

PERMIT INFORMATION

Permit Number: C-1996-084	County: Las Animas	
Mine Name: Lorencito Canyon Mine	Operation Type: Surface	
Operator: New Elk Coal Company, LLC	Permit Status: Permanent Cessation	
Operator Address:	Ownership: Private	
Mr. Mark Gray	-	
12250 Highway 12	Operator Representative Present:	
Weston, CO 81091		
	Nick Mason	
Operator Representative Signature: (Field Issuance Only)		

INSPECTION INFORMATION

Inspection Start Date: February 2 Inspection Start Time: 14:30 Inspection End Date: February 2 Inspection End Time: 15:30			Inspection Type: Coal Partial Inspection Inspection Reason: Normal I&E Program Weather: Clear
Joint Inspection Agency: Jo		Joint	Inspection Contacts:
None			
Post Inspection Agency:		Post Inspection Contacts:	
None			
Inspector(s):	Inspecto	r's Sig	nature: Signature Date:
Brock Bowles	Brak Sauls		
			February 28, 2023

Inspection Topic Summary

NOTE: Y=Inspected N=Not Inspected R=Comments Noted V=Violation Issued NA=Not Applicable

N - Air Resource Protection N - Roads

N - Availability of Records N - Reclamation Success

N - Backfill & Grading N - Revegetation

 ${f R}\,$ - Excess Spoil and Dev. Waste ${f N}\,$ - Subsidence

N - Explosives
N - Slides and Other Damage
N - Fish & Wildlife
N - Support Facilities On-site
R - Hydrologic Balance
N - Signs and Markers

N - Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan
N - Support Facilities Not On-site
N - Other
N - Special Categories Of Mining

N - Processing Waste N - Topsoil

COMMENTS

This partial inspection was conducted by Brock Bowles of the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division). Nick Mason of the New Elk Coal Company was present for the inspection. The weather was partly cloudy, cool with a moderate breeze and occasional flurries. The ground had some patchy snow and mud, especially in shady areas.

EXCESS SPOIL and DEVELOPMENT WASTE - Rule 4.09

Placement; Drainage Control; Surface Stabilization:

Top level and first contour ditch (top) were walked. The ground was damp and soft with patchy snow. No water puddles, rills or signs of erosion were seen. The vegetation was winter dormant and well established with a mixture of grasses and shrubs (photo 1).

The sediment drying area above Fill 8 contained several loads of sediment. No erosion was seen and the sediment appeared stable in this location (photo 2).

HYDROLOGIC BALANCE - Rule 4.05

Drainage Control 4.05.1, 4.05.2, 4.05.3; Siltation Structures 4.05.5, 4.05.6; Discharge Structures 4.05.7, 4.05.10; Diversions 4.05.4; Effluent Limits 4.05.2; Ground Water Monitoring 4.05.13; Surface Water Monitoring 4.05.13; Drainage – Acid and Toxic Materials 4.05.8; Impoundments 4.05.6, 4.05.9; Stream Buffer Zones 4.05.18:

Pond 6 contained water and some ice (photo 3). The water level was well below the discharge level. The embankment appeared stable.

Pond 9 contained ice and was well below the discharge level as viewed from the road above the pond (photo 4). The access road to the pond was snowy and muddy making it inaccessible.

Number of <u>Partial</u> Inspection this Fiscal Year: 5 Number of <u>Complete</u> Inspections this Fiscal Year: 3 Pond 9A contained water with a thin layer of ice. The water level was well below the discharge level and the embankment appeared stable.

DOCUMENTS RECEIVED: None

ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS/COMPLIANCE

No enforcement actions were initiated as a result of this inspection, nor are any pending.

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1 – Fill 8, top contour ditch facing west



Photo 2 – Sediment drying area above Fill 8



Photo 3 – Pond 6

Number of <u>Partial</u> Inspection this Fiscal Year: 5 Number of <u>Complete</u> Inspections this Fiscal Year: 3



Pond 9 – Viewed from the access road south of pond