

Peabody Sage Creek Mining, LLC

April 18, 2022

Tabetha Lynch Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, CO 80203

RE: Peabody Sage Creek Mine, Permit C-2009-087, First Quarter 2022 IIR

CDRMS:

In accordance with Rule 4.05.9(17), please find enclosed the Peabody Sage Creek Mine (PSCM) Impoundment Inspection Report (IIR) and Impoundment Inspection Log (IIL). Please contact me with any comments and/or questions.

Sincerely,

Miranda Kawcak Environmental Manager Peabody, Colorado Operations

Enclosure: PSCM IIR

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se	ediment, or Slurry Impoundn	nents				
INS	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 3/22/22					
NPI	DES I.D. NO.: CO-0048275 D.P. 002						
FACILITY CONFIGURATION: Incised Pond DATE LAST INSPECTION: 10/27/21							
SITE NAME: Wadge Impoundment #002 LOCATION: NW¼ NE¼, Sec. 2, T5N, R87W							
MII	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Hay	den, CO				
MII	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Kav	wcak				
CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESPONSE: YES							
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	oil:			х		
2	Lift thickness:				х		
3	Compaction according to approved plan:				х		
4	Burning (specify extent and location):				х		
5	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream		Tot	tal = N/A	\		
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)						
	From underdrain pipes				х		
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes				х		
	At natural hillside:				х		
	Over widespread areas:				х		
	From downstream foundation area:				х		
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:						
7	7 Cracks or scarps on crest:						
8	8 Cracks or scarps on slope:						
9	9 Sloughing or bulging on slope:						
10	LO *Major erosion problems:						
11	11 Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:						
12	*Erosion of toe:				х		
13	*Water impounded against toe:				х		
14	Existing embankment freeboard (ft) = 0.0						
15	<u>X</u> Increase Decrease in water level (ft): 0.36						
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:				х		
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х		
18	*Clogging						
	Spillway channels and pipes:			x			
	Decant system:				х		
	Diversion ditches:			х			
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes						
	Spillway pipes:				х		
	Decant system:				х		
20	Trash racks clear and in place:				х		
21	Discharge rate (gpm) = 32.7						
and	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and I Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse conditio cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here: DISCHARGE W	ns noted in these items shoul	ld norma		jer		

1016	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se		nents					
	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 3/22/22						
	NPDES I.D. NO.: CO-0048275 D.P. 003 ACILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond DATE LAST INSPECTION: 10/27/21							
		DATE LAST INSPECTION: 10, LOCATION: SE¼ SW¼, Sec. 2		20714/				
	NAME: Shop Pond #003							
	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Have	-					
IVIII	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka		110				
1	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	YES	NO	N/A				
	Lift thickness = 12 inches	on.	Х					
3	Compaction according to approved plan:							
	Burning (specify extent and location):		Х					
	Angle of slope: 2:1 upstream, 3:1 downstream			x tal = 5:1				
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)			tai – 3.1	•			
Ü	From underdrain pipes		ļ		х			
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes			х				
	At natural hillside:			X				
	Over widespread areas:			X				
	From downstream foundation area:			X				
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:							
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:		X X					
	Cracks or scarps on slope:		Х					
	Sloughing or bulging on slope:		х					
	*Major erosion problems:		х					
	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х				
12	*Erosion of toe:			х				
13	*Water impounded against toe:			х				
14	Existing embankment freeboard (ft) (4.9 is normal) = 4.9							
15	X Increase Decrease in water level (ft): 0.25							
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:			х				
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х			
18	*Clogging							
	Spillway channels and pipes:			x				
	Decant system:				х			
	Diversion ditches:			x				
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes							
	Spillway pipes:				х			
	Decant system:				х			
	Trash racks clear and in place:		X					
21	Discharge rate (gpm) = 1.0							
ana	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here: SNOW COVERI	ns noted in these items shou			ger			

INIC	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	ediment, or Slurry Impoundr DATE: 3/22/22	nents				
	DES I.D. NO.: N/A	DATE: 3/22/22					
FACILITY CONFIGURATION: Incised Pond DATE LAST INSPECTION: 10/28/21							
	SITE NAME: Spill Control Pond #2 LOCATION: NW% NE%, Sec. 34, MINE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Hayde						
	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka	-				
IVIII				NO	D1/A		
1	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP		YES	NO	N/A		
	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops Lift thickness =	OII.	Х				
				I	1		
	Compaction according to approved plan:				Х		
	Burning (specify extent and location):			NI/A	Х		
5 6	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A			
O	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)	<u> </u>		l	1		
	From underdrain pipes				Х		
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes			х	<u> </u>		
	At natural hillside:			Х			
	Over widespread areas:			Х			
From downstream foundation area:							
"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water: 7 Cracks or scarps on crest:							
		Х					
8		Х					
9 Sloughing or bulging on slope:							
	*Major erosion problems:		х				
	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х			
	*Erosion of toe:			х			
	*Water impounded against toe:			X			
	Existing embankment freeboard (ft) (7.0 is normal when dry)						
15	Increase Decrease in water level (ft): NO CHANG	GE					
	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:			x			
	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				X		
18	*Clogging			T			
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х			
	Decant system:				Х		
	Diversion ditches:				Х		
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes						
	Spillway pipes:				х		
	Decant system:				х		
20	Trash racks clear and in place:				х		
21	Discharge rate (gpm) = 0.0						
ana	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition or further evaluation of the condition of the cond	ns noted in these items shou	_	-	ger		

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se		nents				
INSPECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden DATE: 3/22/22							
NPDES I.D. NO.: N/A FACILITY CONFIGURATION: Final Pit Impoundment DATE LAST INSPECTION: 10/28/21							
	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Final Pit Impoundment						
	E NAME: Pecoco Reservoir	LOCATION: SW¼ NW¼, Sec.					
	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Hay					
MII	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka					
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP	YES	NO	N/A			
	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	oil:	X				
	Lift thickness =		1				
3	Compaction according to approved plan:		Х				
	Burning (specify extent and location):			Х			
	Angle of slope: <u>5:1</u> upstream, <u>2:1</u> downstream		То	tal = 7:1			
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)		1		ī		
	From underdrain pipes				х		
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes			Х			
	At natural hillside:			х			
	Over widespread areas:			х			
	From downstream foundation area:						
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:						
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:		х				
8	Cracks or scarps on slope:		x				
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:		х				
10	10 *Major erosion problems:						
11	11 Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:						
12	*Erosion of toe:			х			
13	*Water impounded against toe:			х			
14	Existing embankment freeboard (ft) (6.1 is normal) = 6.1						
15	<u>X</u> Increase Decrease in water level (ft): 0.14						
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:			х			
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х		
18	*Clogging						
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х			
	Decant system:				х		
	Diversion ditches:				х		
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes						
	Spillway pipes:			х			
	Decant system:				х		
20	Trash racks clear and in place:				х		
21	Discharge rate (gpm) = 107.0				•		
and	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here: SNOW COVERI	ons noted in these items shou	_		ier		

NPDES I.D. NO.: N/A FACILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond DATE LAST INSPECTION: 10/28/21							
	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond		.,				
	E NAME: Lower Sump	LOCATION: SE¼, Sec. 34,					
	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of OWNER'S REP.: Miranda		0			
IVIII	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087			1			
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RES	YES	NO	N/A			
	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, top Lift thickness =	OSOII:	х				
				1			
	Compaction according to approved plan:		X		1		
	Burning (specify extent and location):			X N/A			
6	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A			
0	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)			I	Т		
	From underdrain pipes				Х		
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes At natural hillside:		_	X			
	Over widespread areas:			X			
	·			x			
	From downstream foundation area:						
7	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water: 7 Cracks or scarps on crest:						
	Cracks or scarps on crest. Cracks or scarps on slope:		X	1			
	Sloughing or bulging on slope:		X	1			
	*Major erosion problems:		X				
	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			X			
	*Erosion of toe:			X			
	*Water impounded against toe:			x	1		
	Existing embankment freeboard (ft) =						
15	-						
	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:			х			
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х		
18				1	1		
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х			
	Decant system:				х		
	Diversion ditches:			х			
19				I .			
	Spillway pipes:				х		
	Decant system:				х		
20	Trash racks clear and in place:		х				
	Discharge rate (gpm) = 134.0			1			
	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability ar	nd should be renorted to the	Enaineerir	na Mana	aer		

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se	ediment, or Slurry Impoundn	nents					
INS	NSPECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden DATE: 3/22/22							
NPI	DES I.D. NO.: N/A							
FACILITY CONFIGURATION: Incised Pond DATE LAST INSPECTION: 10/28/21								
SITE NAME: Truck Wash Settling Pond LOCATION: NW% NE%, Sec. 34, T6N, R87W								
MIN	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha	yden, Co	5				
MIN	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka	wcak					
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP	YES	NO	N/A				
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	х						
2	Lift thickness =							
3	Compaction according to approved plan:				Х			
4	Burning (specify extent and location):				х			
5	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A				
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)							
	From underdrain pipes				х			
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes				х			
	At natural hillside:				Х			
	Over widespread areas:				Х			
	From downstream foundation area:				х			
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:		х					
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:			х				
8	Cracks or scarps on slope:			х				
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:			Х				
10	*Major erosion problems:		х					
11	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:				х			
12	*Erosion of toe:				х			
13	*Water impounded against toe:				х			
14	Existing embankment freeboard (ft) (5.0 is normal when dry):	5.0						
15	Increase Decrease in water level (ft): NO CHANC	GE .						
	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:				х			
_	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х			
18	*Clogging							
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х				
	Decant system:				х			
	Diversion ditches:				х			
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes			<u>-</u>				
	Spillway pipes:			х				
	Decant system:				х			
	Trash racks clear and in place:		X					
	Discharge rate (gpm) = 0.0							
	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and		_		jer			
	Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition	ons noted in these items shou	ld norma	ally be				
des	cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here: DRY							

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se	diment or Shirry Imperiods	aonts		
INS	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 3/22/22	nents		
	DES I.D. NO.: N/A	DATE: STEETEE			
	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond	/28/21			
	E NAME: Upper Sump		/7W		
	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: NW¼, Sec. 3, T5 LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha			
	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka			
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP	YES	NO	N/A	
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops		X	1.0	,,,,
	Lift thickness =	-			
	Compaction according to approved plan:		х		
	Burning (specify extent and location):			х	
	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A	
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)			-	
	From underdrain pipes				х
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes			х	
	At natural hillside:			х	
	Over widespread areas:			х	
	From downstream foundation area:		х		
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:		х		
7	Cracks or scarps on crest:		х		
8	Cracks or scarps on slope:		х		
9	Sloughing or bulging on slope:		х		
10	*Major erosion problems:		х		
11	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х	
12	*Erosion of toe:			х	
13	*Water impounded against toe:			х	
14	Existing embankment freeboard (ft) =				
	<u>X</u> Increase Decrease in water level (ft): 0.1				
16	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:			х	
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				х
18	*Clogging				
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х	
	Decant system:				х
	Diversion ditches:				X
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes				
	Spillway pipes:			х	
	Decant system:				х
	Trash racks clear and in place:		х		
	Discharge rate (gpm) = 71.0				
ana	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse condition cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here: SNOW COVERI	ons noted in these items shou	-		ger

	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se	ediment, or Slurry Impoundr	nents					
INS	NSPECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden DATE: 3/22/22							
NP	NPDES I.D. NO.: N/A							
FAC	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond	0/28/21						
SITE NAME: Portal Sump #1 (Upper North) LOCATION: NW¼, Sec. 3, T5N, R87W								
MIN	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha	yden, CC)				
MIN	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka	wcak					
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESPONSE: YES							
1	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	oil:	х					
2	Lift thickness = 12"							
3	Compaction according to approved plan:		х					
4	Burning (specify extent and location):			Х				
	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A				
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)							
	From underdrain pipes				х			
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes				х			
	At natural hillside:				х			
	Over widespread areas:				х			
	From downstream foundation area:				х			
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:		х					
	Cracks or scarps on crest:			х				
	Cracks or scarps on slope:			х				
	Sloughing or bulging on slope:			х				
	*Major erosion problems:			Х				
	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х				
	*Erosion of toe:				х			
-	*Water impounded against toe:				Х			
	Existing embankment freeboard (ft) =							
15	· · ·	<u>}E</u>						
_	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:				Х			
	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				Х			
18	55 5				1			
	Spillway channels and pipes:			Х	<u> </u>			
	Decant system:				X			
10	Diversion ditches:				Х			
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes Spillway pipes:	1						
	Decant system:			Х	 			
20	Trash racks clear and in place:				X			
	Discharge rate (gpm) = 0.0				Х			
	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and	I should be reported to the Fi	cainearin	a Manac	ror			
	ajor daverse changes in these items could cause instability and I Mine Superintendent for further evaluation. Adverse conditio		_		lei			
	cribed (extextent, location, volume, etc.) here: SNOW COVERE			, 20				

INIC	PERIODIC INSPECTION FORM: Water, Se		nents						
	PECTOR'S NAME: Jason Herden	DATE: 3/22/22							
	NPDES I.D. NO.: N/A								
	CILITY CONFIGURATION: Diked Pond	DATE LAST INSPECTION: 10							
	E NAME: Portal Sump #2 (Lower South)	LOCATION: NW1/4, Sec. 3, TS							
	NE NAME: Peabody Sage Creek Mine	LOCATION: 7.1 mi. SE of Ha	-	,					
IVIII	NE I.D. NO.: CMLRD Permit No. C-2009-087	OWNER'S REP.: Miranda Ka							
	CIRCLE OR WRITE IN APPROPRIATE RESP	YES	NO	N/A					
	Foundation preparation (removal of vegetation, stumps, tops	oil:	х						
	Lift thickness = 12"				ı				
	Compaction according to approved plan:		х						
	Burning (specify extent and location):			х					
	Angle of slope:upstream,downstream			N/A					
6	*Seepage (specify location, color, and approximate volume)				r				
	From underdrain pipes				х				
	At isolated points on embanckement slopes				х				
	At natural hillside:				х				
	Over widespread areas:				х				
	From downstream foundation area:			х					
	"Boils" beneath stream or ponded water:		х						
	Cracks or scarps on crest:			х					
	Cracks or scarps on slope:			х					
	Sloughing or bulging on slope:			х					
	*Major erosion problems:			х					
	Surface movements in valley bottom or on hillside:			х					
	*Erosion of toe:				Х				
	*Water impounded against toe:				X				
	Existing embankment freeboard (ft) =								
15	Increase Decrease in water level (ft): NO CHANG	GE							
	Cracks, bulging, or erosion on upstream face:				х				
17	Visible sumps or sinkholes in slurry surface:				Х				
18	*Clogging								
	Spillway channels and pipes:			х					
	Decant system:				Х				
	Diversion ditches:				X				
19	*Cracking or crushing of pipes								
	Spillway pipes:			х					
	Decant system:				х				
	Trash racks clear and in place:				X				
	Discharge rate (gpm) = 0.0								
ana	ajor adverse changes in these items could cause instability and land land land land land land land	ns noted in these items shou	_		ger				

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION LOG

JOB DATA

JOB NAME: PEC Hydrologic Services CLIENT: Peabody JOB(s): 2021-095 (PSCM), 2021-096 (SCC)

FLOW DATA

FLOW DAT	A					T .	1
SITEID	COMPANY	MINE	DATE	WATER LEVEL (FT)	OUTFLOW (GPM)	OBSERVATIONS	MAINTENANCE (Y/N)
002	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	3-22-28	0,4	32,7	Trater Flowing under Flame	У
003	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	75-55- 5		1,0	Snow covered	N
004	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	3-35-35	0,1	36.4	Snow Covered	N
Lower Sump	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	3.85-33	OB	134	SHOW COVERED	N
PECOCO	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	3.≥₹~≥₹	0,3	107	SNOW Covered	N
Portal Sump 1	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	3-22-22	1	~	Snow Covered Frozen	N
Portal Sump 2	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	3-52-22	_		Show Covered	N
Spill Control 2	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	3-25-55	-	-	Snow Coveled	N
Truck Wash	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	25255	~	~	פוס	N
Upper Sump	Sage Creek	Sage Creek	2- 52-52	०,२	اد	Snow Covered	N
001	Seneca	Hayden Gulch	3-3222		2	Snow Covered	N
002	Seneca	Hayden Gulch	3-22-2 2	~	_	Snow Covered	N
005	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-23-23	-51	_	Show Covered	N
006	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-73-29	30.	26.3	Snow covered	N
009	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-5556	-4.0	_	SHOW Covered	N
015	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-25-55	-1.0		Snow Guerad	N
016	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-53-53	0,1	27.7	Show Covered	N
017	Seneca	Seneca II West	3 - २३.१९	-0.6		show covered	N
T-1	Seneca	Seneca II West	7-22,25	~		winter	
T-18	Seneca	Seneca II West	3- 82-28	_	J	winter	
T-2	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-85-32		_	winter	U
T-20	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-22-32	_		winter	
T-22	Seneca	Seneca II West	3.22-22	-)	winter	- U
T-24	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-22-22			n in fer	U
T-25	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-22-27	-	_	winter	~
T-26	Seneca	Seneca II West	2-25-25	_	J	winger	



SITEID	COMPANY	MINE	DATE	WATER LEVEL (FT)	OUTFLOW (GPM)	OBSERVATIONS	MAINTENANCE (Y/N)
T-27	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-25-55	~	-	Winter	_
T-3	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-22-22	_	~	winder	_
T-5	Seneca	Seneca II West	3-55-51	~	~	winter	
010	Seneca	Yoast	3-33-22	-0.6	~	Snow Covered	N
011	Seneca	Yoast	3-23-23	- 7.6	-	Snow covered	\sim
011A	Seneca	Yoast	३ - २३-३२	_		winter	
012	Seneca	Yoast	3-22-23	0.1	44,7	Snow Covered	A
012A	Seneca	Yoast	3-22-22	-1,7	_	snow Guered	N
013	Seneca	Yoast	3-52-53	-1,3	~	Snow Coulted	N
014	Seneca	Yoast	3-22-28		~	Sun Covered	N
ST-1	Seneca	Yoast	3-22-28	~)	winter	

FIELD PERSONNEL:	FIELD PERSONNEL SIGNATURE:

NOTES			
			-

