

# MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Leone Gravel Pit #2	M-2006-085	Sand and gravel	Las Animas
<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b>	WEATHER: Clear	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Surety-Related Inspection		September 6, 2023	10:45
OPERATOR:	<b>OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:</b>	TYPE OF OPERA	FION:
Leone Sand & Gravel LLC	Wayne Leone	112c - Construction	Regular Operation
<b>REASON FOR INSPECTION:</b>	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	<b>BOND AMOUNT:</b>	
Surety Release Requested	Complete Bond	\$157,399.00	
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGE	NCY:
NA	None	None	
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DAT	Е:
Amber Michels	LA MARC	September 26, 2023	
Jared Ebert	Juloon / feiter		

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan

**PROBLEM:** The current mine plan needs to be updated and clarified pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-112 (1)(c)(VI). The Operator must provide sufficient information to describe or identify how the Operator intends to conduct the operation.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The Operator shall submit a Technical Revision, with the required \$216 revision fee, to update and clarify the current approved mine plan to reflect existing and proposed activities by the corrective action date.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 10/26/23

### **INSPECTION TOPIC:** Signs & Markers

**PROBLEM:** The affected area boundary markers are missing. This is a problem for failure to maintain boundary markers around the affected area as required by Section 3.1.12(2) of the Rule.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The Operator shall conduct a survey and replace the boundary markers in the correct location(s). The Operator shall provide photo and/or GPS proof to the Division that this has been done by the corrective action date.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 10/26/23

# **OBSERVATIONS**

The Leone Gravel Pit #2 was inspected by Amber Michels and Jared Ebert with the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division/DRMS). The inspection was completed in response to the Operator's request for an acreage reduction (AR1) and surety reduction. Wayne Leone of Leone Sand & Gravel LLC, representing the Operator, accompanied us during the inspection.

This site is an active, 507.5 acre, 112c Regular Operations construction materials permit. The maximum disturbance allowed at any time is 60 acres. Of the 60 acres, 20 acres are attributed to active mining and processing, and 40 acres to partially reclaimed areas. The mining commodities for this site are sand and gravel, and the post-mine land use is rangeland. Mining activity was taking place in the southern portion, referred to as the Biber Parcel, at the time of the inspection. The weather was warm and the sky was clear.

#### Availability Of Records:

The annual report, map, and fee are current through April 25, 2024. The Biber Parcel (see Map 2) was amended into the permit area in 2015. This inspection was conducted in response to AR1. The Operators requested that all of the Howell Parcel portion of the permit, besides a 14.65 acre "Topsoil Area" and a 31.14 acre "Unmined Area", be released through AR1.

#### **Right of Entry:**

The lease between the Operator and the landowner for the Howell property is current. The lease between the Operator and the landowner for the Biber property is <u>current through July 15, 2025</u>, unless any other condition listed under Item #5 on the lease are met prior to that date. The Operator must provide the Division proof that a new lease agreement, or alternative legal right of entry, has been acquired prior to, or on, the current lease's expiration date to demonstrate the Operator's compliance with Rule 6.4.14.

### **Backfilling and Grading:**

The slopes within the Howell Parcel have been reclaimed to a 3H:1V or shallower (Photos 11, 12, 14, 17, and 18: Map 1) in compliance with the approved reclamation plan. In the Biber Parcel, the areas that have been mined out have been, and are being, reclaimed concurrent with mining progression (Photo 31: Map 2). The approved mining plan states that areas will be backfilled and graded following each five acre area that has been mined out. From observations and conversation with the Operator during the inspection, it appears that this has been complied with.

### Financial Warranty:

In addition to AR1, a surety reduction was requested to re-evaluate the Operator's current reclamation responsibility. The Division currently holds a financial warranty in the amount of \$157,399. The Operator requested that this amount be reduced to \$39,500 and submitted their cost estimate rational.

The rational submitted by Operator appears to account for the disturbance in the "Topsoil Area" within the Howell Parcel but does not appear to account for the remaining un-released affected area. In AM1, the reclamation costs were designed so that the Division would hold a 'floating bond' that accounts for a maximum disturbance of 60 acres throughout both parcels simultaneously. As discussed below, the approved mine plan limited the maximum disturbance allowed at the site at any one time to 60 acres. The Division estimates that the current disturbance onsite to be about 87 acres (see Figure 2), excluding the area that has been requested to be released. This area exceeds the maximum allowed 60 acres of disturbance approved in

the mining plan. This has been cited as a problem within the 'General Compliance with Mine Plan' section of this report.

The Division has estimated the reclamation liability at the site based on what is currently disturbed and found it to be \$282,872-- a difference of \$125,473 from the bond currently held. The Division's cost estimate is enclosed with this report. The Operator will have 14 days (October 10, 2023), from the issuance of this report to submit any questions on the cost estimate. If no questions are received, the Division may issue a surety increase notice for the difference. The Operator will have 60 days from the date of the notice to submit and obtain acceptance of the increase in financial warranty from the Division in accordance with Rule 4.2.1(2).

Due to the fact the current disturbance at the site exceeds what is approved at any one time, the reclamation liability is greater that the bond currently held. Therefore, the Operator is **not eligible** for a surety reduction.

### Hydrologic Balance:

The Operator has done a great job evaluating water movement on-site and implementing anti-erosion measures during reclamation. Permanent berms have been placed on top of slopes that lead to check dams, encouraging water to flow along designated paths. Mud cracks were observed upslope of the first check dams (see Photo 24: Map 1) indicating that water was being slowed down before being descending down slope. No standing water was observed on-site at the time of the inspection.

# Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan:

Active mining has been completed in the Howell Parcels, except in the Topsoil and stockpile area and the unmined area designated on the Operator's AR1 map. The Operator stated that they intend to mine the 'Unmined Area' at some point in the future, and wish to keep it within the permit boundary.

Active mining was occurring in the Biber Parcel at the time of the inspection. Material is being extracted in layers using dozers, trucks, and loaders. The highwall lengths are being kept under 1000' and the height is limited by the equipment height, allowing it to remain within the approved 15' limit. The approved mine plan states that a maximum of 60 acres will be disturbed at any one time. This accounts for 20 acres to include active mining and processing areas, and 40 acres to include partially reclaimed lands. Following the inspection, the Division used Google Earth Pro to estimate the current disturbance on-site (excluding the AR1 areas) and found the area to be approximately 86.65 acres in size (see Figure 2). A problem has been cited for exceeding the maximum allowed disturbance currently approved in the mining plan. The Division has conducted a cost estimate and may increase the financial warranty required to reflect the disturbance on-site (see discussion above). In addition to supplying the required surety, the Operator must either submit a revision to the mining plan that allows for an increased maximum allowed disturbance or cease all mining operations other than reclamation tasks until additional areas are eligible for an acreage reduction and/or surety reduction.

### Roads:

The main haul roads are well maintained and are approved to remain after reclamation.

# **Reclamation Success:**

As stated in this report's Backfilling and Grading, and Hydrologic Balance sections, the Operator is conducting concurrent reclamation. According to the 2023 annual report, as of April 2023 approximately 200 acres have been backfilled and graded throughout the life of the mine, with between 50-160 acres being top soiled and

seeded to some extent.

# **Revegetation:**

The northern portion of the Howell Parcel, north of the gas line, was control-burned in 2018. The Operator drill-seeded the area in 2018 following the burn. The acres requested to be released south of the gas line were not part of the burn, and were seeded in 2016. A diverse vegetative cover has since become established in the reclaimed areas of the Howell Parcel. The observed vegetation consists of a variety of grasses, forbs and shrubs (see Photos1-25, Map 1, and Figures 1A-1C below). Some native plants, as well as a few annual weeds, have also volunteered into the area. The Division is satisfied with the status of the vegetative cover of the acreage reduction area, and believes that the reclamation implemented at the site in the requested area meets the requirements of Rule 3.1. Through AR1, the Operator requested a release of 126.66 acres. This acreage is based on an updated land survey of the Howell Parcel that indicates that the parcel contains a total area of 178.45 acres. From the 178.45 acres, the Operator subtracted the acreage for the topsoil area (14.65 acres), the unmined area (31.14 acres), and the C.I.G. right of way for the gas line (6.19 acres), leading to a requested release of 126.66 acres. However, the Howell Parcel is currently approved for 180 acres. The Division will subtract the Topsoil Area and the Unmined area from 180, and assumes the Operator doesn't want to keep the gas line right of way in the permit area, thus releasing a total of 134.21 acres from the permit. The Division will approve the AR1 application. The permitted acreage will be reduced from 507.5 acres to 373.29 acres.

During the inspection, an establishment of the state noxious weed Tamarisk was observed along a crevice within the Howell Parcel. Additionally, a single Russian Olive tree was growing adjacent to this area (see Photos 5 and 6: Map 1). This was addressed in the field as a problem, and the Operator stated that they'd have them removed over the weekend. The following week, the Operator sent the Division photo evidence that this has been addressed, thus the problem is considered abated.

The reclaimed areas in the Biber Parcel have not yet been seeded, but the topsoil has been replaced and the seedbed has been prepared for seeding this fall.

### Sediment Control:

The vegetative cover established has stabilized the soil in the reclaimed areas of the Howell Parcel. The earthen berms and check dams mentioned under the Hydrologic Balance section of this report are functioning properly and are preventing erosion on-site. Berms and check dams have been implemented in the more recently reclaimed areas of the Biber Parcel as well (see Photo 31; Map 2). No signs of erosion or signs of instability were observed during the inspection.

#### Signs and Markers:

A mine sign posted in compliance with Rule 3.1.12(1) was posted near the entrance to the site.

The affected area boundaries are not marked. During the Inspection, the Operator stated that a fence line indicates the affected boundary on the west-side, but there are no monuments marking the affected land boundaries to the east. A problem has been cited for failure to maintain boundary markers around the affected area pursuant to Rule 3.1.12(2). The Operator shall provide photo and/or GPS evidence that markers have been installed by the corrective action date.

# Topsoil:

A topsoil stockpile was observed in the Howell Parcel area denoted as 'Tospoil Area' on Map 1. The Operator has been using material from topsoil and overburden piles to conduct concurrent reclamation. The pile appears stable at this time.

# Conclusion:

This concludes the Division's Inspection Report; a subset of photographs that were taken during the time of the inspection, and a few corresponding figures and maps are included below. If you need additional information or have any questions, please contact me by email at amber.michels@state.co.us or by telephone at (720) 836-0967.

### **Inspection Contact Address**

Wayne Leone Leone Sand & Gravel LLC 2400 E. Main St. Trinidad, CO 81082

CC: Frank Leone, Leone Sand & Gravel LLC Jared Ebert, DRMS

# **GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS**

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>PB</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION Y
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>PB</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>Y</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>N</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

# **Enclosures**

# Part 1:

AR-1/SL-1 Inspection Area

٠	Map 1: AR1/SL1 Inspection Area with Photo Locations	g. 7	,
٠	Photos 1-25: Inspection Photos of Howell Parcel	z. 8	3-14
•	Figure 1A-1C: Revegetation Description	g. 1	.5-17

# Part 2:

Routine Monitoring Inspection Area

•	Map 2: Biber Parcel Inspection Area with Photo Locations	. pg. 18
•	Photos 26-31: Inspection Photos of Biber Parcel	. pg. 19-20
•	Figure 2: Updated disturbance estimate for 2023 bond calculation	. pg. 21

# 2023 DRMS Reclamation Cost Estimate



**Map 1:** Map showing the approximate area to be released through AR1. The Operator wants to release all the acres in the Howell Parcel portion of the permit except the 'Topsoil Area' and the 'Unmined Area'. The Operator calculated the 'Unmined Area' to contain 31.14 acres and the 'Topsoil Area' to contain 14.65 acres. Through AR1s approval, the remaining acreage in the Howell parcel will be 45.79 acres. The total permitted acres will now be reduced to <u>373.29</u> acres from 507.50 acres.

#### PERMIT #: M-2006-085 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: ANM INSPECTION DATE: September 6, 2023

# **PHOTOGRAPHS**



**Photo 1**: Looking south at recently graded slopes in the Biber parcel area.

**Photo 2**: Looking south-east at the topsoil pile in the "Topsoil area" labeled on Map 1.



**Photo 3**: Looking south-east at some recently reclaimed areas.

**Photo 4**: Looking north-west from the edge of the topsoil area into the land to be released.



**Photo 5:** Looking east at Tamarisk trees established in a drainage way.



**Photo 6:** Looking south east at Tamarisk from Photo 5 in the background and an Russian Olive in the foreground.



**Photo 7:** Facing north. Arrows indicate the gas line easement.

Photo 8: Looking north along the gas line.



Photo 9: Looking south along the gas line.

Photo 10: Looking south at gas line and topsoil area.



**Photo 11:** Looking west along the gas line in the northern portion of the Howell Parcel.



**Photo 12:** Looking north at a depression filled with vegetation in the northern portion of the Howell Parcel.



Photo 13: Bunch grasses established.



**Photo 14:** Looking east at a slope graded to a 3H:1V configuration.



**Photo 15:** Looking at more bunch grasses. Signs of standing water observed as mudcracks. The vegetation appears to be stabilizing the soil and preventing erosion due to water movement.

**Photo 16:** Water resistant vegetation growing in the basin areas.



**Photo 17:** Looking north at graded slopes along the site's northern boundary.



**Photo 18:** Looking south-east at graded slopes along the north side of the gas line easement.



**Photo 19:** Looking north. Mudcracks observed in areas with bunch grasses. Grasses are stabilizing sediment and preventing erosion.

**Photo 20:** Looking east along the berm placed on top of a slope.

#### PERMIT #: M-2006-085 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: ANM INSPECTION DATE: September 6, 2023



**Photo 21:** Looking west along the berm at the top of a check dam installed by the Operator.



**Photo 22:** Looking east at a gentle reclaimed slope from a spot along the berm lining the north western disturbance boundary.



**Photo 23:** Looking north-east from the north-west disturbance boundary.



**Photo 24:** Mudcracks indicate that the combination of vegetation, berms, and check dams have slowed water movement enough to allow for brief periods of standing water.



**Photo 25:** Looking south-east from the western-most disturbance on-site.



Mine sign in compliance with Rule 3.1.12 posted at the entrance to the site.



**Figure 1A:** Images in Figures 1A through 1C depict the variety of vegetation established on-site. The vegetation established due to reclamation efforts includes a variety of grasses, forbs, and shrubs, as well as an expected occurrence of annual weeds and native vegetation volunteering into the area. Some examples observed and identified on-site include Blue Grama, Crested Oats, Western Wheat Grass, Indian Rice Grass, Sage, native Dwarf Sunflowers, and Sweet Gum.



**Figure 1B:** Images in Figures 1A through 1C depict the variety of vegetation established on-site. The vegetation established due to reclamation efforts includes a variety of grasses, forbs, and shrubs, as well as an expected occurrence of annual weeds and native vegetation volunteering into the area. Some examples observed and identified on-site include Blue Grama, Crested Oats, Western Wheat Grass, Indian Rice Grass, Sage, native Dwarf Sunflowers, and Sweet Gum.



**Figure 1C:** Images in Figures 1A through 1C depict the variety of vegetation established on-site. The vegetation established due to reclamation efforts includes a variety of grasses, forbs, and shrubs, as well as an expected occurrence of annual weeds and native vegetation volunteering into the area. Some examples observed and identified on-site include Blue Gramma, Crested Oats, Western Wheat Grass, Indian Rice Grass, Sage, native Dwarf Sunflowers, and Sweet Gum.



Map 2: Map showing approximate areas currently mined within the Biber Parcel. This parcel was added to the permit area in 2015 via AM1 and contains a total of 327.5 acres. The permit boundary is also the affected land boundary for this site.



**Photo 26:** Looking north-east at the edge of the flat stockpile and processing area.



**Photo 27:** Looking east at a product stockpile with a conveyor and processing equipment in the background.



**Photo 28:** Looking south-west at the current mining highwall. Material is being extracted by pushing the material down-slope and loading it into a truck. The yellow arrow indicates the current direction of mining.

**Photo 29:** Looking west at a mined out area that has been partially reclaimed. The arrow correlates with the arrow in Photo 28. The current highwall is located on the other side of the berm photographed here.



**Photo 30:** Looking north at recently topsoiled and prepped acres.

**Photo 31:** Looking east at a recently reclaimed area. The slopes have been backfilled, graded, and topsoiled. The Operator has installed berms (red arrows) surrounding the area that lead to check dams (yellow arrow) to encourage water to flow through controlled mechanisms.



Figure 2: Approximation of the currently disturbed acres on-site. Total disturbance is estimated as 86.65 acres. These acres contain disturbed acres that have not been reclaimed and acres that have been partially reclaimed that need to be seeded and establish vegetative covers (green polygons).

# COST SUMMARY WORK

	Task description:		/•••••••	or the Leone Gra		+2	
Site:	Leone Gravel Pit #2	Permit	Action:	2023 AR1/SR1 Inspection		Permit/Job#	e:M2006085
<u>P</u>	ROJECT IDENTIFIC	CATION					
	Task #:         000           Date:         9/25/2023           User:         ANM	County: La	olorado s Animas	5	A		None M085-000
	Agency or organi	zation name: DRMS					
<u>T</u>	ASK LIST (DIRECT	<u>COSTS)</u>					
Task	Description			Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost
001		n 0.5H:1V to 3H:1V slo	pe	DOZER	1	6.12	\$2,696
002	Rip processing/stock			RIPPER	1	43.15	\$19,339
003 004	Replace topsoil on 40 Revegetate 86.65 acr			SCRAPER1 REVEGE	1	24.47 86.00	\$43,180 \$147,969
004	Mobilization/Demobi	0		MOBILIZE	1	4.58	\$10,675
				<u>SUBTO</u>	TALS:	164.32	\$223,859
	NDIRECT COSTS VERHEAD AND PROFI Liability insurar Performance bo Job superintendo	nce: 2.02 ond: 1.05 ent: 82.16				$Total = \underbrace{\$4,3}_{Total} = \underbrace{\$2,3}_{Total} = \underbrace{\$5,3}_{\$22}$	351 347
	Pro	ofit: 10.00			IOTAL	O & P =  \$34	
	Pro		CONTR	ACT AMOUNT			,586 ,605 8,464
L	Pro EGAL - ENGINEERING			ACT AMOUNT (			,605
L	EGAL - ENGINEERING Financial warranty pro Engineering work an		EMENT: costs): ation:	ACT AMOUNT ( \$500 4.25 5.00		O & P) = Total = Statistic Statistic	,605 8,464
L	EGAL - ENGINEERING Financial warranty pro Engineering work an	- PROJECT MANAGE ocessing (legal/related c d/or contract/bid prepara	EMENT: costs): ation: ation:	<u>\$500</u> 4.25		O & P) = Total = Statistic Statistic	,605 8,464 0 ,985
L	EGAL - ENGINEERING Financial warranty pro Engineering work an	- PROJECT MANAGE ocessing (legal/related c d/or contract/bid prepara gement and/or administra	EMENT: costs): ation: ation:	\$500 4.25 5.00	(direct +	$O \& P) = \frac{$25}{$25}$ $Total = \frac{$50}{$10}$ $Total = \frac{$10}{$12}$ $Total = \frac{$0}{$10}$	,605 8,464 0 ,985

# BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Cut/fill highwall	l from 0.5H:1	IV to 3H:1V slope		
Site: Leone Gravel Pit #2		rmit Action:	2023 AR1/SR1 Inspection	Permit/Jo	ob#:M2006085
PROJECT IDENTIF					
Task #: 001 Date: 9/25/2023	State:	Colorado Las Animas		Abbreviation: Filename:	None 001
User: ANM	County:	Las Animas	8	Fliename:	001
Agency or orga		RMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	ENT COST				
Basic Machine: Ca	at D8T - 8SU				
Horsepower: 31			-		
	emi-Universal				
	shank ripper				
	per day				
Data Source: (C	ERG)				
Cost Breakdown:					
		<b>**</b> • • • • •	Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$241.38	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour: Ripper own.		\$143.92	100		
Cost/Hour:		\$14.11	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$41.30	NA		
-		ф. 110 о	1171		
Total unit Cost/Hour:	\$440.71				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$440.71				
MATERIAL QUANT	ITIES				
Initial Volume: 2,9	12				
Swell factor: $1.2$					
Loose volume: <b>3,6</b> 4	40 LCY				
Source of estimated vol	ume: Operator	15 ft H x 1,0	100 ft I		
Source of estimated sw					
factor:		JOOK			
HOURLY PRODUCT	ΓΙΟΝ				
Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly	60 feet 1,246.9 LC	V/hr			
production:	1,240.9 LC	¥ / III'			
production.					
Materials consistency	Compa	cted fill or en	nbankment 0.9		
description:	e e inpu				
1					
Average push	-5 %				
gradient:					
Average site altitude:	5,900 feet				
Material weight:	2,650 lbs/LCY				
Weight description:	Decomposed rock	- 25% Rock	75% Farth		
• •		2070 ROOK,			
Job Condition Correction		750	Source		
Operator	SKIII: 0.	750	(AVG.)		

Material consistenc	y:	0.900	(CAT HB))
Dozing metho	d:	1.100	(50% SL)
Visibilit	y:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficienc	y:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pil	e:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradier	it:	1.115	(CAT HB)
Altitud	e:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weigh	it:	0.868	(CAT HB)
Blade typ	e:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correctio	n: <u>0.4772</u>		
Adjusted unit production:	595.02 LC	Y/hr	
Adjusted fleet production:	595.02 LC	Y/hr	

# JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.741/LCY

Total job time:	<b>6.12</b> Hours
Total job cost:	\$2,696

# BULLDOZER RIPPING WORK

Task description:	Rip processing/stockpiling	area, 29.65 ac	2		
Site: <u>Leone Gravel Pit #2</u>	Permit Action	: 2023 AR1/ Inspection		Permit/Job#: <u>M2</u>	006085
PROJECT IDENTIF	CATION				
Task #: 002	State: Colorado		Abbre	eviation: None	
Date: $9/25/2023$	County: Las Anim	as		ilename: 002	
User: ANM					
A genev or orga	nization name: DRMS				
HOURLY EQUIPME					
Basic Machine	e: Cat D8T - 8SU		Horsepower:	310	
Ripper Attachmen			Shift Basis:	1 per day	
11			Data Source:	(CRG)	
Cost Breakdown:			-		
Cost Breakdown.			Utilization %		
Owne	rship Cost/Hour:	\$241.38	NA		
Oper	ating Cost/Hour:	\$143.92	100		
	rship Cost/Hour:	\$14.11	NA		
Ripper Oper	ating Cost/Hour:	\$7.45	100		
	rator Cost/Hour:	\$41.30	NA		
Total	Unit Cost/Hour:	\$448.16	-		
<u>MATERIAL QUANT</u>	<u>ITIES</u> Sele	ected estimatin	g method:Area	L	
<u>Alternate Methods:</u> Seismic: NA	Bank Volume:	NA	BCY	NA	
	cres Rip Depth (ft):		Volume:	47,835	BCY or CCY
Source	of estimated quantity: Howe	ll-Topsoil Pile	from Ops est., Bil	per-Processing GE	est.
HOURLY PRODUCT	<u> TION</u>				
<u>Seismic:</u>	Seismic Velocity:	NA	feet/seco	ond	
Area:					
<u> </u>	Average Ripping Depth:	2.56	feet/pass	5	
	Average Ripping Width:	7.08	feet/pas		
1	Average Ripping Length:	600.00	feet/pas		
	Average Dozer Speed:	88.00	feet/min	ute	
	Average Maneuver Time:	0.25	minutes	1	
	Production per unit area:	0.828	acres/ho	our	
Job Condition Correction	Factors				
Unadjusted	Hourly Unit Production:	0.828	Acres/h	r	
	Site Altitude:	5,900	feet		
	Altitude Adj:	1.00	(CAT H		
	Job Efficiency:	0.83	(1 shift/	• /	
	Net Correction:	0.83	multipli	er	
A	djusted Hourly Unit Production:	0.69	Acres/hr		
Ad	justed Hourly Fleet Production:	0.69	Acres/hr		

#### JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1	Grader(s)	Total job time:	43.15	Hours
Unit cost:	\$652.251	Per acre	Total job cost:	\$19,339	

# SCRAPER TEAM WORK

Site: <u>Leone Gravel Pit</u>	#2	Permit Action	n: 2023 AR1/SF Inspection		ermit/Job#: <u>N</u>	12006085
PROJECT IDENTI	IFICATION					
Task #: 003 Date: 9/25/20	O23 Sta			Abbrev	viation: None ename: 003	
User: ANM	<u>25</u> Cour	ty. <u>Las Alli</u>	llas	1 11		
Agency or or	ganization name:	DRMS				
HOURLY EQUIPM	MENT		COSTS	Shift basis: <u>1 per</u>	dav	
		Eauina		<u>1 por</u>	<u>uuy</u>	
	-Scr		ent Description 7G w/push-pull			
	-De	ozer: NA	· - ···F ····· F ····			
Support	Equipment -Load A					
Road Main	-Dump A Itenance –Motor Gra		4M			
	-Water Tr		Tanker, 3,500 Ga	ıl.		
		T				Г
<u>Cost Breakdown</u> :	Scraper Work Scraper	Dozer	Support Equ Load Area	Ipment Dump Area	Maintenar Motor Grader	Ce Equip Water
%Utilization-machine:	100	NA	NA	NA	25	
Ownership cost/hour:	\$379.25 \$358.77	NA	NA	NA	\$149.33 \$23.20	
Operating cost/hour: %Utilization-ripper:	\$358.77 NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	\$23.20 NA	
Ripper own. cost/hour:	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00	-
Ripper op. cost/hour:	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00	
Operator cost/hour:	\$30.90	NA	NA	NA	\$28.56	-
Unit Subtotals:	\$768.92	NA	NA	NA	\$201.08	
Number of Units:	2	0	0	0	1	
Group Subtotals:	Work:	\$1,537.84	Support:	\$0.00	Maint:	\$22
Total work team cost/h	10ur: <u>\$1,764.97</u>					
MATERIAL QUAN	NTITIES					
Initial volume:	32,952	CCY	Swell fac	tor: 1.000		
Loose volume:	32,952	LCY				
	ce of estimated volu		ed unreclaimed T	S Area and Biber	Acres=40.85ac	
Source of	estimated swell fac	etor: <u>Cat Ha</u>	IUUUUK			
HOURLY PRODU	<u>CTION</u>					
			Scraper H	<u>Bowl (volume) Ba</u>	<u>isis:</u>	
Material weight:	1,600 lbs/LCY			Volume: <u>32.00</u>		LCY
Material description: Rated Payload:	Top Soil 104,000 pounds			Volume: <u>44.00</u> Volume: <u>38.00</u>		LCY LCY

Payload Capacity: 65.00 LCY
-----------------------------

#### Cycle Time:

Scraper Loading Time: Maneuver and Spread Time:

Job Condition Correction:

Site Altitude: 5900 feet

	Scraper	Push Dozer	Source
Altitude Adj:	1.000	NA	(CAT HB)
Job Efficiency:	0.830	NA	(CAT HB)
Net Correction:	0.830	NA	

#### Travel Time:

Road Condition: <u>Rutted dirt, little maintenance, no water, 1" tire penetration 4.0</u>

#### Haul Route:

**Return Route:** 

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	900.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	2725	0.61

1.10 Minutes

0.60 Minutes

Haul Time: 0.61 minutes

#### 0.01

**Travel Time** Roll. Res **Total Res** Seg # Haul Distance (Ft) Grade Velocity (min) (%) (%) (%) (fpm) 900.00 0.00 4.00 4.00 2920 0.50 1 Return Time: 0.50 minutes Total Scraper team cycle time: 2.81 minutes Adjusted for job conditions: 1,346.90 LCY/Hour Selected Number of Scrapers: 2 Scraper(s) LCY/Hour Adjusted single scraper team (unit) hourly production: 1,346.90 Adjusted multiple scraper team (fleet) hourly production: LCY/Hour 1,346.90 Unadjusted unit production/hour: 1,622.78 LCY/Hour Optimal Number of Scrapers per push dozer:

#### JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1	Team(s)	Total job time:	24.47	Hours
Unit cost:	\$1.310	/LCY	Total job cost:	\$43,180	

# **REVEGETATION WORK**

e: Leone	Gravel Pit #2	Pe	rmit Action:	2023 AR1/SR1 Inspection	Permit/Jo	b#: M2006085
PROIFC	T IDENTIFIC	CATION				
INUGER						
Task #	ŧ: 004	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
		State: County:	Colorado Las Animas	5	Abbreviation: Filename:	None 004

Cost /Acre

\$1.87

\$136.00

\$69.00

\$206.87

Cost/Acre

# **FERTILIZING**

#### Materials Units / Cost / Unit Description Unit Acre Ammonium nitrate, 33-0-0 3.00 pound \$0.62 Potassium nitrate, 13-46-0 200.00 pound \$0.68 Superphosphate, 0-20-0 with 12% S 100.00 \$0.69 pound **Total Fertilizer** Materials

### **Application**

Description		Cost /Acre
Tractor towed spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0120)		\$41.82
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$41.82

# TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$112.82
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$112.82

## **SEEDING**

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Grama - Lovington	0.30	4.90	\$4.79
Indian Ricegrass - Paloma	1.80	5.83	\$20.03
Little Bluestem - Pastura	1.10	6.57	\$14.83
Sideoats Grama - El Reno	1.80	5.91	\$15.08
Western Wheatgrass - Arriba	4.80	12.12	\$31.20
Needlegrass, Green - Lodorm	1.00	4.16	\$11.78
Totals Seed Mix	10.80	39.47	\$97.70

# Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		\$232.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$232.00

# **MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$429.79	\$859.57
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$859.57
				\$037.3 <i>1</i>

#### Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$74.46
	<b>Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre</b>	\$74.46

# JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	86.65	Cost /Acre:	\$1,625.24
Estimated Failure Rate:	25%	Cost /Acre*:	\$329.70
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	SEEDING		
Initial Job Cost: <b>\$140,827.05</b>			
Reseeding Job Cost: \$7,142.13			
Total Job Cost: \$147,969			
Job Hours: <b>86.00</b>			

# EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description:	Mo	bilization/Demob	oilization						
ite: Leone Gravel Pit #2		Permit Action:		2023 AR1/SR1 Inspection		·	Permit/Job#: M2006085		
PROJECT IDEN	TIFICATI	<u>ON</u>							
Task #: 005		State: Co	olorado			Abbre	viation:	None	
Date: 9/25 User: ANN	/2023 /I	County: La	is Animas	5		Fi	Filename:		-005
Agency or	organizatior	name: DRMS							
EQUIPMENT T	RANSPOR	<u>T RIG COST</u>							
					(	Shift ba Cost Data Sour		1 per day CRG Dat	
	Tractor Desc	•			400 HP	JCK TRACTO (2ND HALF,	2006)		
I ruck	Trailer Desc	G	ENERIC			SENECK, DF (25T, 50T, AN			IPMENI
Cost Breakdown:	•.•	0.05 T		-					
Available Rig Capacities Ownership Cost/Hour:		0-25 Tons \$20.26	<b>26-50</b> \$36			+ <b>Tons</b> 47.05			
Operating Cost/Hour:		\$39.51	<u>\$30</u> \$76		\$82.85				
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$22.52	\$22		\$22.52				
Helper Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	\$23		\$23.53				
Total Unit Cost/Hour:		\$82.29	\$158		\$175.95				
NON ROADABI	LE EQUIPN	MENT:							
Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul R	lig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return	Trip	DOT Permit
Description	Unit (TONS)	Cost/hr/ unit	Cost/h		Size	Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/h		Cost/ fleet
Cat D8T - 8SU	53.08	\$255.49	\$175.95	5	1	\$431.44	\$175.95	i	\$250.00
Cat 657G w/push- pull	80.25	\$379.25	\$175.95		2	\$1,110.40	\$351.90		\$250.00
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with Tractor	25.00	\$6.73	\$82.29		2	\$178.04	\$164.58	}	\$250.00

\$1,000.00 Subtotals: \$1,951.50 \$774.72

\$82.29

\$231.62

\$250.00

# **ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:**

23.57

CAT 14M

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/	Fleet Size	Haul Trip	Return Trip
	unit		Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/hr/ fleet
Water Tanker, 3,500 Gal.	\$54.25	1	\$54.25	\$54.25
Light Duty Pickup, 4x2, 1/2 T.	\$87.62	1	\$87.62	\$87.62
Lube Truck, 4x2, 190 HP	\$62.94	1	\$62.94	\$62.94
Fuel Tanker, 4x2, 170 HP	\$55.39	1	\$55.39	\$55.39
		Subtotals:	\$260.20	\$260.20

\$82.29

1

\$149.33

Subtotals: **\$260.20** \$260.20

# **EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time**

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance:	TRINIDAD 8.00	miles
Average Travel Speed:	55.00	mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$10,599.08	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$75.69	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.15	0.15
Return Time (Hours):	0.15	0.15
Loading Time (Hours):	1.00	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	1.00	NA
Subtotals:	2.29	0.29

# JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **4.58** Hours

Total job cost: **\$10,675**