

# MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Red Wolf Quarry	M-1999-063	Sandstone (silica, sto	Larimer
		quartzite)	
INSPECTION TYPE:	<b>INSPECTOR(S):</b>	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring	Robert Zuber, P.E.	September 22, 2020	10:05
OPERATOR:	<b>OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:</b>	TYPE OF OPERAT	TION:
Luis M. Vasquez	Raul Vasquez	110c - Construction I	Limited Impact

<b>REASON FOR INSPECTION:</b>	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program	Complete Bond	\$8,900.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA	None	None
WEATHER: IN	SPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:
Clear	-	October 16, 2020
	het D. H.	
1	2. CP	

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

## **INSPECTION TOPIC:** Signs & Markers

**PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION:** Problem: The mine identification sign at the entrance of the mine site did not contain all necessary information. This is a problem for failure to post an adequate mine identification sign as required by Section 3.1.12(1) of the rule. The Operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site post a sign, which shall be clearly visible from the access road, with a minimum size equaling one hundred and eighty-seven (187) square inches, such as eleven (11) inches in height and seventeen (17) inches in width, with appropriate font size, with the following: the name of the Operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (DRMS); and the permit number. **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site, post a sign which shall be clearly visible from the access road with the following: the name of the operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for that a reclamation permit for the operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site, post a sign which shall be clearly visible from the access road with the following: the name of the operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operator shall, at the colorado DRMS; and the permit number. The operator shall submit photo documentation that a proper sign has been posted by the corrective action date.

### **CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 12/15/20

**INSPECTION TOPIC:** Signs & Markers

**PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION:** Problem: The affected area boundary markers are incorrectly placed. This is a problem for failure to maintain boundary markers around the affected area as required by Section 3.1.12(2) of the rule.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The operator shall conduct a survey and replace the boundary markers in the correct location(s). The operator shall provide proof to the Division that this has been done by the corrective action date.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE:** 12/15/20

## **OBSERVATIONS**

Rob Zuber of DRMS arrived at the Vasquez family's stone yard on Highway 66 (near the town of Lyons) and then followed Raul Vasquez to the site (in separate vehicles), approximately six miles from Lyons (distance along Stone Canyon Drive). After the Lyon King Quarry was inspected, the Red Wolf Quarry was inspected.

The weather was clear and hot for September. The ground was dry from only limited recent moisture.

### Backfilling and Grading:

The primary quarry to be reclaimed is approximately 0.4 acre, as measured with Google Earth after the inspection. This is in agreement with a field estimate (with a Rangefinder) of 175 feet by 120 feet, which is 0.48 acre. The average depth is approximately four feet.

Mr. Vasquez indicated that a smaller quarry near the northwest corner of the site will also be reclaimed, but it is pre-law disturbance. This smaller quarry is approximately 40 feet by 30 feet and two feet deep.

The roads at the site will remain as permanent features, and the stone stockpiles appear to be historical disturbance, as viewed in older photos in Google Earth.

#### Financial Warranty:

The Division has updated the Reclamation Cost Estimate (RCE) for the Red Wolf Quarry and is including it with this inspection report as an enclosure. The new estimate is \$9,604. The surety held for this site is \$8,900. The financial warranty is not adequate to reclaim the site in accordance with the approved reclamation plan. This is a failure to maintain the proper financial warranty amount to complete reclamation of the affected lands pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-117(4)(b) of the Act.

Your company must submit additional financial warranty to match the RCE. (Alternatively, your company can provide justification to support a lower estimate of the cost by November 2, 2020.) The Division will be sending a separate surety increase notice to your company regarding the increase of the financial warranty. Your company will have 60 days from the date on the surety increase notice to post the additional financial warranty.

### Hydrologic Balance:

No erosion or other hydrology problems were seen at the site.

### Off-site Damage:

It did not appear that off-site damage is an issue, but (as noted elsewhere in this report) the boundaries are not clear, so it is difficult to definitively say there is no off-site damage.

## **Revegetation:**

It appears that no reclamation has been performed on this site. No weed patches were seen. A weed-like plant was observed, but determined later to be giant blazing star (Mentzelia laevicaulis), which is not on the Colorado noxious weed list.

## Signs and Markers:

The mine entrance sign was seen at the scale house, near the south end of the permit boundary. It contained the quarry name and DRMS permit number. It did not list the name of the operator or mention the permit with DRMS. This information should be added to the sign.

Permit boundary markers were found at the corners of the site, and these were checked using GPS and by comparing to the boundary outline in the permit maps. There appears to be one or more issues with the marking of the boundary. See the figures below. It appears that the markers on the ground indicate a narrow permit area in the east/west direction compared to the site plan. In particular, the corners at the south end are less than 300 feet apart (using Google Earth), but the site plan indicates that they should be 620 feet apart. The markers are important in determining where the Red Wolf Quarry permit ends and where other permits begin (Beech Hill, for example).

Also, the distance on the site plan to the south side of the Red Wolf Quarry (1,040 feet) may be wrong. DRMS estimates (using Google Earth) that the distance is closer to 630 feet, assuming that the property line is near the gate by the Indio Red Quarry Number 1 (as Mr. Vasquez indicated). [It should be noted that the County line (and possibly the property line) is approximately 400 feet south of said gate on some maps, including Google Earth.]

## Topsoil:

Mr. Vasquez indicated that no topsoil has been salvaged and stockpiled for the reclamation. He also indicated that naturally there is very little topsoil at any of the quarry sites in the vicinity of the Red Wolf Quarry (on the Beech Hill ridge). The Division is not citing this as a problem.

# **PHOTOGRAPHS**



**Figure 1. Boundary of Red Wolf Quarry per Markers on the Ground** Purple crosshair symbols are from DRMS GPS data collection at on-the-ground markers (Indio Red Quarry Number 1 & 2 are also shown to the south and to the north) Yellow lines are an estimate of the boundary from connecting the GPS points



Figure 2. Permit Site Plan from Red Wolf Quarry Permit Application

PERMIT #: M-1999-063 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: RDZ INSPECTION DATE: September 22, 2020

# **PHOTOGRAPHS**



Southwest corner of site (looking north) with boundary marker



Eastern edge of large quarry



Undisturbed area near north end of permit area



Entrance signs for Red Wolf Quarry and other mines

#### **GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS**

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>N</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>NA</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>NA</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>NA</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION Y
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS PB	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>NA</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>NA</u>
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>NA</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>NA</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>NA</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>Y</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

**Inspection Contact Address** 

Raul Vasquez Luis M. Vasquez P.O. Box 122 Lyons, CO 80540

Enclosure

CC:

# COST SUMMARY WORK

Та	sk description:	COST SUMMARY				
Site:	Red Wolf Quarry	Permit Action	: Surety Increase	•	Permit/Job#	#: <u>M1999063</u>
PR	OJECT IDENTIFICA	<b>ATION</b>				
	Task #:         000           Date:         9/30/2020           User:         RDZ	State: Colorado County: Larimer			Abbreviation: _ Filename: _	None M063-000
	Agency or organiza	tion name: DRMS				
TA	SK LIST (DIRECT C	OSTS)				
			Form	Fleet	Task	
Task	Description		Used	Size	Hours	Cost
001	Grading and Ripping of		DOZER	1	14.35	\$3,768
002	Replace Topsoil on Aff		DOZER	1	0.85	\$220
003	Revegetate Disturbed A		REVEGE	1	0.00	\$586
004	Mobilization/Demobiliz	zation	MOBILIZE	1	4.88	\$3,515
			<u>SUBT(</u>	<u>)TALS:</u>	20.08	\$8,089
	DIRECT COSTS					
	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurance Performance bond Job superintenden Profi	e: 2.02 d: 1.05 t: 0.00 t: 10.00				5 09 057
	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurance Performance bone Job superintenden	e: 2.02 d: 1.05 t: 0.00 t: 10.00	'RACT AMOUNT		$Total = \frac{\$8}{Total} = \frac{\$0}{Total} = \frac{\$0}{\$0}$ $Total = \frac{\$8}{10}$ $L O \& P = \frac{\$1}{10}$	5 09
OV	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurance Performance bone Job superintenden Profi	e: 2.02 d: 1.05 t: 0.00 t: 10.00			$Total = \frac{\$8}{Total} = \frac{\$0}{Total} = \frac{\$0}{\$0}$ $Total = \frac{\$8}{10}$ $L O \& P = \frac{\$1}{10}$	5 09 057
OV	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurance Performance bond Job superintenden Profi	e: 2.02 d: 1.05 t: 0.00 t: 10.00 CONT			$Total = \frac{\$8}{Total} = \frac{\$0}{Total} = \frac{\$0}{\$0}$ $Total = \frac{\$8}{10}$ $L O \& P = \frac{\$1}{10}$	5 09 057
OV	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurance Performance bond Job superintenden Profi GAL - ENGINEERING - Financial warranty proc	e: 2.02 d: 1.05 t: 0.00 t: 10.00 CONT	<b>.</b>		$Total = \frac{\$8}{50}$ $Total = \frac{\$0}{50}$ $Total = \frac{\$8}{50}$ $O \& P = \frac{\$1}{50}$ $O \& P) = \frac{\$9}{59}$ $Total = \frac{\$0}{50}$	5 09 057 146
OV	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurance Performance bone Job superintenden Profi GAL - ENGINEERING - Financial warranty proc Engineering work and/	e: 2.02 d: 1.05 t: 0.00 t: 10.00 CONT PROJECT MANAGEMENT ressing (legal/related costs):	7: \$0		Total = $\frac{$83}{500}$ Total = $\frac{$00}{500}$ Total = $\frac{$80}{500}$ O & P = $\frac{$11}{500}$ O & P) = $\frac{$99}{500}$ Total = $\frac{$00}{500}$	5 09 057 146
OV	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurance Performance bone Job superintenden Profi GAL - ENGINEERING - Financial warranty proc Engineering work and/	e: 2.02 d: 1.05 t: 0.00 t: 10.00 CONT PROJECT MANAGEMENT ressing (legal/related costs): for contract/bid preparation:	5: 		$Total = \frac{\$8}{50}$ $Total = \frac{\$0}{50}$ $Total = \frac{\$8}{50}$ $O \& P = \frac{\$1}{50}$ $O \& P) = \frac{\$9}{59}$ $Total = \frac{\$0}{50}$	5 09 057 146
OV	ERHEAD AND PROFIT Liability insurance Performance bone Job superintenden Profi GAL - ENGINEERING - Financial warranty proc Engineering work and/	e: 2.02 d: 1.05 t: 0.00 t: 10.00 CONT PROJECT MANAGEMENT ressing (legal/related costs): for contract/bid preparation: ment and/or administration:	F: \$0 0.00 5.00	' (direct + 	$Total = \frac{$88}{$50}$ $Total = \frac{$0}{$80}$ $Total = \frac{$10}{$90}$ $Total = \frac{$0}{$50}$ $Total = \frac{$0}{$42}$ $Total = \frac{$0}{$42}$	5 09 057 146

# BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Grading and Rip	ping of Dist	urbed Area		
<b>Red Wolf Quarry</b>	Perm	nit Action:	Surety Increase	Permit/Job#:	M1999063
PROJECT IDENTIF	<b>ICATION</b>				
Task #: 001	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 9/30/2020	County:	Larimer		Filename:	M063-001
User: RDZ	· .			-	
Agency or organ	nization name: DR	MS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	ENT COST				
	t D8T - 8SU				
Horsepower: 310					
	ni-Universal				
	hank ripper er day				
1	RG)				
	NO)				
Cost Breakdown:		I	TT.'11 . 1		
Aumarchin Cost/Harry		\$116.22	<u>Utilization %</u> NA		
Ownership Cost/Hour: Operating Cost/Hour:		\$116.22 \$89.77	<u> </u>		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$12.00			
Ripper own. Cost/Hour: Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$4.59	50		
		\$40.04	NA		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$262.60 \$262.60 TTIES	\$40.04			
	\$262.60 TITIES 0				
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21	\$262.60 TITIES 0				
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21	\$262.60 TTIES 0 5 9 LCY me: Division of		on, Mining & Safety		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell	\$262.60 CITIES 0 5 9 LCY me: Division of 1 factor: Cat Handle				
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT	\$262.60         TTIES         0         5         9 LCY         me:       Division c         1 factor:       Cat Handb         FION				
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance:	\$262.60         CITIES         0         5         9 LCY         me:       Division of Cat Handle         1 factor:       Cat Handle <b>FION</b> 150 feet	of Reclamation			
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT	\$262.60         CITIES         0         5         9 LCY         me:       Division of Cat Handle         1 factor:       Cat Handle <b>FION</b> 150 feet	of Reclamation			
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance:	\$262.60           TTIES           0           5           9 LCY           me:         Division of Cat Handb           1 factor:         Cat Handb           FION         150 feet           ction:         634.3 LCY/I	of Reclamation	on, Mining & Safety		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient:	\$262.60           TTIES           0           5           9 LCY           me:         Division of Cat Handle           1 factor:         Cat Handle           FION         150 feet           ction:         634.3 LCY/I           scription:         Consoli           0 %         0 %	of Reclamation	on, Mining & Safety		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produc Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	\$262.60           TTIES           0           5           9 LCY           me:         Division of           1 factor:         Cat Handb           FION           ction:         634.3 LCY/l           scription:         Consoli           0 %         6,500 feet	of Reclamation	on, Mining & Safety		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight:	\$262.60         TTIES         0         5         9 LCY         me:       Division of Cat Handb         I factor:       Cat Handb         FION         ction:       150 feet         cscription:       Consoli         0 %       6,500 feet         3,300 lbs/LCY		on, Mining & Safety		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produc Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description:	\$262.60           YTTIES           0           5           9 LCY           me:         Division of           1 factor:         Cat Handb <b>FION</b> ction:         634.3 LCY/I           scription:         Consoli           0 %         6,500 feet           3,300 lbs/LCY         Decomposed rock		on, Mining & Safety  		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produc Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction	\$262.60           STTIES           0           5           9 LCY           me:         Division of           1 factor:         Cat Handb <b>FION</b> ction:         150 feet           ction:         634.3 LCY/I           scription:         Consoli           0 %         6,500 feet           3,300 lbs/LCY         Decomposed rock           Factor         Factor		on, Mining & Safety 		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator	\$262.60           STTIES           0           5           9 LCY           me:         Division of           1 factor:         Cat Handb <b>FION</b> ction:         150 feet           ction:         634.3 LCY/I           scription:         Consoli           0 %         6,500 feet           3,300 lbs/LCY         Decomposed rock           Factor         Skill:         0.7		on, Mining & Safety  oile 1.0     		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 2,60 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 3,15 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produc Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction	\$262.60         TTIES         0         5         9 LCY         me:       Division of Cat Handh         1 factor:       Cat Handh         FION         ction:       150 feet         634.3 LCY/I         scription:       Consoli         0 %       6,500 feet         3,300 lbs/LCY       Decomposed rock         Factor       Skill:       0.7         ency:       1.0		on, Mining & Safety 		

Task # 001

Job efficience	cy: 0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pi	le: 0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradie	nt: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Altituc	le: 1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight	ht: 0.697	(CAT HB)
Blade typ	be: 1.000	(PAT)
Net correction	on: 0.3471	
Adjusted unit production:	220.17 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production:	220.17 LCY/hr	

# JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$1.193/LCY

Total job time:	14.35 Hours
Total job cost:	\$3,768

# BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	<b>Replace Topsoil on Affected</b>	Lana		
<b>Red Wolf Quarry</b>	Permit Action:	Surety Increase	Permit/Job#:	M1999063
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION			
Task #: 002	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 9/30/2020	County: Larimer		Filename:	M063-002
User: RDZ	·			
Agency or organ	ization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST			
	D8T - 8SU			
Horsepower: 310				
	i-Universal			
	ank ripper r day			
Data Source: (CR				
	0,			
Cost Breakdown:		T14:12 0/		
Aumershin Cost/Hours	\$116.22	Utilization % NA		
Ownership Cost/Hour: Operating Cost/Hour:	\$116.22	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:	\$12.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$40.04	NA		
MATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 161				
Swell factor: 1.125 Loose volume: 181 L				
Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell	<u> </u>			
	factor: Cat Handbook	l		
Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance:	factor: Cat Handbook ION 200 feet	<u> </u>		
Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product	factor: Cat Handbook ION 200 feet tion: 491.9 LCY/hr			
Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance:	factor: Cat Handbook ION 200 feet tion: 491.9 LCY/hr			
Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product	factor: Cat Handbook ION 200 feet tion: 491.9 LCY/hr			
Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency desc Average push gradient:	factor: Cat Handbook ION 200 feet tion: 491.9 LCY/hr cription: Consolidated stock 0 %			
Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency desc Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description:	factor: <u>Cat Handbook</u> <u>ION</u> tion: <u>200 feet</u> tion: <u>491.9 LCY/hr</u> cription: <u>Consolidated stock</u> <u>0 %</u> <u>6,500 feet</u> <u>2,650 lbs/LCY</u> <u>Decomposed rock - 25% Rock</u>	 pile 1.0		
Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency desc Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction	factor: Cat Handbook ION tion: 200 feet tion: 491.9 LCY/hr cription: Consolidated stock 0 % 6,500 feet 2,650 lbs/LCY Decomposed rock - 25% Rock Factor	pile 1.0		
Source of estimated swell  HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency desc Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator S	factor: Cat Handbook ION 200 feet tion: 491.9 LCY/hr cription: Consolidated stock 0 % 6,500 feet 2,650 lbs/LCY Decomposed rock - 25% Rock Factor kill: 0.750	pile 1.0		
Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency desc Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction	factor:       Cat Handbook         I Cat Handbook         I Cat Handbook         I Cat Handbook         I Consolidated stock         Consolidated stock         O %	pile 1.0		

Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.868	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correction:	0.4323	
Adjusted unit production: 21	2.65 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production: 21	2.65 LCY/hr	

# JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$1.213/LCY
Total job times	0 85 Hours

I otal job time:	<b>0.85</b> Hours
Total job cost:	\$220

# **REVEGETATION WORK**

Task descrip	otion:	Revegetate Disturbed Area			
Site: Red Wolf Quarry		Permit Action:	Surety Increase	Permit/Jol	o#: M1999063
PROJECT Task #:	IDENTIFIC	ATION State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: User:	9/30/2020 RDZ	County: Larimer		Filename:	M063-003
Age	ency or organiz	zation name: DRMS			

# **FERTILIZING**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
6-24-24, 10-20-10, 15-15-15	40.00	pound	\$0.27	\$10.60
			Total Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$10.60

#### Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Tractor towed spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0120)		\$37.03
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$37.03

# **TILLING**

Description	Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$107.16
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$107.16

## **SEEDING**

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Crested Wheatgrass - Fairway	1.50	6.89	\$6.04
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	2.25	7.39	\$18.84
Pubescent Wheatgrass - Luna	4.25	8.78	\$14.45
Western Wheatgrass - Barton	4.00	10.10	\$28.00
Totals Seed Mix	12.00	33.16	\$67.33

## Application

Description	Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)	\$232.00

### **Total Seed Application Cost/Acre**

\$232.00

#### **MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$301.00	\$602.00
<b>Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre</b>				\$602.00

## Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$70.17
	<b>Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre</b>	\$70.17

## **NURSERY STOCK PLANTING**

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
		Totals	Nursery Stoc	k Cost / Acre	\$0.00

## JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	0.4	Cost /Acre:	\$1,126.29
Estimated Failure Rate:	30%	Cost /Acre*:	\$1,126.29
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	FERTILIZING,TII	LLING,SEEDING,MU	
	LCHING		
Initial Job Cost: \$450.52			
Reseeding Job Cost: \$135.15			
Total Job Cost: <b>\$586</b>			
Job Hours: <b>0.00</b>			

# EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description	: <u>Mo</u>	bilization/Demob	ilization				
e: <u>Red Wolf Qu</u>	arry	Permit	Action: Suret	y Increase	P	ermit/Job#:	M1999063
PROJECT IDE	ENTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #: 00	4	State: Co	olorado		Abbre	viation: No	one
	30/2020	County: La	rimer		Fil	ename: M	063-004
User: <u>RI</u>	DZ						
Agency	or organization	n name: DRMS					
EQUIPMENT	TRANSPOR	<u>T RIG COST</u>					
					Shift bas	sis: 1 per	r day
				C	Cost Data Sour	ce: CRG	Data
Truc	k Tractor Desc	ription: GENE	PIC ON HIGH	WAV TRI		P 6YA DIE	SEL POWERED,
IIuc	K Hactor Desc		KIC ON-IIIOII		(2ND HALF, 2		SEL FOWERED,
Tru	ck Trailer Desc	ription: G	ENERIC FOLD		· · · ·	,	OLIPMENT
110	ek franci Dese				(25T, 50T, AN		
					(251, 501, 711)	D 1001)	
Cost Breakdown:							
Available Rig (	Capacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51+	Tons		
	p Cost/Hour:	\$17.20	\$29.63	\$3	8.69		
	g Cost/Hour:	\$26.56	\$47.02	\$5	5.69		
Ôperato	or Cost/Hour:	\$23.63	\$23.63	\$2	3.63		
Helpe	er Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$23.53	\$2	3.53		
Total Un	it Cost/Hour:	\$67.39	\$123.81	\$14	41.54		
NON ROADAI	BLE EOUIPN	MENT:					
			<b>W</b> 1D:			D. t. m. T. i.	DOT D
Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return Trip Cost/hr/ flee	
Description	Unit (TONS)	Cost/hr/ unit	Cost/hr/uni t	Size	Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/III/ Hee	
Cat D8T - 8SU	53.08	\$128.22	\$141.54	1	\$269.76	\$141.54	\$250.00
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with Tractor	25.00	\$6.72	\$67.39	2	\$148.22	\$134.78	\$500.00
	I		1	1			
				Subtotals:	\$417.98	\$276.32	\$750.00

# **ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:**

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/	Fleet Size	Haul Trip	Return Trip
	unit		Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/hr/ fleet
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 3/4 T.	\$13.23	1	\$13.23	\$13.23
Fuel Tanker, 4x2, 170 HP	\$28.84	1	\$28.84	\$28.84
Lube Truck, 4x2, 190 HP	\$34.47	1	\$34.47	\$34.47
		Subtotals:	\$76.54	\$76.54
		Subiotais.	\$70.54	\$70.54

# **EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time**

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance: Average Travel Speed:	LONGMONT 10.00 45.00	miles mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$3,480.50	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$34.02	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.22	0.22
Return Time (Hours):	0.22	0.22
Loading Time (Hours):	1.00	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	1.00	NA
Subtotals:	2.44	0.44

## JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **4.89** Hours

Total job cost: \$3,515