

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:	
RBK Pit No. 30	M-1990-106	Gravel	Pueblo	
INSPECTION TYPE:	INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:	
Monitoring	Patrick Lennberg	October 26, 2021	11:00	
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERATION:		
RBK Construction, Inc.	Guy Baxter	110c - Construction Limited Impact		

REASON FOR INSPECTION:		BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program		Complete Bond	\$14,530.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:		POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA		None	None
WEATHER:	INSPE	CTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:
Clear	Part	trick SS	November 3, 2021

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Revegetation

PROBLEM: Tamarisk (salt cedar) trees are present within or have volunteered into the permit area and are becoming established. This is a problem for failure to employ weed control methods for a state listed noxious weed species within the permitted area, and to reduce the spread of weeds to nearby areas as required by Section 3.1.10 (6) of the rule.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator shall either implement the existing weed control plan, develop a weed control and management plan in accordance with Section 3.1.10 (6) of the Rule, or remove the weeds from the site. This plan should be developed in consultation with the county extension agency, or weed control district office and should include specific control measures to be applied, a schedule for when control measures will be applied and a post-treatment monitoring plan. This weed control plan shall be submitted to the Division as a Technical Revision to the approved plan with the appropriate Technical Revision fee of \$216.00 by the corrective action date. Photographic documentation of the removal of the weeds will also suffice. **CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 12/20/21

INSPECTION TOPIC: Signs & Markers

PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION: Problem: The affected area boundary markers are missing or incorrectly placed. This is a problem for failure to maintain boundary markers around the affected area as required by Section 3.1.12(2) of the rule.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator shall conduct a survey and replace the boundary markers in the correct location(s). The operator shall provide proof to the Division that this has been done by the corrective action date. Proof shall be in the form of a map that shows the permit boundary, coordinates of each corner, and the extent of the affected area.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 12/20/21

OBSERVATIONS

The RBK Pit No. 30 (RBK Pit) was inspected by Patrick Lennberg with the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division/DRMS). The inspection was completed as part of the Division's routine monitoring inspection program. The site was previously inspected by the Division on September 6, 2018 as part of the routine monitoring program. Guy Baxter was onsite during the inspection. The weather was clear and windy.

The RBK Pit is a 110c Construction Materials Operation and is permitted 9.9 acres. The pit is located on land owned by Kirkland Property Holdings LLC and James and Mary Kirkland. The site is located approximately 4 miles east of Pueblo in Pueblo County and the mine entrance is on the east side of Baxter Road, 0.8 miles south of the intersection of Hwy 50 and Baxter Rd (CO 233). Affected lands will be reclaimed to support a post-mining land use of wildlife habitat. A mine sign was posted at the mine entrance as required by Rule 3.1.12.

At the last inspection the Operators representative stated the pit was in final reclamation this statement was also made during 2013 inspection. During this inspection the permit area had been mined, as recently as earlier this year and it appears all 9.9 acres have been mined. The site was not active at the time of inspection. The pond that was in the northwestern area of the permit has been filled in and now there is a pond area in the southeastern corner. Additionally, there is a shallow trench that extends along the southern border of the permit where the pit has not yet been backfilled. The area of the trench and pond together is estimated to be 1.5 acres. The site does have a permanent augmentation plan for 4 acres of exposed groundwater from a 2016 water court case No. 2007CW129.

During the inspection the permit boundary markers could not be located and this is being cited as a problem pursuant to Rule 3.1.12(2) which states the boundaries of the affected area will be marked by monuments or other markers that are clearly visible and adequate to delineate such boundaries. After the inspection during the file review it was determined the map that has been submitted since 2014 for the annual report is incorrect. The map shows the permit boundary to be a rectangle that is 1,300 feet by 700 feet (attachment 1). The area shown on the map is approximately 21 acres in size. The permit is only for 9.9 acres. As part of the problem resolution the Division requires the Operator to provide an accurate map that shows the permit boundary for the 9.9 acre site, the affected area, and provide the coordinates of each boundary corner.

The pit area does have Saltcedar, or Tamarisk (Tamarix spp.), and a Russian-olive trees growing along and in the pit area, these were also noted in the previous two inspection reports. Saltcedar and Russian olive trees are List B Noxious Weeds in Colorado. Having state-listed noxious weeds growing at the site is being cited as a problem in this report. The Operator must begin mitigation efforts to control these in order to assist in future reclamation efforts.

There appears to sufficient topsoil stockpiled at the site to complete reclamation. Additionally there is a stockpile of shale material mixed with reject material. It is unclear where this material came from but it is believed to have come from the bottom of the pit area.

The financial warranty was recalculated as part of this inspection and it was determined that the current bond amount held is inadequate. A copy of the Division's estimate is attached for review. The operator will have two weeks from the signature date of this report to review the cost estimate before the Division issues a

surety increase for the site.

Photographs taken during the inspection are attached.

Please contact Patrick Lennberg (303)866-3567 ext. 8114 or email at <u>patrick.lennberg@state.co.us</u> if you have any questions regarding this report.

Inspection Contact Address

Ronda Neumeister RBK Construction, Inc. P.O. Box 387 Rye, CO 81069

Attachments: 2021 Annual Report Map Saltcedar Fact Sheet Russian-olive Tree Fact Sheet Financial Warranty Cost Estimate

cc: Jared Ebert, DRMS

ec: Brooke Boisvert, Kirkland Construction, <u>brooke@kirklandconstruction.us</u>

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: Mine sign at mine entrance location



Photo 2: Pond in the southeastern portion of permit area



Photo 3: Looking from the main gate towards the northwestern corner of permit area



Photo 4: Russian olive trees along the northwestern corner of permit area



Photo 5: Saltcedar and Russian olive trees along western border of permit area



Photo 6: Overburden stockpile, center of picture, topsoil stockpile to the right



Photo 7: Looking east from the southwestern corner area along the southern permit boundary



Photo 8: Looking east from the top of the overburden stockpile



Photo 9: Looking west along the southern boundary of the permit



Photo 10: Google Earth Image dated from March 2021

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>N</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION PB
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>PB</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP N
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>N</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

Attachments



List B species

Colorado Department of Agriculture

305 Interlocken Pkwy Broomfield, CO 80021

(303) 869-9030 weeds@state.co.us

Saltcedar Identification and Management



Identification and Impacts

C altcedar, or tamarisk (Tamarix Spp.), is a non-native deciduous evergreen shrub or small tree that grows from 5 to 20 feet tall. The bark on saplings and stems is reddish-brown. The leaves are small, scale-like and bluish-green in color. Tiny pink to white flowers have five petals and grow on slender racemes. Saltcedar reproduces by seeds as well as vegetatively. A mature plant can produce up to 600,000 seeds per year. Seeds are viable for up to 45 days under ideal conditions. Saltcedar buds break dormancy in February or March. Flowering occurs anytime between April and August. Ideal conditions for saltcedar seedling survival are saturated soil during the first few weeks of life, a high water table, and open sunny ground with little competition from other plants.

Saltcedar was introduced from central Asia, northern Africa, and southern Europe for ornamental purposes and for stream bank stabilization. It is now widespread in the United States. Saltcedar crowds out native stands of riparian and wetland vegetation. Saltcedar increases salinity of surface soil, rendering the soil inhospitable to native plant species. Saltcedar can be found along floodplains, riverbanks, streambanks, marshes, and irrigation ditches. It's heavy use of water has contributed to the intensity of the drought.

The most effective method of control for saltcedar is to prevent its establishment through proper land management. Monitor susceptible areas for new infestations. An integrated weed management approach has proven to be an effective control when dealing with saltcedar. Details on the back of this sheet can help to create a management plan compatible with your site ecology.

Saltcedar is designated as a "List B" species on the Colorado Noxious Weed Act. It is required to be either eradicated, contained, or suppressed depending on the local infestations. For more information, please visit <u>www.colorado.gov/ag/csd</u> and click on the Noxious Weed Program link. Or call the State Weed Coordinator of the Colorado Department of Agriculture, Conservation Services Division, 303-239-4100.



Plant and flower photos © Kelly Uhing. Leaf photo © USDA Aphis PPQ. Infestation photo above, © Steve Dewey, Invasive.org. Tamarisk branch © Stevens County, WA Noxious Weed Control Board

Saltcedar



Key ID Points

- 1. Saltcedar is a tall shrub or small tree that has white to pink flowers in clusters called racimes.
- 2. Leaves are small and scaly.

Updated on: 07/2015 Tamarıx spi

Integrated Weed Management recommendations

List B Species







CULTURAL

After a saltcedar infestation is managed, revegetation is necessary in order to protect the soil resource and reduce the threat of reinvasion. Seeded grasses, willow stakes, and cottonwood cuttings can reduce the chances of saltcedar reinvading managed sites.

BIOLOGICAL

The saltcedar leaf beetle (*Diorhabda elongata*) larvae and adults feed on foliage. This causes stem dieback and potential death of the plant if defoliation is consistent. The leaf beetle should be available for limited distribution. For more information, contact the Palisade Insectary of the Colorado Department of Agriculture, 970-464-7916.

MECHANICAL

A bulldozer or prescribed fire can be used to open up large stands of saltcedar. These methods must be followed up with a herbicide treatment of the resprouts when they are 1 to 2 meters tall. Chainsaws, or loppers for smaller plants, are effective for cut-stump treatments to smaller infestations or in environmentally-sensitive management areas.

Integrated Weed Management:

Select the appropriate control method based on the size of the area and other environmental or cultural considerations. Re-seed controlled areas with desirable species to protect the soil resource and to prevent or slow saltcedar reinvasion. Follow up control efforts the same growing season and for several years afterwards.

HERBICIDES: The following are recommendations for herbicides that can be applied to range and pasturelands. Rates are approximate and based on hand-held equipment with an output of 30 gallons per acre. Always read, understand, and follow the label directions. The herbicide label is the LAW!

Herbicide	Rate	Application Timing
Triclopyr (Garlon 4,	20-30% solution in	Cut-Stump Treatment: Apply to the cambial layer of
Remedy)	basal bark oil. The	the tree immediately after the cut-stump treatment
	herbicide Pathfinder	and to roots above soil surface. (Summer to fall)
	comes pre-mixed in	Basal Bark Treatment: Spray till wet but not dripping
	oil and does not	the roots above soil surface, root collar, and lower
	require dilution.	trunk to a height of 12-15 inches above ground
		(Summer to fall)
Glyphosate* (Rodeo -	Undiluted (100%	Cut-Stump Treatment: Apply to the cambial layer of
approved aquatic	solution) or 50%	the tree immediately after the cut-stump treatment
label)	solution in basil	and to roots above soil surface. Diluted solutions
	bark oil	requires regular agitation. (Summer to fall)
Triclopyr (Garlon 4,	3 qts. Garlon 4/acre	Broadcast foliar treatment: Apply when plants are
Remedy) +	+ 7 oz.	growing rapidly. (May to September)
Aminopyralid	Milestone/acre +	
(Milestone)	0.25% v/v non-ionic	
	surfactant	
Note: *These products	are non-selective and	will kill any vegetation contacted.
Addition	al herbicide recommen	dations for other species can be found at:
www.co	lorado.gov/agconservat	tion/CSUHerbicideRecommendations.pdf

Management Recomendations

2

All photos © Kelly Uhing.

Russian Olive Identification and Management



Russian olive (Elaeagnus Rangustifoilia) is a perennial tree or shrub that is native in Europe and Asia. The plant has olive-shaped fruits, silver color at first then becoming yellowred when mature. Russian olive can reproduce by seed or root suckers. Seeds are readily spread by birds and can remain viable for up to 3 years. Spring moisture and slightly alkaline soil tend to favor seedling growth. The plant's extensive root system sprouts root suckers frequently. The tree can reach up to 30 feet in height with branches that have 1 to 2 inch thorns. Leaves are 2 to 3 inches long. alternate, narrow, and have simple blades with smooth edges. The leaf's lower surface is silvery white, while the upper surface is light green in color. Flowers are 4 small sepals in light yellow clusters, fragrant, and appear May through June. Fruits mature from September to November. Russian olive twigs are flexible,

reddish, and have surfaces coated with gray and scaly pubescence, becoming smooth.

nce thought to be a beneficial windbreak tree, it since has been deemed detrimental to the environment. Russian olive can grow in a variety of soil and moisture conditions, but prefers open, moist, riparian zones. It is shade tolerant and can be found along streams, floodplains, fields and open areas up to approximately 8,000 feet in elevation. Russian-olive can outcompete native plants, interfere with natural plant succession and nutrient cycling, and tax water reserves. Because Russian olive is capable of fixing nitrogen in its roots, it can grow on bare, mineral substrates and dominate riparian vegetation. Although Russian olive provides a plentiful source of edible fruits for birds, ecologists have found that bird species richness is actually higher in riparian areas dominated by native vegetation.

The key to effective control of Russian olive is preventing establishment of the trees or shrubs. If plants are already present, control options include cut-stump treatments and mechanical mowing. These treatments depend on size and location of the plant. Details on the back of this sheet can help you create a management plan compatible with your site ecology.



Russian olive is designated as a "List B" species in the Colorado Noxious Weed Act. It is required to be either eradicated, contained, or suppressed depending on the local infestations. For more information visit www.colorado. gov/ag/weeds and click on the Noxious Weed Management Program. Or call the State Weed Coordinator at the Colorado Department of Agriculture, Conservation Services Division, 303-239-4100.







aeagnus



© Patrick Breen, OSU

Key ID Points

- 1. Leaves are silvery white.
- 2. Branches have 1 to 2 inch thorns.
- 3. Yellow-red fruits on mature plants.
- Mature trees have shedding, reddish-brown bark.

List B

Integrated Weed Management Recommendations

Integrated weed management offers the most effective combination of control efforts through the "cut stump" treatment. Trees are cut down with a hatchet or chainsaw, then immediately treated with an approved herbicide on the surface of the cut stump. The most effective timing is late summer/early fall for herbicide transfer into the roots.



CULTURAL

Replace Russian olives with native trees. Prevent establishment of new trees by removing seedlings and saplings before they mature. Contact your local Natural Resources Conservation Service for recommendations of other possible trees or shrubs.

James Miller, USF



BIOLOGICAL

Tubercularia canker is an unapproved biocontrol. However, it overwinters on infected stems and spreads via rain-splash, animals, or pruning implements to open wounds in the bark. Infected tissue becomes discolored or sunken. Entire stems may be girdled and killed, and the disease can deform or kill stressed plants over time.

MECHANICAL

Saplings can be pulled with a weed-wrench or cut with brushcutters. Trees can be girdled or cut with chainsaws. However, stump sprouting commonly occurs after cutting down the tree; and stump excavation without removing all parts of the roots can result in root sprouting. Treating cut-stumps with an herbicide can eliminate sprouting. Stump burning is practical when conditions support a long, hot fire and most effective in summer or early fall. Saplings are most sensitive to mechanical treatment.

CHEMICAL

The table below includes recommendations for herbicides that can be applied to range and pasturelands. Always read, understand, and follow the label directions. The herbicide label is the LAW!

Herbicide	Rate	Application Timing				
Triclopyr (Garlon	20-30% solution in	Cut-Stump Treatment: Apply to the cambial layer of the tree				
4, Remedy)	basal bark oil. The	immediately after the cut-stump treatment and to roots above				
	herbicide Pathfinder	soil surface. (Summer to fall; fall treatments showed fewer re-				
	comes pre-mixed in oil growth) Basal Bark Treatment: Spray till wet but not dripping;					
	and does not require	the roots above soil surface, root collar, and lower trunk to a				
	dilution.	n. height of 12-15 inches above ground (Late summer to fall)				
Glyphosate*	Undiluted (100%	Cut-Stump Treatment: Apply to the cambial layer of the tree				
(Rodeo -	solution) or 50%	immediately after the cut-stump treatment and to roots above				
approved	solution in basil bark	soil surface. Diluted solutions requires regular agitation.				
aquatic label)	oil	Treat summer to fall; fall treatments showed fewer re-growth.				
Note: *These products are non-selective and will kill any vegetation contacted.						
Addi	tional herbicide recomm	endations for this and other species can be found at:				
	www.colorado.gov/agco	nservation/CSUHerbicideRecommendations.pdf				



Colorado Department of Agriculture - Conservation Services 305 Interlocken Parkway Broomfield, CO 80021 303-869-9030 www.colorado.gov/ag/weeds



dede

Updated: 07/2015

COST SUMMARY WORK

Т	Task descrip	ption:	Cost Summary					
Site:	RBK Pit	No. 30	Pe	rmit Action:	2021 Insp	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M1990106</u>	
<u>P</u>]	ROJECT	IDENTIFIC	CATION					
	Task #:	000	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None	
	Date:	11/3/2021	County:	Pueblo		Filename:	M106-000	
	User:	JPL						
	Age	ency or organi	zation name: DI	RMS				

TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)

Task	Description	Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost
001	Spread Reject Stockpile Material	DOZERGRA	1	4.00	\$1,001
		DER			
002	Rip Permit Area	RIPPER	1	14.33	\$3,731
003	SpreadTopsoil 6" over 9.9 acres	DOZER	1	34.22	\$8,567
004	Revegetate the Site	REVEGE	1	10.00	\$12,483
005	Mob/Demob	MOBILIZE	1	2.29	\$3,117
		<u>SUBTO</u>	TALS:	64.84	\$28,899

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$584
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$303
Job superintendent:	30.00	Total =	\$2,161
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$2,890
		TOTAL O & P =	\$5,938
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) =	\$34,837

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation: Reclamation management and/or administration:	\$500 4.25 5.00	Total = Total =	\$500 \$1,481 \$1,742
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
	TOTAL	INDIRECT COST =	\$9,660
TOTAL BO	OND AMOUNT (direct + indirect) =	\$38,559

DOZERGRADER WORK

Task description:	Spread Reject	Stockpile Mat	erial		
e: RBK Pit No. 30	P	ermit Action:	2021 Insp	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M1990106</u>
PROJECT IDENT	IFICATION				
Task #: 001	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: <u>11/3/20</u>	021 County:	Pueblo		Filename:	M106-001
User: JPL					
Agency or of	rganization name: <u> </u>	DRMS			
	AENT COST				
HOURLY EQUIPM					
Basic Machine:	Cat D8T - 8SU		_		
Horsepower: _ Blade Type:			_		
Attachment:	3-shank ripper		_		
Shift Basis:	1 per day		_		
Data Source:	- p		_		
Cost Breakdown:					
<u> </u>			Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Ho	ur:	\$97.46	NA		
Operating Cost/Ho	ur:	\$97.63	100		
Ripper ov Cost/Ho		\$15.19	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Ho	ur:	\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Ho	ur:	\$40.04	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour	:: \$250.32				
Total Fleet Cost/Hou	ır: \$250.32				

Unit cost:	\$250.32/LCY
Total job time:	4.00 Hours
Total job cost:	\$1,001

BULLDOZER RIPPING WORK

Task descripti	on: Rip	Permit Area					
Site: _ RBK Pit N	o. 30	Permit Action:	2021 Insp		Permit/Job#	#: <u>M19901</u>	06
PROJECT II	DENTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #:	002	State: Colorado		Abbi	reviation:	None	
	11/3/2021	County: Pueblo			Filename:	M106-002	
	JPL	J			_		
Agen	cy or organization	name: DRMS					-
HOURLY E	DUIPMENT CO	<u>DST</u>					
Bas	ic Machine: Ca	t D8T - 8SU		Horsepower:	3	10	
Ripper A	Attachment: 3-	Shank Ripper		Shift Basis:		er day	-
				Data Source:	(C.	RG)	-
Cost Breakdow	<u>n:</u>		I				
	Orana analia C	• • • / / I • • • • •		Utilization %			
	Ownership C Operating C		\$97.46 \$97.63	NA 100	-		
Rit	oper Ownership C		¢15 10	NA	-		
	ipper Operating C	ost/Hour:	\$9.94	100	-		
	Operator C		\$40.04	NA	_		
	Total Unit C	ost/Hour:	\$260.26				
	Total Fleet C	ost/Hour: \$26	0.26				
MATERIAL	QUANTITIES	Sele	cted estimating	method: Area	1		
Alternate Meth	ods:				-		
nic: NA		Bank Volume:	NA	BCY		NA	
rea: 9.90	acres	Rip Depth (ft):	-	Volume:	7,986	1111	BCY or C
	Source of estiv	mated quantity: Origin					
		inated quantity. Origin			L		-
HOURLY PI	<u>RODUCTION</u>						
Seismic:							
		Seismic Velocity:	NA	feet/sec	cond		
Area:							
		e Ripping Depth:	2.56	feet/pas			
		e Ripping Width:	7.08	feet/pas			
		e Ripping Length:	700.00	feet/pas			
		age Dozer Speed: Maneuver Time:	<u>88.00</u> 0.25	feet/minutes			
		tion per unit area:	0.832	acres/h			
Job Condition (Correction Factors						
τ	Jnadjusted Hourly	Unit Production:	0.832	Acres/h	ır		
	5	Site Altitude:	4,600	feet			
		Altitude Adj:	1.00	(CAT H	HB)		
		Job Efficiency:	0.83	(1 shift			
		Net Correction:	0.83	multipl	ier		
	Adjusted	Hourly Unit Production:	0.69	Acres/hr			
	Adjusted	Hourly Fleet Production:	0.69	Acres/hr			
JOB TIME A	ND COST						
Fleet size:	1	Grader(s)	Total job time	:1	4.34	Hours	
Unit cost:	\$376.868	Per acre	Total job cos	t: \$	3,731		

CIRCES Cost Estimating Software

Page 1 of 2

BULLDOZER WORK

RBK Pit No. 30	Permit Action:	2021 Insp	Permit/Job#:	M1990106
PROJECT IDENTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>			
Task #: 003 Date: 11/3/2021 User: JPL	State:ColoradoCounty:Pueblo		Abbreviation: No. 100 Filename: 00	one)3
Agency or organization	n name: DRMS			
IOURLY EQUIPMENT CO	<u>OST</u>			
Basic Machine: Cat D8T -	- 8SU			
Horsepower: 310				
Blade Type: Semi-Univ				
Attachment: <u>3-shank ri</u>	pper			
Shift Basis: <u>1 per day</u>				
Data Source: (CRG)				
Cost Breakdown:				
		Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$97.46	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$97.63	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:	\$15.19	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$40.04	NA		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250).32			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 ATERIAL QUANTITIES Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000).32			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 /ATERIAL QUANTITIES Initial Volume: <u>8,000</u>).32			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 IATERIAL QUANTITIES Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000).32			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell	0.32			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 MATERIAL QUANTITIES Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: 1	0.32			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 IATERIAL QUANTITIES Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: HOURLY PRODUCTION Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly	Reclamation Plan Cat Handbook 260 feet 357.2 LCY/hr			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: HOURLY PRODUCTION Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description Average push 0 %	Reclamation Plan Cat Handbook 260 feet 357.2 LCY/hr			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: IOURLY PRODUCTION Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description Average push 0 % gradient:	Reclamation Plan Cat Handbook 260 feet 357.2 LCY/hr			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 IATERIAL QUANTITIES Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: 1000 LCY Materials consistency description Average push distance: Materials consistency description 0 % gradient: 4,600	Reclamation Plan Cat Handbook 260 feet 357.2 LCY/hr on: Loose stockpile 1.2			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 IATERIAL QUANTITIES Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: 1000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: 1000 LCY Mound of the system 1000 LCY Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description Average push 0 % gradient: 4,600 Material weight: 2,100	A.32			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: \$250 IATERIAL QUANTITIES Initial Volume: 8,000 Swell factor: 1.000 Loose volume: 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: 8,000 LCY Initial Volume: 9,000 LCY 8,000 LCY Source of estimated volume: Source of estimated swell factor: IOURLY PRODUCTION Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency description Average push 0 % gradient: 4,600 Material weight: 2,100	A A B A 			

Material consistency:	1.200	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.000	(GEN.)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	1.095	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.6544

Adjusted unit production:	233.75 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	233.75 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$1.071/LCY

Total job time:	34.22 Hours
Total job cost:	\$8,567

REVEGETATION WORK

Tε	ask descrip	otion:	Revegetate the Site			
Site:	RBK Pit	No. 30	Permit Action:	2021 Insp	Permit/Job	#: M1990106
<u>PR</u>	OJECT	IDENTIFIC	CATION			
	Task #:	004	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
	Date:	11/3/2021	County: Pueblo		Filename:	004
	User:	JPL				

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer Materials	
			Cost/Acre	\$0.00

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DMG}	\$96.50
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$96.50

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Alkali Sacaton	0.30	11.71	\$8.54
Sand Dropseed	0.10	11.94	\$0.98
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	2.70	8.86	\$22.61
Yellow Sweet Clover - Madrid	0.70	4.18	\$1.98
Western Wheatgrass - Arriba	4.80	12.12	\$31.20
Totals Seed Mix	8.60	48.81	\$65.31

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		\$232.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$232.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$307.02	\$614.04
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$614.04

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$71.57
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$71.57

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre					\$0.00

JOB TIME AND COST

Estimate	No. of Acres: ed Failure Rate:		Cost /Acre: Cost /Acre*:	
*Selected Replanti	ng Work Items:	SEEDING		
Initial Job Cost:	\$10,686.26			
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$441.51			
Total Job Cost:	\$11,128			
Job Hours:	10.00			

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task descrip	ption:	Mol	b/Demob					
RBK Pit	No. 30		Permit	Action: <u>2021</u>	Insp	·	Permit/Job#: <u>N</u>	11990106
PROJECT	IDENTIF	ICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #:	005		State: Co	olorado		Abbro	eviation: None	e
Date: User:	11/3/2023 JPL	1	County: Pu	eblo		F	ilename: 005	
Ag	ency or orga	anization	name: DRMS					
EQUIPME	NT TRAN	SPOR	<u>F RIG COST</u>					
						Shift ba	asis: 1 per d	ay
						Cost Data Sou	rce: CRG D	ata
	Truck Tract	tor Descr	ription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH		UCK TRACT(P (2ND HALF,	OR, 6X4, DIESE 2006)	L POWERED,
	Truck Trai	ler Descr	ription: G		ING GOO	DSENECK, DI	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
	Truck Trai	ler Descr	ription: G		ING GOO	· · ·	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
[°] ost Breakdo		ler Descr	iption: G		ING GOO	DSENECK, DI	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Cost Breakdo	own:				DING GOO	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A)	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Available	<u>own:</u> Rig Capacit	ties	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	DING GOO TRAILER	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, Al + Tons	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Available I Own	own: Rig Capacit ership Cost/	ties Hour:	0-25 Tons \$21.28	26-50 Tons \$37.94	DING GOO FRAILER 51 \$	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Available I Own Ope	own: Rig Capacit ership Cost/ erating Cost/	ties Hour: Hour:	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48	PING GOO TRAILER 51 \$ \$	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Available I Own Ope Op	own: Rig Capacit ership Cost/ erating Cost/ perator Cost/	ties /Hour: /Hour: /Hour:	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54	PING GOO TRAILER 51 \$ \$ \$ \$	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Available D Own Ope Op	own: Rig Capaci t ership Cost/ erating Cost/ perator Cost/ Helper Cost/	ties Hour: Hour: Hour: Hour:	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53	PING GOO FRAILER 51 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Available D Own Ope Op	own: Rig Capacit ership Cost/ erating Cost/ perator Cost/	ties Hour: Hour: Hour: Hour:	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54	PING GOO FRAILER 51 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Available D Own Ope Op H Tota	own: Rig Capaci t ership Cost/ erating Cost/ herator Cost/ Helper Cost/ helper Cost/ helper Cost/	ties /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: /Hour:	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00 \$68.37	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53	PING GOO FRAILER 51 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53	ROP DECK EQU	JIPMENT
Available J Own Ope Op F Tota	own: Rig Capaci t ership Cost/ erating Cost/ helper Cost/ d Unit Cost/ DABLE E	ties /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: 2QUIPM	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00 \$68.37 IENT:	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53 \$132.49	VING GOO FRAILER	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53 147.95	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T)	
Available I Own Ope Op F Tota NON ROA	Dwn: Rig Capacit ership Cost/ erating Cost/ Helper Cost/ I Unit Cost/ DABLE E W	ties /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: COUIPN /eight/	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00 \$68.37 IENT: Owner ship	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53 \$132.49 Haul Rig	Fleet	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53 147.95 Haul Trip	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T)	DOT Permit
Available J Own Ope Op F Tota	Dwn: Rig Capacit ership Cost/ erating Cost/ Helper Cost/ I Unit Cost/ DABLE E W h	ties /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: /Hour: /GUIPM /eight/ nit	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00 \$68.37 IENT:	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53 \$132.49 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni	VING GOO FRAILER	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53 147.95 Haul Trip Cost/hr/	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T)	
Available I Own Ope Op I Tota NON ROA	Dwn: Rig Capacit ership Cost/ perator Cost/ Helper Cost/ I Unit Cost/ DABLE E W N (T	ties 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'OUIPM 'eight/ nit 'ONS)	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00 \$68.37 1 Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53 \$132.49 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	Fleet Size	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53 147.95 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Available I Own Ope Op I Tota NON ROA Machine Descriptior Cat D8T - 85	Rig Capacit ership Cost/ erating Cost/ berator Cost/ delper Cost/ d Unit Cost/ DABLE E W n Un T Un T SU	ties 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: ''	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00 \$68.37 IENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit \$112.65	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53 \$132.49 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t \$147.95	Fleet Size	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53 147.95 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$260.60	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$147.95	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet \$250.00
Available I Own Ope Op I Tota NON ROA Machine Description Cat D8T - 88 Drill/Broadc	Rig Capacit ership Cost/ erating Cost/ berator Cost/ delper Cost/ d Unit Cost/ DABLE E W n Un T Un T SU	ties 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'OUIPM 'eight/ nit 'ONS)	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00 \$68.37 1 Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53 \$132.49 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	Fleet Size	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53 147.95 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Available I Own Ope Op I Tota NON ROA Machine Descriptior Cat D8T - 88 Drill/Broadc Seeder with	Rig Capacit ership Cost/ erating Cost/ berator Cost/ delper Cost/ d Unit Cost/ DABLE E W n Un T Un T SU	ties 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: ''	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00 \$68.37 IENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit \$112.65	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53 \$132.49 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t \$147.95	Fleet Size	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53 147.95 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$260.60	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$147.95	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet \$250.00
Available I Own Ope Op I Tota NON ROA Machine Description Cat D8T - 88 Drill/Broadc	Rig Capacit ership Cost/ erating Cost/ perator Cost/ deper Cost/ al Unit Cost/ DABLE E W n Un SU 53 cast 25	ties 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Aour: 'Hour: 'Hour: 'Aour: 'Hour: 'Aour: 'Aour: 'Hour: 'Aour:	0-25 Tons \$21.28 \$26.55 \$20.54 \$0.00 \$68.37 IENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit \$112.65	26-50 Tons \$37.94 \$50.48 \$20.54 \$23.53 \$132.49 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t \$147.95	Fleet Size	DSENECK, DI (25T, 50T, A) + Tons 47.67 56.21 20.54 23.53 147.95 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$260.60	ROP DECK EQU ND 100T) Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$147.95	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet \$250.00

Subtotals: **\$496.65 \$353.06 \$1,000.00**

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
		Subtotals:	\$0.00	\$0.00

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance: Average Travel Speed:	PUEBLO 4.00 55.00	miles
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$3,116.89	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$0.00	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.07	0.07
Return Time (Hours):	0.07	0.07
Loading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Subtotals:	1.15	0.15

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **2.29** Hours

Total job cost: \$3,117