

Simmons - DNR, Leigh <leigh.simmons@state.co.us>

Thu, Sep 30, 2021 at 12:1

Request for Adjudicated Hearing C1983059

Mike Ludlow <Mike.Ludlow@oxbow.com> To: "Simmons - DNR, Leigh" <leigh.simmons@state.co.us> Cc: Doug Smith <Doug.Smith@oxbow.com>, Roy Schorsch <Roy.Schorsch@oxbow.com>, Scott Stewart <Scott.Stewart@oxbow.com>

Dear Mr. Simmons,

Please accept this Email as a request for an Adjudicated Hearing for the proposed surety increase for permit C1983059 as published in the Delta County Independent on 9-29-2021. Rule 3.02.2(4) requires that the performance bond be adjusted for good cause when the future cost of reclamation changes. The division's cost estimates include structures that have been removed which clearly changes the future cost of reclamation. The removal of these structures can be seen and is documented in the Divisions inspection report dated 2020-12-02 and is attached to this email. Oxbow is objection to the calculations for the future cost of reclamation.

If this is not the correct format to request an Adjudicated Hearing, please contact me for the proper procedure.

Page 195 Section 3.02.2

113(5)

(4) The amount of the performance bond required shall be adjusted for good cause as affected land acreages are increased or decreased or when the cost of future reclamation changes including the cost of abating any violation for which a notice of violation has been issued. The Division shall review the amount of bond required for a permit area and the terms of acceptance of the bond at the time permit reviews are conducted under 2.08.3 or every two and one-half years, whichever is more frequent. The procedures for any adjustment shall include:

Thank you,

Michael W Ludlow

President

Oxbow Mining, LLC

9/30/21, 2:26 PM

Elk Creek Mine

P.O. Box 535

Somerset, CO 81434

970-929-5494 Office

970-261-5142 Cell

3 attachments

Page 155 Section 3.82.2

(b) (6) The arease of the performance load regards dud be adjusted for poor acus as attends had acuigan as increased in discussed are when the cost of frame substantian (charging including) the cost of dusting any dud in vestor the answers of board acquired for a portion acus and for terms of a corporate of the board in the tange point acus and for terms of a corporate of the board in the tange point acus, whichever is nonshared and/or 2003-50 every twe and avoided (ratio, whichever is now theorem. The proceedings of the object of the dust of the object. The proceeding for any dust of the dust of the object of the dust CDRMS Rule 3.02.2(4).png 81K

2020-12-02_INSPECTION - C1983059.pdf 6814K

2020-12-02_INSPECTION - C1983059.pdf 6814K