

	Location in Application							
INFORMATION CATEGORY	NARRATIVE	FIGURES	TABLES	MAPS	EXHIBITS	OTHER	ADQT? (Y/N)	ADEQUACY COMMENTS
2.04.8 Climatological Information	2.04-26 to 2.04-27	36-37	31-34	X	X	X	N	The precipitation date in Table 31 and the temperature data in Table 32 ranges from 1951-1970. Table 33 also contains outdated information. The wind data in Tables 32 and 33. The wind data in Figures 36, 37 and Table 34 is also old and from the 70s/80s. In short 2.04.8(1) is complied with but with very outdated information . Depending on whether this information still reflects the climate in the permit area, the narrative may need to be updated as well. The current information provided in the tables and figures is mostly accurately reflected in the narrative. However, the wind direction figures and tables suggest that strong "south-westerly" winds characterize the area where as the narrative says strong "westerly" winds.
2.04.9 Soils Resource Information	2.04-27	X	X	19, 19a	12, 13	X	M	The soil resources described in Exhibit 12 don't exactly match up with those shown on Map 19a. 69A is on map 19a, but only 69 is in Ex 12 (but they have the same soil series name), 101E and 101F are on Map 19a, but there is only a narrative description of 101 in exhibit 12. Also, for the 101 narrative in exhibit 12, only 2.04.9(1)(b)(iv) is complied with, the rest of the parameters in 2.04.9(1)(b) are not described. However, pages 16 and 17 describe 101 in more detail, but still doesn't address the parameters required by the Rules.

2.04.10 Vegetation Information	2.04-27 to 2.04-37	38	35-40	20	24, 14, 15a	Section 2.04.11,	N	<p>**Page 2.04-31 at the top, "The plant material was oven-dried at a temperature of 100[square] C". This should be a degree symbol, but it is replaced with a blank square box probably as a place holder or by mistake. **On the same page, in the third paragraph, DRMS is still referred to as Division of Minerals and Geology.**Bottom of Page 2.04-34 when describing the Threatened and Endangered Plant Species in the area, they list "Ute ladies-tresses (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>. In the next sentence, they mistakenly refer to it as <i>Spiranthus deluvialis</i>." Page 2.04-35, last sentence says that there are 4 vegetation communities. The beginning of the next page has 3 bulleted communities, and says that they're reflected in Figure 38. The three listed are reflected in Fig 38, with "Upland Sagebrush Stand" being the fourth. They need to add this to their narrative's bulleted list.</p>
2.04.11	2.04-38 to 2.04-46	39-44	41-51	21	21, 15	Section 2.04.10	M	<p>The last time each category of animals listed in this section where most updated information seems to be by 2004 for things such as number of sightings, number of dens observed in the area, etc. This may need to be updated to recent numbers.**</p>

2.04.12	2.04-46.1	X	X	X	X	Pg. 60 of the CMLRD Findings Document "A Proposed Decision and Findings of Compliance for the Raf EC Eagle Mines NO. 5 and NO. 9"	N	They refer to page 60 of this findings document where it supposedly says that the CMLRD found a negative determination for the presence of prime farmland. However, this page of the document does not exist in LF. This page needs to be included in the PAP so that this information can be verified so that their request to determine that the No. 6 Mine which 'will not cause any further disturbance' may also be classified as not prime farmland.
2.05.6(4) Protection of Public Parks and Historic Places	2.05-47.1	X	X	X	X	X	Y	Adequate