MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME: The Orr Pit	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#: M-2009-074	MINERAL: Sand and gravel	COUNTY: Pueblo
INSPECTION TYPE:	WEATHER: Clear	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring		February 28, 2023	08:45
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERAT	FION:
Colorado Backhoe Service	Thomas Joseph	112c - Construction	Regular Operation

REASON FOR INSPECTION:		BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program		Partial Bond	\$15,000.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:		POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA		None	None
INSPECTOR(S):	INSPE	CTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:
Amber Michels		$\lambda \wedge \Lambda \Lambda \wedge \rho$	March 16, 2023
Jared Ebert	(Jungon Jackel	

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Topsoil

PROBLEM: The topsoil stockpiles do not have established vegetation on them and are therefore susceptible to erosion. Rule 3.1.9(1) states if topsoil is not replaced into the backfill area within a time short enough to avoid deterioration of the topsoil, vegetative cover or other means shall be employed so that the topsoil is protected from erosion.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator shall relocate and seed the topsoil stockpiles with the seed mix that was submitted as part of the approved Reclamation Plan. The Operator shall demonstrate compliance by submitting seed tags, a bill of sale or photographs of seeding activities. The Operator may also request a follow up inspection.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 4/15/23

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>N</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>N</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>Y</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>PB</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>N</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>Y</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION <u>N</u>	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS Y	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

OBSERVATIONS

The Orr Pit is a Construction Material Limited 112c Operation Reclamation Permit and is approved to affect 25.12 acres of land. Affected lands will be reclaimed to support rangeland post-mining land use. The site is located one mile west of Vineland, Colorado. The Division met Thomas Joseph, representing Colorado Backhoe Service, at the mine site entrance on the east side of 33rd Lane, approximately 750 feet south of US Business Highway 50. The mine site identification sign was posted at the entrance and was in compliance with Rule 3.1.12(1). The weather was sunny and clear.

Acid And Toxic Materials:

Fuel is stored onsite in a double-walled tank and is surrounded by concrete blocks. The storage tank appeared to be well insulated and no spills were observed.

Backfilling and Grading:

This site will require a combination of backfilling and grading. The working face will be cut and backfilled to create a 3H:1V slope. The Operator stated that they will soon begin mining towards the southern portion of the permit boundary from the north-east corner along the eastern border. The Operator was reminded that in doing so they will need to leave enough room along the permit boundary for the equipment to backfill and grade the slope so that they do not create off-site damage. The Operator committed to keeping a 5'-10' buffer between the fence and the working face. The mining floor will be graded and graveled in the area that pre-law mining took place.

Financial Warranty:

The current bond held by the Division for this site is in the amount of \$15,000. The Division updated the cost estimate to reflect current conditions and the required surety is in the amount of \$21,784, a difference of \$6,784. The Division's cost estimate is enclosed with this report. The Operator will have 14 days (March 29, 2023), from the issuance of this report to submit any questions on the cost estimate. If no questions are received, the Division will issue a surety increase notice for the difference. The Operator will have 60 days from the date of the notice to submit and obtain acceptance of the increase from the Division.

Hydrologic Balance:

The currently approved reclamation plan stated that there may be storm water retention ponds on site created from a pre-law mining operation, and that they were to be filled in as part of the floor grading plan during reclamation. When asked about this, the Operator stated that the depression that would have held storm water has since been filled in and no longer stores water. There was some shallow standing water observed onsite in the graded run-off ditches for the interior road. These have been designed to allow this surface water to soak into the ground or evaporate within 72 hours.

Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan:

Mining currently is progressing from the north-west side of the permit to the north-east corner. Mining will continue down the eastern border of the permit, with a 5'-10' buffer from the fence line to ensure off-site damage will not occur.

Currently toward the center of the operation, the working face is steeper than a 2H:1V slope and is approximately 15'-20' in height that faces west. The topsoil is located behind this working face.

The Operator stated interest in expanding the permit acreage, but does not have definite plans to do so at this time.

Processing Waste:

The Operator stated that much of their income from the operation comes from crushing, processing, and selling imported products. This is approved and the Operator stated that they do not use this as backfill. Various product stockpiles were observed on site.

Reclamation Success:

Reclamation has not yet begun at this site. However, because the Operator needs to move their topsoil piles, they stated that they may position the topsoil piles where they can be used immediately for reclaiming the mined out areas on the north and north-west area of the permit.

Signs and Markers:

A permit sign was observed at the entrance to the site. The Operator also led the inspectors to each permit corner marker where GPS coordinates were then collected.

<u>Topsoil:</u>

A problem was cited above for improper topsoil storage. Currently, the topsoil is being stockpiled concurrently with mining, and is surrounding the active mining pit in the east-central portion of the permit. Pursuant to Rule 3.1.9(3) topsoil must be stockpiled in places and configurations to minimize erosion and located in areas where disturbance by ongoing mining operations will be minimized. The Operator shall submit evidence to the Division that the topsoil piles have been re-located and stabilized prior to the corrective actions date.

This concludes the Division's Inspection Report; a subset of photographs taken during the time of the inspection, and a map with the GPS located corner markers are included below. If you need additional information or have any questions, please contact me by email at <u>amber.michels@state.co.us</u> or by telephone at (720) 836-0967.

Inspection Contact Address

Thomas Joseph Colorado Backhoe Service 25193 South Road Pueblo, CO 81006

Enclosure: DRMS Updated Reclamation Cost Estimate

CC: Jared Ebert, DRMS

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: Mine sign at the entrance to the site.



Photo 2: Looking east across the site standing at the south-west corner.



Photo 3: Looking north standing at the south-west corner.



Photo 4: Looking south-west at the south-west corner post.



Photo 5: Looking east from the north-west corner.



Photo 6: Looking north-west at the north-west corner post. Arrow pointing at entrance sign.



Photo 7: Looking east at the north-east corner post.



Photo 8: Looking south-west from the north-east corner.



Photo 9: Looking north-east at the north-east corner.



Photo 10: Looking south across the site at imported material stockpiles.



Photo 11: Looking west across the open pit.



Photo 12: Looking north-west across the pit at the current topsoil pile (arrows) and shallow standing water.



Photo 13: Looking north at imported waste product being temporarily stored onsite



Photo 14: Looking east at the south-east corner.



Photo 15: Looking north across the working face. The working face is at about a 2H:1V slope and is approximately 15'-20' in height.



Photo 16: Fuel storage double-walled container on concrete blocks.



Map showing the GPS coordinates for the permit corner markers collected during the 2023 inspection and the approximate permit boundary

COST SUMMARY WORK

ask description: Reclamation	cost summary f	for The Orr Pit			
The Orr Pit	Permit Action:	2023 Inspection	n ANM	Permit/Job#	: M2009074
OJECT IDENTIFICATION					
Task #: _000 Stat	e: <u>Colorado</u>			Abbreviation:	None
	y: Pueblo			Filename:	
User: <u>ANM</u>					
Agency or organization name:	DRMS				
<u>SK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)</u>					
		Form	Fleet	Task	G
•			Size		Cost
			1		\$1,188
Rip Mine Floor for Grading		GRADER	1	17.50	\$3,185
Grade Mine Floor		GRADER	1	15.79	\$2,874
Spread Gravel on Remaining Mine F	loor	DOZER	1	5.93	\$1,678
Revegetate Mine Slopes		REVEGE	1	5.00	\$3,705
<u> </u>	ent	MOBILIZE	1	2.27	\$2,916
			DTALS:	50.69	\$15,546
	The Orr Pit COJECT IDENTIFICATION Task #: 000 Stat Date: 3/14/2023 Count User: ANM Count Agency or organization name:	The Orr Pit Permit Action: COJECT IDENTIFICATION State: Colorado Task #: 000 State: Colorado Date: 3/14/2023 County: Pueblo User: ANM Agency or organization name: DRMS SK LIST (DIRECT COSTS) Description Cut/Fill Working Face Rip Mine Floor for Grading Grade Mine Floor Spread Gravel on Remaining Mine Floor	The Orr Pit Permit Action: _2023 Inspection COJECT IDENTIFICATION State: _Colorado Task #: _000 State: _Colorado Date: _3/14/2023 County: _Pueblo User: _ANM Agency or organization name: _DRMS SK LIST (DIRECT COSTS) Form Description Used Cut/Fill Working Face DOZER Rip Mine Floor for Grading GRADER Grade Mine Floor GRADER Spread Gravel on Remaining Mine Floor DOZER Revegetate Mine Slopes REVEGE	The Orr Pit Permit Action: 2023 Inspection ANM COJECT IDENTIFICATION Colorado ANM Task #: 000 State: Colorado A Date: 3/14/2023 County: Pueblo A User: ANM Agency or organization name: DRMS A SK LIST (DIRECT COSTS) Form Fleet Description Used Size Cut/Fill Working Face DOZER 1 Rip Mine Floor for Grading GRADER 1 Grade Mine Floor GRADER 1 Spread Gravel on Remaining Mine Floor DOZER 1 Revegetate Mine Slopes REVEGE 1	The Orr Pit Permit Action: 2023 Inspection ANM Permit/Job# COJECT IDENTIFICATION State: Colorado Abbreviation: Image: Colorado Task #: 000 State: Colorado Abbreviation: Image: Colorado Image: Colorado </td

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$314
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$163
Job superintendent:	25.35	Total =	\$1,904
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$1,555
		TOTAL O & P =	\$3,936
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) =	\$19,482

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	\$500 4.25	_ Total = Total =	\$500 \$828
Reclamation management and/or administration:	5.00		\$974
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
	TOTAL I	NDIRECT COST =	\$6,238
TOTAL BO	OND AMOUNT (d	lirect + indirect) =	\$21,784

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Cut/Fill Worki	ng Face			
ite: The Orr Pit	P	ermit Action:	2023 Inspection ANM	Permit/Jo	b#: M2009074
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION				
Task #: 001 Date: 3/14/2023 User: ANM	State: County:	Colorado Pueblo		Abbreviation: Filename:	None 001
Agency or organ		RMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME					
Basic Machine: Cat Horsepower: 310	<u>D8T - 8SU</u>		-		
	ni-Universal		-		
	hank ripper		-		
Shift Basis: 1 pe	er day		-		
Data Source: (CR	(G)		-		
Cost Breakdown:					
		¢104.95	Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour: Operating Cost/Hour:		\$124.85 \$97.63	<u>NA</u> 100		
Ripper own.					
Cost/Hour:		\$13.10	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$7.30	100		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$40.04	NA		
MATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 1,74 Swell factor: 1.16	8 5				
Loose volume: 2,03	6 LCY				
Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell factor:					
HOURLY PRODUCT	<u>'ION</u>				
Average push distance:	100 feet				
Unadjusted hourly production:	852.6 LCY	//hr			
Materials consistency description:	Comp	acted fill or er	nbankment 0.9		
Average push gradient:	-30 %				
Average site altitude:	4,630 feet				
Material weight:	2,900 lbs/LCY				
Weight description:	Decomposed roc	k - 50% Rock	, 50% Earth		

Job Condition Correction Factor		Source
Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	0.900	(CAT HB))
Dozing method:	1.000	(GEN.)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.601	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

 Net correction:
 0.5690

 Adjusted unit
 485.13 LCY/hr

 production:
 485.13 LCY/hr

 Adjusted fleet
 485.13 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.583/LCY

Total job time:	4.20 Hours
Total job cost:	\$1,188

MOTOR GRADER WORK

Task description:	Rip Mine Floor for Grad	ing		
te: The Orr Pit	Permit Actio	on: 2023 Inspec	tion ANM I	Permit/Job#: <u>M2009074</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION			
Task #: 002	State: Colorad	lo	Abbre	viation: None
Date: 3/14/2023 User: ANM	County: Pueblo			ename: 002
Agency or organ	ization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST			
Basic Machine	:: CAT 140M		Horsepower:	183
Ripper Attachment			Shift Basis:	1 per day
11			Data Source:	(CRG)
Cost Breakdown:			_	
			Utilization %	
	ship Cost/Hour:	\$75.87	NA	
	ating Cost/Hour:	\$53.81	100	
	ship Cost/Hour:	\$2.84	NA	
	ating Cost/Hour:	\$2.53	100	
-	rator Cost/Hour:	\$46.87	NA	
Total	Unit Cost/Hour:	\$181.92		
Total	Fleet Cost/Hour: \$1	181.92		
MATERIAL QUANT				
Total Area t	o be graded or ripped: 20.5	1		acres
Source	of estimated acreage: App	lication		
HOURLY PRODUCT	ION			
	Average Grader Speed:	1.50	mph	
	Selected Application:	Rip	ping (0-3 mph) - 1	.50
	Selected Blade Angle:	-1	degrees	
	Effective Blade Length:	0.00	feet	
	f blade overlap per pass:	2.00	feet	
	r ripping width per pass:	7.58	feet	
•	Hourly Unit Production:	1.3782	acres/hou	
Job Condition Correction			Site Altitude: <u>4630</u>	feet
	Sour			
Altitude Adj:	1.00 (CAT)			
Job Efficiency:	0.85 (1sh/d, 1			
Net Correction:	0.8500 multipl			
	ljusted Hourly Unit Production		acres/Hour	
Ad	justed Hourly Fleet Production	n: 1.1715	acres/Hour	
JOB TIME AND COS	Т			
Fleet size: 1	Grader(s)	Total job time	: 17.51	Hours
		-		
Unit cost: \$15:	5.29 per acre	Total job cost	t: \$3,185	

MOTOR GRADER WORK

Task description:	Grade Mine Flo	or				
e: The Orr Pit	Pe	ermit Action:	2023 Inspec	ction ANM	Permit/Job#:	M2009074
PROJECT IDENTIF	ICATION					
Task #: 003	State:	Colorado		Abb	reviation: N	one
Date: 3/14/2023	County:	Pueblo		H	Filename: 00)3
User: ANM						
Agency or organ	nization name:	RMS				
HOURLY EQUIPME	ENT COST					
Basic Machine	e: CAT 140M			Horsepower:	183	
Ripper Attachmen	nt: Multi-Shank Ri	pper	_	Shift Basis:	1 per c	
				Data Source:	(CRC	i)
Cost Breakdown:						
				Utilization %		
	ership Cost/Hour:		\$75.87	NA	_	
	rating Cost/Hour:		\$53.81	100	_	
	ership Cost/Hour: rating Cost/Hour:		\$2.84 \$2.53	<u>NA</u> 100	_	
	erator Cost/Hour:		\$46.87	NA	_	
-	l Unit Cost/Hour:		\$181.92	1471	_	
Total	Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$181.	.92			
MATERIAL OUANT						
MATERIAL QUANT	TITIES					
	<u>TITIES</u> to be graded or rippe	ed: 20.51			ac	cres
Total Area			tion		ac	cres
Total Area	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag		tion		ac	eres
Total Area Source	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>ΓΙΟΝ</u>	ge: Applica		mph	ac	pres
Total Area Source	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag	ge: <u>Applica</u> beed:	1.50	mph grading (0-2.5 m		eres
Total Area Source	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade Ar	ge: <u>Applica</u> peed: tion: ngle:	1.50 Finish 30	grading (0-2.5 m degrees	nph) - 1.5	eres
Total Area Source <u>HOURLY PRODUC</u>	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler	ge: <u>Applica</u> beed: tion: ngle: ngth:	1.50 Finish 30 10.40	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet	nph) - 1.5	eres
Total Area Source <u>HOURLY PRODUCT</u> Width o	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p	ge: <u>Applica</u> beed: tion: ngle: ngth: pass:	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet	nph) - 1.5	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width o Net grading o	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p	ge: <u>Applica</u> beed: <u></u> tion: <u></u> ngle: <u></u> pass: <u></u> pass: <u></u>	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet feet	nph) - 1.5 s	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width o Net grading o	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p	ge: <u>Applica</u> beed: <u></u> tion: <u></u> ngle: <u></u> pass: <u></u> pass: <u></u>	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet	nph) - 1.5 s	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width o Net grading o	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p Hourly Unit Produc	ge: Applica	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40 1.5273	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet feet	nph) - 1.5 s our	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width o Net grading o Unadjusted Job Condition Correction	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p Hourly Unit Produc	ge: Applica	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40 1.5273 S	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet feet acres/h	nph) - 1.5 s our	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width o Net grading o Unadjusted Job Condition Correction Altitude Adj:	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p Hourly Unit Produc <u>Factors</u> <u>1.00</u>	ge: Applica	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40 1.5273 S	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet feet acres/h	nph) - 1.5 s our	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width o Net grading o Unadjusted Job Condition Correction Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency:	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>TION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p Hourly Unit Produc <u>1.00</u> 0.85	ge: Applica	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40 1.5273 S	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet feet acres/h	nph) - 1.5 s our	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width of Net grading of Unadjusted Job Condition Correction Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction:	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p Hourly Unit Produc <u>Factors</u> <u>1.00</u> 0.85 0.8500	ge: Applica	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40 1.5273 S	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet acres/h Site Altitude: <u>463</u>	nph) - 1.5 s our <u>60</u> feet	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width of Net grading of Unadjusted Job Condition Correction Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction:	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>TION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p Hourly Unit Produc <u>1.00</u> 0.85 0.8500 djusted Hourly Unit	ge: Applica	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40 1.5273 S) 1.) 1.2982	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet acres/h Site Altitude: <u>463</u>	nph) - 1.5 s our <u>60</u> feet	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width of Net grading of Unadjusted Job Condition Correction Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction:	to be graded or rippe e of estimated acreag <u>FION</u> Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p Hourly Unit Produc <u>Factors</u> <u>1.00</u> 0.85 0.8500	ge: Applica	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40 1.5273 S	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet acres/h Site Altitude: <u>463</u>	nph) - 1.5 s our <u>60</u> feet	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width of Net grading of Unadjusted Job Condition Correction Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction:	to be graded or rippe re of estimated acreag FION Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p Hourly Unit Produce <u>1.00</u> 0.85 0.8500 djusted Hourly Unit djusted Hourly Fleet	ge: Applica	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40 1.5273 S) 1.) 1.2982	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet acres/h Site Altitude: <u>463</u>	nph) - 1.5 s our <u>60</u> feet	eres
Total Area Source HOURLY PRODUCT Width of Net grading of Unadjusted Job Condition Correction Altitude Adj: Job Efficiency: Net Correction: A Ado JOB TIME AND COS	to be graded or rippe re of estimated acreag FION Average Grader Sp Selected Applica Selected Blade An Effective Blade Ler of blade overlap per p or ripping width per p Hourly Unit Produce <u>1.00</u> 0.85 0.8500 djusted Hourly Unit djusted Hourly Fleet	ge: Applica	1.50 Finish 30 10.40 2.00 8.40 1.5273 S) 1.) 1.2982	grading (0-2.5 m degrees feet feet feet acres/h Site Altitude: <u>463</u> acres/Hour acres/Hour	nph) - 1.5 s our 6 <u>0</u> feet	ours

Unit cost:	\$140.13	per acre	Total job cost:	\$2,874	

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Spread Gravel on Remaining	g Mine Floor		
The Orr Pit	Permit Action:	2023 Inspection ANM	Permit/Job#	M2009074
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION			
Task #: 004	State: Colorado		Abbreviation: N	None
Date: 3/14/2023	County: Pueblo		Filename: 0	004
User: ANM				
Agency or organ	nization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST			
	: D8T - 8SU			
Horsepower: 310				
	ni-Universal			
	hank ripper			
Data Source: (CF	er day			
	(U)			
Cost Breakdown:		Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$124.85	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$97.63	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:	\$13.10	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$7.30	100		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$40.04	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$282.92 \$282.92			
MATERIAL QUANT	<u>ITIES</u>			
Initial Volume: 2,72	6			
Swell factor: 1.00				
Loose volume: 2,72	6 LCY			
Source of estimated volu	ame: Application			
Source of estimated voit Source of estimated swe factor:				
HOURLY PRODUCT	ION			
Average push distance:	100 feet			
Unadjusted hourly production:	852.6 LCY/hr			
Materials consistency	Loose stockpile 1.2			
description:				
Average push	0 %			
gradient: Average site altitude:	4,630 feet			
gradient:	4,630 feet 2,550 lbs/LCY			

Job Condition Correction Factor		Source
Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	1.200	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.000	(GEN.)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.902	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

 Net correction:
 0.5390

 Adjusted unit
 459.55 LCY/hr

 production:
 459.55 LCY/hr

 Adjusted fleet
 459.55 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.616/LCY

Total job time:	5.93 Hours
Total job cost:	\$1,678

REVEGETATION WORK

The Orr	Pit	Peri	mit Action:	2023 Inspection ANM	Permit/Job	o#: <u>M2009074</u>
PROJECT	IDENTIFIC	CATION				
Task #:	005	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date:	3/14/2023	County:	Pueblo		Filename:	005
User:	ANM				-	

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Ammonium nitrate, 33-0-0	121.20	pound	\$0.37	\$44.84
Triple superphosphate, 0-46-0	86.60	pound	\$0.47	\$40.70
			Total Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$85.55

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Tractor towed spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0120)		\$39.64
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$39.64

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DMG}	\$98.43
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$98.43

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Rye, Perennial Tetraploid - Aubisque	1.00	5.67	\$1.80
Orchardgrass - Paiute	1.00	12.40	\$4.08
Crested Wheatgrass - Hy-Crest	1.00	4.59	\$3.98
Smooth Brome - Lincoln	2.00	6.66	\$6.65
Intermediate Wheatgrass - Oahe	2.00	4.27	\$5.60
Slender Wheatgrass - San Luis	1.00	3.65	\$4.25
Dahurian Wildrye	2.00	8.03	\$8.08
Totals Seed Mix	10.00	45.27	\$34.43

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		\$232.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$232.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	1.00	TON	\$421.36	\$421.36
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$421.36

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$73.00
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$73.00

JOB TIME AND COST

	No. of Acres:	2.83	Cost /Acre	: \$984.41
Estimate	d Failure Rate:	33%	Cost /Acre'	*: \$984.41
*Selected Replantin	ng Work Items:	FERTILIZING,T	ILLING,SEEDING,MU	
		LCHING		
Initial Job Cost:	\$2,785.88			
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$919.34			
Total Job Cost:	\$3,705			
Job Hours:	5.00			

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description	n: <u>Mo</u>	b/Demob of Rec	lamation Equip	ment				
The Orr Pit		Permit	Action: 2023	Inspection	ANM	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M</u>	2009074
PROJECT ID	ENTIFICATI	<u>ION</u>						
Task #: 00)6	State: C	olorado		Abbre	viation:	None	
	14/2023	County: P	ueblo		Fi	lename:	006	
User: A	NM							
Agency	or organization	n name: DRMS	5					
EQUIPMENT	TRANSPOR	T RIG COST						
					Shift ba	sis:	1 per da	v
				C	lost Data Sour		CRG Da	
Tmu	k Tractor Desc	vintion CENE	RIC ON-HIGHV			$\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}$	DIECEI	DOWEDED
1 ruo	r Tractor Desc	ripuon: GENE			(2ND HALF,		DIESEL	POWERED,
Tru	ck Trailer Desc	ription G	ENERIC FOLD			/	K FOU	PMENT
114	ek Huner Dese				25T, 50T, AN		~	
			-	TU IILLII (
Cost Breakdown:								
Available Rig	Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51+	Tons			
	p Cost/Hour:	\$15.25	\$23.06		7.58			
	g Cost/Hour:	\$25.26	\$30.83	\$5	1.41			
Operate	or Cost/Hour:	\$27.71	\$27.71	\$2	7.71			
Help	er Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$20.22	\$20.22				
	er Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$20.22	ψz	0.22			
Total Ur	it Cost/Hour:	\$68.22	\$101.82		36.92			
Total Ur								
Total Ur	it Cost/Hour:	\$68.22						
NON ROADA	it Cost/Hour: BLE EQUIP	\$68.22 MENT:	\$101.82	\$13	36.92	Return	Trip	DOT Permi
NON ROADA	it Cost/Hour: BLE EQUIPN Weight/	\$68.22 MENT: Owner ship	\$101.82 Haul Rig	\$1. Fleet	36.92 Haul Trip	Return Cost/hr		DOT Permi Cost/ fleet
NON ROADA	it Cost/Hour: BLE EQUIP! Weight/ Unit	\$68.22 MENT:	\$101.82	\$13	36.92 Haul Trip Cost/hr/			DOT Permi Cost/ fleet
NON ROADA	it Cost/Hour: BLE EQUIPN Weight/	\$68.22 MENT: Owner ship	\$101.82 Haul Rig	\$1. Fleet	36.92 Haul Trip		/ fleet	

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Power Mulcher (Bowie LD-90)	\$37.56	1	\$37.56	\$37.56
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with	\$13.99	1	\$13.99	\$13.99
Tractor				
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 3/4 T.	\$87.03	1	\$87.03	\$87.03

Subtotals:

Subtotals: \$138.58

\$421.80

\$500.00

\$205.14

\$138.58

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:	PUEBLO, CO	
Total one-way travel distance:	13.40	miles
Average Travel Speed:	50.00	mph

Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$2,842.20	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$74.28	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.27	0.27
Return Time (Hours):	0.27	0.27
Loading Time (Hours):	0.30	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.30	NA
Subtotals:	1.14	0.54

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **2.27** Hours

Total job cost: \$2,916