

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Big "G" Gravel Pit	M-2006-081	Sand and gravel	Pueblo
INSPECTION TYPE:	WEATHER: Clear	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring		February 28, 2023	11:45
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERAT	TION:
Daniel J. Gaudreault and Barbara J. Gaudreault	John Gaudreault	112c - Construction I	Regular Operation

REASON FOR INSPECTION:		BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program		Complete Bond	\$230,600.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:		POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA		None	None
INSPECTOR(S): Amber Michels Jared Ebert	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:		SIGNATURE DATE: March 10, 2023

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>N</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY <u>Y</u>	(RD) ROADS <u>N</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>N</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>Y</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION PB
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>PB</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>N</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>N</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a

<u>Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land</u> <u>Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.</u>

INSPECTION TOPIC: Revegetation

PROBLEM: Tamarisk (salt cedar) trees are present within the pit of the western Phase I area and are becoming established. This is a problem for failure to employ weed control methods for a state listed noxious weed species within the permitted area, and to reduce the spread of weeds to nearby areas as required by Section 3.1.10 (6) of the rule.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator has an approved weed control and management plan. Pursuant to this plan, tamarisk will either need to be treated chemically or by a combination of mechanical and chemical methods. According to the plan, to treat them mechanically, a bulldozer will be used to remove the tamarisk, followed by an herbicide treatment of the re-sprouts when they are one to two meters tall. To treat them chemically, the plan calls for the cut-stump method where the tamarisk will be cut to within two inches of the ground's surface and immediately (within one minute) treated with herbicide on the perimeters of the cut stumps. Alternatively, in areas that have little to no desirable shrubs and trees, the tamarisk can be treated with applications of Arsenal or Arsenal plus Roundup (the optimum treatment times being late summer/early fall). The chosen weed control plan shall be implemented and photo evidence showing the eradication of the noxious weeds from the infected area shall be submitted to the Division by the corrective action date. **CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 9/30/23

INSPECTION TOPIC: Signs & Markers

PROBLEM: The affected area boundary markers for the eastern Phase I area are missing. This is a problem for failure to maintain boundary markers around the affected area as required by Section 3.1.12(2) of the rule. **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The operator shall conduct a survey and place boundary markers identifying the affected land boundary/eastern Phase I boundary. The operator shall provide proof to the Division that this has been done by the corrective action date.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 4/09/23

OBSERVATIONS

The Big "G" Gravel Pit was inspected on February 28, 2023 by Amber Michels and Jared Ebert with the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division/DRMS). The inspection was conducted as a normal monitoring inspection. John Gaudreault represented the operators (his parents Daniel J. and Barbara J. Gaudreault), and two representatives from APC Southern were also present. The weather was clear.

The Big "G" Gravel Pit is a Construction Material Regular 112c Operation Reclamation Permit and is permitted for 217.2 acres and is approved to affect 76.2 acres of land. Affected lands will be reclaimed to support rangeland post-mining land use. The site is located nine miles east-southeast of Avondale, Colorado. The Division met John Gaudreault and representatives of APC Southern at the mine site entrance on the north side US Highway 50.

Financial Warranty:

The financial warranty currently held by the Division is in the amount of \$230,600. The Division has conducted a reclamation cost estimate reflective of the site's current conditions and the approved mining and reclamation plan and has determined that the financial warranty is deficient. The updated cost estimate for the site is \$297,700 (an increase of \$67,100). An updated cost estimate summary is enclosed with this report. The Operator(s) will have until March 24, 2023 to respond with any questions regarding the estimated costs. If no questions are received, the Division will issue a surety increase for the difference. The Operator(s) will have 60 days from the date of the notice to submit and obtain acceptance of the increase from the Division.

Hydrologic Balance:

The Division noted the proximity of the north boundary of the eastern Phase I area as being very close to the Rocky Ford Highline Canal. The Operator(s) were advised to use caution upon reclamation of the eastern Phase I area to avoid causing offsite damage and to avoid upsetting the hydrologic balance.

Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan:

The operation is being conducted in compliance with the approved mining and reclamation plan. Although no active mining has occurred on the site since 2022, product is periodically being sold and removed from the site. During the inspection, the length of the two currently constructed highwalls were collected using GPS (see inspection map).

Processing Waste:

In the approved reclamation plan, the Operator(s) stated that unsold processed material and/or reject material may be used as backfill. During the inspection, asphalt was observed in product stockpiles. While asphalt is acceptable to be mixed in with product, the Division reminds the Operator(s) that this material is not approved for use as backfill at this site.

Revegetation:

A problem has been cited for the establishment of the state listed noxious weed, tamarisk. On the north side of the western-most highwall, tamarisk was observed. Currently, the approved reclamation plan states that if noxious weeds were to invade the site, a weed control program will be implemented. Once the Operator(s) has implemented one of the options mentioned above in their weed control management plan, they will need to provide photo evidence to the Division that indicates the weed control plan has been implemented and the noxious weeds have been eradicated.

Sediment Control:

Along the northern boundary in the western Phase I area, earthen berms were created to protect the nearby Rocky Ford Highline Canal from sediment run-off created on site. There were a few areas along the berm that have been eroded on top that need to be re-stabilized (see photos).

Signs and Markers:

A problem has been cited above for failure to maintain boundary markers. There are excellent boundary markers encompassing the western Phase I boundary, but they are absent around the eastern Phase I boundary. The Operator(s) shall submit evidence to the Division that this has been complied with.

During the inspection, the Division collected GPS coordinates of each boundary marker (see the inspection map). An old T post was located by the Operator near the eastern Phase I boundary and the coordinates were collected. However, upon review it is unclear what, if any, current boundaries this marker delineates.

Prior to the inspection, Mr. Gaudreault called and informed the Division that the mine sign posted at the entrance of the access road had been stolen. Upon arrival at the site, Mr. Gaudreault stated that a new sign was almost done being made and that it would be posted as soon as possible. On March 5, 2023, Mr. Gaudreault provided the Division with photo evidence that this has been complied with (see photos).

Topsoil:

Topsoil piles were observed in the location indicated on the mining plan map. They appeared to be stabilized and separated from mining activities.

This concludes the Division's Inspection Report; a subset of photographs taken during the time of the inspection are included below. If you need additional information or have any questions, please contact me by email at <u>amber.michels@state.co.us</u> or by telephone at (720) 836-0967.

Inspection Contact Address

John Gaudreault Daniel J. Gaudreault and Barbara J. Gaudreault PO Box 1474 Elizabeth, CO 80017

Enclosure: DRMS Reclamation Cost Estimate

CC: Jared Ebert, DRMS

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: Looking north at northern border of western Phase 1 at eroded berm



Photo 2: Looking north-west at northern border of western Phase 1 at eroded berm



Photo 3: Looking north at northern border of western Phase 1 at eroded berm



Photo 4: Looking east along northern border of western Phase 1



Photo 5: Looking east at product stockpiles in western Phase 1 area



Photo 6: Looking south at product stockpiles in western Phase 1 area



Photo 7: Looking south-east at working area and internal haul roads in western Phase 1 area



Photo 8: Looking south across working pit in the western Phase 1 area at the east and west highwalls



Photo 8: Looking south-east in the western Phase 1 area at the west highwall



Photo 9: Looking west across working pit in the western Phase 1 area at the product stockpiles



Photo 10: Looking south-west in the western Phase 1 area at the west highwall from the top of the east highwall



Photo 11: Looking south in the western Phase 1 area at the west highwall from the top of the east highwall



Photo 12: Looking east in the western Phase 1 area at the east highwall



Photo 13: Looking north-east in the western Phase 1 area at the east highwall



Photo 14: Looking south west in the western Phase I area at the west highwall



Photo 15: Looking south west in the western Phase I area at the establishing tamarisk along the west highwall



Photo 16: Looking east in the western Phase I area at the establishing tamarisk along the west highwall



Photo 17: Looking south-west near the eastern border of the western Phase 1 area at the stabilized and vegetated topsoil stockpiles



Photo 18: Looking west near the eastern border of the western Phase 1 area at the working pit



Photo 19: Looking south near the eastern border of the eastern Phase 1 area



Photo 20: Looking west near the north-eastern corner of the eastern Phase 1 area



Photo 21: Looking west at the north edge of the eastern Phase 1 border that meets the Rocky Ford Highline Canal



Photo 22: Looking west at the north edge of the eastern Phase 1 border that meets the Rocky Ford Highline Canal



Photo 23: Looking west at the north edge of the eastern Phase 1 border that meets the Rocky Ford Highline Canal from the top of the slope



Photo 24: Looking east along the north slopes of the eastern Phase 1 border



Photo 25: New entrance mine sign posted (photo from John Gaudreault)



Map 1: Inspection map showing GPS points collected of the boundary markers for the western Phase I boundary, the unknown marker collected, the extent of the highwalls, and the permit boundary.

COST SUMMARY WORK

		ANM 23 Inspection	Permit/Jol	b#: M2006081
PROJECT IDENTIFIC	ATION			
Task #: 000 Date: 3/8/2023	State:ColoradoCounty:Pueblo		Abbreviation: Filename:	None Feb 2023
User: ANM				Inspection

Teck		Form	Fleet	Task	
1 85K	Description	Used	Size	Hours	Cost
001	Regrade Mining Faces	DOZER	1	4.69	\$1,300
002	Minor Grading on Mining Areas	GRADER	1	25.17	\$6,302
003	Rip Process Area	RIPPER	1	9.16	\$2,593
004	Rip Haul Roads	RIPPER	1	4.20	\$1,208
005	Replace Subsoil & Topsoil	SCRAPER1	1	46.45	\$77,116
006	Replace Topsoil on Haul Roads	DOZER	1	7.33	\$2,034
007	Revegetate the Site	REVEGE	1	76.50	\$129,402
008	Revegetate the Haul Roads	REVEGE	1	3.10	\$5,244
009	Mob/Demob Reclamation Equipment	MOBILIZE	1	4.40	\$9,086
		SUDTO	TAIS.	181	\$234.285
		SUBIC	TALS:		* -)

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$4,733
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$2,460
Job superintendent:	94.91	Total =	\$7,131
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$23,428
		TOTAL O & $P =$	\$37,752
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) = $($	\$272,037

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs):	\$500	Total =	\$500
Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	4.25	Total =	\$11,562
Reclamation management and/or administration:	5.00		\$13,602
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
	TOTA	AL INDIRECT COST =	\$63,415
TOTAL BO	ND AMOUN	T (direct + indirect) =	\$297,700

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Regrade Mining Fa	aces			
te: Big "G" Gravel Pit	Perm	it Action:	ANM 23 Inspection	Permit/Jo	b#: M2006081
PROJECT IDENTIFI	<u>CATION</u>				
Task #: 001	State: (Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: $3/8/2023$	County: H	Pueblo		Filename:	Feb 2023
					Inspection
User: ANM					
Agency or organ	nization name: DRM	S			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST				
Basic Machine: Ca	t D8T - 8SU				
Horsepower: 310	0		_		
Blade Type: Ser	mi-Universal		_		
Attachment: 3-s	hank ripper		_		
Shift Basis: 1 n	ber dav		_		
Data Source: (Cl	RG)		_		
Cost Breakdown:		1			
	A	124.95	Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		0124.85	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:		\$97.63	100		
Ripper own.		\$13.10	NA		
Ripper on Cost/Hour:		\$1.83	25		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$1.05	25		
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,50 Swell factor: 1.33	<u>ITIES</u> 00 00				
Loose volume: 3,32	25 LCY				
Source of estimated volu	me: DRMS (130	0' x 20' @	22:1 to 3:1)		
Source of estimated swe factor:	ll Cat Handbo	ok			
HOURLY PRODUCT	<u>'ION</u>				
Average nush distance.	60 feet				
Unadjusted hourly	1 246 9 I CV/	hr			
production:	1,210.7 2017				
ı					
Materials consistency de	escription: Compacte	d fill or er	nbankment 0.9		
Average push	-30 %				
gradient:		_			
Average site altitude:	4,450 feet	-			
Material weight:	2,900 lbs/LCY			_	
Weight description:	Decomposed rock - :	50% Rock,	, 50% Earth		
Job Condition Correction	Factor		Source		

Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	0.900	(CAT HB))
Dozing method:	1.000	(GEN.)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.601	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.5690

Adjusted unit production:	709.49 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	709.49 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.391/LCY

Total job time:	4.69 Hours
Total job cost:	\$1,300

MOTOR GRADER WORK

Task description:	Minor Grading	on Mining A	Areas			
te: Big "G" Gravel Pit	Pe	ermit Action:	ANM 23 In	spection	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M2006081</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION					
Task #: 002 Date: 3/8/2023	State: County:	Colorado Pueblo		Abb	reviation: Filename:	None Feb 2023 Inspection
User: ANM						1
Agency or organ	nization name:	RMS				
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST					
Basic Machine	e: CAT 14M			Horsepower:		259
Ripper Attachmen	t: Multi-Shank Ri	ipper	_	Shift Basis: Data Source:		oer day CRG)
Cost Breakdown:				Litilization 0/		
Owner	rship Cost/Hour:		\$114.80	NA		
Operation	ating Cost/Hour:		\$79.39	100	_	
Ripper Owner	rship Cost/Hour:		\$5.34	NA	_	
Ripper Oper	ating Cost/Hour:		\$3.98	100 NA	_	
Total	Unit Cost/Hour:		\$40.87	NA	_	
Total	Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$251	1 38			
Total		\$230				
MATERIAL QUANT	<u>ITIES</u>					
Total Area	to be graded or rippe	ed: 70.50				acres
Source	e of estimated acreag	ge: Appl: 7	76.5 acres - 6 a	acre process area		
	TION			•		
HOUKLI FRODUCI		1	2.25	1		
	Average Grader Sp	beed:	3.25 Heava	mph	(h) = 3.25	
	Selected Blade Ar	ngle:	45	degree	s	
	Effective Blade Ler	ngth:	9.90	feet		
Width o	of blade overlap per j	pass:	2.00	feet		
Net grading o	or ripping width per J	pass:	7.90	feet		
Unadjusted	Hourly Unit Produc	tion:	3.1121	acres/h	our	
Job Condition Correction	Factors		ŝ	Site Altitude: <u>445</u>	<u>50</u> feet	
		Source				
Altitude Adj:	1.00	(CAT HI)	3)			
Job Efficiency:	0.90	(1sh/d, fay	v.)			
	0.2000	multiplier				
Ac	ljusted Hourly Unit	Production:	2.8009	acres/Hou	r	
Ad	Justed Hourly Fleet	Production:	2.8009	acres/Hou	r	
JOB TIME AND COS	<u>5T</u>					
Fleet size:	Grader(s))	Total job time	e: 25.1	17	Hours
Unit cost:\$89).39 per acre		Total job cos	st: <u>\$6</u> ,3	02	_

BULLDOZER RIPPING WORK

Task description:	: _!	RIP Process A	Area						
ite: Big "G" Grav	el Pit		Permit Action:	ANM 23 Ir	nspection	Permit/Jo	ob#:	M20060	81
PROJECT IDE	NTIFICA	TION							
Task #: 003	3	State	e: Colorado		Abb	reviation:	Non	e	
Date: 3/8	/2023	County	y: Pueblo]	Filename:	Feb	2023	
							Insp	ection	
User: AN	JM								
Agency	or organiza	tion name:	DRMS						
HOURLY EQU	IPMENT	COST							
Basic I	Machine:	Cat D8T - 8S	SU		Horsepower:		310		
Ripper Atta	achment:	3-Shank Ripp	per	_	Shift Basis:	1	per day	7	
					Data Source:	(CRG)		
Cost Breakdown:					I				
	0			¢104.05	Utilization %				
	Ownershi	p Cost/Hour:		\$124.85	100	_			
Rinne	r Ownershi	p Cost/Hour		\$13.10	NA	_			
Rippe	er Operatin	g Cost/Hour:		\$7.30	100	_			
11	Operato	or Cost/Hour:		\$40.04	NA	_			
	Total Un	it Cost/Hour:		\$282.92					
	Total Flee	et Cost/Hour	\$787	92					
	101011100		φ 202	., _	-				
MATERIAL O	UANTITI	ES	Selec	ted estimatin	a method · Are	` a			
MATERIAL Q	<u>UANTITI</u> <u>»:</u>	<u>(ES</u>	Selec	ted estimatin	g method: <u>Are</u>	ea			
MATERIAL Q	<u>UANTITI</u> <u>»:</u> 	<u>IES</u>	Selec Bank Volume:	$\frac{NA}{1.00}$	g method: <u>Are</u> BCY	ea	N	A	
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00	<u>UANTITI</u> <u>3:</u> acres	(<u>ES</u> s]	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft):	$\frac{NA}{1.00}$	g method:Are BCY Volume:	9,680	N	A	BCY or 0
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00	<u>UANTITI</u> <u></u> acres Source of 6	(ES s] estimated quar	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u>	NA 1.00	ng method:Are BCY Volume:	9,680	N	A	BCY or 0
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO	UANTITI acres Source of c DUCTIO	I <u>ES</u> s] estimated quar	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u>	NA 1.00	ng method:Are BCY Volume:	9,680	N	A	BCY or C
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic:	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of a DUCTIO	I <u>ES</u> s] estimated quar P <u>N</u>	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u>	NA 1.00	g method: <u>Are</u> BCY Volume:	9,680	N.	A	BCY or 0
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods aic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic:	UANTITI <u></u> acres Source of 6 <u>DUCTIO</u>	I <u>ES</u> s I estimated quar D <u>N</u> Seismic Ve	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity:	NA 1.00 NA	ng method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec	2012 9,680 cond	N.	A	BCY or 0
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods aic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area:	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of a DUCTIO	(ES s] estimated quar P <u>N</u> Seismic Ve	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity:	NA NA NA	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec	9,680	N	A	BCY or 0
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area:	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of (DUCTIO Ave	ES estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: Depth:	<u>NA</u> 1.00 NA 2.56	ng method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec	20 9,680 cond ss	N.	A	BCY or 0
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods aic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area:	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of (DUCTIO Ave Ave	ES estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping erage Ripping	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: Depth: Width:	NA NA NA 2.56 7.08	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: feet/pa:	cond ss ss	N.	A	BCY or 0
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods aic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area:	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of a DUCTIO Ave Ave	ES estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping erage Ripping I rage Ripping I	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: Depth: Width: Length:	NA NA NA 2.56 7.08 250.00	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/pa:	29,680 9,680 cond ss ss ss	N	A	BCY or (
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area:	UANTITI <u>s:</u> Source of a DUCTIO Ave Ave Ave Aver Aver	ES estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping erage Ripping I verage Dozer	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: Bepth: Width: Length: Speed:	NA NA <u>NA</u> <u>NA</u> <u>2.56</u> 7.08 <u>250.00</u> <u>88.00</u> 0.25	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/minute	cond ss ss nute s/pass	N	A	BCY or (
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods aic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area:	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of a DUCTIO Ave Ave Ave Aver Pro	ES estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping erage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: elocity: Uepth: Width: Speed: r Time: nti area:	NA NA <u>1.00</u> NA <u>2.56</u> 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/mi feet/mi	cond ss ss nute s/pass our	N	A	BCY or (
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area: Job Condition Cor	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of a DUCTIO DUCTIO Aven Aven Aven Proo rection Fac	ES Estimated quar Seismic Va Seismic Va erage Ripping rage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un etors	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: elocity: Uepth: Width: Length: Speed: r Time: nt area:	NA NA 1.00 NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/mi feet/mi acres/h	cond ss ss ss nute s/pass iour	N	A	BCY or (
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area: Job Condition Cor Una	UANTITI <u>s:</u> Source of (DUCTIO DUCTIO Ave Ave Aver Prod <u>rection Fac</u> djusted Hou	ES estimated quar Seismic Va Seismic Va erage Ripping rage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un etors urly Unit Prod	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: elocity: Depth: Width: Speed: f Time: nt area: duction:	NA NA 1.00 NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789 0.789	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa:	cond ss ss ss nute s/pass our	N.	A	BCY or 0
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods aic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area: Job Condition Cor Una	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of a DUCTIO DUCTIO Aven Aven Aven Aven Proo rection Fac djusted Hou	ES s I estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping rage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un <u>etors</u> urly Unit Prod Site A	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity:	NA NA 1.00 NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789 0.789 4.450	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/mi feet/mi acres/h	ea 9,680 cond ss ss ss nute s/pass iour hr	N	A	BCY or (
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area: Job Condition Cor Una	UANTITI <u>s:</u> Source of (DUCTIO DUCTIO Aver Aver Aver Prod <u>rection Fac</u> djusted Hor	ES estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping rage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un <u>etors</u> urly Unit Prod Site A Altitud	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> vidth: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> fr Time: <u></u> nit area: <u></u> hit area: <u></u> luction: <u></u> de Adi: <u></u>	NA NA NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789 0.789 4,450 1.00	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: 	cond ss ss ss nute s/pass our hr HB)	N	A	BCY or (
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area: Job Condition Cor Una	UANTITI <u>s:</u> Source of a DUCTIO DUCTIO Ave Ave Ave Aver Prod <u>rection Fac</u> djusted Hou	ES estimated quar N Seismic Va erage Ripping rage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un etors urly Unit Prod Site A Altitua Job Effic	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> width: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> r Time: <u></u> nit area: <u></u> huction: <u></u> luction: <u></u> ltitude: <u></u> ciency: <u></u>	NA NA NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789 4,450 1.00 0.83	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: feet_pa: 	ea 9,680 cond ss ss ss ss nute s/pass our hr HB) t/day)	N	A	BCY or 0
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods aic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area: Job Condition Cor Una	UANTITI <u>s:</u> <u>acres</u> Source of a DUCTIO DUCTIO Ave Ave Ave Aver Prod <u>rection Fac</u> djusted Hor	ES estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping rage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un tors urly Unit Prod Site A Altitud Job Effi Net Corr	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> delocity: <u></u> Width: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> it area: <u></u> hit area: <u></u> luction: <u></u> ltitude: <u></u> ciency: <u></u> rection: <u></u>	NA NA NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789 0.789 4,450 1.00 0.83 0.83	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/pa: feet/mi	ea 9,680 9,680 cond ss ss ss inute s/pass iour hr HB) t/day) lier	N	A	BCY or (
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods nic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area: Job Condition Cor Una	UANTITI s: Source of (DUCTIO DUCTIO Ave Ave Aver Prod rection Fac djusted How	ES estimated quar Seismic Ve erage Ripping erage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un etors urly Unit Prod Site A Altitud Job Effi- Net Corr	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> delocity: <u></u> width: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> it area: <u></u> hit area: <u></u> hit area: <u></u> it area: <u></u> hit area: <u></u>	NA NA 1.00 NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789 0.789 4,450 1.00 0.83 0.83 0.65	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa:	ea 9,680 cond ss ss ss nute s/pass our hr HB) t/day) lier		A	BCY or (
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods aic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area: Job Condition Cor Una	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of a DUCTIO DUCTIO Aven Aven Aven Proo rection Fac djusted Hou Adjus Adjus	ES s I estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping rage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un etors urly Unit Prod Site A Altitue Job Effic Net Corr eted Hourly Urit ted Hourly Fle	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> width: <u></u> Width: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> r Time: <u></u> it area: <u></u> hit area: <u></u> luction: <u></u> ltitude: <u></u> ciency: <u></u> rection: <u></u> nit Production: eet Production:	NA NA NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789 4,450 1.00 0.83 0.83 0.65 0.65 0.65	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: fe	ea 9,680 cond ss ss ss nute s/pass our hr HB) (day) lier	N	A	BCY or (
MATERIAL QI Alternate Methods aic: NA ea: 6.00 HOURLY PRO Seismic: Area: Job Condition Cor Una	UANTITI <u>s:</u> <u>acres</u> Source of a <u>DUCTIO</u> <u>DUCTIO</u> <u>DUCTIO</u> <u>Ave</u> Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave	ES s I estimated quar N Seismic Ve erage Ripping rage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un tors urly Unit Prod Site A Altitue Job Effe Net Corr ted Hourly Unit	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> delocity: <u></u> Width: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> Time: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> Time: <u></u> it area: <u></u> hit area: <u></u> de Adj: <u></u> ciency: <u></u> rection: <u></u> nit Production: set Production:	NA 1.00 NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789 4,450 1.00 0.83 0.65 0.65	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: 	ea 9,680 cond ss ss ss inute s/pass iour hr HB) t/day) lier	N	A	BCY or (
MATERIAL Q Alternate Methods alternate Methods a	UANTITI <u>s:</u> acres Source of a DUCTIO DUCTIO Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave	ES s I estimated quar Seismic Vo erage Ripping rage Ripping I verage Dozer rage Maneuver duction per un etors urly Unit Prod Site A Altitud Job Effi- Net Corr eted Hourly Unit ted Hourly Fle	Selec Bank Volume: Rip Depth (ft): ntity: <u>DRMS</u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> elocity: <u></u> delocity: <u></u> Width: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> Speed: <u></u> Time: <u></u> it area: <u></u> hit area: <u></u> hit area: <u></u> eiency: <u></u> rection: <u></u> nit Production: bet Production:	NA 1.00 NA 2.56 7.08 250.00 88.00 0.25 0.789 4,450 1.00 0.83 0.83 0.65 0.65	g method:Are BCY Volume: feet/sec feet/pa: 	ea 9,680 cond ss ss ss nute s/pass our hr HB) t/day) lier	N	A	BCY or (

т 1	· · .	
	nit	cost
\mathbf{U}	mu	cost.

\$432.151 Per acre

Total job cost:

\$2,593

BULLDOZER RIPPING WORK

Task description	: <u>Rip</u>	Haul Roads					
Site: Big "G" Gra	vel Pit	Permit Actio	on: <u>ANM 23 Ins</u>	spection	Permit/Job#	4: <u>M20060</u>	81
PROJECT IDE	NTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #: 00	4	State: Colorad	lo	Abbre	viation:	None	
Date: 3/2	0/2023	County: Pueblo		Fi	lename:	M081-004	
User: Al	NM						
Agency	or organizatior	name: DRMS					
HOURLY EQU	JIPMENT C	<u>OST</u>					
Basic	Machine: Ca	at D8T - 8SU		Horsepower:	31	0	
Ripper Att	achment: <u>1-</u>	Shank Ripper		Shift Basis:	1 per	day	
				Data Source:	(CR	(0)	
Cost Breakdown:				Utilization %			
	Ownership C	ost/Hour:	\$124.85	NA			
	Operating C	ost/Hour:	\$97.63	100			
Rippe	er Ownership C	ost/Hour:	\$16.38	<u>NA</u>			
Кірр	Operating C Operator C	ost/Hour:	\$8.00	 NA			
	Total Unit C	ost/Hour:	\$287.50				
	Total Fleet C	ost/Hour:	287 50				
	i otal i leet e	030/110ur	207.30				
	Source of esti	mated quantity:	MS: 6,275' x 15'				
HOURLY PRO	<u>DUCTION</u>						
Seismic:		Saismia Valocity:	ΝA	faat/saco	nd		
		Seisinie Veloeity.	INA		'llu		
<u>Area:</u>	Avera	e Rinning Denth	3 71	feet/nass			
	Averag	e Ripping Width:	5.56	feet/pass			
	Average	e Ripping Length:	250.00	feet/pass			
	Aver	age Dozer Speed:	88.00	feet/min	ute		
	Average Produc	tion per unit area:	0.25	minutes/	pass ur		
Job Condition Co	rrection Factors		0.017				
Un	diusted Hourly	Unit Production:	0.619	Acres/hr			
Cin	ujubicu 110 ulij	Sita Altituda:	4.450	faat			
		Altitude Adi:	1.00	(CAT H	B)		
		Job Efficiency:	0.83	(1 shift/c	lay)		
		Net Correction:	0.83	multiplie	er		
	Adjusted Adjusted	Hourly Unit Productio Hourly Fleet Productio	n: 0.51 n: 0.51	Acres/hr Acres/hr			
JOB TIME AN	D COST						
Fleet size:	1		T (1 1 1 (4	20		
	1	_ Grader(s)	l otal job tim	4 de:	.20	Hours	

SCRAPER TEAM WORK

Site: Big "G" Gravel P	it P	ermit Actior	n: ANM 23 Insp	ection I	Permit/Job#: <u>M2</u>	.006081
PROJECT IDENTI	FICATION					
T 1 // 005	<u> </u>			411	NI	
Date: $\frac{1003}{3/10/20}$	23 County:	Pueblo)	Addrev Fil	ename: M081-	005
User: ANM	<u></u> county:					
Agency or or	ganization name:	RMS				
HOURLY EQUIPM	<u>1ENT</u>		COSTS	Shift basis: <u>1 per</u>	<u>day</u>	
		Equipm	ent Description			
	-Scrape	er: Cat 63	7G			
	-Doze	er: NA				
Support	-Dump Are	a: NA	3T - 8SU			
Road Main	tenance – Motor Grade	er: CAT 1	4M			
	-Water Truc	k: NA				
Cost Breakdown:	Scraper Work Te	am	Support Equ	ipment	Maintenanc	e Equip
	Scraper	Dozer	Load Area	Dump Area	Motor Grader	Water
%Utilization-machine:	100	NA	NA	50	50	
Ownership cost/hour:	\$264.49	NA	NA	\$124.85	\$114.80	
Operating cost/hour:	\$296.10	NA	NA	\$48.82	\$39.70	
%Utilization-ripper:	NA	NA	NA	100	100	
Ripper own. cost/hour:	NA	NA	NA	\$13.10	\$5.34	
Ripper op. cost/hour:	NA	NA	NA	\$7.30	\$3.98	
Operator cost/hour:	\$47.07	NA	NA	\$40.04	\$46.87	
Unit Subtotals:	\$607.66	NA	NA	\$234.10	\$210.69	
Number of Units:	2	0	0	1	1	
Group Subtotals:	Work: \$	1,215.32	Support:	\$234.10	Maint:	\$21
Total work team cost/h	our: \$1,660.11					
<u>MATERIAL QUAN</u>	<u>NTITIES</u>					
Initial volume:	61,710	CCY	Swell fact	tor: <u>1.115</u>		
Loose volume:	68,807	LCY				
Source of	e of estimated volume	: Appl: 1	"-6" of Topsoil. S	ame for Overbur	den	
Source of	estimated swell factor		IUUUUK			
	CTION					

Material description: Earth - Loam

Rated Payload:81,600 poundsPayload Capacity:38.86 LCY

Struck Volume:	24.00	LCY
Heaped Volume:	34.00	LCY
Average Volume:	29.00	LCY
Adjusted Capacity:	29.00	LCY

Cycle Time:

Scraper Loading Time: Maneuver and Spread Time:

 $\frac{0.80}{0.60}$ Minutes

Job Condition Correction:

	Scraper	Push Dozer	Source
Altitude Adj:	1.000	NA	(CAT HB)
Job Efficiency:	0.830	NA	(CAT HB)
	0.920		
Net Correction:	0.830	NA	

Travel Time:

Road Condition: <u>Rutted dirt</u>, little maintenance, no water, 2" tire penetration 5.0

Haul Route:

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	350.00	-5.00	5.00	0.00	2965	0.27

Haul Time: 0.27 minutes

Return Route:

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade	Roll. Res	Total Res	Velocity	Travel Time
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(fpm)	(min)
1	350.00	5.00	5.00	10.00	1476	0.28
				Return Time:	0.28	minutes
			Total Scraper t	eam cycle time:	1.95	minutes
			Adjusted for	job conditions:	740.62	LCY/Hour
			Selected Num	ber of Scrapers:	2	Scraper(s)
	Adjusted s	ingle scrape	r team (unit) ho	urly production:	1,481.23	LCY/Hour
	Adjusted mul	tiple scraper	team (fleet) how	arly production:	1,481.23	LCY/Hour
(Unadjusted unit prod Optimal Number of Scrap	uction/hour: ers per push dozer:	892.31	LCY/Hour		
JOB TI	ME AND COST					
Flee	et size: 1	Team(s)	Tot	al job time:	46.45	Hours
Uni	it cost: \$1.121	/LCY	То	tal job cost:	\$77,116	

Site Altitude: 4450 feet

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Replace Topsoil	on Haul Roa	nds		
te: Big "G" Gravel Pit	Pe	rmit Action:	ANM 23 Inspection	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M2006081</u>
PROJECT IDENTIF	ICATION				
Task #: 006 Date: <u>3/10/2023</u> User: ANM	State: County:	Colorado Pueblo		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M081-006
Agency or orga	nization name:	RMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	ENT COST				
Basic Machine:CaHorsepower:31Blade Type:SeAttachment:3-sShift Basis:1Data Source:(C	t D8T - 8SU 0 mi-Universal shank ripper ber day RG)				
Cost Breakdown:			Litilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$124.85	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:		\$97.63	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$13.10	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$1.83	25		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$40.04	NA		
MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,50 Swell factor: 1.1 Loose volume: 2,73	<u>5277.44</u> <u>TTIES</u> 01 15 89 LCY				
Source of estimated vol	ume: DRMS: 6	5" on 3.1 acres	5		
Source of estimated swe factor:	ell Cat Hand	lbook			
HOURLY PRODUCT	<u>FION</u>				
Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production:	150 feet 634.3 LCY	/hr			
Materials consistency description:	Partly	consolidated s	tockpile 1.1		
Average push gradient:	0 %				
Average site altitude:	4,430 Ieet				
Material weight:	2,100 lbs/LCY			_	
Weight description:	Earth - Loam				

Job Condition Correction Factor		Source
Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	1.100	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.000	(GEN.)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	1.095	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.5998

Adjusted unit production:	380.45 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	380.45 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.729/LCY

Total job time:	7.33 Hours
Total job cost:	\$2,034

REVEGETATION WORK

Big "G"	Gravel Pit	Perr	nit Action:	ANM 23 Inspection	Permit/Job	#: <u>M2006081</u>
<u>ROJECT</u>	IDENTIFIC	CATION				
Task #:	007	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date:	3/10/2023	County:	Pueblo		Filename:	M081-007
	ANM					

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Ammonium nitrate, 33-0-0	121.20	pound	\$0.37	\$44.84
Triple superphosphate, 0-46-0	87.00	pound	\$0.47	\$40.89
			Total Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$85.73

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Tractor towed spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0120)		\$39.64
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$39.64

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DMG}	\$98.43
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$117.18
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$215.61

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Switchgrass - Blackwell	0.70	6.25	\$8.05
Blue Grama - Lovington	0.50	8.16	\$7.99
Sand Dropseed	0.05	5.97	\$0.49
Little Bluestem - Pastura	1.10	6.57	\$14.83
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	4.10	13.46	\$34.34
Totals Seed Mix	6.45	40.41	\$65.69

Application

Description

Cost /Acre

Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)	\$232.00

Total Seed Application Cost/Acre \$232.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$421.36	\$842.72
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$842.72

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$73.00
Weed spray, truck, non-aquatic area, nox. [DMG]		\$62.72
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$135.72

JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	76.5	Cost /Acre:	\$1,617.11
Estimated Failure Rate:	25%	Cost /Acre*:	\$297.69
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	SEEDING		
Initial Job Cost: \$123,708.92			
Reseeding Job Cost: \$5,693.32			
Total Job Cost: \$129,402			
Job Hours: 76.50			

REVEGETATION WORK

T	ask descrij	otion:	Revegetate the l	Haul Roads				
Site:	Big "G"	Gravel Pit	Pe	rmit Action:	ANM 23 Inspection	Permit/Jo	b#: M2006081	
PR	<u>ROJECT</u>	IDENTIFIC	CATION					
	Task #:	008	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None	
	Date:	3/10/2023	County:	Pueblo		Filename:	M081-008	
	User:	ANM						
	Age	ency or organiz	zation name:	RMS				

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Ammonium nitrate, 33-0-0	121.20	pound	\$0.37	\$44.84
Triple superphosphate, 0-46-0	87.00	pound	\$0.47	\$40.89
			Total Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$85.73

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Tractor towed spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0120)		\$39.64
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$39.64

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DMG}	\$98.43
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$117.18
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$215.61

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Switchgrass - Blackwell	0.70	6.25	\$8.05
Blue Grama - Lovington	0.50	8.16	\$7.99
Sand Dropseed	0.05	5.97	\$0.49
Little Bluestem - Pastura	1.10	6.57	\$14.83
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	4.10	13.46	\$34.34
Totals Seed Mix	6.45	40.41	\$65.69

Application

Descri	ption	
Descri	ption	

Cost /Acre

Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)	\$232.00
Total Seed Appl	ication Cost/Acre \$232.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$421.36	\$842.72
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$842.72

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$73.00
Weed spray, truck, non-aquatic area, nox. [DMG]		\$62.72
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$135.72

JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	3.1	Cost /Acre:	\$1,617.11
Estimated Failure Rate:	25%	Cost /Acre*:	\$297.69
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	SEEDING		

Initial Job Cost:	\$5,013.04
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$230.71
Total Job Cost:	\$5,244
Job Hours:	3.10

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description:	Mo	b/Demob Reclam	nation Equipm	ent			
Big "G" Grave	el Pit	Permit	Action: <u>ANN</u>	A 23 Inspec	tion	Permit/Job#: <u>N</u>	/12006081
PROJECT IDE	NTIFICATI	ON					
Task #: 009		State: Co	olorado		Abbre	viation: None	
Date: 3/10	0/2023	County: Pu	eblo		Filename: M081-009		
User: AN	М						
Agency o	r organization	n name: DRMS					
EQUIPMENT T	RANSPOR	T RIG COST					
					Shift ba	sis [.] 1 per d	av
				С	lost Data Sou	ce: CRG D	ata
T 1	T (D						
Truck	Tractor Desc	ription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH	WAY TRU	CK TRACIC	DR, 6X4, DIESE	L POWERED,
T 1	т 11 р	:		400 HP	(2ND HALF,	2006)	
Iruck	Trailer Desc	ription: G	ENERIC FOLL	JING GOU	SENECK, DE	ID 100T)	IPMENI
				I KAILEK (231, 301, Al	ND 1001)	
Cost Breakdown:							
Available Rig Ca	apacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51+	Tons		
Ownership	Cost/Hour:	\$15.25	\$23.06	\$3	7.58		
Operating	Cost/Hour:	\$25.26	\$30.83	\$5	1.41		
Óperator	Cost/Hour:	\$27.71	\$27.71	\$2	7.71		
Helper	Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$20.22	\$2	0.22		
Total Unit	Cost/Hour:	\$68.22	\$101.82	\$13	36.92		
NON ROADAB	LE EQUIPN	MENT:					
Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return Trip	DOT Perm
Description	Unit	Cost/hr/ unit	Cost/hr/unit	Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/ fleet
	(TONS)				fleet		
Cat D8T - 8SU	47.71	\$124.85	\$101.82	1	\$226.67	\$101.82	\$250.00
CAT 14M	23.57	\$114.80	\$68.22	1	\$183.02	\$68.22	\$250.00
Cat 637G	57.28	\$264.49	\$136.92	2	\$802.82	\$273.84	\$500.00
Seeder with	25.00	\$6.25	\$68.22	1	\$/4.4/	\$68.22	\$250.00
Power Mulcher	6.00	\$14 79	\$68.22	1	\$83.01	\$68.22	\$250.00
(Bowie LD-90)	0.00	ψ1, γ	\$00.22	•	Q02.01	\$00 .22	¢200.00
Drill/Broadcast	25.00	\$6.25	\$68.22	1	\$74.47	\$68.22	\$250.00
Seeder with							
Seeder with Tractor							

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 3/4 T.	\$87.03	1	\$87.03	\$87.03
Fuel Tanker, 4x2, 170 HP	\$30.60	1	\$30.60	\$30.60
Lube Truck, 4x2, 190 HP	\$37.28	1	\$37.28	\$37.28

Subtotals: [\$154.91	\$154.91
Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:	PUEBLO	
Total one-way travel distance:	30.00	miles
Average Travel Speed:	50.00	mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$8,900.52	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$185.89	

Task # 001

Transportation Cycle Time:

Bulldozer Worksheet Cont'd

	Non-	
	Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.60	0.60
Return Time (Hours):	0.60	0.60
Loading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Subtotals:	2.20	1.20

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time:	4.40	Hours

Page 17 of 17

Total job cost: \$9,086