

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL: COUNTY:		
Ranch Land Rock Pit #2	M-2003-021	Gravel	Fremont	
INSPECTION TYPE:	INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:	
Monitoring	Timothy Cazier, P.E.	January 28, 2022	09:30	
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERATION:		
Ranch Land LLC dba Pioneer Sand Co Inc	Jason Ulmer	112c - Construction Regular Operation		
REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:		

REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program	Complete Bond	\$83,750.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA	None	None
WEATHER:	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:
Clear	Thing alla	March 31, 2022

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan

PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION: Problem: The current mine plan needs to be updated and clarified pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-112 (1)(c)(VI). The operator must update the mine plan to define future mine phases as committed to in Amendment 1 and clarify whether active highwalls are to be mined near vertical or at 3H:1V.
 CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator shall submit a Technical Revision, with the required \$216 revision fee, to update and clarify the current approved mine plan to define future mine phases, reflect existing and proposed activities, and increase the maximum disturbed area at one time by the corrective action date.
 CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 5/16/22

OBSERVATIONS

This inspection was conducted as part of the Division's regular monitoring program. The Operator (Pioneer Sand) was represented during the inspection by Mr. Jason Ulmer. The Ranch Land Rock Pit #2 is accessed from Fremont Co Rd 112 approximately 2.5 miles southeast of Portland (on Hwy 120). This is a 112c gravel mine. It was operating at the time of the inspection.

<u>Availability of Records:</u> Annual reports are current, having been filed through March 2022, stating the last mining activity was March 9, 2022. The previous inspection was on March 8, 2016. The approved post-mine land use is rangeland. There are no open infractions. Both the surface and minerals are privately owned.

<u>Acid And Toxic Materials</u>: The above ground fuel storage tank was observed to have adequate secondary containment (see **Photo 1**).

<u>Backfilling and Grading</u>: Sufficient backfill material appeared to be available by pushing down material from the crest of the active highwall.

<u>Financial Warranty:</u> The \$83,750 bond held by the DRMS was last reviewed in 2016. The practice at the time of mining at 3H:1V and that backfilling/grading had been completed in Phase 1 was cited for not increasing the bond at that time. An additional 35 acres have been disturbed since the last inspection (see Gen. Compliance with Mine Plan, below) and no release request for Phase 1 has been received. As such, the DRMS has revised the reclamation cost estimate accordingly (see **attached updated reclamation cost estimate**). Tasks 104 (reseed 25% of Phase 1), 304 (revegetate Phase 3), and A03 (push down existing highwalls) were added as new tasks, not previously needed or considered. This unit cost update increases the estimated cost for reclamation to \$192,337. Please review it and contact me with questions or concerns. <u>The DRMS will issue a surety increase in two weeks</u>.

Fish and Wildlife: No impact to wildlife was observed.

Hydrologic Balance: No standing water was observed in the pit and no exposed groundwater was observed.

<u>Gen. Compliance with Mine Plan</u>: The current maximum allowed disturbed area at one time is 40 acres. Google Earth was used to measure the disturbed areas (based on 2021 imagery as follows (also see attached **Post-Inspection Map**) and compared with the disturbances reported in the 2022 annual report:

<u>Phase</u>	<u>Area disturbed: Annual Report // Google Earth (acres)</u>	<u>Status</u>
1	13.5 // 11.3	Vegetation establishing, likely releasable
3	20 // 24 (Google Earth includes ~2 acres around the truck scales)	Highwalls ≤ 3H:1V, awaiting seeding
5/6	26.1 // 35	Actively being mined

Accepting Phase 1 (13.5 // 11.3 acres) may be releasable, the DRMS estimated affected area (Phases 3, 5 and 6) is 46.1 // 59 acres.

Active highwalls were estimated to vary between 30 and 40 feet in height (see **Photos 2** and **3**). Finally, there is a commitment in AM-1 to submit a TR to formalize the phases beyond #6. As the current active mining area is encroaching into the adjacent unspecified phase to the west, a technical revision is now required. <u>The need for</u> <u>a technical revision to define future mining phases and update the mining plan to include more than 40 acres</u> <u>of disturbance at one time is cited as a problem on page 1 of this report.</u>

<u>Off-site Damage</u>: The operation appeared to be confined to the permit boundary, based on Google Earth review and site observations.

Processing Waste: Crusher fines are stockpiled and should be available for reclamation backfill.

<u>Roads:</u> Haul and access roads did not appear to be a source of sediment that could be tracked offsite. Road side ditches were clean. Dust control was not necessary and the time of the inspection as it had recently snowed.

<u>Right of Entry:</u> Ranch Land LLC (Permittee) owns the land, and is doing business as Pioneer Sand Co.

<u>Reclamation Success</u>: The east pit (Phase 1) has been graded, topsoiled and seeded as per the approved reclamation plan (see **Photos 4** and **5**). A two to three-foot high, vegetated, stormwater berm (see **Photos 6** and **7**) remains around the perimeter of the Phase 1 disturbance to control runoff on the downgradient slopes. Mr. Ulmer indicated the Phase 3 area, just east of the north-south access road (see **Photo 8**) has been mostly graded and topsoiling and seeding are planned for 2022.

<u>Revegetation</u>: The revegetation effort observed in Phase 1, appeared to be releasable (see **Photos 4, 5** and **9**). No other phases were observed to have initiated revegetation. No noxious weeds were observed.

<u>Sediment Control</u>: An erosion gully (see **Photo 10**) was observed on the south side of the access road down the slope to the Phase 1 reclaimed area. This gully will need to be repaired prior to release, but was not causing offsite impacts, so was not cited as a problem.

<u>Support Facilities On-site:</u> A screen plant, crusher, loaders, conveyors, and haul trucks were observed on site. The truck scale (see **Photo 11**) had not moved closer to the active mining area. It is still in the north end of Phase 4, just south of the Phase 3 area entering reclamation.

<u>Signs and Markers:</u> The permit sign was properly posted (see **Photo 12**). Although the Phase 1 and 2 mining boundaries on the approved Exhibit C map do not fully encompass the mined areas, the approved affected area boundary is coincident with the permit boundary, which includes all observed mining disturbance. As the affected area boundary extends well beyond the terrace area being mined (roughly 650 feet for Phase 1 and 250 feet in Phase 6). As such, boundary markers were not checked.

<u>Permit Stipulations:</u> There are no permit stipulations.

<u>Storm Water MGT Plan</u>: No oil or fuel spills observed. Stormwater drains to the pit and infiltrates. Berms are constructed along the outside edge of the mined terrace to prevent stormwater from running down and eroding the outslopes.

<u>Topsoil</u>: Topsoil is not distinguished from overburden and is stockpiled as a single source of reclamation material.

<u>Structures:</u> None observed within 200 feet of the affected area.

Please contact Tim Cazier (303) 328-5229 or email at <u>tim.cazier@state.co.us</u> if you have any questions regarding this report.

PERMIT #: M-2003-021 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: TC1 INSPECTION DATE: January 28, 2022

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1. Secondary containment for onsite fuel tank (looking SW from north end of Phase 6).



Photo 2. North facing highwall (Phase 6, looking south from pit floor).

PERMIT #: M-2003-021 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: TC1 INSPECTION DATE: January 28, 2022



Photo 3. North and east facing highwalls (Phase 6, looking west).



Photo 4. Phase 1 pit floor reclamation/reveg. (looking north from south end).



Photo 5. Phase 1 pit floor reclamation/reveg. (diverse grass species).



Photo 6. Phase 1 pit floor reclamation (east stormwater berm, looking south).



Photo 7. Phase 1 pit reclamation (south stormwater berm, & east-facing highwall).



Photo 8. Phase 3 pit reclamation grading (looking NW from scale house).



Photo 9. Phase 1 pit floor revegetation (looking south from midpoint).



Photo 10. Erosion gully along Phase 1 access road – needs repair prior to release.

PERMIT #: M-2003-021 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: TC1 INSPECTION DATE: January 28, 2022



Photo 11. Truck scale & scale house (Phase 4 north end, looking NW).



Photo 12. Permit sign at entrance near Minnequa Canal.

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>NA</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>Y</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES Y	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>PB</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>Y</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION Y
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMPY
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>Y</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS Y
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS Y	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>Y</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

Inspection Contact Address

Jason Ulmer Ranch Land LLC dba Pioneer Sand Co Inc 1107 Main St. Cañon City, CO 81212

- Enclosures: Post Inspection Map Updated Reclamation Cost Estimate
- ec: DRMS file Angela Bellantoni, PhD, Pioneer Sand

M-2003-021 Rannch Land Rock Pit #2 - Post Inspection Map

Satellite imagery from 3/25/2021

Legend

- 🚴 M-03-21 24-Ft Roads
- M-03-21 AM-1 Permit/ Affected AreaBoundary
- M-03-21 Disturbed Area
- 🍰 M-03-21 Highwall (1,120 ft)
- M-03-21 Phase Boundary
- M-03-21 Scale Area disturbed





Image © 2022 Maxar Technologies



COST SUMMARY WORK

Task de	scription:	Cost Summary Sheet					
Site: Rano	h Land Rock Pit #	#2 Per	rmit Action:	2022 Update	Permit/Job	#: <u>M2003021</u>	
Task Da	te: 3/30/2022	ATION State: County:	Colorado Fremont		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M021-000	
Us	er: <u>TC1</u> Agency or organiz	 zation name: DF	RMS				

TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)

Task		Form	Fleet	Task	
1 45K	Description	Used	Size	Hours	Cost
002	Replace Topsoil	TRUCK1	1	78.72	\$29,984
003	Grade Topsoil	DOZER	1	11.08	\$3,422
004	Reseed Disturbed 40 acre phase	REVEGE 1		100.00	\$59,136
005	Mob/demob equipment	MOBILIZE 1		11.77	\$9,463
104	Reseed 25% of Phase 1 Area	REVEGE	1	6.00	\$3,802
304	Reseed Disturbed Phase 3 Area	REVEGE	1	50.00	\$32,821
A03	Push down highwalls	DOZER	1	12.58	\$3,883
		270.15	\$142,511		

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$2,879
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$1,496
Job superintendent:	207.07	Total =	\$14,915
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$14,251
		TOTAL O & P =	\$33,541
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) = $($	\$176,052

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs):	\$0	Total :	\$0	
Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	4.25	Total	\$7,482	
Reclamation management and/or administration:	5.00		\$8,803	
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total	\$0	
		TOTAL INDIRECT COST :	\$49,826	
TOTAL BO	\$192,337			

TRUCK/LOADER TEAM WORK

Task description:	Replace	e Topsoil				
Site: Ranch Land Ro	ock Pit #2	Permit Act	ion: <u>2022 Upda</u>	ate	Permit/Job#:	M2003021
PROJECT IDEN	TIFICATION					
Task #: 002 Date: $3/28/$	2022	State: Colora County: Fremo		Abl	breviation: <u>No</u> Filename: M0	ne 021-002
User: TC1	2022	County: Fremo	m		ritename. Mit	021-002
	organization nar	ne: DRMS				
HOURLY EQUI	PMENT COST				sis: <u>1 per day</u>	
т	ruck Loader Tea		Equipment Descr	.		
1	Tuck Loader Tea		eric 12-18 су, 6x Г 980Н	.4		
Supp	ort Equipment -L	oad Area: NA				
Dood M	-Du aintenance –Mote	Imp Area: NA or Grader: NA				
Koau Mi		ter Truck: NA				
Cost Breakdown:	Truck/Loa			Equipment		Ince Equipment
	Truck	Loader	Load Area	Dump Area	Motor Grader	Water Truck
%Utilization-machine:	100	85	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ownership cost/hour:	\$27.72	\$67.72	NA	NA	NA	NA
Operating cost/hour:	\$47.23	\$57.48	NA	NA	NA	NA
%Utilization-riper:	NA NA	0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Ripper own. cost/hour: Ripper op. cost/hour:	NA NA	\$0.00	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Operator cost/hour:	\$32.54	\$40.71	NA	NA	NA	NA NA
Unit Subtotals:	\$107.49	\$165.90	NA	NA	NA	NA
Number of Units:	2	\$105.90	0	0	0	0
Group Subtotals:	Work:	\$380.88	Support:	\$0.00	Maint:	\$0.00
Total work team cos			Support	\$0.00		φ 0.00
MATERIAL QUA	ANTITIES					
Initial volume		CCY	Swell	factor: 1.215		
Loose volume	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u>1.215</u>		
So	urce of estimated	volume: Calci	ulated from 6/25/	2003 - original aj	(6) over 40 Ac	•)
	of estimated swe		Handbook	2003 onginuruj		
	Material Purcha)			
	Тс	otal Cost: \$0.00)			
HOURLY PRO	DUCTION					
Truck Capacity:						
Truck Payload (weig			_	_		
Material v		. :1	Pounds/LCY	7		
Desci	ription: Top So	D1l				

Pounds

LCY

Rated Payload:

Payload Capacity:

50,300

31.44

Truck Bed (volume) Basis:

<u>Struck Ded (Volume) Dasis.</u>	12.00	LCV				
Struck Volume:		LCY				
Heaped Volume: Average Volume:		LCY LCY				
Adjusted Volume:		LCY				
Aujusted volume.	18.00					
Final Tr	ruck Volume I	Based on Number o	of Loader Passes:	12.75	LCY	
Loading Tool Capacity	uek volume i	Bused on Humber o	Louder Fusses.	12010		
Loading 1001 Capacity			Buck	et Size Class: N	JA	
Rated Capacity:	7.500	LCY (heaped)				_
Bucket Fill Factor:	0.850) lay (80% - 90%) () 850		
Adjusted Capacity:	6.375	LCY	luy (0070 - 2070) C			_
	0.070					
Job Condition Corrections:		S	Site Altitude (ft.):	<u>5350</u> feet		
	Truck	Loader	Source			
Altitude Adj:	1.000	1.000	(CAT HE	,		
Job Efficiency:	0.830	0.830	(CAT HE	3)		
Net Correction:	0.830	0.830				
Loading Tool Cycle Time:	1	Number of Loading	Tool Passes Requ	uired to Fill	2	passes
Excavators and Front Shovels		C	1	Truck:	2	1
Machine Cycle Time vs.	_	n Rating: NA				
Selected Value w						
Track Loaders – N	Aaterial Descr	iption:				
Cycle Time Elements (min.):						
Load: NA	Μ	Ianeuver: NA		Dump: 0.10	0	
	-					
Wheel and Track	Loaders - Una	adjusted Basic Load	•	oad, dump, naneuver):).550 mir	nutes
Cycle Time Factors				Factor (min.)	Source	
Material:	Mixed mater	rial 0.02		0.020	(Cat HB)	
Stockpile:		dozer piled 10 ft. h	high and up			
1	0.00	1 	C 1	0.000	(Cat HB)	
Truck Ownership:	Common ow 0.04	nership of trucks a	nd loaders -	-0.040	(Cat HB)	
Operation:	Constant ope	eration -0.04		-0.040	(Cat HB)	
Dump Target:	Nominal targ			0.000	(Cat HB)	
			me Adjustment:	-0.060	minutes	-
			der Cycle Time:	0.490	minutes	
		Net Load 7	Fime per Truck:	0.590	minutes	
<u>Truck Cycle Time:</u>						
Truck Exchange Time:	0.50	Minutes	Adjusted	for site altitude:	0.500	Minutes
Truck Exchange Time: Truck Load Time:		Minutes — Minutes	Ū.	for site altitude:	0.500	_
•	0.590		Adjusted	=		Minutes Minutes Minutes Minutes

Truck Travel (Haul & Return) Time:	Road Condition: Rutted dirt, little maintenance, no water, 2" tire
penetration 5.0	

Haul Route:

	Haul Kout									
	Seg #	Haul I	Distance	Grade (%)	Roll. Res	Total Res	Velocity	Travel		
	C	(Ft)		· · /	(%)	(%)	(fpm)	Time		
		(10)			(/0)	(/0)	(ipiii)	(min)		
	1	500.00)	1.00	5.00	6.00	1855	0.349		
						Haul Time:	0.349	m	inutes	
	Return Ro					1				
	Seg #	Haul I	Distance	Grade (%)	Roll. Res	Total Res	Velocity	Travel		
		(Ft)			(%)	(%)	(fpm)	Time (min)		
	1	500.00		-1.00	5.00	4.00	2849	0.211		
						Return Time:	0.211	11	ninutes	
					Tatal Trac					
					Total True	ck Cycle Time:	2.550	n	ninutes	
L	oading Too	ol unit								
Ľ	-	uction	701.83	LCY/Hour		Adjusted for jo	hafficianav	582.	52	LCY/Hour
Transle	Unit Prod		701.85			Aujusicu ioi jo	bo efficiency.		52	LC 1/110ui
TTUCK	Unit Prod	uction	200.00			A 1'	1	240	00	
		_	300.00	LCY/Hour		Adjusted for jo	ob efficiency:	249.	00	LCY/Hour
Optima	al No. of T	rucks:	2	Truck(s)		Selected Numb	er of Trucks:	2		Truck(s)
				Adjustor	hourly truck	team production	on: 498	00	LCY/H	our
				Adjusted single					LCY/H	
			А	djusted multiple	e truck/loader	team production	on: 498	.00	LCY/H	our
	JOB TIN	IE AND	<u>COST</u>							
	Fleet	size:	1	Team(s)	Te	otal job time:	78.72	2	Hours	S

Unit cost:	\$0.765	/LCY

Total job cost: \$29,984

Page 1 of 2

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Grade Topsoil			
Site: Ranch Land Rock Pit	t #2 Permit Action:	2022 Update	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M2003021</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION			
Task #: 003 Date: 3/28/2022 User: TC1	State:ColoradoCounty:Fremont		Abbreviation: Filename:	None Xxx
Agency or organ	nization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST			
	t D9T - 9SU			
Horsepower: 405		_		
	ni-Universal	-		
Attachment: NA		-		
	er day	-		
Data Source: (CF	RG)	-		
Cost Breakdown:		_		
	A I A A A	<u>Utilization %</u>		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$126.01	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$141.41	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$41.30	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>MATERIAL QUANTI</u>	\$308.72 \$308.72 ITIES			
Initial Volume: 8,00 Swell factor: 1.00 Loose volume: 8,00				
Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor:	ŭ	pplication		
HOURLY PRODUCT	ION			
Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production:	200 feet 700.0 LCY/hr			
Materials consistency de	escription: Loose stockpile 1.2			
Average push gradient:	0 %			
Average site altitude:	5,350 feet			
Material weight:	1,600 lbs/LCY		_	
Weight description:	Top Soil			

Job Condition Correction Factor		Source
Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	1.200	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.200	(SLOT)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	1.438	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 1.0312

Adjusted unit production:	721.84 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	721.84 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.428/LCY

Total job time:	11.08 Hours
Total job cost:	\$3,422

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description	: <u>Mo</u>	b/demob equipn	nent				
Ranch Land	Rock Pit #2	Permit	t Action: 2022	Update		Permit/Job#: <u>M</u>	12003021
PROJECT IDE	NTIFICATI	ON					
Task #: 00	5	State: C	olorado		Abbre	eviation: None	
	28/2022		remont			ilename: Mmn	
Agency	or organization	n name: DRMS	5				
EQUIPMENT 7	FRANSPOR	T RIG COST					
					Shift ba Cost Data Sou	rce: CRG Da	ita
Truc	k Tractor Desc	ription: GENH	ERIC ON-HIGH		UCK TRACTO P (2ND HALF,	OR, 6X4, DIESEI 2006)	L POWERED,
Truc	ck Trailer Desc	eription: C		DING GOO		ROP DECK EQU	IPMENT
Cost Breakdown:							
Available Rig C	Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51	+ Tons		
	p Cost/Hour:	\$21.28	\$37.94		47.67		
Operating	g Cost/Hour:	\$26.55	\$50.48	\$	56.21		
	r Cost/Hour:	\$20.54	\$20.54		20.54		
Helpe	r Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$23.53	\$	23.53		
Total Uni	t Cost/Hour:	\$68.37	\$132.49	\$	147.95		
NON ROADAB	BLE EQUIPN	MENT:					
Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return Trip	DOT Permit
Description	Unit	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/unit	Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/ fleet
-	(TONS)	unit			fleet		
Cat D9T - 9SU	60.01	\$126.01	\$147.95	1	\$273.96	\$147.95	\$250.00
CAT 980H	33.12	\$67.72	\$132.49	1	\$200.21	\$132.49	\$250.00
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with Tractor	25.00	\$7.98	\$68.37	1	\$76.35	\$68.37	\$250.00
Power Mulcher (Bowie LD-90)	6.00	\$14.98	\$68.37	1	\$83.35	\$68.37	\$250.00

Subtotals: \$633.87 \$417.18 \$1,000.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Generic 12-18 cy, 6x4	\$106.86	2	\$213.72	\$213.72
		Subtotals:	\$213.72	\$213.72

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:	CAÑON CITY	
Total one-way travel distance:	20.00	miles
Average Travel Speed:	45.00	mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$9,272.97	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$189.97	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.44	0.44
Return Time (Hours):	0.44	0.44
Loading Time (Hours):	2.50	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	2.50	NA
Subtotals:	5.89	0.89

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: 11.78 Hours

Total job cost: **\$9,463**

REVEGETATION WORK

Task description: Rock : Ranch Land Rock Pit #2	eseed 25% of Phase 1 Area Permit Action:	2022 Update	Permit/Job	#: M2003021
PROJECT IDENTIFICAT		2022 opdate		
Task #: 104 Date: 3/30/2022 User: TC1	State: Colorado County: Fremont		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M021-104

FERTILIZING

Materials Description Units / Acre Unit Cost / Unit Cost / Acre \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Total Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre \$0.00

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
		\$
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	
		\$0.00

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$0.00

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Grama - Hachita	0.60	9.79	\$9.59
Indian Ricegrass - Nespar	1.25	4.05	\$11.09
Little Bluestem - Camper	1.00	5.97	\$12.58
Sideoats Grama - Butte	2.25	7.39	\$20.25
Thickspike Wheatgrass - Critana	1.10	3.89	\$7.56
Needle and Thread	1.50	3.96	\$62.78
Rose, Wood's	0.50	0.00	\$10.25
Saltbush, Four Wing	0.50	0.69	\$6.25
Sumac, Skunkbrush	1.00	0.47	\$21.00
Totals Seed Mix	9.70	36.20	\$161.35

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill seeding (MEANS 32 92 19.13 0020)		\$440.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$440.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	1.00	TON	\$307.02	\$307.02
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$307.02

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$71.57
Power mulcher (MEANS 32 91 13.16 0350)		\$106.29
1	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$177.86

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre					\$0.00

JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	2.8	Cost /Acre:	\$1,086.23
Estimated Failure Rate:	25%	Cost /Acre*:	\$1,086.23
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	SEEDING, MULCHING		
Initial Job Cost: \$3,041.44			

Initial Job Cost:	\$3,041.44
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$760.36
Total Job Cost:	\$3,802
Job Hours:	6.00

REVEGETATION WORK

2 Permit Action:	2022 Update	Permit/Job#:	M2003021
ATION		_	
State: Colorado County: Fremont			None M021-304
		State: Colorado County: Fremont	State: Colorado Abbreviation: I County: Fremont Filename: I

FERTILIZING

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer	
			Total Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$0.0

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DMG}	\$96.50
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$96.50

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Grama - Hachita	0.60	9.79	\$9.59
Indian Ricegrass - Nespar	1.25	4.05	\$11.09
Little Bluestem - Camper	1.00	5.97	\$12.58
Sideoats Grama - Butte	2.25	7.39	\$20.25
Thickspike Wheatgrass - Critana	1.10	3.89	\$7.56
Needle and Thread	1.50	3.96	\$62.78
Rose, Wood's	0.50	0.00	\$10.25
Saltbush, Four Wing	0.50	0.69	\$6.25
Sumac, Skunkbrush	1.00	0.47	\$21.00
Totals Seed Mix	9.70	36.20	\$161.35

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill seeding (MEANS 32 92 19.13 0020)		\$440.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$440.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	1.00	TON	\$307.02	\$307.02
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$307.02

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$71.57
Power mulcher (MEANS 32 91 13.16 0350)		\$106.29
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$177.86

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
		Totals	Nursery Stoc	ek Cost / Acre	\$0.00

JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	22.2	Cost /Acre:	\$1,182.73	
Estimated Failure Rate:	25%	Cost /Acre*:	\$1,182.73	
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	TILLING,SEEDIN	IG,MULCHING		
Initial Job Cost: \$26,256.61				

minu 300 Cost.	φ 20,2 20.01
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$6,564.15
Total Job Cost:	\$32,821
Job Hours:	50.00

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Push down highwalls			
Site: Ranch Land Rock Pi	t #2 Permit Action:	2022 Update	Permit/Jol	o#: <u>M2003021</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	<u>CATION</u>			
Task #: A03	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 3/28/2022	County: Fremont		Filename:	M021-A03
User: TC1				
Agency or organ	nization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST			
Basic Machine: Cat	t D9T - 9SU			
Horsepower: 405				
Blade Type: Ser	mi-Universal			
Attachment: NA				
	er day			
Data Source: (CH	RG)			
Cost Breakdown:				
		Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$126.01	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$141.41	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$41.30	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>MATERIAL QUANT</u> Initial Volume: <u>13,2</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u> Loose volume: 13,2	267 00			
Loose volume. <u>13,2</u>	267 LCY			
Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor:		, ~30 ft high (see Task 4	403.1)	
HOURLY PRODUCT	ION			
Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production:	75 feet 1,514.3 LCY/hr			
Materials consistency de	escription: Loose stockpile 1.2			
Average push gradient:	-10 %			
Average site altitude:	5,350 feet			
Material weight:	2,900 lbs/LCY		_	
Weight description:	Decomposed rock - 50% Rock	, 50% Earth		

Job Condition Correction Factor		Source
Operator Skill:	0.750	(AVG.)
Material consistency:	1.200	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.200	(SLOT)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.225	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.6966

Adjusted unit production:	1,054.86 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	1054.86 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.293/LCY

Total job time:	12.58 Hours
Total job cost:	\$3,883





Task # A03.1 Highwall Backfill Volume Estimate