



July 19, 2023

Tabetha Lynch Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, CO 80203

RE: Peabody Sage Creek Mine, Permit C-2009-087, Second Quarter 2023 IIR

CDRMS-

In accordance with Rule 4.05.9(17), please find enclosed the Peabody Sage Creek Mine (PSCM) Impoundment Inspection Report (IIR) and Impoundment Inspection Log (IIL). Please contact me with any comments and/or questions.

Best regards,

Miranda Kawcak

Miranda Kawcak Environmental Manager Peabody, Colorado Operations

Enclosure: PSCM 2Q23 IIR

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, S	ediment, or Slurry Impoundn	nents		
INS	SPECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 06/26/23			
NP	DES I.D. NO.: CO-0048275 D.P. 002				
FAC	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Incised Pond	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 03/	21/23		
SIT	E NAME: Wadge Impoundment #002	LOCATION: NW¼ NE¼, Sec. 2	2, T5N, R	87W	
MII	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Hay	den, CO	ı	
MII	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Kav	wcak		
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESI	PONSE:	YES	NO	N/A
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	soil:			х
2	Lift thickness:				х
3	Compaction according to approved plan:				х
4	Burning (specify extent and location):				х
5	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream		Tot	tal = N/A	\
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)	_			
	From underdrain pipes				х
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes				х
	At natural hillside:				х
	Over widespread areas:				х
	From downstream foundation area:				х
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:			х	
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:				х
8	Cracks or scarps on slope:				х
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:				х
10	*Major erosion problems:			х	
11	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х	
12	*Erosion of toe:				х
13	*Water impounded against toe:				х
14	Existing embankment freeboard: 0 FT				
15	Increase Decrease in water level: 0.4 FT ABOVE SI	PILLWAY			
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:				х
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х
18	*Clogging				
	Spillway channels and pipes:			x	
	Decant system:				х
	Diversion ditches:			х	
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes				
	Spillway pipes:				х
	Decant system:				х
20	Trash racks clear and in place:				x
21	Discharge rate: 210.8 GPM				
and	lajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and discount of Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse conditionscribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here: DISCHARGE W	ons noted in these items shoul	ld norma		ier

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se	ediment, or Slurry Impoundn	nents		
INS	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 06/26/23			
NPI	DES I.D. NO.: CO-0048275 D.P. 003				
FAC	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 03,	/21/23		
SITI	E NAME: Shop Pond #003	LOCATION: SE¼ SW¼, Sec. 2	7, T6N, I	R87W	
MII	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Have	yden, CO)	
MI	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka	wcak		
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESI	PONSE:	YES	NO	N/A
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	oil:	х		
2	Lift thickness: 12 IN				
3	Compaction according to approved plan:		х		
4	Burning (specify extent and location):			х	
5	Angle of slope: 2:1 upstream, 3:1 downstream		То	tal = 5:1	
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)				
	From underdrain pipes				х
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes			х	
	At natural hillside:			х	
	Over widespread areas:			х	
	From downstream foundation area:			х	
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:			х	
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:			х	
	Cracks or scarps on slope:			х	
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:			х	
10	*Major erosion problems:			х	
	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х	
	*Erosion of toe:			х	
_	*Water impounded against toe:			х	
	Existing embankment freeboard (4.9 is normal): 4.8 FT				
15	Increase Decrease in water level: 0.1 FT ABOVE	SPILLWAY		1	
	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:			х	
	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				Х
18				1	1
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х	
	Decant system:				Х
	Diversion ditches:			х	
19	0 0 11				1
	Spillway pipes:				Х
	Decant system:				Х
	Trash racks clear and in place:		х		
	Discharge rate: 2.8 GPM				
ana	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here: RODENT BURR	ns noted in these items shou	ld norma	illy be	ger

INIC	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se		nents		
	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 06/29/23			
	DES I.D. NO.: N/A	DATE LAST INSPECTION OF	104 100		
	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Incised Pond	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 03/		D0714/	
	E NAME: Spill Control Pond #2	LOCATION: NW% NE%, Sec.			
	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha	-	<u> </u>	
IVIII	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka			
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP		YES	NO	N/A
	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	oil:	х		
	Lift thickness: N/A			1	1
	Compaction according to approved plan:				х
	Burning (specify extent and location):				Х
	Angle of slope: upstream, downstream			N/A	
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)	-			_
	From underdrain pipes				х
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes			x	
	At natural hillside:			х	
	Over widespread areas:			х	
	From downstream foundation area:			x	
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:			x	
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:			x	
8	Cracks or scarps on slope:			x	
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:			х	
10	*Major erosion problems:			x	
11	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х	
12	*Erosion of toe:			х	
13	*Water impounded against toe:			х	
14	Existing embankment freeboard (7.0 is normal when dry): 7 F	Т			
15	Increase Decrease in water level: DRY				
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:			х	
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х
18	*Clogging				
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х	
	Decant system:				х
	Diversion ditches:				х
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes				
	Spillway pipes:				х
	Decant system:				х
20	Trash racks clear and in place:				х
21	Discharge rate: 0 GPM				
ana	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and I Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse conditio cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here:				ger ger

INIC	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se		nents		
	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 06/28/23			
	DES I.D. NO.: N/A	DATE LACT INCRECTIONS 02	/21/22		
	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Final Pit Impoundment	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 03	-	20714	
	NAME: Pecoco Reservoir	LOCATION: 3.1 mi. SE of Use			
	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka	-		
IVIII				110	21/2
1	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops		YES x	NO	N/A
	Lift thickness: N/A	OII.			
	Compaction according to approved plan:		х		
	Burning (specify extent and location):				
	Angle of slope: 5:1 upstream, 2:1 downstream		To	x tal = 7:1	
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)		10	tai = 7.1	•
Ū	From underdrain pipes				х
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes			х	
	At natural hillside:			X	
	Over widespread areas:			X	
	From downstream foundation area:			X	
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:			х	
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:			X	
	Cracks or scarps on slope:			X	
	Sloughing or bulging on slope:			x	
	*Major erosion problems:			x	
	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			Х	
	*Erosion of toe:			Х	
	*Water impounded against toe:			x	
	Existing embankment freeboard (6.1 is normal): 6.1 FT				1
15	Increase Decrease in water level: 0.1 FT ABOVE SF	PILLWAY			
	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:			х	
	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х
18	*Clogging				<u>. </u>
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х	
	Decant system:				х
	Diversion ditches:				х
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes				
	Spillway pipes:			х	
	Decant system:				х
20	Trash racks clear and in place:				х
21	Discharge rate: 93.6 GPM				
ana	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition Cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here:				ger

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se	ediment, or Slurry Impoundr	nents			
	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 06/29/23				
NPI	DES I.D. NO.: N/A					
FAC	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 03,	/21/23			
SITI	E NAME: Lower Sump	LOCATION: SE¼, Sec. 34, T6	N, R87W	/		
MI	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha	yden, CO)		
IIM	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka	wcak			
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP	PONSE:	YES	NO	N/A	
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	oil:	х			
2	Lift thickness =					
3	Compaction according to approved plan:		х			
4	Burning (specify extent and location):			x		
5	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A		
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)					
	From underdrain pipes				х	
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes			х		
	At natural hillside:			х		
	At natural niliside: x Over widespread areas: x					
	From downstream foundation area:			х		
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:			х		
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:			х		
8	Cracks or scarps on slope:			х		
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:			х		
10	*Major erosion problems:			х		
11	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х		
12	*Erosion of toe:			х		
13	*Water impounded against toe:			х		
14	Existing embankment freeboard: 0 FT					
15	Increase Decrease in water level: 0.1 FT ABOVE S	PILLWAY				
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:			х		
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х	
18	*Clogging					
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х		
	Decant system:				х	
	Diversion ditches:			х		
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes					
	Spillway pipes:				х	
	Decant system:				х	
20	Trash racks clear and in place:		х			
21	Discharge rate: 88.9 GPM					
ana	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and I Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here:		_	_	ger	

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se	ediment, or Slurry Impoundn	nents				
INS	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 06/29/23					
NP[DES I.D. NO.: N/A						
FAC	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Incised Pond	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 03/	/21/23				
SITI	E NAME: Truck Wash Settling Pond	LOCATION: NW% NE%, Sec.	34, T6N	, R87W			
MIN	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha	yden, CC	5			
MIN	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Kav	wcak				
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP	ONSE:	YES	NO	N/A		
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	;oil:	Х				
2	Lift thickness: N/A						
3	Compaction according to approved plan:				х		
4	Burning (specify extent and location):				х		
5	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A			
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)						
	From underdrain pipes				х		
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes				х		
	At natural hillside:				х		
	Over widespread areas:				х		
	From downstream foundation area:				х		
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:			х			
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:				х		
8	Cracks or scarps on slope:				х		
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:				х		
10	*Major erosion problems:			х			
11	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:				х		
12	*Erosion of toe:				х		
13	*Water impounded against toe:				х		
14	Existing embankment freeboard (5.0 is normal when dry): 5 F	Т					
15	Increase Decrease in water level: DRY						
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:				х		
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х		
18	*Clogging						
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х			
	From downstream foundation area: "Boils" beneath stream or ponded water: Cracks or scarps on crest: Cracks or scarps on slope: Sloughing or bulging on slope: Sufface movements in valley bottom or on hillside: Exercision of toe: Exercision of toe: Existing embankment freeboard (5.0 is normal when dry): 5 FT Increase Decrease in water level: DRY Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face: Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface: Exercision of toe: Exercision						
					х		
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes						
	Spillway pipes:			х			
	Decant system:				х		
20	Trash racks clear and in place:		X				
	Discharge rate: 0 GPM						
and	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and If Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse conditio scribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here:		_		jer 		

INIS	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	ediment, or Slurry Impoundn DATE: 06/29/23	nents				
	DES I.D. NO.: N/A	DATE: 00/25/25					
	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 03/	/21/23				
SITE NAME: Upper Sump LOCATION: NW%, Sec. 3, T5N, R87W7W							
	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha					
	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka	-				
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP		YES	NO	N/A		
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops			INO	IN/A		
	Lift thickness:	son.	Х				
	Compaction according to approved plan:						
	Burning (specify extent and location):		Х				
	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A			
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)			IN/A			
U	From underdrain pipes				T .,		
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes				Х		
	At natural hillside:			X			
				X			
	Over widespread areas: From downstream foundation area:			Х			
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:		Х				
7	·			X			
	Cracks or scarps on crest:			X			
	Cracks or scarps on slope: Sloughing or bulging on slope:			X			
	*Major erosion problems:			X			
				X			
	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside: *Erosion of toe:			X			
				X			
	*Water impounded against toe: Existing embankment freeboard: 0 FT			Х			
		DILLWAY					
15	IncreaseDecrease in water level: 0.1 FT ABOVE S Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:	PILLWAY		Ι	I		
	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:			Х	-		
18					Х		
10	*Clogging Spillway channels and pipes:			T .,			
	Decant system:			Х	-		
	Diversion ditches:				X		
10	*Cracking or crushing of pipes				Х		
13	Spillway pipes:			х	I		
	Decant system:				х		
20	Trash racks clear and in place:		х				
	Discharge rate: 96.3 GPM						
	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and	I should be reported to the Er	ainaarin	a Mana	aar		
ana	ujor duverse changes in these items could cause instability and I Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here:				y C i		

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se	ediment, or Slurry Impoundn	nents		
INS	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 06/29/23			
NPI	DES I.D. NO.: N/A				
FAC	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 03/	21/23		
SITI	E NAME: Portal Sump #1 (Upper North)	LOCATION: NW¼, Sec. 3, T5	N, R87V	V	
MII	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha	yden, CO)	
MII	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka	wcak		
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP	PONSE:	YES	NO	N/A
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	oil:	Х		
2	Lift thickness = 12 IN				
3	Compaction according to approved plan:		х		
4	Burning (specify extent and location):			х	
5	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A	
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)				
	From underdrain pipes				х
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes				х
	At natural hillside:				х
	Over widespread areas:				х
	From downstream foundation area:				х
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:			х	
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:				х
8	Cracks or scarps on slope:				х
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:				х
10	*Major erosion problems:			х	
11	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х	
12	*Erosion of toe:				х
13	*Water impounded against toe:				х
14	Existing embankment freeboard:				
15	Increase Decrease in water level: 1.5 FT BELOW \$	SPILLWAY			
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:				х
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х
18	*Clogging				
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х	
	Decant system:				х
	Diversion ditches:				х
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes				
	Spillway pipes:			х	
	Decant system:				х
20	Trash racks clear and in place:				х
21	Discharge rate: 0 GPM				
and	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and I Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse conditio cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here:		_	_	ger

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se	ediment, or Slurry Impoundn	nents				
INS	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 06/29/23					
NPI	DES I.D. NO.: N/A						
FAC	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 03,	/21/23				
SITE	E NAME: Portal Sump #2 (Lower South)	LOCATION: NW¼, Sec. 3, T5	N, R87W	/			
MIN	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Have	yden, CC	<u> </u>			
MIN	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Kav	wcak				
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP	ONSE:	YES	NO	N/A		
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	;oil:	Х				
2	Lift thickness: 12 IN						
3	Compaction according to approved plan:		х				
4	Burning (specify extent and location):			х			
5	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A			
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)						
	From underdrain pipes				х		
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes				х		
	At natural hillside:				х		
	Over widespread areas:				х		
	From downstream foundation area:				х		
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:			х			
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:				х		
8	Cracks or scarps on slope:				х		
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:				х		
10	*Major erosion problems:			х			
11	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х			
12	*Erosion of toe:				х		
13	*Water impounded against toe:				х		
14	Existing embankment freeboard:						
15	IncreaseDecrease in water level:						
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:				х		
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х		
18	*Clogging						
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х			
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water: Cracks or scarps on crest: Scracks or scarps on slope: Sloughing or bulging on slope: Number of the stream or ponded water: Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside: Number of the stream or ponded water: Xumber of the stream or ponded water or po						
	Diversion ditches:				х		
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes						
	Spillway pipes:			Х			
	Decant system:				х		
20	Trash racks clear and in place:				х		
21	Discharge rate: 0 GPM						
ana	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here: NOT PUMPING	ons noted in these items shoul			jer		

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION LOG

JOB DATA

JOB NAME: PEC Hydrologic Services	CLIENT: Peabody	JOB(s): 2021-095 (PSCM), 2021-096 (SCC)

FLOW DA	ŢΑ						
SITEID	COMPANY	MINE	DATE	WATER LEVEL (FT)	OUTFLOW (GPM)	OBSERVATIONS	MAINTENANCE (Y/N)
002	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	6-21-37	он	210.8	some meteroping moderfline	¥
003	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	6-26-23	0.1	7.8	Some animal busions	N
004	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	4-36-27	0.1	69.1		N
Lower Sump	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	6-27-27	0.1	88.7		N
PECOCO	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	6-28-2	0,[93.6		N
Portal Sump 1	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	6-29-23	_		NO Flow	N
Portal Sump 2	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	(3-75-4)	0.1	-	Not Pamping	N
Spill Control 2	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	6-54-53	0.3		NO HOW	N
Truck Wash	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	6-29-23			Dry	N
Upper Sump	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	6-29-3	0.1	96.3	3	N
001	Seneca	Hayden Gulch	6.27.23	~ 7,3		NoFlow	N
002	Seneca	Hayden Gulch	6-21-23	- 2,8		NO Flow	N
005	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-26-33	-0.4	~	NO FLOW	N
006	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-26-2)	0.(86		W
009	Seneca	Seneca II West	6.26.23	-0,9		NO Flow	N
015	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-26.23	0,1	13.6		\sim
016	Seneca	Seneca II West	6.26.23	0.1	71.6		N
017	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-24.2	0,1	14.7		N
T-1	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-29-57			Dry.	N
T-18	Seneca	Seneca II West	4-27-27	- 7, 3		NoFlow	N
T-2	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-27-23			Din	N
T-20	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-29-2)	20,2		NO Flow	N
T-22	Seneca	Seneca II West	4-29-23	-1.4	~	NO Ffor	N
T-24	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-52-53	~	_	019	N
T-25	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-29-23	_		Din	N
T-26	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-59-53	-1.9		No Flow	\mathcal{N}



SITE ID	COMPANY	MINE	DATE	WATER LEVEL (FT)	OUTFLOW (GPM)	OBSERVATIONS	MAINTENANCE (Y/N)
T-27	Seneca	Seneca II West	6-29-23	-2.5	-	No Flow No Flow cutlet instal, cat tails No Flow No Flow	N
T-3	Seneca	Seneca II West	6.29-73	-2.7	~	Na flow	N
T-5	Seneca	Seneca II West	6.29.23	-30)	NO FI-W	N
010	Seneca	Yoast	6.26.23	0.1	1,1	cutlet instal, cet teils	Y
011	Seneca	Yoast	4-26-33	-0.9		No Flow	N
011A	Seneca	Yoast	6-29-23	-1,5	~	NO FIEW	N
012	Seneca	Yoast	6-26-27	0.1	106		N
012A	Seneca	Yoast	4-29-3	-1,0	~	NO FI-W	N
013	Seneca	Yoast	6-26-23			No Flow	N
014	Seneca	Yoast	6-26-33	-018		NO FION	N
ST-1	Seneca	Yoast	6-29-2)	-1.5		NO FION NO FION NO FION	N

FIELD PERSONNEL SIGNATURE:

FIELD PERSONNEL: 3 H	FIELD PERSONNEL SIGNATURE:	
***	1	
NOTES		1