

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:		
Hale Pit aka Earl's Pit	M-1981-121	Sand and gravel	El Paso		
INSPECTION TYPE:	INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:		
Surety-Related Inspection	Timothy Cazier, P.E.	May 4, 2022	10:45		
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERATION:			
Atticus Land, LLC	Jake Decoto	110c - Construction Limited Impact			
REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:			
Surety Related	Complete Bond	\$17,570.00			
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGE	NCY:		
NA	None	None			
WEATHER:	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DAT	E:		
Cloudy	Thims alt	May 26, 2022			

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

This list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each. No problems or possible violations were noted during the inspection. The mine operation was found to be in full compliance with Mineral Rules and Regulations of the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board for the Extraction of Construction Materials and/or for Hard Rock, Metal and Designated Mining Operations. Any person engaged in any mining operation shall notify the office of any failure or imminent failure, as soon as reasonably practicable after such person has knowledge of such condition or of any impoundment, embankment, or slope that poses a reasonable potential for danger to any persons or property or to the environment; or any environmental protection facility designed to contain or control chemicals or waste which are acid or toxic-forming, as identified in the permit.

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY <u>Y</u>	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>N</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>NA</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING Y	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES \underline{Y}	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>NA</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>Y</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION Y
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS Y	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMPY
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS Y
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>NA</u>		

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

OBSERVATIONS

This inspection was conducted by Tim Cazier (DRMS) as part of a surety reduction request. The Permittee (Atticus Land, LLC) was represented by Mr. Jake Decoto during the inspection. The purpose of this inspection was to determine if the previously observed highwalls had been adequately re-sloped to 3H:1V or flatter. The Hale Pit site entrance is located at the intersection of Spencer Rd and McClelland Rd, approximately five miles southeast of Peyton, CO. This is a 110c sand and gravel mine and is in final reclamation.

<u>Availability of Records</u>: Annual reports are current, having been filed through July 2021. The previous inspection was on September 10, 2021. The approved post-mine land use is general agriculture. There are no open infractions.

<u>Backfilling and Grading</u>: Backfilling and grading of the slopes requiring attention during the previous inspection were observed to have been adequately sloped (see **Photos 1**, **2** and **3**).

Excess Spoil and Dev. Waste: No overburden piles were observed.

<u>Financial Warranty:</u> The DRMS currently holds a \$17,570 bond. The grading/earthwork appears to be adequate as discussed above. A revised reclamation cost estimate for revegetation is included as Attachment A. <u>Please</u> review the estimate and provide any comments, or acceptance as is by June 2, 2022. If the estimate is acceptable, the DRMS will approve the requested surety reduction.

Fish and Wildlife: No impact to wildlife was observed.

Hydrologic Balance: No standing water was observed in the pit and no exposed groundwater was observed.

<u>Off-site Damage</u>: The operation appeared to be confined to the permit boundary, based on Google Earth review and site observations.

Processing Waste: No processing waste was observed.

<u>Roads:</u> No roads are present within the permit boundary.

<u>Right of Entry:</u> The land is owned by the Permittee.

<u>Reclamation Success</u>: All highwalls were observed to be sloped to 3H:1V or flatter. Mr. Decoto stated he plans to broadcast seed the slopes this spring. The broadcast method is intended to avoid additional disturbance outside the relatively smaller areas needing seeding.

<u>Revegetation:</u> No noxious weeds were observed.

<u>Sediment Control:</u> No erosion problems were observed and no BMPs were needed at the time of the inspection.

<u>Support Facilities On-site:</u> No equipment was observed on site.

<u>Signs and Markers</u>: The previous permittee's sign was still posted at the site entrance (see **Photo 4**). <u>The sign</u> <u>should be replaced to reflect the new Permittee's name</u>. The DRMS has defined requirements (reference Rule 3.1.12) for permit signs since the previous Permittee posted the permit sign. These are as follows:

- (1) At the entrance of the mine site the Operator shall post a sign, which shall be clearly visible from the access road, with a minimum size equaling one hundred and eighty-seven (187) square inches, such as eleven (11) inches in height and seventeen (17) inches in width, with appropriate font size, with the following:
 - a) the name of the Operator and the operation name;
 - b) a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board; and

c) the permit number.

Example signs are shown in **Figure 1**. The previous Permittee did not place boundary markers as recommended in the previous inspection report. The site was in temporary cessation with the permit boundary expected to be expanded, so this was not cited as a problem at the time. As the site is now in final reclamation, with only revegetation remaining to be completed; and only in areas well inside the approved permit boundary, placing markers is not considered critical at this time. For reference, Atticus Land should be aware the southwest corner of the permit boundary is 200 feet east of McClelland Road and 200 feet north of the fence on the south side of the property. The southeast permit boundary corner is 660 feet east of the southwest corner and 200 feet north of the aforementioned south property fence. The permit boundary is square with the northwest and northeast corners being 660 feet north of their respective southern corners.

<u>Permit Stipulations:</u> There are no permit stipulations.

Storm Water MGT Plan: No oil or fuel spills observed.

Structures: No structures are within 200 feet of the permit boundary.

<u>Post Inspection Meeting</u>: I informed Mr. Decoto I would recommend approval of the surety reduction request and that the DRMS would revise the reclamation bond estimate to include only the revegetation of the recently regraded area and the mobilization of the equipment necessary to complete the revegetation task.

Please contact Tim Cazier (303)328-5229 or email at <u>tim.cazier@state.co.us</u> if you have any questions regarding this report.



PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo 1. Regraded north west and central highwalls (looking west).

PHOTOGRAPHS (cont.)



Photo 2. Completed grading of northeast highwall (looking north).



Photo 3. Regraded north central highwall (looking NW).

PERMIT #: M-1981-121 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: TC1 INSPECTION DATE: May 4, 2022

PHOTOGRAPHS (cont.)



Photo 4. Permit sign (with previous Permittee's name).

Inspection Contact Address

Jake Decoto Atticus Land, LLC PO Box 88010 Colorado Springs, CO 80908

- Enclosures: Example Permit Signs Revised Reclamation Cost Estimate
- ec: DRMS file



ATTICUS LAND, LLC HALE PIT OPERATES UNDER MINING & RECLAMATION PERMIT NO.: M-1981-121 ISSUED BY THE COLORADO MINED LAND RECLAMATION BOARD

OR



Figure 1. Example Permit Signs

11 inches

ATTACHMENT A

COST SUMMARY WORK

Та	sk description:	Cost Summary for Remaini	8				
Site:	Hale Pit aka Earl's Pit	Permit Action:	SL-01		Permit/	Job#:	M1981121
<u>PR</u>	OJECT IDENTIFIC	ATION					
	Task #: 000	State: Colorado		1	Abbreviation	i: N	Vone
	Date: 5/25/2022	County: El Paso			Filename	: N	A121-000
	User: TC1						
	Agency or organiz	ation name: DRMS					
ТА	SK LIST (DIRECT (TOSTS)					
IA	SK LIST (DIRECT V	<u>20313)</u>	Form	Fleet	Task		
Task	Description		Used	Size	Hours		Cost
04	Reveg Disturbed Area	(~1 ac)	REVEGE	1	12.00		\$1,548
05	Mob/Demob Equipme	nt from CS	MOBILIZE	1	3.00		\$1,456
			SUBT	<u>OTALS:</u>		15	\$3,004
INI	DIRECT COSTS						
	DIRECT COSTS ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurance Performance bor Job superintende Prot	ce: 2.02 nd: 1.05 nt: 7.50 fit: 10.00	RACT AMOUNT		Total = $Total = $ $Total = $ $Total = $ $Co & P = $ $O & P) =$	\$61 \$32 \$540 \$300 \$933 \$3,92) 3
<u>ov</u>	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurand Performance bor Job superintende Prot	ce: 2.02 nd: 1.05 nt: 7.50 fit: 10.00			Total = - $Total = -$ $Total = -$ $L O & P = -$	\$32 \$540 \$300 \$933) 3
<u>ov</u>	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurand Performance bor Job superintende Prot GAL - ENGINEERING - Financial warranty pro	ce: 2.02 nd: 1.05 nt: 7.50 fit: 10.00 CONTI PROJECT MANAGEMENT cessing (legal/related costs):	: \$0		Total = - $Total = -$ $Total = -$ $O & P = -$ $O & P) = -$ $Total = -$	\$32 \$540 \$300 \$933 \$3,92 \$0) 3 37
<u>ov</u>	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurand Performance bor Job superintende Pros GAL - ENGINEERING - Financial warranty pro Engineering work and	ce: 2.02 nd: 1.05 nt: 7.50 fit: 10.00 CONTI PROJECT MANAGEMENT cessing (legal/related costs): l/or contract/bid preparation:	\$0 4.25		Total = Total = Total = C & P = O & P) =	\$32 \$540 \$300 \$933 \$3,92 \$0 \$167) 3 37 7
<u>ov</u>	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurand Performance bor Job superintende Pros GAL - ENGINEERING - Financial warranty pro Engineering work and	ce: 2.02 nd: 1.05 nt: 7.50 fit: 10.00 CONTI PROJECT MANAGEMENT cessing (legal/related costs):	: \$0		Total = - $Total = -$ $Total = -$ $O & P = -$ $O & P) = -$ $Total = -$	\$32 \$540 \$300 \$933 \$3,92 \$0) 3 37 7
<u>ov</u>	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurand Performance bor Job superintende Pros GAL - ENGINEERING - Financial warranty pro Engineering work and	ce: 2.02 nd: 1.05 nt: 7.50 fit: 10.00 CONT PROJECT MANAGEMENT cessing (legal/related costs): l/or contract/bid preparation: ement and/or administration:	\$0 4.25		Total = - $Total = -$ $Total = -$ $O & P = -$ $O & P) = -$ $Total = -$	\$32 \$540 \$300 \$933 \$3,92 \$0 \$167) 3 37 7
<u>ov</u>	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurand Performance bor Job superintende Pros GAL - ENGINEERING - Financial warranty pro Engineering work and	ce: 2.02 nd: 1.05 nt: 7.50 fit: 10.00 CONTI PROJECT MANAGEMENT cessing (legal/related costs): l/or contract/bid preparation: ement and/or administration:	\$0 4.25 5.00 0.00	Γ (direct + 	Total = - $Total = -$ $Total = -$ $O & P = -$ $O & P) = -$ $Total = -$ $Total = -$	\$32 \$540 \$300 \$933 \$3,93 \$3,93 \$0 \$167 \$197 \$0) 3 37 7

REVEGETATION WORK

Task descrip	otion:	Reveg Disturbed Area (~1 ac	:)		
e: Hale Pit aka Earl's Pit		s Pit Permit Action: <u>SL-01</u> Permit/Job		#: <u>M1981121</u>	
	IDENTIFIC				Nana
Task #: Date:	004 5/25/2022 TC1	State:ColoradoCounty:El Paso		Abbreviation: Filename:	None Filename

FERTILIZING

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Ammonium nitrate, 33-0-0	52.00	pound	\$0.36	\$18.72
Triple superphosphate, 0-46-0	52.00	pound	\$0.46	\$23.92
			Total Fertilizer	
			Materials	
			Cost/Acre	\$42.64

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Truck whirlwind spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0140)		\$16.12
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$16.12

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DMG}	\$96.50
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$96.50

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate –PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Crested Wheatgrass - Nordan	10.00	45.91	\$39.00
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	9.00	29.55	\$75.38
Pubescent Wheatgrass - Luna	14.00	28.93	\$47.60
Totals Seed Mix	33.00	104.38	\$161.98

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)	\$232.00
Total Seed Application Cost/A	Acre \$232.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$307.02	\$614.04
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$614.04

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
Power mulcher (MEANS 32 91 13.16 0350)	\$106.29
Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$106.29

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
		Totals 2	Nursery Stoc	ek Cost / Acre	\$0.00

JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acr Estimated Failure Ra *Selected Replanting Work Item	te: 25%	Cost /Ac	cre: \$1,269.57 re*: \$1,114.31
Initial Job Cost: \$1,269.57 Reseeding Job Cost: \$278.58 Total Job Cost: \$1,548 Job Hours: 12.00			

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task descr	ription:	Mob/Dem	ob Equipn	ent from CS				
ite: _ Hale Pit aka Earl's Pit		Permit .	Permit Action: SL-01]	Permit/Job#: M1981121		
PROJECT	<u> IDENTIFI</u>	CATION						
Task #:	005	S	State: Co	lorado		Abbre	eviation: 1	None
Date:	5/25/2022	Co	unty: El	Paso		Fi	ilename: l	Filename
User:	TC1							
A	gency or organ	ization name:	DRMS					
<u>EQUIPMI</u>	ENT TRANS	PORT RIG	COST					
						Shift ba	sis: 1 p	ber day
						Cost Data Sour	rce: CR	G Data
	Truck Tracto	r Description	GENE	RIC ON-HIGI	ΗΨΑΥΤ	RUCK TRACTO	DR 6X4 DI	ESEL POWERED,
	There There	Description.	GERTE			IP (2ND HALF,	, ,	LOLL I O WERLD,
	Truck Traile	r Description:	Gl	ENERIC FOL		OSENECK, DF	/	EQUIPMENT
		1				R (25T, 50T, AN		
	1							
Cost Breake	<u>iown:</u>							
	Rig Capacitie		5 Tons	26-50 Tons	s 5	1+ Tons		
	<u> </u>		21.28	\$37.94		\$47.67		
		26.55	\$50.48		\$56.21			
1		20.54	\$20.54		\$20.54			
		0.00	\$23.53		\$23.53			
Tot	tal Unit Cost/H	our: \$6	58.37	\$132.49	\$147.95			
NON ROA	ADABLE EQ	UIPMENT	<u>:</u>					
Machine	Wei	ght/ Ow	ner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return Tr	ip DOT Permit
Descriptio			st/hr/ unit	Cost/hr/uni	Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/ fl	
2 00011010		NS)		t	2120	fleet		
Drill/Broad Seeder with Tractor	lcast 25.0	,	98	\$68.37	1	\$76.35	\$68.37	\$250.00
Power Mul	cher 6.00	\$14	.98	\$68.37	1	\$83.35	\$68.37	\$250.00

 Subtotals:
 \$159.70
 \$136.74
 \$500.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

(Bowie LD-90)

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
		Subtotals:	\$0.00	\$0.00

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance: Average Travel Speed:	COLORADO SPRINGS 25.00 50.00	miles mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$1,456.14	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$0.00	_

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.50	0.50
Return Time (Hours):	0.50	0.50
Loading Time (Hours):	0.25	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.25	NA
Subtotals:	1.50	1.00

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **3.00** Hours

Total job cost: \$1,456