CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk
NPDES ID. No.:	Pond #1
Inspection Period:	Second Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	<u>6/30/2021</u>

#### General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

This pond is located West of the industrial building and serves as a mine water settling and water storage pond.

#### EMBANKMENT

1.	Adequacy of the vegetative cover:	Excellent	Moderate	Few	Poor
2.	Erosion forming Gullies:	Extensive	Some	Few	None
3.	Is wave action causing erosion:				
	On the upstream embankment?	Yes		No	Х
	At the principal spillway inlet?			No	Х
4.	Erosion of the downstream toe of the embankment?	Yes		No	Х
	Cause of erosion can be attributed to:				
5.	Is seepage occurring through the dam?	Yes		No	Х
	Could this seepage cause potential instabilit	y?			
PRINICI	PAL SPILLWAY				
1.	Is the principal spillway system in working order?	Yes	х	No	
2.	Is the inlet free of debris and restrictive material?	Yes	Х	No	
3.	Is the discharge outlet free of restrictive material?	Yes	Х	No	
4.	Is erosion occurring at the discharge outlet?	Yes		No	Х

Evaluate the severity:	Extensive
------------------------	-----------

Moderate	

Just Starting None

NPDES ID. No.: 1

### **EMERGENCY SPILLWAY**

1. Does it appear that the emergency spillway has discharged water since the last inspection?

		YES	NO	Х
2.	Is erosion occurring at any section of the emergency	spillway?		
		YES	NO	Х
SEDMIN	MENT STORAGE CAPACITY			
1.	Has the design storage capacity of the reservoir been	n surpassed?		
		YES	NO	X
	Explain: No sediment in pond			

### **OTHER OBSERVATIONS**

Pond liner is in good working condition at time of inspection. Pond was holding water at time of Inspection. The mine seldomly pumps into pump as water has not risen much since mining began in late May.

CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk
NPDES ID. No.:	Pond #4
Inspection Period:	Second Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	6/30/2021

#### General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

This sediment control pond lies west of the Development Waste Pile. The majority of run-off form this waste pile flows to this pond. It has never received sufficient inflow to discharge.

#### EMBANKMENT

1.	Adequacy of the vegetative cover:	Excellent	Moderate	Few	Poor
2.	Erosion forming Gullies:	Extensive	Some	Few	None
3.	Is wave action causing erosion:				
	On the upstream embankment?	Yes		No	Х
	At the principal spillway inlet?	Yes		No	Х
4.	Erosion of the downstream toe of the embankment	? Yes		No	Х
	Cause of erosion can be attributed to:				
5.	Is seepage occurring through the dam?	Yes		No	х
	Could this seepage cause potential instabil	ity?			
PRINICI	PAL SPILLWAY				
1.	Is the principal spillway system in working order?	Yes	Х	No	
2.	Is the inlet free of debris and restrictive material?	Yes	X	No	
3.	Is the discharge outlet free of restrictive material?	Yes	X	No	
4.	Is erosion occurring at the discharge outlet?	Yes		No	Х

Evaluate the severity:

Moderate

None

Just Starting

Extensive

### **EMERGENCY SPILLWAY**

1. Does it appear that the emergency spillway has discharged water since the last inspection?

		YES	NO	Х
2.	Is erosion occurring at any section of the emergency	y spillway?		
		YES	NO	Х
SEDMIN	MENT STORAGE CAPACITY			
1.	Has the design storage capacity of the reservoir bee	en surpassed? YES	NO	x
	Explain: Visual observation.			

#### **OTHER OBSERVATIONS**

Pond is holding a very little amount water and is not close to discharging.

CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk
NPDES ID. No.:	Pond #7
Inspection Period:	Second Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	6/30/2021

#### General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

This sediment control pond lies east of the preparation plant and pond #6. It receives run-off from the majority of the active surface facilities area lying south of State Highway 12.

#### EMBANKMENT

1.	Adequacy of the vegetative cover:	Excellent	Moderate	Few	Poor
2.	Erosion forming Gullies:	Extensive	Some	Few	None
3.	Is wave action causing erosion:				
	On the upstream embankment?	Yes		No	Х
	At the principal spillway inlet?	Yes		No	Х
4.	Erosion of the downstream toe of the embankment	? Yes		No	Х
	Cause of erosion can be attributed to:				
5.	Is seepage occurring through the dam?	Voc		No	х
5.	is seepage occurring through the dam:	165		NO	Λ
	Could this seepage cause potential instabili	ty?			
PRINICI	PAL SPILLWAY				
1.	Is the principal spillway system in working order?	Yes		No	
2.	Is the inlet free of debris and restrictive material?	Yes	Х	No	
3.	Is the discharge outlet free of restrictive material?	Yes	Х	No	
4.	Is erosion occurring at the discharge outlet?	Yes		No	Х

Evaluate the severity:	Extensive
------------------------	-----------

Moderate

None

Just Starting

NPDES ID. No.: 7

### **EMERGENCY SPILLWAY**

1. Does it appear that the emergency spillway has discharged water since the last inspection?

		YES	NO	Х
2.	Is erosion occurring at any section of the emergency	spillway?		
		YES	NO	Х
SEDMIN	VENT STORAGE CAPACITY			
1.	Has the design storage capacity of the reservoir beer			
		YES	NO	X
	Explain: Visual observation. Pond cleaned in early 20	017.		

#### **OTHER OBSERVATIONS**

Pond is holding water, not near the decant level. The pond has about 6 inches of sediment.

CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk
NPDES ID. No.:	Pond #8
Inspection Period:	Second Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	6/30/2021

#### General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

This pond lies north of Highway 12 at the base of the refuse disposal area. The pond receives run-off form the refuse disposal area.

#### EMBANKMENT

1.	Adequacy of the vegetative cover:	Excellent	Moderate	Few	Poor
2.	Erosion forming Gullies:	Extensive	Some	Few	None
3.	Is wave action causing erosion:				
	On the upstream embankment?	Yes		No	Х
	At the principal spillway inlet?			No	Х
4.	Erosion of the downstream toe of the embankment?	Yes		No	Х
	Cause of erosion can be attributed to:				
5.	Is seepage occurring through the dam?	Yes		No	Х
	Could this seepage cause potential instabilit	y?			
PRINICI	PAL SPILLWAY				
1.	Is the principal spillway system in working order?	Yes	Х	No	
2.	Is the inlet free of debris and restrictive material?	Yes	Х	No	
3.	Is the discharge outlet free of restrictive material?	Yes	Х	No	
4.	4. Is erosion occurring at the discharge outlet? Yes NoX			Х	

Extensive

Moderate	

Just Starting None

### **EMERGENCY SPILLWAY**

1. Does it appear that the emergency spillway has discharged water since the last inspection?

		YES	NO	Х
2.	Is erosion occurring at any section of the emergency s	spillway?		
		YES	NO	х
SEDMIN	IENT STORAGE CAPACITY			
1.	Has the design storage capacity of the reservoir been	surpassed?		
		YES	NO	Х
	Explain: Visual observation. Sediment cleaned out in	<u>May 2018</u>		

#### **OTHER OBSERVATIONS**

Pond is holding water, not near the decant level. No new sediment has been deposited.

There is very little sediment in the pond.

CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk Pond 6
NPDES ID. No.:	None
Inspection Period:	Second Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	6/30/2021

## General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

Pond 6 is a non-discharging facility designed to contain plant processing water. The plant has been idle since 1996 and the pond is now used to dewater other ponds prior to clean-out

1.	Adequacy of the vegetative cover:	Excellent	Moderate	Few	Poor
2.	Erosion forming Gullies:	Extensive	Some	Few	None
3.	Is wave action causing erosion:				
	On the upstream embankment?	Yes		No	
	At the principal spillway inlet?	Yes		No	Х
4.	Erosion of the downstream toe of the embankment?	Yes		No	х
	Cause of erosion can be attributed to:				
5.	Is seepage occurring through the dam?	Yes		No	х
	Could this seepage cause potential instabilit	y?			
SEDMIN	NENT STORAGE CAPACITY				
1.	Has the design storage capacity of the reservoir beer	surpassed?			
		YES	NO	Х	
	Explain: No design capacity.				
OTHER	OBSERVATIONS				
	Pond is holding water, but it is not close to full.				

CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk WP Containment #1
NPDES ID. No.:	None
Inspection Period:	Second Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	6/30/2021

#### General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

This containment basin is a non-discharging facility designed to contain run-off from the West Portal Warehouse area.

1.	Adequacy of the vegetative cover:	Excellent	Moderate	Few	Poor
2.	Erosion forming Gullies:	Extensive	Some	Few	None
3.	Is wave action causing erosion:				
	On the upstream embankment?	Yes		No	Х
	At the principal spillway inlet?	Yes		No	Х
4.	Erosion of the downstream toe of the embankment?	Yes		No	x
	Cause of erosion can be attributed to:				
5.	Is seepage occurring through the dam?	Yes		No	х
	Could this seepage cause potential instabilit	y?			
SEDMIN	IENT STORAGE CAPACITY				
1.	Has the design storage capacity of the reservoir been	surpassed?			
1.	has the design storage capacity of the reservoir been	YES	NO	Х	
	Explain: Visual observation.				
OTHER	OBSERVATIONS				
	Containment area had little water to no at time of ins	spection.			
				``	_

CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk WP Containment #2
NPDES ID. No.:	None
Inspection Period:	Second Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	6/30/2021

## General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

This containment basin is a non-discharging facility designed to contain run-off from the West Portal airshaft and manway areas.

1.	Adequa	cy of the vegetative cover:	Excellent	Moderat	e	Few	Poor
2.	Erosion	forming Gullies:	Extensive	Some		Few	None
3.	Is wave	action causing erosion:					
		On the upstream embankment?	Yes				Х
		At the principal spillway inlet?				No	Х
4.	Erosion	of the downstream toe of the embankment?	Yes			No	х
		Cause of erosion can be attributed to:					
5.		an accurring through the dam?	Voc			No	v
э.	is seepa	ge occurring through the dam?	res		-	No	<u>X</u>
		Could this seepage cause potential instability	y?				
SEDMIN	MENT STC	DRAGE CAPACITY					
1.	Has the	design storage capacity of the reservoir been	surnassed?				
1.	indo the		YES	_	NO	х	-
	Explain:	Visual observation.					
OTHER	OBSERVA	TIONS					
	<u>Contain</u>	ment was empty at time of inspectrion.		_	_	•	

CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk Containment #3
NPDES ID. No.:	None
Inspection Period:	First Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	3/17/2021

## General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

This partially incised containment basin is a non-discharging facility designed to contain run-off from the area east of the RDA belt conveyor and south of Highway 12.

1.	Adequacy of the vegetative cov	er:	Excellent	Moderate	9	Few	Poor
2.	Erosion forming Gullies:		Extensive	Some		Few	None
3.	Is wave action causing erosion:						
	On the upstream emba	ankment?	Yes				Х
	At the principal spillwa	y inlet?	Yes			No	Х
4.	Erosion of the downstream toe	of the embankment?	Yes			No	х
	Cause of erosion can b	e attributed to:					
5.	Is seepage occurring through th	e dam?	Yes			No	х
	Could this seepage cau	Could this seepage cause potential instability?					
SEDMIMENT STORAGE CAPACITY							
1.	Has the design storage capacity		surpassed? YES	_ 1	NO <u></u>	Х	
	Explain: Visual observation.						
OTHER OBSERVATIONS							
	Containment Area was dry at tin	me of inspection.					

CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk Containment #4
NPDES ID. No.:	None
Inspection Period:	Second Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	6/30/2021

## General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

This partially incised containment basin is a non-discharging facility designed to contain run-off from the area west of the RDA belt conveyor and south of Highway 12.

1.	Adequa	cy of the vegetative cover:	Excellent	Moderate	Few	Poor	
2.	Erosion	forming Gullies:	Extensive	Some	Few	None	
3.	Is wave	action causing erosion:					
		On the upstream embankment?	Yes		No	Х	
		At the principal spillway inlet?			No		
4.	Erosion	of the downstream toe of the embankment?	Yes		No	Х	
		Cause of erosion can be attributed to:					
5.	ls seepa	ge occurring through the dam?	Yes		No	x	
		Could this seepage cause potential instability? <u>No embankment, this is an incised containment</u> <u>basin.</u>					
SEDMIMENT STORAGE CAPACITY							
1. Has the design storage capacity of the reservoir been surpassed?							
1.	nas the		YES	NO	Х		
	Explain:	Visual observation.					
OTHER OBSERVATIONS							
	<u>Contain</u>	ment Area was dry at time of inspections					

CDMR Rule 4.05.9(17)

Mine:	New Elk Containment #5
NPDES ID. No.:	None
Inspection Period:	Second Quarter 2021
Inspection Date:	6/30/2021

## General Description or Reference to Site Plan:

This partially incised containment basin is a non-discharging facility designed to contain run-off from the RDA belt conveyor area north of Highway 12.

1.	Adequacy of the vegetative cover:	Excellent	Moderate	Few	Poor		
2.	Erosion forming Gullies:	Extensive	Some	Few	None		
3.	Is wave action causing erosion:						
	On the upstream embankment?	Yes		No	Х		
	At the principal spillway inlet?	Yes		No	Х		
4.	Erosion of the downstream toe of the embankment?	? Yes		No	<u>x</u>		
	Cause of erosion can be attributed to:						
5.	Is seepage occurring through the dam?	Yes		No	х		
	Could this seepage cause potential instability? <u>No embankment, this is an incised containment</u> <u>basin.</u>						
SEDMIN	MENT STORAGE CAPACITY						
1. Has the design storage capacity of the reservoir been surpassed?							
1.		YES	NO	Х			
	Explain: Visual observation.						
OTHER	OBSERVATIONS						
Containment Area was holding some water. Sediment was cleaned in quarter 2 of 2020.							

# QUARTERLY SEDIMENTATION POND INSPECTION REPORT New Elk Mine- June 30. 2021



Pond 1



Pond 4



Pond 6



Pond 7



Pond 8



Containment Area #1



Containment Area #2



Containment Area #3



Containment Area #4



**Containment Area #5** 

#### Certification

This inspection was conducted by Vince Massarotti, a qualified professional and MSHA certified inspector of earth and rock-fill embankments, waste banks and impoundments, under the direction of Mr. Steve Miller a registered professional engineer licensed in the State of Colorado.

This is to certify, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that maintenance, since the previous certification and as determined during this inspection and discussions with mine personnel, is in accordance with designs as approved by the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety.

in Massauth 07/02/2021 Inspector Date 33573 Ste ler tte Prof DEH22/202 Inspections completed in compliance with Rule 4.09.1(11)(b) must be su ithin two weeks of completion.