

STATE OF
COLORADO

Scott - DNR, Eric <eric.scott@state.co.us>

File #M1976007-Denver Brick Company Proposal to Convert from 110 to 112 Permit1 message

Brandon Alan <brandon@pureaire.net>

Tue, Oct 26, 2021 at 10:53 PM

To: "Eric.scott@state.co.us" <Eric.scott@state.co.us>, "drms_info@state.co.us" <drms_info@state.co.us>

Brandon Carrino

[1520 Jesse Ln.](#)[Golden, CO 80403](#)

847-636-6063

Brandon@pureaire.net

Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety

1313 Sherman Street, Rm. 215

[Denver, CO 80203](#)Sent via email: Eric.scott@state.co.us ; drms_info@state.co.usPosted at: <https://dnrlaserfiche.state.co.us/Forms/DRMS> Comment

Subject:

File #M1976007-Denver Brick Company Proposal to Convert from 110 to 112 Permit

To Whom it may concern:

I object to the mining application referenced above for the reasons set forth below. I politely request that the application be denied by the DRMS Board. Stir water runoff from the ACME mine expansion and storage is an obvious concern for the Palustrine area, and also for the tributary of Van Bibber Creek just to the north. This tributary is mapped as a Zone AE on the FEMA floodplain, as well as on the CNHP wetlands mapper.

In the screenshots below, you will see a violation of Stormwater Planning assessed against ACME Brick. This does not instill confidence that our local watersheds will be protected by ACME's expansion plans.

How can ACME insure that they can adequately protect our streams and wetlands from mining and materials storage runoff if they have a history of negligence?

This one details out a lack of an updated stormwater plan in Arkansas. With the proximity of the palustrine area and the creek, this one was conspicuous...

<https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/downloads/webdatabases/inspectionsonline/041078-insp.pdf>

This one is an EPA violation regarding stormwater as well...

https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2020-08/documents/acme_esa_respondent_signed-short.pdf

This is another stormwater issue in Arkansas...

<https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/downloads/WebDatabases/InspectionsOnline/088302-insp.pdf>

I ask that you deny the Permit Conversion based on the fact Acme has violations which are well documented, and would directly conflict with your leasing agreement with the leaser.

Please see: CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS REGULAR 112 OPERATION RECLAMATION PERMIT APPLICATION FORM

https://www.dropbox.com/s/y1mbkbe13fk11z9/2021-08-02_REVISION%20-%20M1976007UG.pdf?dl=0

Yours Truly,

Brandon Carrino