

Eschberger - DNR, Amy <amy.eschberger@state.co.us>

Approval of SWSP Renewal Request for the East Rigden Pit (M-1979-097, Plan ID 3055)

Vargas-Johnson - DNR, Javier <javier.vargasjohnson@state.co.us>

Wed, Jun 30, 2021 at 7:59 AM

To: John Shuler <jshuler@bbawater.com>, Jeff Clark <jclark@bbawater.com>

Cc: Michael Hein - DNR <michael.hein@state.co.us>, Amy Eschberger - DNR <amy.eschberger@state.co.us>, Louis Flink - DNR <louis.flink@state.co.us>, Mark Simpson - DNR <mark.simpson@state.co.us>

Dear Mr. Clark and Mr. Shuler,

The Colorado Division of Water Resources has reviewed the application for the East Rigden Pit SWSP Renewal (DRMS Permit No. M-1979-079, Plan ID 3055). Please see the attached letter for the conditions of approval.


Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Javier Vargas-Johnson Water Resources Engineer



P 303.866.3581x8227 1313 Sherman St., Suite 821 Denver CO 80203 javier.vargasjohnson@state.co.us | www.colorado.gov/water





June 29, 2021

Mr. John Shuler Bishop-Brogden Associates, Inc. 333 West Hampden Ave, Ste 1050 Englewood, CO 80110

Re: City of Fort Collins East Rigden Pit Substitute Water Supply Plan

(WDID 0302570, Plan ID 3055)

DRMS File No. M-1979-097 (WDID 0303021) Sections 21, 27 & 28, T7N, R68W, 6th P.M.

Water Division 1, Water District 3, Larimer County

Approval Period: July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022

Contact information for Mr. Shuler: 303-806-8952; jshuler@bbawater.com

Dear Mr. Shuler:

We have reviewed your letter dated June 3, 2021 requesting approval of a substitute water supply plan ("SWSP") on behalf of the City of Fort Collins ("Applicant" or "Fort Collins") in accordance with section 37-90-137(11), C.R.S., to cover depletions caused by an existing gravel pit operation known as the East Rigden Pit (M-1979-097). The required renewal fee of \$257 has been received (receipt no. 10012718).

SWSP Operations

The East Rigden Pit is located along the Cache la Poudre River in Sections 21, 27, and 28, Township 7 North, Range 68 West of the 6th P.M., as shown on the attached Figure 1. Sand and gravel mining exposed groundwater at the pit site but according to a January 2019 inspection report from the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety ("DRMS") the area has not been mined in many years. The 2015-2016 SWSP determined that all 25 acres of groundwater exposed at that time were documented as having been exposed at the site prior to January 1, 1981. Pursuant to section 37-90-137(11)(b), C.R.S., and case no. 2009CW49, a gravel pit operator or property owner does not need to replace depletions that occur due to evaporation from groundwater exposed prior to January 1, 1981 ("pre-81") as a result of open mining of sand and gravel, regardless of whether mining continued after December 31, 1980. The pre-81 pond extents approved in the 2015-2016 East Rigden SWSP are shown in Figure 1, and may not be re-allocated to other areas of groundwater exposure within the gravel pit boundary. The 2015-2016 SWSP stated that with the SEO approval of the compacted clay liner in the Fort Collins Parcel, no depletions associated with the East Rigden Pit would remain after the conclusion of that plan period and no subsequent SWSP would be required for the site. However, a DRMS field inspection in January 2019 found that the acreage of the exposed groundwater had increased beyond the pre-81 extent. This additional exposed surface area is considered to have been exposed after December 31, 1980 ("post-80") and has been included in a substitute water supply plan since July 1, 2019. Fort Collins does not plan to conduct



any mining activities during the term of this SWSP, therefore the only consumptive use of water at the East Rigden Pit will consist of evaporation from post-80 exposed groundwater.

Depletions

The DRMS estimated the exposed groundwater surface area of the north, west, and northwest ponds to have expanded by 18, 0.67, and 0.38 acres respectively beyond the areas granted pre-81 status. DRMS estimated the acreage of the southeast pond to have decreased by 0.08 acres, however this decrease does not provide credit that can be allocated elsewhere. The Applicant agrees with the new acreage for the north and west ponds, but believes that the northwest and southeast ponds have maintained the extent of their approved pre-81 areas. The Applicant attributes the minor differences in surface area between that determined by DRMS and the prior mapping conducted by Applegate Group, Inc. to mapping accuracy discrepancies. This office conducted an analysis of currently exposed areas shown on aerial imagery and has found that the minor differences in surface area could be reasonably attributed to mapping accuracy discrepancies and accepts the Applicant's proposal to maintain the extents of the area of the northwest and southeast ponds as were approved in the 2015-2016 SWSP. Therefore, the total post-80 surface area is considered to be 18.67 acres for this plan period.

In September 2014, Fort Collins installed a liner around the 127-acre Rigden Reservoir. The compacted clay liner for the Rigden Storage Reservoir (WDID 0303326) was approved by the State Engineer's Office as meeting the design standard for liners on May 20, 2015, and Rigden Reservoir is now classified as a lined reservoir in accordance with the 1999 SEO Guidelines.

A net evaporation rate of 2.37 feet per year was determined in the Fort Collins augmentation plan decreed in Division 1 water court case no. 14CW3167. You have not assumed any ice-covered period, but reserve the right to reduce the monthly evaporative depletions by the pro-rata extent of ice cover during the winter months upon approval of the water commissioner. The ice-covered periods may be used to reduce the amount of evaporative losses that must be replaced; however, for the purposes of this SWSP, the Applicant shall replace the net evaporation depletions from the post-80 exposed groundwater surface area that for any time that the post-80 exposed groundwater surface is not completely covered by ice. Computation of the net evaporation during any time that the post-80 exposed groundwater surface is not completely covered by ice shall be determined as the pro-rata amount of the monthly gross evaporation rate distribution amount identified in the State Engineer's *General Guidelines for Substitute Supply Plans for Sand and Gravel Pits*, subtracting the pro-rata amount of the effective precipitation for that period. The net depletion of groundwater due to evaporation is projected to total 44.19 acre-feet during this plan period, as shown on the attached Table 1 (assuming no ice cover).

The Alluvial Water Accounting System (AWAS) program developed by the Integrated Decision Support (IDS) Group at Colorado State University was used to lag depletions from evaporation at the mine site to the Cache la Poudre River. The model requires the following parameters: distance (X) from the centroid of the exposed water surface to the river, aquifer width (W), transmissivity (T), and specific yield (S). The T and S parameters were determined in case no. 14CW3176. The aquifer parameters used for the site are listed in the table below:

Aguifer Parameters - East Rigden Pit

| Aquiter i di dilletter 5 Eust Migdell i it | | | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|-----|--|--|--|
| X (ft) | W (ft) | T (gpd/ft) | S | | | |
| 674 | 1,335 | 49,500 | 0.2 | | | |

Unit response factors derived from the AWAS analysis were normalized to 97% of depletions, which occurred after three months. The total lagged depletions for the East Rigden Pit site were determined to be 44.19 acre-feet for this plan period. Lagged depletions from the three months previous to July 2021 were included to account for depletions occurring prior to the beginning of this SWSP period that will impact the river during this plan period. A monthly breakdown of total lagged depletions is shown in the attached Table 1.

Replacements

The City of Fort Collins has committed to replace the depletions described in this SWSP from the City's fully consumable sources. The replacement water will come from reusable sources owned or controlled by the City of Fort Collins that have been previously decreed for augmentation, which may include:

- Arthur Irrigation Company Shares (391.3642 shares changed in case no. 92CW129)
- Larimer County Canal No. 2 Irrigation Company Shares (67.5615 shares changed in case no. 92CW129)
- New Mercer Ditch Company Shares (44.81716 shares changed in case no. 92CW129)
- Warren Lake Reservoir Company Shares (83.1892 shares changed in case no. case no. 92CW129)
- The Michigan Ditch Supply System (146 cfs of transmountain water per case no. 88CW206)
- Joe Wright Reservoir (decreed for augmentation use in case nos. CA-11217 & W-9322-78)
- Windy Gap Project (annual reuse agreement with Platte River Power Authority for use of this water per case no. W-9322-78)

The decree entered in case no. 92CW129 changed the use of the above-identified ditch shares, collectively referred to as the "Southside Ditches Water Rights", from irrigation to all municipal uses, including augmentation and replacement, and to allow diversion and storage at multiple locations as specified in the decree, including storage in Fossil Creek Reservoir.

The lease lists two additional reusable sources owned or controlled by the City of Fort Collins, the Halligan Reservoir Enlargement Water Right (decreed for augmentation use in case no. 2013CW3185) and the Rigden Reservoir and Effluent (decreed for augmentation use in case no. 2014CW3158), whose decrees contain language that limit their use as a replacement source unless the use has been approved pursuant to substitute water supply plans approved under section 37-92-308, C.R.S. This SWSP is approved under a different statute, therefore these two replacement sources may not be used for this SWSP approval. The Applicant has agreed to not use the Halligan Reservoir Enlargement Water Right and the Rigden Reservoir and Effluent as replacement sources for this SWSP.

Replacement water will be delivered to the confluence of the Foothills Outfall Channel and the Cache la Poudre River in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 34, Township 7 North, Range 68 West, 6th P.M., just below Rigden Reservoir (WDID 0303326).

All replacements will be based on actual depletions determined from tracking actual evaporation losses. Replacement deliveries will be reported in Fort Collins' existing accounting. Conveyance loss for delivery of replacement water is subject to assessment and modification as determined by the division engineer. The Applicant shall coordinate with the water commissioner to apply appropriate transit losses for delivery of replacement water.

Long Term Augmentation

In accordance with the letter dated April 30, 2010 (copy attached) from the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety, all sand and gravel mining operators must comply with the requirements of the Colorado Reclamation Act and the Mineral Rules and Regulations for the protection of water resources. The April 30, 2010 letter from DRMS requires that operators provide information to DRMS to demonstrate they can replace long-term injurious stream depletions that result from mining-related exposure of groundwater. The DRMS letter identifies four approaches to satisfy this requirement. According to the SWSP request, any lagged depletions that will affect the Cache la Poudre River after June 2022 will be carried over and included in subsequent SWSP renewals. This office does not consider this as addressing long-term injurious stream depletions.

As previously discussed, the Rigden Reservoir portion of the site has been lined and the liner approved by the SEO in accordance with approach no. 4. However, the Applicant has not identified an approach to mitigate the long-term depletions from the additional post-80 groundwater exposed in the north and west ponds. The two ponds are on land owned by a private landowner, Cottonwood Land and Farms, LTD. The Applicant is continuing negotiations with the private landowner to acquire the property and incorporate the pond(s) into their existing augmentation plan. In the event that such negotiations are unsuccessful, please be advised this office will not support the release of the land containing any post-80 exposed groundwater surface area from the DRMS permit unless the depletions are first included in a decreed plan for augmentation or otherwise mitigated. This may require the Applicant to backfill the ponds to their pre-81 area in order to fulfill their reclamation obligations. This office will require proof of diligence towards a plan that will meet the requirements of the DRMS for approval of future SWSPs.

Conditions of Approval

I hereby approve the proposed SWSP in accordance with section 37-90-137(11), C.R.S. subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This SWSP shall be valid for the period of July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022, unless otherwise revoked or superseded by decree. If the post-80 exposed groundwater surface area is not backfilled or included in a court decreed plan for augmentation by the SWSP expiration date, a renewal request must be submitted to this office with the statutory fee of \$257 no later than May 1, 2022. If a renewal request is received after the expiration date of this plan, it may be considered a request for a new SWSP in which case the \$1,593 filing fee will apply.
- 2. Well permit no. 76136-F was obtained for the current use and exposed pond surface area of the gravel pit in accordance with section 37-90-137(2) and (11), C.R.S.
- 3. The total surface area of the post-80 groundwater exposed at the East Rigden Pit site must not exceed 18.67 acres, which results in an annual net evaporative loss of 44.19 acre-feet.
- 4. Total consumption at the East Rigden site must not exceed the aforementioned amount unless an amendment is made to this SWSP.
- 5. Approval of this SWSP is for the purposes as stated herein. This office must first approve any additional uses for the water.
- 6. All releases of replacement water must be sufficient to cover all out-of-priority depletions in time, place, and amount and must be made under the direction and/or the approval of the

- water commissioner. The release of replacement water may be aggregated to maximize beneficial use. The water commissioner and/or the division engineer shall determine the rate and timing of an aggregated release.
- 7. Computation of evaporation under this plan may be reduced during the ice-covered period. However, for the purpose of this SWSP, the Applicant shall replace the net evaporation depletions from the post-80 exposed groundwater surface area that may occur during any time that the post-80 exposed water surfaces are not completely covered by ice.
- 8. The replacement water that is the subject of this SWSP cannot be sold or leased to any other entity. As a condition of subsequent renewals of this SWSP, the replacement water must be appurtenant to this site until a plan for augmentation is obtained.
- 9. The Applicant shall install and maintain such measuring devices as required by the division engineer for operation of this SWSP.
- 10. The Applicant shall provide daily accounting (including, but not limited to depletions, replacement sources, and river calls) on a monthly basis, or more frequent if required by the water commissioner. The accounting must be emailed to the water commissioner (Mark Simpson at Mark.Simpson@state.co.us) and DNR Div1Accounting@state.co.us within 30 days of the end of the month for which the accounting applies. Accounting and reporting procedures are subject to approval and modification by the division engineer. Accounting forms need to identify the WDID number for each well operating under this SWSP. NOTE: Monthly accounting, even during the winter non-irrigation season, is required.
 - For the duration of this SWSP, it is acceptable for the East Rigden Pit accounting to be incorporated with the City's comprehensive accounting forms and not reported separately.
- 11. Applicant shall follow the attached Augmentation Plan Accounting Protocol for the operation of this SWSP.
- 12. Conveyance loss for delivery of augmentation water is subject to assessment and modification as determined by the division engineer.
- 13. The approval of this SWSP does not relieve the Applicant of the requirement to obtain a Water Court decree approving a permanent plan for augmentation or mitigation to ensure the permanent replacement of all depletions, including long-term evaporation losses and lagged depletions. If reclamation of the mine site will produce a permanent water surface exposing post-80 groundwater to evaporation, an application for a plan for augmentation must be filed with the Division 1 Water Court to include, but not be limited to, long-term evaporation losses. If a lined pond results after reclamation, replacement of lagged depletions shall continue until there is no longer an effect on stream flow.
- 14. The state engineer may revoke this SWSP or add additional restrictions to its operation if at any time the state engineer determines that injury to other vested water rights has occurred or will occur as a result of the operation of this SWSP. Should this SWSP expire without renewal or be revoked prior to adjudication of a permanent plan for augmentation, all use of water under this SWSP must cease immediately.
- 15. In accordance with the letter dated April 30, 2010 (copy attached) from the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety ("DRMS"), all sand and gravel mining operators must comply with the requirements of the Colorado Reclamation Act and the Mineral Rules and Regulations for the protection of water resources. The April 30, 2010 letter from DRMS

requires that you provide information to DRMS to demonstrate you can replace long term injurious stream depletions that result from mining-related exposure of the groundwater.

- 16. In accordance with amendments to section 25-8-202(7), C.R.S. and "Senate Bill 89-181 Rules and Regulations" adopted on February 4, 1992, the State Engineer shall determine if the substitute supply is of a quality to meet requirements of use to which the senior appropriation receiving the substitute supply has normally been put. As such, water quality data or analyses may be requested at any time to determine if the requirement of use of the senior appropriator is met.
- 17. The decision of the state engineer shall have no precedential or evidentiary force, shall not create any presumptions, shift the burden of proof, or serve as a defense in any water court case or any other legal action that may be initiated concerning the SWSP. This decision shall not bind the state engineer to act in a similar manner in any other applications involving other SWSPs or in any proposed renewal of this SWSP, and shall not imply concurrence with any findings of fact or conclusions of law contained herein, or with the engineering methodologies used by the Applicant.

If you have any questions concerning this approval, please contact Javier Vargas-Johnson in Denver at (303) 866-3581 or Michael Hein in Greeley at (970) 352-8712.

Sincerely,

for Jeff Deatherage, P.E. Chief of Water Supply

Jank Runkee

Attachments: Figure 1

Table 1

Letter from DRMS dated April 30, 2010 Augmentation Plan Accounting Protocol

Cc: Michael Hein, Lead Assistant Division Engineer, <u>Michael.Hein@state.co.us</u> 1809 56th Avenue, Greeley, CO 80634; (970) 352-8712

Mark Simpson, Water Commissioner, District 3, Mark.Simpson@state.co.us

Louis Flink, Tabulation/Diversion Records Coordinator, Louis.Flink@state.co.us

Amy Eschberger, Division of Reclamation Mining and Safety, amy.eschberger@state.co.us




Table 1 City of Fort Collins 2021-2022 East Rigden Pit SWSP

Total Depletions

| Month | Net Evaporation (feet) | Net Evaporation (ac-ft) | Total Lagged Depletions (ac-ft) | | |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | [1] | [2] | [3] | | |
| Jul-21 | 0.40 | 7.39 | 6.86 | | |
| Aug-21 | 0.35 | 6.57 | 6.92 | | |
| Sep-21 | 0.25 | 4.65 | 5.67 | | |
| Oct-21 | 0.16 | 2.99 | 3.98 | | |
| Nov-21 | 0.09 | 1.75 | 2.52 | | |
| Dec-21 | 0.07 | 1.27 | 1.62 | | |
| Jan-22 | 0.08 | 1.40 | 1.38 | | |
| Feb-22 | 0.09 | 1.59 | 1.48 | | |
| Mar-22 | 0.11 | 2.05 | 1.81 | | |
| Apr-22 | 0.18 | 3.40 | 2.69 | | |
| May-22 | 0.23 | 4.37 | 3.77 | | |
| Jun-22 | 0.36 | 6.76 | 5.49 | | |
| Total | 2.37 | 44.19 | 44.19 | | |

Notes:

- [1] Net annual evaporation rate (2.37 feet) is based upon values determined in the Fort Collins augmentation plan, Division 1 Case No. 14CW3176. Annual net evaporation is distributed according to SEO Senate Bill 89-120 criteria. Monthly evaporative depletions will be reduced pro rata by the extent of ice cover during the winter months.
- [2] Equal to [1] * 18.67 acres of ground water exposed post-1980.
- [3] Equal to values from [2] lagged based on the following lagging parameters: Distance from stream = 674 ft, Transmissivity = 49,500 gpd/ft, Specific Yield = 0.2, Aquifer Width = 1,335 ft. Lagged depletions from three months prior to July 2021 were included to account for depletions occurring before the beginning of this SWSP period.

DIVISION OF RECLAMATION, MINING AND SAFETY

Department of Natural Resources

1313 Sherman St., Room 215 Denver, Colorado 80203 Phone: (303) 866-3567 FAX: (303) 832-8106



Bill Ritter, Jr. Governor

lames B. Martin **Executive Director**

Loretta E. Piñeda

Director

April 30, 2010

Lafarge West, Inc. 10170 Church Ranch Way, Ste. 200 Westminister, CO 800210000

RE: Mining Operations with Exposed Ground water

To Whom It May Concern:

The Division of Reclamation Mining and Safety is responsible for ensuring that Sand and Gravel mining operators comply with the requirements of the Colorado Land Reclamation Act for the Extraction of Construction Materials (Act) and the Mineral Rules and Regulations of the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board for the Extraction of Construction Materials (Rules). Among these requirements are provisions for the protection of water resources. The Act requires that reclamation plans must ensure minimization of disturbances to the prevailing hydrologic balance, including disturbances to the quantity of water in the area affected by mining and in the surrounding areas. § 34-32.5-116(4)(h). Rule 3.1.6(1)(a) requires compliance with Colorado water laws and regulations governing injury to existing water rights both during and after mining. Permits must specify how the permittee will comply with applicable Colorado water laws and regulations governing injury to existing water right rights. Rule 6.3.3(j); Rule 6.4.5(2)(c). After an extensive review, the Division determined that several operators may not have appropriate permit conditions to address certain reclamation liabilities arising from impacts to water resources.

In September 2009 the Division of Water Resources (DWR) updated its Guidelines for Sand and Gravel Pits. These guidelines provide guidance on achieving compliance with state law regarding replacement of depletions from sand and gravel mining, thus the guidelines provide a benchmark for the protection of hydrologic balance required under the Act and Rules. As noted in the Guidelines, sand and gravel operations which expose groundwater without complying with state law create a reclamation liability by impacting available groundwater.

State law requires that any person exposing ground water must obtain a well permit from the SEO pursuant to § 37-90-137(11). Because exposed groundwater results in out-of-priority water depletions, operations which expose ground water must also eventually obtain a water-court approved augmentation plan. Currently, several operators do not have either an augmentation plan or bonding to provide an alternative method to mitigate injurious stream depletions that result from mining-related exposure of ground water. The Division has a statutory duty to ensure that lands affected by mining are reclaimed in a manner that complies with state law and to ensure that operators have sufficient bonding to achieve reclamation. In order to assist operators in achieving compliance with these requirements, the Division proposes that, by April 30, 2011, operators should contact the Division and agree upon a plan for achieving compliance.

The Division has identified four approaches for operators:

- 1. File a financial warranty that will ensure backfilling of the pit to cover the exposed ground water to a depth of two feet above the static ground water level or,
- 2. Obtain a court approved augmentation plan prior to exposing ground water or,
- 3. File a financial warranty to cover the cost of installing a clay liner or slurry wall that meets the Division of Water Resources requirements for preventing ground water exposure or,
- 4. Obtain approval from the Division of Water Resources that acknowledges compliance with the SEO's requirements pursuant to § 37-90-137(11).

The Division will work with operators on an individual basis as they move to implement one of these plans. It is likely that options 1 and 3 will require the submittal of a technical revision or an amendment to the existing permit depending on the nature of the current mining and reclamation plan and the proposed changes. Increased financial warranties, as a result of these modifications, may be posted in a phased manner not to exceed three years. Amendments or revisions currently under review will be required to be approved by April 30, 2011 and may use the phased financial warranty approach described above. New applications going forward or presently under review by the Division will be required to meet the requirements of one of the options 1-4 at the time of application approval. Failure of affected operators to initiate contact with the Division and gain compliance as described above could result in an enforcement action being issued by the Division.

If you have any questions, please contact Tony Waldron at 303-866-3567, extension 8150.

| cc: | M2006064 | Shields at Fossil Cre | M198 | 3031 | Strome | quist Pit | | |
|-----|----------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | M1994002 | Andrews S & G #5 (|) M197 | 4072 | 072 Chantala Pit | | | |
| | M2006018 | North Bank Resources | | M198 | 5218 | 18 Rich Pit | | |
| | M2006073 | Sundance Sand and | irce M198 | 5206 | 6 Boone-Martin Pit | | | |
| | M2009082 | Parsons Mine | | M199 | 5022 | 22 Andrews #2 | | |
| | M1977081 | Greeley West Pit | | M199 | 0144 | Boone | -Fillmore Pit | |
| | M2003091 | Duckworth Pit | M199 | 7087 | 087 Hartman Pit | | | |
| | M2000113 | Mamm Creek Sand | M200 | 2001094 Shaw Pit | | | | |
| | M2001090 | River Valley Resour | M200 | M2002009 Beema | | n Pit #1 | | |
| | M2000016 | Riverbend Operatio | n | M198 | 1307 | Founta | ain Pit | |
| | M1979134 | Powers Pit | | M197 | 7439 | Home | Office Mine | |
| | M1977036 | Greeley 35th Ave Pit | | M197 | 9191 | Three | Bells Pit | |
| | M2000034 | Reichert Pit | | M198 | 2182 | Port of | f Entry Pit | |
| | M2001051 | North Taft Hill Expa | M200 | 2081 | Overla | nd Ponds | | |
| | M1974015 | Lyons Pit | | M198 | 1088 | McCoy | / Pit | |
| | M1974004 | Specification Aggre | gates Quarry | M198 | 2034 | Miller | Pit | |
| | M1987176 | Hamm Pit | | M199 | 6082 | Blair N | 1esa Pit | |
| | M1988042 | Cottonwood Pit | | M198 | 0136 | Chamb | ers Pit | |
| | M1990112 | State Pit | | M197 | 7098 | Siever | s Pit | |
| | M1979002 | North Delta Pit | M1983013 | Latham - Burkett Pit | M19 | 74070 | Nelson Pit | |
| | M1979159 | Brose Pit | M1979097 | East Rigden Pit | M20 | 00002 | Tanabe Pit | |
| | M1998014 | Gypsum Ranch Pit | M1991035 | Bluestone Pit | M19 | 94045 | Bluestone Pit | |
| | M1999088 | Kyger Pit | M1986159 | Courtner Pit | M19 | 86079 | M & G Pit | |
| | M1998075 | Andrews #3 (Mock Pit) | | | | | | |

ADMINISTRATION PROTOCOL Augmentation Plan Accounting Division One – South Platte River

This protocol establishes the accounting and reporting process required to enable the division engineer's office to confirm that depletions from all out-of-priority diversions are being replaced so as to prevent injury to vested water rights. The accounting must comport with established "cradle to grave" accounting standards, which allow an audit of the information to track exactly how the data is manipulated as it is translated from raw input data to the resultant impact on the river. While this protocol is subordinate to any decreed language addressing specific accounting requirements, it generally addresses the minimum requirements of such accounting.

The accounting must use the standard convention where a depletion is "negative" and an accretion or other replacement source is "positive". The sum of the impacts will then result in either a "negative" or "positive" impact on the stream.

Wells in plans that have a negative stream impact must provide additional replacement water, curtail pumping or both until the impact is no longer negative. Plans with a negative stream impact that fail to curtail pumping will be ordered to stop pumping until such time as the projected impact of the wells is no longer negative.

- Accounting must be submitted electronically to the water commissioner (call 970-352-8712 to obtain email address) and division engineer at Div1Accounting@state.co.us within 30 days of the end of the month for which the accounting is being submitted.
- 2. The accounting must provide the **contact information** including name and address for:
 - a. the owner(s) of each well
 - b. the person responsible for submitting the accounting
 - c. the plan administrator and/or the plan attorney.
- 3. All **input data** must be in one location, such as an "Input" worksheet, etc. The accounting must show all pumping. Input data includes the information listed below.
 - a. The required input data for each well is:
 - i. the monthly meter reading for wells that use a presumptive depletion factor (PDF) to determine the associated consumptive use (CU); or
 - ii. the monthly CU in acre-feet (AF) for wells that have a decree or approved SWSP that allows the wells to use a water balance methodology to determine the CU of the well. The analysis used to determine the CU must be included with the accounting.
 - iii. Wells that are decreed as an alternate point of diversion
 (APOD) to a surface water right <u>must report pumping on a daily basis</u> if any of the diversion during the month is claimed as being "in priority". (See Administration Protocol APOD Wells for more details.)

- iv. The well meter serial readings for each meter shall be included if there is more than one meter on a well.
- b. Each **recharge site** must comply with the *Administration Protocol Recharge* and must report the:
 - i. daily volume in AF diverted into the site;
 - ii. monthly volume in AF released from the site;
 - iii. monthly net evaporative loss in AF;
 - iv. volume of water in AF remaining at the end of the month.
- c. The accounting must identify each source of fully consumable replacement water actually delivered to the location impacted by the depletions. To demonstrate the water was actually delivered to the required location will require the following information:
 - i. the originating source of the water, date released and volume of water released;
 - ii. transportation losses to point of diversion or use, if any, using stream loss factors approved by the water commissioner;
 - iii. the volume of water actually delivered on a daily basis past any surface water diversion that was sweeping the river as corroborated by the water commissioner.
 - (See Administration Protocol Delivery of Water for more details on delivering water.)
- d. For each source of replacement water that has been "changed" for use as a source of augmentation, such as changed reservoir shares, ditch bypass credits or credits from dry-up, etc., the following input information must be reported:
 - i. the basis and volume of the return flow obligation;
 - ii. the location the changed water was historically used; this will be the location used to determine the timing of the return flow impact on the river.
- 4. The accounting must include a monthly **projection** of the plan's operation at least through March 31 of the next calendar year.
- 5. The accounting must include all input and output files associated with modeling the delayed impact of diversions. The output from the modeling must report to a summary table that shows, by month, the ongoing depletions associated with pumping, return flow obligations, etc. and accretions from recharge operations.
- 6. A **net impact** summary must show the out-of-priority depletions, accretions from each recharge site, volume of replacement water actually delivered to the location of the depletions and the resultant net impact on <u>a daily basis</u>. If necessary, the net impact must be done by river reach.
 - While **modeling** may use a **monthly step function** to determine the depletions from pumping and accretions from recharge, the monthly result must then be **divided by the number of days in the month** in order to **simulate a daily impact**, as water rights are administered on a daily and not monthly basis.

Replacement water must be provided such that the **daily net impact** (using the simulated daily numbers from the modeling) **is not negative**. If a well is out-of-priority for 15 days during a month, replacement must be made only for the 15 days the well is out-of-priority. The replacement must be made, however, on a daily basis as opposed to, for instance, making an aggregated release equal to the volume of the out-of-priority depletions. Likewise, the simulated daily accretion will only count toward replacing the depletion on the days the well is out-of-priority. The accretions that report to the river when the well is in priority cannot be used to replace the out-of-priority depletions.

The accretions that impact the river when the well is in priority are not considered "excess" unless the cumulative net impact of the well is not negative for the entire irrigation year to date. (The irrigation year for this purpose is April 1 thru the following March 31.) Until such time as the cumulative net impact is not negative, the accretions must simply be released to the river and cannot be leased to other plans or recaptured. Plans that show a positive cumulative net impact are still required to make replacements on a daily basis; the cumulative analysis only effects whether or not accretions reporting to the river when the well is in priority are considered "excess" and are, therefore, able to be recaptured.

- 7. The basis for determining that the depletions are **out-of-priority** must be clearly established and all steps in the calculation included in the accounting. The analysis may be done, unless otherwise limited by decree, for each well or groups of wells, provided the most junior water right associated with the group of wells is used as the reference water right for the group's out-of-priority status.
- 8. Accounting must include **actual information** for the irrigation year through the month for which the accounting is being submitted **AND projections** of the plan operation through March 31 of the next calendar year.
- 9. The following **naming convention** must be used for all files submitted pursuant to item 1:

"PlanWDID YYMMDD"

where: PlanWDID is the WDID assigned by the division engineer's office YYMMDD corresponds to the date the accounting is submitted.

As an example, the assigned WDID for the former GASP plan was 0103333. If accounting using Excel® was submitted for that plan on May 15, 2004, the file name would be:

"0103333 040515.xls"

The name of the file must be in the subject line of the email.

10. All accounting must be reported using the WDID for the structure, at a minimum. Other information such as well name, permit number, etc. may also be included as desired. All wells must be decreed by the water court, permitted by the state engineer or included in a decreed plan for augmentation. Unregistered and undecreed wells cannot, in the opinion of the division engineer, be effectively administered because of the need to know the location, allowable diversion rate and use of the well - information that is only available from the decree or permitting process.

- 11. If a well is covered in multiple SWSP's or augmentation plans, the monthly meter readings must be the same in the accounting for each plan covering the subject well. The accounting for every plan covering the well shall state the proportionate pumping amount covered by each plan to assure all out-of-priority depletions are replaced.
- 12. The following additional accounting is required for sources of replacement water used for more than one plan. The water right owner of the replacement water is responsible for accounting for the total replacement amount and how much each plan is using of that total amount. The accounting for portions of the replacement water by other users must match the accounting of the water right owner. The amount of replacement water used by the water right owner and other users together shall not exceed the total replacement amount available.

(See Administration Protocol – Use Of Unnamed Sources For Replacement for additional requirements concerning required notice and approval of sources of replacement not specifically described in a SWSP or augmentation plan)