbance from active mining would be minimized per Rule 3.1.9(3). However, the piles are not stabilized with a vegetative cover per Rule 3.1.9(1). This has been cited as a problem above. The Operator shall seed the topsoil piles and provide evidence to the Division that this has been complied with by the corrective action date.

### Conclusion:

This concludes the Division's Inspection Report; a few maps displaying topics discussed during the inspection, and a subset of corresponding photographs that were taken during the time of the inspection, are included below. If you need additional information or have any questions, please contact me by email at amber.gibson@state.co.us or by telephone at (720) 836-0967.

### **Inspection Contact Address**

Sam Keeling PO Box 361 La Veta, CO 81055

Enclosure: DRMS 2023 Reclamation Cost Estimate

CC: Jared Ebert, DRMS

## **GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS**

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY <u>Y</u>	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>PB</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>N</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>PB</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>Y</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION <u>N</u>	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS PB	· · / _	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

# **PHOTOGRAPHS**



Photo 1: The stock tank for the oil is located under the blue tarp. The buckets surrounding the tarp also contain oil.



Photo 2: Looking west along the northern disturbance boundary. The disturbance consists of a berm of overburden material.



**Photo 3:** Looking south-east from the northern disturbance boundary to the additional overburden stored around the pit perimeter.



**Photo 4:** Looking at the north-west corner of the pit. Arrows indicate where overburden is stored above the highwalls.



**Photo 5:** Looking north-west across the open pit.



Photo 6: Looking south-east across the open pit.



**Photo 7:** Looking east from within the pit at more highwalls with overburden stored above.



Photo 8: Old vehicles and equipment stored outside of the permit boundary.



Photo 9: Equipment located within the permit boundary.



Photo 10: Looking north at equipment and product stockpiles located within the permit boundary.



Photo 11: Looking east at more vehicles and equipment located in and outside of the permit boundary.



Photo 12: Refrigerator located within the pit that had been used for target practice.



Photo 13: Faded mine sign posted at the entrance to the site. Stockpiled material located behind the structure.



Photo 14: Looking north-east at the topsoil pile.



Photo 15: Looking south at the topsoil pile and an overburden pile.

### PERMIT #: M-1991-081 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: AMG INSPECTION DATE: January 11, 2024



Map 1: Map generated in Google Earth to display the locations where photos were taken and where the disturbance boundary was walked during the January 11, 2024 inspection of the Keeling Pit. Google aerial imagery dated October 2020.



**Map 2:** Copy of the approved mining plan map for the Keeling Pit. The approved distances between markers are provided on the map. The total area, calculated from the distances provided, equals 9.9 acres – consistent with the approved acreage for this operation.

# COST SUMMARY WORK

Т	ask description:	<b>Reclamation Co</b>	st Summary	: Keeling Pit			
Site:	Keeling Pit	Pe	rmit Action:	2024 Inspection		Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M1991081</u>
<u>PR</u>	ROJECT IDENTIFIC	CATION					
	Task #: 000	State:	Colorado			Abbreviation:	None
	Date: <u>1/5/2024</u> User: AMG	County:	Huerfano			Filename:	M081-000
<u>T</u> A	Agency or organi		RMS				
Task				Form	Fleet	Task	
	Description			Used	Size	Hours	Cost
001	Grade Highwalls to 3	3:1		DOZER	1	11.23	\$2,887

	Description	Useu	SIZC	mours	Cost
001	Grade Highwalls to 3:1	DOZER	1	11.23	\$2,887
002	Spread Topsoil	DOZER	1	17.63	\$4,534
003	Revegetation	REVEGE	1	6.50	\$11,251
003b	Weed Control	REVEGE	1	3.00	\$1,016
004	Mob/Demob	MOBILIZE	1	3.52	\$3,085
		SUBTO	TALS:	41.88	\$22,773

# **INDIRECT COSTS**

### **OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:**

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$460
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$239
Job superintendent:	20.94	Total =	\$1,363
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$2,277
		TOTAL O & P =	\$4,339
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) = $\frac{1}{2}$	\$27,112

### LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation: Reclamation management and/or administration:	\$0 4.25 5.00	Total = Total =	\$0 \$1,152 \$1,356
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
	TOTAL I	NDIRECT COST =	\$6,847
TOTAL BO	ND AMOUNT (	direct + indirect) =	\$29,620

### BULLDOZER WORK

	Permit	Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M19910</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	<b>CATION</b>				
Task #: 001	State: Co	lorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 1/5/2024	County: Hu	ierfano		Filename:	M081-001
User: AMG					
Agency or organ	nization name: DRMS				
HOURLY EQUIPME	<u>NT COST</u>				
Basic Machine: Ca	t D7R DS XR Series II				
Horsepower: 24					
<i>v</i> 1	mi-Universal				
	hank ripper				
	per day				
Data Source: (C	RG)				
Cost Breakdown:		I			
Our analy Coot/II	ስ 1	14.76	<u>Utilization %</u> NA		
Ownership Cost/Hour: Operating Cost/Hour:		91.98	<u>100</u>		
Ripper own.					
Cost/Hour:		\$9.06	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$	41.30	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour:	\$257.10				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$257.10 \$257.10		_		
	_ • - · ·				
MATERIAL QUANT	<u>ITIES</u>				
Initial Volume: 5,46	59				
minual volume. 5, it					
Swell factor: 1.12					
Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 6,14	15 LCY				
Loose volume: <b>6,1</b> 4		' high			
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol	ume:1400' long 20				
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe	ume:1400' long 20				
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol	ume:1400' long 20				
Loose volume: <b>6,1</b> 4 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor:	ume: <u>1400' long 20</u> ell Cat Handbook				
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT	ume: <u>1400' long 20</u> ell Cat Handbook				
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance:	ume:         1400' long 20           Cat Handbook	5			
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly	ume: <u>1400' long 20</u> ell Cat Handbook	5	_		
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance:	ume:         1400' long 20           Cat Handbook	5			
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly	ume:         1400' long 20           Cat Handbook         Cat Handbook <u>50 feet</u> 1,022.9 LCY/hr	2	  pankment 0.9		
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production:	ume:         1400' long 20           Cat Handbook         Cat Handbook <u>50 feet</u> 1,022.9 LCY/hr	2	  pankment 0.9		
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description:	ume:         1400' long 20           Cat Handbook	2	  pankment 0.9		
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description: Average push	ume:         1400' long 20           Cat Handbook         Cat Handbook <u>50 feet</u> 1,022.9 LCY/hr	2	  pankment 0.9		
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description: Average push gradient:	ume: <u>1400' long 20</u> Cat Handbook <u>50 feet</u> 1,022.9 LCY/hr Compacted	2	  pankment 0.9		
Loose volume: 6,14 Source of estimated vol Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description: Average push	ume:         1400' long 20           Cat Handbook	2	  bankment 0.9		

Job Condition Correction Fa	ctor	Source
Operator Ski		(AVG.)
Material consistence		(CAT HB))
Dozing metho	d: 1.200	(SLOT)
Visibili	ty: 1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficience	ey: 0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pi	le: 0.900	(SSD-FC)
Push gradie	nt: 1.115	(CAT HB)
Altitud	le: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weig	nt: 0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade typ	be: 1.000	(PAT)
Net correction	on: 0.5350	
Adjusted unit production:	547.25 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet	547.25 LCY/hr	

547.25 LCY/hr

#### Weight description: Sand and gravel - Dry

### JOB TIME AND COST

production:

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.470/LCY
Total job time: Total job cost:	11.23 Hours \$2,887

## Page 4 of 10

## BULLDOZER WORK

Keeling Pit	Perm	it Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M199108</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION				
Task #: 002 Date: 1/5/2024		Colorado Huerfano		Abbreviation: Filename:	None 2
User: <u>AMG</u>	DD1				
Agency or organ	nization name: <u>DRN</u>	15			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST				
Basic Machine: Cat	D7R DS XR Series II				
Horsepower: 240			-		
<i>•</i> 1	ni-Universal		-		
	hank ripper		-		
	er day		-		
Data Source: (CH	RG)				
Cost Breakdown:					
			Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$114.76	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:		\$91.98	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$9.06	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$41.30	NA		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:         MATERIAL QUANT         Initial Volume:       4,84         Swell factor:       1.12         Loose volume:       5,44         Source of estimated volu       Source of estimated swe         factor:       1	0 5 5 LCY ume: <u>Spread 6" T</u>		acres of disturbance		
HOURLY PRODUCT	<u>'ION</u>				
Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production:	100 feet 714.3 LCY/hr				
Materials consistency description:	Consolida	ated stockp	ile 1.0		
Average push gradient:	0 %	_			
Average site altitude:	7,500 feet	_			
Material weight:	2,650 lbs/LCY				
6				_	

weight description: Do	ecomposed fock - 25% Rock	, 75% Earth
Job Condition Correction Fact	or	Source
Operator Skill	: 0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency	: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Dozing method	: 1.000	(GEN.)
Visibility	: 1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency	: 0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile	: 0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient	: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude	: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight	: 0.868	(CAT HB)
Blade type	: 1.000	(PAT)
Net correction	: 0.4323	
Adjusted unit production:	308.79 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production:	<b>308.79</b> LCY/hr	

Weight description: Decomposed rock - 25% Rock, 75% Earth

### JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.833/LCY

Total job time:17.63 HoursTotal job cost:\$4,534

# **REVEGETATION WORK**

Task description:		Revege	tation				
Site: Ke	eling Pit		Pe	rmit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Jol	o#: <u>M1991081</u>
<u>PROJ</u>	IECT IDENT	IFICATION					
Та	ask #: 003		State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
1	Date: 1/5/20	24	County:	Huerfano		Filename:	M081-003
I	User: AMG						
	Agency or o	rganization na	me: DF	RMS			

## **FERTILIZING**

# Materials

Description	Units /	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Ammonium nitrate, 33-0-0	Acre 120.00	pound	\$0.62	\$74.80
Triple superphosphate, 0-46-0	87.00	pound	\$0.89	\$77.43
			Total Fautilian	
			Total Fertilizer Materials	
			Cost/Acre	\$152.23

### Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Tractor towed spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0120)		\$41.82
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$41.82

## TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DMG}	\$100.40
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$100.40

## **SEEDING**

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Smooth Brome - Lincoln	6.50	21.64	\$21.61
Yellow Sweet Clover - Madrid	1.00	5.97	\$2.83
Western Wheatgrass - Arriba	8.00	20.20	\$52.00
Totals Seed Mix	15.50	47.81	\$76.44

### Application

Description	Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)	\$232.00

### **Total Seed Application Cost/Acre**

### \$232.00

## **MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS**

### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Hay, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$429.79	\$859.57
<b>Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre</b>				\$859.57

## Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$74.46
Power mulcher (MEANS 32 91 13.16 0350)		\$147.67
	<b>Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre</b>	\$222.13

# JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	6.5	Cost /Acre:	\$1,684.59
Estimated Failure Rate:	15%	Cost /Acre*:	\$308.44
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	SEEDING		

Initial Job Cost:	\$10,949.84
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$300.73
Total Job Cost:	\$11,251
Job Hours:	6.50

## **REVEGETATION WORK**

: Keeling	Pit	Pe	rmit Action:	2024 Inspection	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M1991081</u>
PROJECT	<u>IDENTIFI</u>	CATION				
Task #:	003B	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date:	2/1/2024	County:	Huerfano		Filename:	M081-003b
	AMG					

### **TILLING**

Description		Cost /Acre
Weed control spraying (MEANS 31 31 16.13 3100)		\$338.80
	<b>Total Tilling Cost/Acre</b>	\$338.80

# JOB TIME AND COST

	No. of Acres:	3	Cost /Ac	ere: \$338.80
Estimate	Estimated Failure Rate:		Cost /Acre	e*: \$0.00
*Selected Replanti	ng Work Items:	NONE		
Initial Job Cost:	\$1,016.40			
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$0.00			
Total Job Cost:	\$1,016			
Job Hours:	3.00			

## EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Keeling Pi	it	Permit	Action: 2024	Inspection		Permit/Job#:M	11991081
PROJECT I	DENTIFICAT	ION					
Task #:	004	State: Co	olorado		Abbre	eviation: None	
Date: User:	1/5/2024 AMG	County: Hu	ierfano		Fi	ilename: 4	
Ager	ncy or organization	n name: DRMS					
EQUIPMEN	T TRANSPOR	T RIG COST					
				C	Shift ba ost Data Sout	1	
Т	ruck Tractor Desc	cription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH		CK TRACTO (2ND HALF,	OR, 6X4, DIESEI 2006)	L POWERED,
Т	Truck Trailer Desc	cription: G		ING GOO		ROP DECK EQU	IPMENT
					231, 301, AI	ND 1001)	
Cost Breakdov	vn:		,		231, 301, A	ND 1001)	
	<u>vn:</u> ig Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons		Tons	ND 1001)	
Available Ri Owner	<b>ig Capacities</b> ship Cost/Hour:	\$20.26	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$36.04	<b>51</b> + \$4	<b>Tons</b> 7.05	<u>ND 1001)</u>	
Available Ri Owner Opera	ig Capacities ship Cost/Hour: ting Cost/Hour:	\$20.26 \$39.51	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$36.04 \$76.08	51+ \$4 \$8	<b>Tons</b> 7.05 2.85	<u>ND 1001)</u>	
Available Ri Owner Opera Oper	<b>ig Capacities</b> ship Cost/Hour: ating Cost/Hour: rator Cost/Hour:	\$20.26 \$39.51 \$22.52	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$36.04 \$76.08 \$22.52	<b>51</b> + \$4 \$8 \$2	<b>Tons</b> 7.05 2.85 2.52	<u>ND 1001)</u>	
Available Ri Owner Opera Oper He	ig Capacities ship Cost/Hour: ating Cost/Hour: rator Cost/Hour: elper Cost/Hour:	\$20.26 \$39.51 \$22.52 \$0.00	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$36.04 \$76.08 \$22.52 \$23.53	51+ \$4 \$8 \$2 \$2 \$2	<b>Tons</b> 7.05 2.85 2.52 3.53	<u>ND 1001)</u>	
Available Ri Owner Opera Oper He	<b>ig Capacities</b> ship Cost/Hour: ating Cost/Hour: rator Cost/Hour:	\$20.26 \$39.51 \$22.52	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$36.04 \$76.08 \$22.52	51+ \$4 \$8 \$2 \$2 \$2	<b>Tons</b> 7.05 2.85 2.52	<u>vid 1001)</u>	
Available Ri Owner Opera Oper He Total	ig Capacities ship Cost/Hour: ating Cost/Hour: rator Cost/Hour: elper Cost/Hour:	\$20.26 \$39.51 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$82.29	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$36.04 \$76.08 \$22.52 \$23.53	51+ \$4 \$8 \$2 \$2 \$2	<b>Tons</b> 7.05 2.85 2.52 3.53		
Available Ri Owner Opera Oper He Total	ig Capacities ship Cost/Hour: ating Cost/Hour: rator Cost/Hour: elper Cost/Hour: Unit Cost/Hour:	\$20.26 \$39.51 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$82.29	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$36.04 \$76.08 \$22.52 \$23.53	51+ \$4 \$8 \$2 \$2 \$2	<b>Tons</b> 7.05 2.85 2.52 3.53	Return Trip	
Available Ri Owner Opera Oper He Total	ig Capacities ship Cost/Hour: ating Cost/Hour: rator Cost/Hour: lper Cost/Hour: Unit Cost/Hour: ABLE EQUIP Weight/ Unit	\$20.26 \$39.51 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$82.29 MENT:	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$36.04 \$76.08 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$158.17	51+ \$4 \$8 \$2 \$2 \$1	Tons           7.05           2.85           2.52           3.53           75.95   Haul Trip Cost/hr/	,	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Available Ri Owner Opera Opera Total NON ROAD Machine Description	ig Capacities ship Cost/Hour: ating Cost/Hour: rator Cost/Hour: Unit Cost/Hour: ABLE EQUIP Weight/ Unit (TONS)	\$20.26 \$39.51 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$82.29 MENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	26-50 Tons \$36.04 \$76.08 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$158.17 Haul Rig Cost/hr/unit	51+ \$4 \$8 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet Size	Tons           7.05           2.85           2.52           3.53           75.95   Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/ fleet
Available Ri Owner Opera Oper He Total NON ROAD Machine	ig Capacities ship Cost/Hour: ating Cost/Hour: rator Cost/Hour: Unit Cost/Hour: ABLE EQUIP Weight/ Unit (TONS)	\$20.26 \$39.51 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$82.29 MENT: Owner ship	<b>26-50 Tons</b> \$36.04 \$76.08 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$158.17 Haul Rig	51+ \$4 \$8 \$2 \$2 \$1 Fleet	Tons           7.05           2.85           2.52           3.53           75.95   Haul Trip Cost/hr/	Return Trip	
Available Ri Owner Opera Oper He Total NON ROAD Machine Description	ig Capacities ship Cost/Hour: ating Cost/Hour: rator Cost/Hour: Unit Cost/Hour: ABLE EQUIP Weight/ Unit (TONS) KR 35.93	\$20.26 \$39.51 \$22.52 \$0.00 \$82.29 MENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	26-50 Tons \$36.04 \$76.08 \$22.52 \$23.53 \$158.17 Haul Rig Cost/hr/unit	51+ \$4 \$8 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet Size	Tons           7.05           2.85           2.52           3.53           75.95   Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	

## **ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:**

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Light Duty Pickup, 4x2, 1/2 T.	\$87.62	1	\$87.62	\$87.62
		Subtotals:	\$87.62	\$87.62

# **EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time**

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:	WALSENBURG	
Total one-way travel distance:	21.00	miles
Average Travel Speed:	55.00	mph

	Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$3,017.82
	Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$66.91
Transportation Cycle Time:		

	Non-	
	Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.38	0.38
Return Time (Hours):	0.38	0.38
Loading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Subtotals:	1.76	0.76

### JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time:	3.53	Hours

Total job cost: **\$3,085**