

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Morgan Pit	M-2006-077	Sand and gravel	Huerfano
INSPECTION TYPE:	WEATHER: Clear	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring		December 12, 2023	12:45
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERAT	FION:
Paul Morgan Excavating, Inc.	Mike Morgan	110c - Construction	Limited Impact
REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:	
Normal I&E Program	Complete Bond	\$8,844.00	
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGE	NCY:
NA	None	None	
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DAT	E:
Amber Gibson	Anton Kileson	January 2, 2024	

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan

PROBLEM: The current mine plan needs to be updated and clarified pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-112 (1)(c)(VI). The Operator must provide sufficient information to describe or identify how the Operator intends to conduct the operation.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The Operator shall either:

- Submit a Revision (Technical Revision or an Amendment), with the required revision fee, to update and clarify the current approved mine plan to reflect existing and proposed activities by the corrective action date.
- Backfill the eastern highwall to a 3H:1V slope and provide evidence to the Division that has been completed by the corrective action date.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 3/21/24

OBSERVATIONS

The Morgan Pit was inspected by Amber Gibson with the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division/DRMS). The inspection was completed as part of the Division's routine monitoring inspection program. The site was last inspected on June 7, 2019 as part of a routine monitoring inspection. Mike Morgan, representing the Operator, was present during the inspection. The weather was cool and the sky was clear.

The Morgan Pit is located approximately 1 mile southwest of La Veta, Colorado. The Morgan Pit is a 110c permit for the removal of sand and gravel. The approved permit area is 9.9 acres and the approved post-mining land use is industrial/commercial. The mine site was surrounded by the following land uses: rural residential, agriculture, and rangeland.

Financial Warranty:

The Division currently holds a reclamation bond in the amount of \$8,844 for this site. The Division has estimated the reclamation liability at the site based on what is currently disturbed and found it to be \$10,317-- a difference of \$1,473 from the bond currently held. The Division's cost estimate is enclosed with this report. **The Operator will have until January 19, 2024** to submit any questions on the cost estimate. If no questions are received, the Division may issue a Surety Increase notice for the difference. The Operator will have 60 days from the date of the notice to submit and obtain acceptance of the increase in financial warranty from the Division in accordance with Rule 4.2.1(2).

Hydrologic Balance:

No standing water or evidence of run-off outside of the permit boundaries was observed during the inspection. There were small amounts of snow within the pit at the time. The Operator stated that water infiltrates within 72 hours in compliance with DWR requirements. The Operators have ensured that the pit drains to a controlled location in the north-east corner when storm water does enter the site.

Gen. Compliance with Mine Plan:

Active mining was not taking place at the time of the inspection but it was active relatively recently. Since the last inspection, mining has moved further south and further south-east. The Division logged a path along the southern highwall (Photo 1 & 2: Map 1) using Esri Field Maps. This path is represented as a yellow line on Map 1. The Operator stated during the inspection that they have likely mined as south as they will mine. The Division estimates that approximately 5.83 acres have been disturbed in the pit at this time. A few product stockpiles of various sizes were observed onsite (Photos 1-4: Map 1). Equipment on-site included a grizzly screener, portable screener, portable crusher, loader, and multiple conveyors (Photos 5: Map 1). The Operator stated that their operation is closed to public customers for the season, but product may be transported and used for the Operator's personal projects.

The Operator mentioned during the previous 2019 inspection that moving south is proving to be problematic, as the material is of decreasing quality. This was again reiterated during the 2023 inspection. During the 2016 inspection, the Operator was instructed to correct the highwalls along the west and east [side] slopes, which are not approved in the current mining plan or reclamation plans. The current plan states that mining will be done at a 3H:1V slope along the side slopes to better facilitate reclamation. The highwalls were present during the Division's previous 2019 inspection, but at that time the Operator stated that they planned to chase the

deposit to the east, and were given the choice to either convert the current 110c permit to a 112c permit, or to release unmined portions to the south in the current 110c permit and to amend the permit to encompass area to the east instead.

The Operator stated during the inspection that an amendment is still likely planned to continue the operation to the East. Because the highwalls have remained (Photo 6: Map 1), and no revision has been submitted, the Division has cited this as a problem above. The Operator has three options. The Operator may choose to:

- 1. Submit a Technical Revision that at minimum updates the mining and reclamation plans to account for and allow for the presence of highwalls throughout the site.
- 2. Submit an amendment or conversion to change the permit boundaries to allow for mining to continue to the east, and in doing so, update the plans to include highwalls.
- 3. Immediately backfill and grade the highwalls to a 3H:1V slope.

The Operator shall commit to one of the above options, and submit evidence to the Division that it has been complied with by the corrective action date.

Contrary to the approved mining plan and mining plan map, mining at this site actually began at the north boundary, and has progressed southward (Photo 3: Map 1). This is not being cited as a problem, as the Operator does not plan to mine any further south. If the Operator decides to submit an amendment revision, mining plans will be updated through that process.

Right of Entry:

The Operators are the landowners of the property and the adjacent property. Thus, they maintain legal right of entry at this time.

Reclamation Success and Revegetation:

The north, northeast, and the majority of the western slopes have been backfilled and graded to a 3H:1V slope. The north, northeast, and northwest slopes have also been top soiled and seeded (Photos 6 & 7: Map 1). These slopes have vegetation established on them, with a variety of grasses and native plants (Figure 1). The Operator stated that they have had some erosion occur on various areas on these slopes, due to heavy rainfall, but have since repaired these areas. Overall, the revegetation on the reclaimed slopes is looking good and the slopes appear stable.

Current reclaimed slopes encompass approximately 1.5-2 acres. The post-mining land use for the site is industrial/commercial, where the pit floor will be reclaimed to an equipment storage area. According to the approved reclamation plan, the pit floor will not be re-vegetated.

Sediment Control:

As stated in the Hydrologic Balance section, any surface water run-off is contained within the pit. Some erosion was noted on a portion of the western slope (see Photo 8: Map 1). However, the rilling is minor, and there is some vegetation that has volunteered in the area, stabilizing the slope at this time. The Division advises the Operator to monitor this area, and to grade this slope if the erosion worsens. The Operator stated during the inspection that they will likely grade, topsoil, and revegetate this area soon.

Signs and Markers:

A permit sign was posted in compliance with Rule 3.1.12(1) (Photo 9: Map 1). Boundary markers were located during the 2019 inspection, and the north-west and entrance markers were re-verified during the 2023 inspection. The Division observed a fence surrounding the pit that serves as a boundary. The site is marked in compliance with 3.1.12(2).

Topsoil:

A large topsoil pile is located above the southwest corner of the pit (Photo 10: Map 1). This pile is vegetated with volunteer vegetation, and appears to be stable at this time. Additional smaller topsoil piles are located above the south portion of the west highwall as well, to help easily facilitate reclamation in this area. These piles also appear stable at this time.

Conclusion:

This concludes the Division's Inspection Report; a map and figure displaying topics discussed during the inspection, and a subset of corresponding photographs that were taken during the time of the inspection, are included below. If you need additional information or have any questions, please contact me by email at amber.gibson@state.co.us or by telephone at (720) 836-0967.

Inspection Contact Address

Paul Morgan Paul Morgan Excavating, Inc. 256 N Merrill Drive Pueblo West, CO 81007

Enclosure: 2023 Reclamation Cost Estimate

CC: Mike Morgan

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>N</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY <u>Y</u>	(RD) ROADS <u>N</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>PB</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>Y</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>Y</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>N</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: Looking west from the pit entrance road, across the south highwall.



Photo 2: Looking south at the south highwall. The access road to the pit and east highwall are in the left portion of the photo.



Photo 3: Looking east at a product stockpile.



Photo 4: Looking west at stockpiled material.



Photo 5: Standing at the northeast corner of the permit, looking southwest across the pit.



Photo 6: Looking north along the east highwall. The arrow points to the reclaimed northern slopes.



Photo 7: Looking east along a reclaimed northern slope. The white marker indicates the northeast corner of the permit boundary. The fence line in the photo lines the eastern perimeter.



Photo 8: Looking west at some slight erosion on the western pit slopes. The fence lines the western permit perimeter.



Photo 9: Mine sign posted at the entrance to the permit boundary.



Photo 10: Looking north along the vegetated topsoil pile located to the south of the southern pit boundary.



Figure 1: The six photos highlight some of the variety of grasses and native plants located on the reclaimed northern slopes.

PERMIT #: M-2006-077 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: AMG INSPECTION DATE: December 12, 2023



Map 1: Inspection map generated for the December 12, 2023 inspection. The most recent Google imagery is dated October 2020. Since the aerial image was taken, mining has progressed further south (yellow line) and south-east. The arrow points to the eastern highwall that needs to be addressed.

COST SUMMARY WORK

Task description: Reclamation Cost Summary for the Morgan Pit					
Site:	Morgan Pit Permit Action:	2023 Inspection		Permit/Job	#: <u>M2006077</u>
<u>PR</u>	OJECT IDENTIFICATION				
	Task #:000State:Colorado		A	bbreviation:	None
	Date: 12/21/2023 County: Huerfano		_	Filename:	M077-000
	User: AMG				
	Agency or organization name: DRMS				
TA	<u>SK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)</u>				
Task		Form	Fleet	Task	
	Description	Used	Size	Hours	Cost
001	Cut-Fill South pit wall from 1:1 to 3H:1V	DOZER	1	3.06	\$790
002	Push-up-Fill, East pit wall from 1:1 to 3H:1V	DOZER	1	3.71	\$959
003	Spread Topsoil 6-in Over 2 Acres	DOZER	1	4.72	\$1,213
004	Re-vegetate 2 Acres	REVEGE	1	2.00	\$2,508
005	Mob/Demob	MOBILIZE	1	3.16	\$2,403
		<u>SUBTO'</u>	TALS:	16.65	\$7,873
IN	DIRECT COSTS			·	.

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$159
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$83
Job superintendent:	8.33	Total =	\$542
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$787
		TOTAL O & P =	\$1,571
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) =	\$9,444

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs):	\$0	Total =	\$0
Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	4.25	Total =	\$401
Reclamation management and/or administration:	<u>5.00</u>	–	\$472
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
	TOTAL I	NDIRECT COST =	\$2,444
TOTAL BO	\$10,317		

BULLDOZER WORK

	Permit Action:	2023 Inspection	Permit/Job#: M2006
PROJECT IDENTIFI Task #: 001	CATION State: Colorado		Abbreviation: None
Date: 12/21/2023 User: AMG			Filename: 1
Agency or organ	nization name: DRMS		
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST		
Basic Machine: Cat	D7R DS XR Series II		
Horsepower: 240			
	ni-Universal		
	hank ripper		
	er day		
	RG)		
Cost Breakdown:			
		Utilization %	
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$114.76	NA	
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$91.98	100	
Ripper own.	\$9.06	NA	
Cost/Hour:		NA	
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$1.26	25	
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$41.30	NA	
Total unit Cost/Hour:	\$258.36		
	\$258.36 \$258.36		
Lotal Elect Cost/Hour	\$230.30		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT	ITIES		
MATERIAL QUANT	3		
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,36 Swell factor: 1.12	3		
MATERIAL QUANTInitial Volume:1,36Swell factor:1.12Loose volume:1,53	3 4 1 LCY	3 insp)	
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,36 Swell factor: 1.12	3 4 <u>1 LCY</u> ume:20' x 349' S HW (202	3 insp)	
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,36 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 1,53 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe	3 4 1 LCY ume: 20' x 349' S HW (202 Il Cat Handbook	3 insp)	
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,36 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 1,53 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe Source of estimated swe factor:	3 4 1 LCY ume: 20' x 349' S HW (202 Il Cat Handbook	3 insp)	
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,36 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 1,53 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: 1	3 4 4 1 1 LCY 20' x 349' S HW (202 ume: 20' x 349' S HW (202 Ill Cat Handbook Cat Mandbook 20' X 349' S HW (202	3 insp)	
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,36 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 1,53 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly	3 4 1 LCY ume: 20' x 349' S HW (202 Ill Cat Handbook Cat Handbook 50 feet		
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,36 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 1,53 Source of estimated volu 1,53 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description: Average push Materials consistency description:	3 4 1 LCY		
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,36 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 1,53 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description: Materials consistency	3 4 4 1 LCY 1 LCY		

Weight description:	Sand and gravel - Dry	
Job Condition Correction Fac	ctor	Source
Operator Ski	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistence	cy: 0.900	(CAT HB))
Dozing metho	od: 1.000	(GEN.)
Visibili	ty:1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficience	cy: 0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pi	le: 0.900	(SSD-FC)
Push gradier	nt: 1.225	(CAT HB)
Altituc	le: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight	ht: 0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade typ	be: 1.000	(PAT)
Net correction	on: 0.4898	
Adjusted unit production:	501.02 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production:	501.02 LCY/hr	

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.516/LCY

Total job time:	3.06 Hours
Total job cost:	\$790

BULLDOZER WORK

Morgan Pit	Pe	rmit Action:	2023 Inspection	Permit/Jo	ob#: <u>M2006077</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFICTask #:002Date:12/21/2023User:AMG	State: County:	Colorado Huerfano		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M077-002
	ization name:	RMS			
Horsepower: 240 Blade Type: Sem Attachment: 3-sh Shift Basis: 1 pe	D7R DS XR Series ni-Universal nank ripper er day	II			
Data Source: <u>(CR</u> <u>Cost Breakdown</u> :	(G)		Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour: Operating Cost/Hour:		\$114.76 \$91.98	NA 100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$9.06	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$1.26	25		
MATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 1,650 Swell factor: 1.124	6 4	_			
Initial Volume: 1,650 Swell factor: 1.124	6 4 1 LCY une: Avg 10' (2023 ins	p)	e ramped rd) x 447' E	EHW	
Initial Volume: 1,650 Swell factor: 1.124 Loose volume: 1,86 Source of estimated volu	6 4 1 LCY ime: Avg 10' (2023 ins 11 Cat Hand	p)	e ramped rd) x 447' E 	EHW	
Initial Volume: 1,650 Swell factor: 1.124 Loose volume: 1,861 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor:	6 4 1 LCY ime: Avg 10' (2023 ins 11 Cat Hand	p) lbook	e ramped rd) x 447' E 	EHW	
Initial Volume: 1,650 Swell factor: 1.124 Loose volume: 1,861 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly	6 4 1 LCY ume: Avg 10' ((2023 ins (2023 ins Cat Hand ION 50 feet 1,022.9 LC	p) lbook Y/hr	e ramped rd) x 447' E nbankment 0.9	E HW	
Initial Volume: 1,650 Swell factor: 1.124 Loose volume: 1,861 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description: Average push	6 4 1 LCY ume: Avg 10' ((2023 ins (2023 ins Cat Hand ION 50 feet 1,022.9 LC	p) lbook Y/hr		E HW	
Initial Volume: 1,650 Swell factor: 1.124 Loose volume: 1,861 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description:	6 4 1 LCY ume: Avg 10' ((2023 ins (2023 ins (2025 ins (2025 ins (2025 ins (2025 ins (2025 ins (2025 ins (202	p) lbook Y/hr		E HW	

Weight description: Sand and gravel - Dry

ob Condition Correction Factor		Source
Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	0.900	(CAT HB))
Dozing method:	1.000	(GEN.)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.900	(SSD-FC)
Push gradient:	1.225	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correction:	0.4898	

production:	501.02 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production:	501.02 LCY/hr	

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.516/LCY
Total job time: Total job cost:	3.71 Hours \$959

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:Spre:Morgan Pit	ead Topsoil 6-in Over 2 A Permit Action:	2023 Inspection	Permit/Io	b#: M2006077
		2025 Inspection	1 011111130	
PROJECT IDENTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>			
Task #: 003	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: <u>12/21/2023</u> User: AMG	County: <u>Huerfano</u>		Filename:	M077-003
Agency or organization	name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPMENT CO	<u>DST</u>			
	OS XR Series II	-		
Horsepower: 240 Blade Type: Semi-Univ	versal	-		
Attachment: 3-shank rij		-		
Shift Basis: 1 per day		-		
Data Source: (CRG)		-		
Cost Breakdown:	I	Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$114.76	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$91.98	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:	\$9.06	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$41.30	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour: \$257	.10			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$257	.10			
MATERIAL OUANTITIES				
MATERIAL QUANTITIES				
Initial Volume: <u>1,613</u> Swell factor: <u>1.115</u>				
Loose volume: 1,798 LCY				
Source of estimated volume:	Dec plan minus alread	y completed reclamatio	n	
Source of estimated volume.	Cat Handbook	y completed reclamatio		
factor:				
HOURLY PRODUCTION				
Average push distance:	150 feet			
Unadjusted hourly production:	518.9 LCY/hr			
Materials consistency description:	Partly consolidated	stockpile 1.1		
Average push -10 % gradient:	6			
) feet			
Material weight: 2,100) lbs/LCY			
$\frac{2,100}{2}$	108/LC1		_	

ob Condition Correction	on Factor		Source
Operate	or Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material cons	istency:	1.100	(CAT HB)
Dozing 1	method:	1.000	(GEN.)
Vi	sibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job eff	iciency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Sp	oil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push g	radient:	1.225	(CAT HB)
A	ltitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material 7	Weight:	1.095	(CAT HB)
Bla	de type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net cor	rection: 0.7	348	
Adjusted unit production:	381.29	LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production:	381.29	LCY/hr	

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.674/LCY

Total job time:	4.72 Hours
Total job cost:	\$1,213

REVEGETATION WORK

Task desci	iption:	Re-vegetate 2 Acres			
ite: Morgan	Pit	Permit Action:	2023 Inspection	Permit/Jo	o#: <u>M2006077</u>
	<u>TIDENTIFIC</u>				
Task #:	004	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
	10/01/0000				
Date: User:		County: Huerfano		Filename:	M077-004

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Ammonium nitrate, 33-0-0	120.00	pound	\$0.62	\$74.80
Triple superphosphate, 0-46-0	80.00	pound	\$0.89	\$71.20
			Total Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$146.00

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Tractor towed spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0120)		\$41.82
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$41.82

TILLING

Description Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)		Cost /Acre \$112.82
	l Tilling Cost/Acre	\$112.82

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Big Bluestem - Kaw	2.20	6.57	\$29.37
Indian Ricegrass - Nespar	0.40	1.29	\$3.55
Prairie Clover, Purple - Kaneb	0.10	0.68	\$5.65
Little Bluestem - Pastura	1.10	6.57	\$14.83
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	1.80	5.91	\$15.08
Needle and Thread	1.10	2.90	\$46.04
Western Wheatgrass - Rosanna	4.80	12.12	\$27.60
Totals Seed Mix	11.50	36.04	\$142.11

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		\$232.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$232.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Hay, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	1.00	TON	\$429.79	\$429.79
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$429.79

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$74.46
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$74.46

	No. of Acres:	2	Cost /Acre	: \$1,179.00
Estimate	ed Failure Rate:	20%	Cost /Acre*	: \$374.11
*Selected Replanti	ng Work Items:	SEEDING	· ·	
Initial Job Cost:	\$2,358.00			
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$149.64		_	
Total Job Cost:	\$2,508		_	
Job Hours:	2.00		_	

Cost Summary Worksheet Cont'd

Task # 000

Page 10 of 11

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description: : Morgan Pit		b/Demob Permit	Action: 2023	Inspection]	Permit/Job#: M	2006077
PROJECT IDEN	NTIFICATI	ON					
Task #: 005	IIIIICAII		olorado		Abbre	viation: None	
Date: 12/2 User: AM	C1/2023 G	County: Hu	ierfano		Fi	lename: M077	-005
Agency o	r organizatior	n name: DRMS					
EQUIPMENT T	RANSPOR	T RIG COST					
				C	Shift bas ost Data Sour		
Truck	Tractor Desc	ription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH		CK TRACTO (2ND HALF,	OR, 6X4, DIESEI 2006)	POWERED,
Truck	Trailer Desc	ription: G			SENECK, DR 25T, 50T, AN	OP DECK EQU D 100T)	IPMENT
Cost Breakdown:							
Available Rig Ca		0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons		Tons		
Ownership		\$20.26	\$36.04		7.05		
	Cost/Hour:	\$39.51	\$76.08		2.85		
	Cost/Hour:	\$22.52	\$22.52		2.52		
	Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$23.53		3.53		
Total Unit	Cost/Hour:	\$82.29	\$158.17	\$17	75.95		
NON ROADABI	LE EQUIPN	MENT:					
Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return Trip	DOT Permit
Description	Unit	Cost/hr/ unit	Cost/hr/unit	Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/ fleet
L	(TONS)				fleet		
Cat D7R DS XR Series II	32.01	\$114.76	\$158.17	1	\$272.93	\$158.17	\$250.00
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with Tractor	25.00	\$6.73	\$82.29	2	\$178.04	\$164.58	\$250.00
				Subtotals:	\$450.97	\$322.75	\$500.00
					<u> </u>	•• • •	

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Tri Cost/hr/ flo	
Light Duty Pickup, 4x2, 1/2 T.	\$87.62	1	\$87.62	\$87.62	
		Subtotals:	\$87.62	\$87.6	62
EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTA	NCE and Time				
Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:		WALSENBU	JRG		
Total one-way travel distance:		16.00	1	miles	
Average Travel Speed:			55.00	1	mph

CIRCES Cost Estimating Software

Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$2,352.10
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$50.98

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non-	
	Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.29	0.29
Return Time (Hours):	0.29	0.29
Loading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Subtotals:	1.58	0.58

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **3.16** Hours

Total job cost: **\$2,403**