

TR Revision: TR-5, Phil Sheridan Lode, M1988-081

Janice Wheeler < janice.wheeler479@gmail.com>

Sat, Mar 4, 2023 at 11:12 AM

To: "Lennberg - DNR, Patrick" <patrick.lennberg@state.co.us>

Cc: Dennis <dennis.covey@yahoo.com>

Dear Patrick,

Please find attached our revised submission for TR review #5 for M-1988-081. We appreciate your patience, guidance, and follow-up with us regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Janice Covey Wheeler

On Thu, Feb 16, 2023 at 8:28 AM Lennberg - DNR, Patrick <patrick.lennberg@state.co.us> wrote: Good Morning,

Please find attached to this email a copy of the Division's Preliminary Adequacy Review letter for TR-5 for the Phil Sheridan Lode.

A hard copy will not be mailed unless specifically requested.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you, Patrick

Patrick Lennberg Environmental Protection Specialist



COLORADO

Division of Reclamation,
Mining and Safety

Department of Natural Resources

P 303.866.3567 x8114 | F 303.832.8106

Physical Address: 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, CO 80203

Address for FedEx, UPS, or hand delivery:

1001 E 62nd Ave, Denver, CO 80216

Patrick.Lennberg@state.co.us | https://drms.colorado.gov

7~

TR Request 5 - M1988-081 2-9-23.pdf

4459K



110d, 112d(1, 2 or 3)

COLORADO DIVISION OF RECLAMATION, MINING AND SAFETY 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, Colorado 80203 ph(303) 866-3567

REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL REVISION (TR) COVER SHEET		
File No.: M- 1988-081	Site Name: Phil Sh	eridan Lode
County_Boulder	TR#_	(DRMS Use only)
Permittee: Harry D. Covey		
Operator (If Other than Permittee):		
Permittee Representative: Janice	e A Covey Whee	ler
Please provide a brief description of the	e proposed revision:	
Please see attached - thank you.		
As defined by the Minerals Rules, a Te which does not have more than a minor Environmental Protection Plan." The I meets this definition. If the Division dethe Division may require the submittal to the permit.	r effect upon the approved or polydright of the community	proposed Reclamation or nining if the revision as submitted vision is beyond the scope of a TR,
The request for a TR is not considered Division (as listed below by permit type expedite the review process. After the determine if it is approvable within 30 TR, you will be notified of specific defeaty review period there are still outstand permittee requests additional time, in very second considered to the permittee requests additional time, in very second considered to the permittee requests additional time, in very second considered to the permittee requests additional time, in very second considered to the permittee requests additional time, in very second considered to the permittee requests additional time, in very second considered to the permittee requests additional time, in very second considered to the permittee requests additional time, in very second considered to the permittee requests additional time.	e). Please submit the appropriate TR is submitted with the appropriate days. If the Division requires a ficiencies that will need to be anding deficiencies, the Division	iate fee with your request to opriate fee, the Division will additional information to approve a ddressed. If at the end of the 30 n must deny the TR unless the
There is no pre-defined format for the sufficient information to the Division t reclamation plan maps that accurately	o approve the TR request, incl	uding updated mining and
Required Fees for Technical Revision your request for a Technical Revision.		the correct fee and submit it with
Permit Type 110a 111 112 construction	Required TR Fee	Submitted (mark only one)
110c, 111, 112 construction materials, and 112 quarries	\$216	
112 hard rock (not DMO)	\$175	
110d, 112d(1, 2 or 3)	\$1006	

February 9, 2023

Janice Wheeler Personal Representative for the Estate of Harry D. Covey 2565 Wisteria Drive Erie, CO 80516

Re: Permit M-1988-081

Dear Reclamation Committee.

We are truly thankful for your time and acceptance of our last technical revision requests.

In surveying the permitted area and preparing for reclamation, we have an additional request regarding the winch and winch house.

The construction of the winch house, which we have been granted permission to keep on site, is entirely steel beams and corrugated steel roof sheeting. Due to the size, weight, and magnitude of the massive winch, the winch house would need to be totally destroyed in order to remove the winch from this site. This would totally defeat the purpose of security and storage that the winch house offers.

We would like to propose a revision to the removal of this item. Instead of total removal of the winch, we would like to propose that the massive counterbalance stay in the secured winch house but all cables, motors, electrical connections, and any other removable parts be removed. We will also weld any turbines or moving parts to complete the inoperable process. Any other measures needed, following your inspection, will be completed.

There are no intensions for any future mining to take place at this site. The remaining stripped winch would serve as a visual for educational and historical purposes as to the processes of hard rock mining in the Gold Hill Mining District.

We realize that upon a thorough, final inspection, once all criteria have been met, will we be granted release from our reclamation obligations associated with this sight. We are willing to do what is deemed necessary to fulfill this obligation.

We appreciate your time and consideration of our request.

Sincerely,

Muse M. Wheeler

Janice A. Covey Wheeler