

Zuber - DNR, Rob <rob.zuber@state.co.us>

TR-122 Second Adequacy

2 messages

Zuber - DNR, Rob <rob.zuber@state.co.us> Thu, Jan 28 To: Basil Bear <basilbear@wolverinefuels.com>, Tamme Bishop <tamme.jestover@bresnan.net>

Thu, Jan 28, 2021 at 8:01 AM

Good morning. Please see attached letter with the second DRMS adequacy for TR-122. You will see in the letter that I just have one small adequacy item left for you all to address.

Thanks, Rob

Rob Zuber, P.E. Environmental Protection Specialist II Active Mines Regulatory Program



P 303.866.3567, extension 8113 | Cell 720.601.2276 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, CO 80203 rob.zuber@state.co.us | http://mining.state.co.us

DRMS_SECOND_adequacy_letter_Bowie2_TR122.pdf 516K

 Zuber - DNR, Rob <rob.zuber@state.co.us>
 Thu, Jan 28, 2021 at 8:13 AM

 To: Basil Bear <basilbear@wolverinefuels.com>, Tamme Bishop <tamme.jestover@bresnan.net>

My bad. I had the wrong date on the letter. Delete the first one and keep this one, please.

Rob

Rob Zuber, P.E. Environmental Protection Specialist II Active Mines Regulatory Program



P 303.866.3567, extension 8113 | Cell 720.601.2276 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, CO 80203 rob.zuber@state.co.us | http://mining.state.co.us

[Quoted text hidden]

DRMS_SECOND_adequacy_letter_Bowie2_TR122.pdf 516K



January 28, 2021

Basil Bear Bowie Resources, LLC P.O. Box 1488 Paonia, CO 81428

Re: Bowie No. 2 Mine, Permit C-1996-083, Technical Revision Number 122 (TR-122), Second Adequacy Review

Dear Mr. Bear:

The Division received the TR-122 submittal on 18 November 2020, and we received the response to our adequacy review (letter from Stover & Associates dated 13 January 2021).

All but one adequacy item was sufficiently addressed. See Number 9 below. Please address this comment with another response letter. As always, do not hesitate to call (work cell 720.601.2276) or e-mail me with comments or questions.

Adequacy Items

- 1. No additional response required.
- 2. No additional response required.
- 3. No additional response required.
- 4. No additional response required.
- 5. No additional response required.
- 6. No additional response required.
- 7. No additional response required.
- 8. No additional response required.
- 9. Please discuss in your response letter (and update any submitted pages, as appropriate) the construction plans for ditches, given some discrepancies. Ditch D-1 and Ditch D-2 are examples. Within the table on page B-2 (operations summary of ditches and culverts), these ditches are listed as V-shaped ditches, but in the SEDCAD model they are trapezoidal ditches with a 10-foot bottom (D-1) and a 5-foot bottom (D-2).
 - *Response from Stover & Associates: Please see revised pages Volume XI, page App. B-29 & 30.*
 - There are still apparent errors within the table on page B-2. For example, the ditch type is incorrect for ditch J21; it should say "V-DITCH." West Diversion Ditch #1 is listed as a V-DITCH, but it should be listed as a trapezoidal channel. Please check this table, comparing to output from the SEDCAD model, and revise as necessary.
- 10. No additional response required.
- 11. No additional response required.



- 12. No additional response required.
- 13. No additional response required.
- 14. No additional response required.
- 15. No additional response required.
- 16. No additional response required.
- 17. No additional response required.
- 18. No additional response required.

Thank you,

Phot D. Zh

Robert D. Zuber, P.E. Environmental Protection Specialist II

Cc via email: Tamme Bishop, J.E. Stover & Associates, Inc.