

Ebert - DNR, Jared <iared.ebert@state.co.us>

M-2001-022, Green/Croissant Property Sand and Gravel Mine, Complaint Received

Ebert - DNR, Jared <jared.ebert@state.co.us> To: J <jam2finance@yahoo.com>

Fri, May 14, 2021 at 11:10 AM

Ok, thank you for the information. I will meet with you at 9:30am on site on Thursday May 20th, 2021. After I meet with you, I will meet with Loveland Ready Mix. I spoke with Stephanie Fancher with Loveland Ready Mix this morning. Based on our conversation, neither DRMS or Loveland Ready Mix object to the placement of the docks you discussed in your email, with the understanding the surface disturbance will be minimal.

Thank you, please let me know if you have any questions.

Jared

On Fri, May 14, 2021 at 10:33 AM J < jam2finance@yahoo.com > wrote:

No I have not taken the water samples yet. I live on a lake now and I have taken water samples into CSU to have the water tested for Toxins. I think in this case it would be toxins and lime. When you share you concerns with the experts at CSU they also will recommend what to test for those results do usually take 30 days (est) and yes the entrance works just fine for 9:30 am on May 20th.

Sincerely Jamie and Dustin Christensen

Sent from my iPhone

Good morning Mr. and Mrs. Christensen,

You mentioned in your initial email that you plan on taking some water samples. I was curious if you have already sampled? If not, when do you plan on sampling? It may be helpful for me to know when you are sampling, the sampling plan you would use, and the parameters you will have the lab test for. These are some of the questions my hydrologists had. When I come out I would like to get a better look at these areas of concern.

For Thursday May 20th, can I meet you at the site at 09:30am? It appears there is an entrance on the north site of the lake from Co Road 54, shall I meet you there?

Jared

On Thu, May 13, 2021 at 12:16 PM J < jam2finance@yahoo.com > wrote: Good Afternoon Mr Ebert

I appreciate your quick response and that you answered my questions so quickly. This has not been a easy or pleasant process so I very much appreciate your time. We do want to work together to complete as soon as possible we just want it done right

Thursday May 20th works just fine and whatever time fits in your schedule we will make work. Thank you for moving things around so perhaps we can all achieve our goals as quickly as possible.

I completely understand and agree that boats creating waves could damage the shore line and cause more erosion. With the condition of the slope and current erosion problems we have currently I prefer

to keep the lake wakeless as well

We only want to put the docks on the water for fishing. We have stocked the lake with tens of thousands of dollars in fish and would like to be able to fish for them. We have stocked Trout, small and large mouth Bass, perch, cropie, Blue Gill, catfish and I am probably forgetting a few. It would be no problem to agree, if we could put our docks on the lake we will make sure to keep it wakeless (non motorized)for fishing only. That is a very reasonable request with valid concerns we can absolutely agree to. That's a easy one. If that allows us to put our docks on the lake we very much would appreciate it.

Sincerely Jamie and Dustin Christensen

Sent from my iPhone

On May 13, 2021, at 10:51 AM, Ebert - DNR, Jared <i ared.ebert@state.co.us> wrote:

Hello Mrs. Christensen,

I am moving some things around in my schedule and it is looking like Thursday, May 20th would be the day I am available to meet you at the site. I am still waiting on a few things to better set a time for the meeting. Regarding the boat docks, it is not uncommon for operators to have some trepidation of landowners constructing things within an area they are trying to reclaim, as potential damages to the site can delay the release of the reclamation bond. In this case it seems, the potential site impact from the dock would be minimal, however motorized boats often create more waves that expound the shoreline wave action erosion. I have seen this become guite significant, so LRM may be taking issue with that. If the boats are non-motorized then perhaps this would be less of an issue. Thank you for forwarding these pictures. I would like to take a look at them with our hydrologist to get a better idea of what we are looking at and potential causes for this.

I will need to also inform LRM of the site visit. I would like to meet with you both first, then with LRM. I will discuss the issue of the boat docks with them and see if we cannot work something out.

Once I have a better handle of my schedule I will contact you to coordinate a time.

Thank you,

Jared

On Wed, May 12, 2021 at 9:12 PM JAMIE MCGILL <jam2finance@yahoo.com> wrote: Hi Mr Ebert,

My husband and I would like to request for you to meet us at the site for an inspection and to address our concerns. We would like to meet by the end next week and will also have our survey by then as well. We have met with our attorney and he is currently going thru all the documents from the last 4 years that we have collected. He is also reviewing their permit with the county as well. In the mean time he does not know why or how a boat dock affects the reclamation and suggested we could just ask. I know it is not on the reclamation plan however the docks would be on the surface of the water and it is not attached to the slope, weeding spraying or planting grass or any of the requirements to complete the reclamation that is required by LRM. I was wondering why we had to remove our boat dock and how that could even affect the decision to release the reclamation. We would like to put our boat docks back, if it does not affect the reclamation we would like to add them sooner then later to be able to use our property. I have attached pictures of white stuff in the water. This is appearing along the shore where they added the concrete and are concerned that it could affect the water and the fish. We will take water samples and have CSU test the toxicity and ph of the water just in case and forward you our results.

Sincerely, Jamie and Dustin Christensen 785-230-8400

On Thursday, May 6, 2021, 10:32:17 AM MDT, Ebert - DNR, Jared <jared.ebert@state.co.us> wrote:

Hello Mrs. Christensen,

The Colorado Division of Reclamation Mining and Safety(DRMS) received your complaint on May 5, 2021. My name is Jared Ebert, I amPeter Hays' immediate supervisor. I havereviewed your complaint and will investigate the issues that you haveidentified. Once I have conducted my review and determined the appropriate courseof action I will respond to your concerns. I may need to reach out to you for additional information. Given the nature of your complaint regardingMr. Hays I request that you contact me with any further questions or concernsthat you may have. My contact information is below.

Thank you,

Jared

Jared Ebert

Senior Environmental Protection Specialist

*I am working remotely, please feel free to call my cell at (720) 413-6466



P 303.866.3567 ext. 8120 | F 303.832.8106 |

1313 Sherman St., Room 215, Denver, CO 80203

jared.ebert@state.co.us | https://drms.colorado.gov

Jared Ebert

Senior Environmental Protection Specialist

*I am working remotely, please feel free to call my cell at (720) 413-6466

<image.png>

P 303.866.3567 ext. 8120 | F 303.832.8106 |

1313 Sherman St., Room 215, Denver, CO 80203

jared.ebert@state.co.us | https://drms.colorado.gov

Jared Ebert

Senior Environmental Protection Specialist

*I am working remotely, please feel free to call my cell at (720) 413-6466

<image.png>

P 303.866.3567 ext. 8120 | F 303.832.8106 |

1313 Sherman St., Room 215, Denver, CO 80203

jared.ebert@state.co.us | https://drms.colorado.gov

Jared Ebert

Senior Environmental Protection Specialist

*I am working remotely, please feel free to call my cell at (720) 413-6466



P 303.866.3567 ext. 8120 | F 303.832.8106 |

1313 Sherman St., Room 215, Denver, CO 80203

jared.ebert@state.co.us | https://drms.colorado.gov