

PERMIT INFORMATION

Permit Number: C-1981-015	County: Mesa		
Mine Name: Fruita No 1 & 2	Operation Type: Underground		
Operator: Dorchester Coal Company	Permit Status: Revoked		
Operator Address:	Ownership: Private		
Greg Lewicki			
9054 South Forrest Drive	Operator Representative Present:		
Highlands Ranch, CO 80126			
	NA		
Operator Representative Signature: (Field Issuance Only)			

INSPECTION INFORMATION

Inspection Start Date: March 31, 2021 Inspection Start Time: 11:50 Inspection End Date: March 31, 2021 Inspection End Time: 12:30		Inspection Type: Coal Complete Inspection Inspection Reason: Normal I&E Program Weather: Clear			
Joint Inspection Agency: Joint		Join	oint Inspection Contacts:		
None		None			
Post Inspection Agency:		Post Inspection Contacts:			
None		None	None		
Inspector(s):	Inspector's Signature: Signature D		Signature Date:		
Clayton Wein	Clay	Tan a	Wein	4/5/2021	

Inspection Topic Summary

NOTE: Y=Inspected N=Not Inspected R=Comments Noted V=Violation Issued NA=Not Applicable

- **N** Air Resource Protection
- **N** Availability of Records
- N Backfill & Grading
- **N** Excess Spoil and Dev. Waste
- **N** Explosives
- N Fish & Wildlife
- Y Hydrologic Balance
- **Y** Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan
- N Other
- N Processing Waste

- N Roads
- ${\bf R}\,$ Reclamation Success
- **N** Revegetation
- N Subsidence
- ${\bf N}\,$ Slides and Other Damage
- N Support Facilities On-site
- ${\bf N}\,$ Signs and Markers
- ${\bf N}\,$ Support Facilities Not On-site
- **N** Special Categories Of Mining
- N Topsoil

COMMENTS

This was a complete inspection of the Fruita No. 1 and 2 Mine conducted on March 31, 2021. The inspection was completed by Clayton Wein of the Division. The weather was clear with a temperature of 46 degrees F. The ground conditions were dry. The Fruita No. 1 and 2 Mine is a revoked site; therefore, no company representative was present for the inspection.

RECLAMATION SUCCESS - Rule 4.15, Rule 3:

At the southern end of the permit area there is one sediment pond. The pond is permitted to remain as a permanent feature at the site. The pond was dry at the time of the inspection (Photo 1). The embankment was stable with vegetation. No erosional features were identified. The Spillway was stable and lined with riprap. There were no erosional issues.

The reclaimed haul road was vegetated and stable (Photo 2). There were no new erosional features identified. The existing rills and gully have not increased in size since the previous inspection. There were no indications of these erosional features affecting the reclamation's stability. The cut slopes were stable with no indications of failure observed. The fill slopes were also stable with no issues noted.

The slope of the reclaimed bench was stable with no new erosional features (Photo 3). There were no indications of slope failure. The riprap lined channel was in good condition. There were no observed indications of instability.

The reclaimed bench was stable with vegetation. The erosional features on the bench had not increased in size or depth. The Backfilled portals were observed to be stable. There were no indications of erosion.

DOCUMENTS RECEIVED: None

OTHER (SPECIFY): None

ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS/COMPLIANCE

No enforcement actions were initiated as a result of this inspection, nor are any pending.

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: The southern end of the sediment pond and embankment.



Photo 2: The reclaimed haul road, west of the reclaimed bench.



Photo 3: The reclaimed slope on the south side of the bench.