

# MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Lyon King Quarry	M-1999-064	Sandstone (silica, sto	Larimer
		quartzite)	
INSPECTION TYPE:	INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring	Robert Zuber, P.E.	September 22, 2020	08:00
OPERATOR:	<b>OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:</b>	TYPE OF OPERAT	TION:
Luis M. Vasquez	Raul Vasquez	110c - Construction I	Limited Impact

<b>REASON FOR INSPECTION:</b>	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program	Complete Bond	\$8,400.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA	None	None
WEATHER: INS	PECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:
Clear		October 16, 2020
Z	let D. H.	
0	2. UP	

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

# **INSPECTION TOPIC:** Signs & Markers

**PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION:** Problem: The mine identification sign posted at the entrance of the mine site did not contain all necessary information. This is a problem for failure to post a mine identification sign as required by Section 3.1.12(1) of the rule. The Operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site post a sign, which shall be clearly visible from the access road, with a minimum size equaling one hundred and eighty-seven (187) square inches, such as eleven (11) inches in height and seventeen (17) inches in width, with appropriate font size, with the following: the name of the Operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board; and the permit number.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The operator shall, at the entrance of the mine site, post a sign which shall be clearly visible from the access road with the following: the name of the operator, a statement that a reclamation permit for the operation has been issued by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board; and the permit number. The operator shall submit photo documentation that a proper sign has been posted by the corrective

# **OBSERVATIONS**

Rob Zuber of DRMS arrived at the Vasquez family's stone yard on Highway 66 (near the town of Lyons) and then followed Raul Vasquez to the site (in separate vehicles), approximately six miles from Lyons (distance along Stone Canyon Drive). The weather was clear and hot for September. The ground was dry from limited recent moisture.

### Backfilling and Grading:

The remaining portion of the quarry, near the north end of the site, is approximately a circle with a diameter of 90 feet (0.15 acre) and an average depth of five feet. Backfilling this quarry (and spreading topsoil and seeding this area) is the only significant reclamation work to be done at the Lyon King Quarry. Some rubble piles exist near the eastern boundary, but are historical (per Mr. Vasquez). Older Google Earth photographs appear to confirm this (although the resolution of the imagery is poor).

The grading of the reclaimed area (south of the existing quarry) blends well with the surrounding topography.

### Financial Warranty:

The Division has updated the Reclamation Cost Estimate for the Red Wolf Quarry and is including it with this inspection report as an enclosure. The new estimate is \$5,320. The Division currently holds a financial warranty in the amount of \$8,400. Therefore, the operation is eligible for a surety reduction. Pursuant to Rule 4.1.14, an Operator may request that the Office reduce the amount of the financial warranty required. Such request must be made in writing and shall include an estimate of the actual cost to reclaim the site based on what it would cost an independent contractor to complete reclamation. A copy of the surety reduction form has been enclosed with this report.

### Hydrologic Balance:

No erosion or other hydrology problems were seen at the site.

### Off-site Damage:

A previous inspection (June 2011) revealed that there has been off-site disturbance at the north side of the site. This area has healed fairly well in the subsequent nine years. Also, logs have been placed to discourage additional disturbance in this area.

At the top of the cliff to the west of the site, rubble exists, outside of the boundary. This is likely historical disturbance because most of the rock contains considerable lichen.

### **Revegetation:**

Overall, the vegetation on the reclaimed area is successful. Some weeds were seen on site, including cheat grass, common mullein, and knapweed. Of these three, knapweed is considered the most problematic by DRMS and other weed specialist in Colorado. Mr. Vasquez indicated that he has been using weevils to control the knapweed. All of the knapweed that was observed was very dry, likely from the weevils, although the dryness of the summer of 2020 and lateness in the season could also be factors.

Small pine trees were seen in the reclaimed area. These are mostly volunteers, per Mr. Vasquez.

### Signs and Markers:

The mine entrance sign was seen at the scale house, approximately 1,000 feet from the Lyon King Quarry boundary. It contained the quarry name and DRMS permit number. It did not list the name of the operator or mention DRMS. This information should be added to the sign.

Boundary markers were found at the corners of the site, and these were checked using GPS and by comparing to the boundary outline in the permit maps. These markers appeared to be accurate.

### Topsoil:

Mr. Vasquez indicated that no topsoil has been salvaged and stockpiled for the remaining reclamation. He also indicated that naturally there is very little topsoil at any of the quarry sites in the vicinity of the Lyon King (on the Beech Hill ridge). The Division is not citing this as a problem.

# **PHOTOGRAPHS**



**Reclaimed** area



Quarry to be reclaimed



**Pre-law rubble** 



Area north of boundary where disturbance is heeling (noted in 2011 DRMS inspection report)

### **GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS**

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>N</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>NA</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>NA</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>NA</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION Y
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS PB	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP Y
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>NA</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>NA</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>Y</u>	

Y = Inspected / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

**Inspection Contact Address** 

Raul Vasquez Luis M. Vasquez P.O. Box 122 Lyons, CO 80540

Enclosure

CC:

# COST SUMMARY WORK

Т	Task description:	Cost Summary					
Site:	Lyon King Quarry	Permit Action:	SI		Permit/	/Job#:	M1999064
<u>P</u> l	ROJECT IDENTIFIC	CATION					
	Task #: 000	State: Colorado		1	Abbreviation	n: N	Vone
	Date: 10/14/2020	County: Larimer			Filename	e: N	1064-000
	User: RDZ						
	Agency or organiz	zation name: DRMS					
<u>T</u>	ASK LIST (DIRECT	<u>COSTS)</u>					
Took			Form	Fleet	Task		
Task	Description		Used	Size	Hours		Cost
001	BACKFILL QUARR		DOZER	1	2.95		\$767 \$20
002 003	REPLACE TOPSOIL REVEGETATE QUA		DOZER REVEGE	1	0.11		\$30 \$169
003	MOBILIZATION/DE		MOBILIZE	1	4.88		\$3,515
001			MODILILL	1	1.00		φ5,515
			<u>SUBTC</u>	DTALS:	7	.94	\$4,481
	DIRECT COSTS						
<u>0'</u>	VERHEAD AND PROFI	<u>T:</u>					
	Liability insuran				Total =	\$91	
	Performance bo				Total =	\$47	
	Job superintende				Total =	\$0	
	Pro	fit: 10.00		τοτλι	Total =	\$448 \$586	
		CONT	RACT AMOUNT		-	\$5,0	
						1-9-	
LE	EGAL - ENGINEERING	- PROJECT MANAGEMENT					
		ocessing (legal/related costs):	\$0	_	Total =	\$0	
		d/or contract/bid preparation:	0.00		Total =	\$0	
	Reclamation manag	ement and/or administration:	5.00	_	-	\$253	}
		CONTINGENCY:	0.00		Total =	\$0	
			TOTAL II	NDIRECT	COST =	\$839	)
		TOTAL BO	ND AMOUNT (d	lirect + ir	ndirect) = _	\$5,3	20

# BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	BACKFILL QUARRY			
Lyon King Quarry	Permit Action:	SI	Permit/Job#:	M1999064
PROJECT IDENTIF	ICATION			
Task #: 001	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 10/14/2020			Filename:	M064-001
User: RDZ			-	
Agency or organ	nization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	ENT COST			
	t D8T - 8SU			
Horsepower: 310				
VI	mi-Universal			
	hank ripper			
	er day			
Data Source: (CF	RG)			
Cost Breakdown:				
		Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$116.22	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:	\$89.77	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:	\$12.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$2.29	25		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$40.04	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$260.31 <b>\$260.31</b>			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>MATERIAL QUANT</u> Initial Volume:1,17	\$260.31 TITIES 8			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:         MATERIAL QUANT         Initial Volume:       1,17         Swell factor:       1.21         Loose volume:       1,43	\$260.31 TTHES 8 5 1 LCY			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,17 Swell factor: 1.21	\$260.31         S         8         5         1 LCY         me:       Division of Reclamat         1 factor:       Cat Handbook         FION         50 feet	ion, Mining & Safety		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:         MATERIAL QUANT         Initial Volume:       1,17         Swell factor:       1,21         Loose volume:       1,43         Source of estimated volu       Source of estimated swell         HOURLY PRODUCT       Average push distance:	\$260.31           CITIES           8           5           1 LCY           me:         Division of Reclamat           1 factor:         Cat Handbook           FION         50 feet           ction:         1,400.0 LCY/hr			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,17 Swell factor: 1,21 Loose volume: 1,43 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product	\$260.31         CITIES         8         5         1 LCY         me:       Division of Reclamat         1 factor:       Cat Handbook         FION         50 feet         ction:       1,400.0 LCY/hr			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,17 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 1,43 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient:	\$260.31         STTIES         8         5         11 LCY         me:       Division of Reclamat         1 factor:       Cat Handbook         FION         ction:       50 feet         1,400.0 LCY/hr         scription:       Consolidated stock         0 %			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:         MATERIAL QUANT         Initial Volume:       1,17         Swell factor:       1,21         Loose volume:       1,43         Source of estimated volum         Source of estimated swell         HOURLY PRODUCT         Average push distance:         Unadjusted hourly product         Materials consistency des         Average push gradient:         Average site altitude:	\$260.31         STTIES         8         5         1 LCY         me:       Division of Reclamat         1 factor:       Cat Handbook         FION         ction:       1,400.0 LCY/hr         scription:       Consolidated stock         0 %       6,500 feet	pile 1.0		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,17 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 1,43 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction	\$260.31         STTIES         8         5         1 LCY         me:       Division of Reclamat         1 factor:       Cat Handbook         If factor:       Cat Handbook         If factor:       Cat Handbook         If factor:       50 feet         ction:       1,400.0 LCY/hr         scription:       Consolidated stock         0 %       6,500 feet         3,300 lbs/LCY       Decomposed rock - 75% Rock         Factor       Factor	pile 1.0		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,17 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 1,43 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator 3	\$260.31         STTIES         8         5         1 LCY         me:       Division of Reclamat         1 factor:       Cat Handbook         FION         50 feet         ction:       1,400.0 LCY/hr         scription:       Consolidated stock         0 %       6,500 feet         3,300 lbs/LCY       Decomposed rock - 75% Rock         Factor       0.750	pile 1.0		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,17 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 1,43 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator 5 Material consistency	\$260.31         CITIES         8         5         1 LCY         me:       Division of Reclamat         1 factor:       Cat Handbook         FION         ction:       50 feet         1,400.0 LCY/hr         scription:       Consolidated stock         0 %       6,500 feet         3,300 lbs/LCY       Decomposed rock - 75% Rock         Factor       Skill:       0.750         ency:       1.000	pile 1.0 <u>Source</u> (AVG.) (CAT HB)		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 1,17 Swell factor: 1.21 Loose volume: 1,43 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator 3 Material consistency des Material cons Material cons Material cons Material cons	\$260.31         CITIES         8         5         1 LCY         me:       Division of Reclamat         1 factor:       Cat Handbook         FION         ction:       50 feet         1,400.0 LCY/hr         scription:       Consolidated stock         0 %       6,500 feet         3,300 lbs/LCY       Decomposed rock - 75% Rock         Factor       Skill:       0.750         ency:       1.000	pile 1.0		

Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.697	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correction:	0.3471	
Adjusted unit production: 4	85.94 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production: 4	85.94 LCY/hr	

# JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.536/LCY

Total job time:	<b>2.95</b> Hours
Total job cost:	\$767

Page 1 of 2

# BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	REPLACE TOPS	OIL			
Lyon King Quarry	Perm	nit Action: <u>SI</u>		Permit/Job#:	M1999064
PROJECT IDENTIF	TICATION				
Task #: 002	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 10/14/2020		Larimer		Filename:	M064-002
User: RDZ	<u> </u>				
Agency or orga	nization name: DR	MS			
HOURLY EQUIPM	ENT COST				
	t D8T - 8SU				
Horsepower: 31					
Blade Type: Se	mi-Universal				
Attachment: 3-s	shank ripper				
Shift Basis: 1	ber day				
	RG)				
Cost Breakdown:					
COST DIEAKUOWII:		ĺ	Litilization 04		
Ownership Cost/Hours		\$116.22	<u>Utilization %</u> NA		
Ownership Cost/Hour: Operating Cost/Hour:		\$116.22	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$89.77	 NA		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour: Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$12.00	25		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:					
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN	\$260.31 \$260.31 FITIES	\$40.04	NA		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>MATERIAL QUAN</u> Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 69 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe	\$260.31         FITIES         25         LCY         ume:       Division o         Il factor:       Cat Handb	- - f Reclamation,	  Mining & Safety 		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>MATERIAL QUAN</u> Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 69 Source of estimated volu	\$260.31 FITIES 25 LCY ume: Division o Il factor: Cat Handb TION 50 feet	f Reclamation,			
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 69 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance:	\$260.31         FITIES         25         LCY         ume:       Division o         Il factor:       Cat Handb         TION         sction:       50 feet         1,400.0 LCY	f Reclamation,	 Mining & Safety 		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.17 Loose volume: 69 I Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ	\$260.31         FITIES         25         LCY         ume:       Division o         Il factor:       Cat Handb         TION         sction:       50 feet         1,400.0 LCY	f Reclamation, pook	 Mining & Safety 		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANY Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.17 Loose volume: 69 I Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	\$260.31         FITIES         25         LCY         ume:       Division o         Il factor:       Cat Handb         TION         action:       50 feet         action:       1,400.0 LCY         escription:       Consolid         0 %	f Reclamation, pook	 Mining & Safety 		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>MATERIAL QUAN</u> Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.17 Loose volume: 69 I Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel <u>HOURLY PRODUC</u> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight:	\$260.31 <b>FITIES</b> 25         LCY         ume:       Division o         Il factor:       Cat Handb <b>TION</b> action:       50 feet         action:       1,400.0 LCY         escription:       Consolid         0 %       6,500 feet	f Reclamation, pook	 Mining & Safety   1.0		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.12 Loose volume: 69 I Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient:	\$260.31 <b>FITIES</b> 25         LCY         ume:       Division o         Il factor:       Cat Handb <b>TION</b> action:       50 feet         action:       1,400.0 LCY         escription:       Consolid         0 %       6,500 feet         2,650 lbs/LCY       Decomposed rock -	f Reclamation, pook	 Mining & Safety   1.0		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANY Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.17 Loose volume: 69 I Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description:	\$260.31 <b>FITIES</b> 25         LCY         ume:       Division o         Il factor:       Cat Handb <b>TION</b> action:       50 feet         action:       1,400.0 LCY         escription:       Consolid         0 %       6,500 feet         2,650 lbs/LCY       Decomposed rock -         n Factor       1	f Reclamation, pook	 		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANY Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.17 Loose volume: 69 I Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction	\$260.31 <b>FITIES</b> 25         LCY         ume:       Division o         Il factor:       Cat Handb <b>TION</b> action:       50 feet         action:       1,400.0 LCY         escription:       Consolid         0 %       6,500 feet         2,650 lbs/LCY       Decomposed rock -         n Factor       0.7	f Reclamation, oook //hr lated stockpile	Mining & Safety Mining & Safety 1.0 % Earth Source		
Operator Cost/Hour: Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANY Initial Volume: 61 Swell factor: 1.17 Loose volume: 69 I Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel HOURLY PRODUC Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator	\$260.31 <b>FITIES</b> 25         LCY         ume:       Division o         Il factor:       Cat Handb <b>TION</b> action: $\frac{50 \text{ feet}}{1,400.0 \text{ LCY}}$ escription:       Consolid $\frac{0 \%}{6,500 \text{ feet}}$ $\frac{2,650 \text{ lbs/LCY}}{\text{Decomposed rock - on Factor}}$ Skill:       0.7         tency:       1.0		Mining & Safety Mining & Safety 1.0 % Earth <u>Source</u> (AVG.)		

Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.868	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correction:	0.4323	
Adjusted unit production: 60	05.22 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production: <b>60</b>	5.22 LCY/hr	

# JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.430/LCY
Total job time:	0 11 Hours

I otal job time:	<b>0.11</b> Hours
Total job cost:	\$30

# **REVEGETATION WORK**

Task description:		<b>REVEGETATE QUARRY</b>	AREA		
Site: Lyon King Quarry		Permit Action:	SI	Permit/Job	#: <u>M1999064</u>
<b>PROJECT</b>	<u>IDENTIFIC</u>	CATION			
Task #:	003	State: Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date:	10/14/2020	County: Larimer		Filename:	M064-003
User:	RDZ				

# **FERTILIZING**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
6-24-24, 10-20-10, 15-15-15	40.00	pound	\$0.27	\$10.60
			Total Fertilizer Materials Cost/Acre	\$10.60

### Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Tractor towed spreader (MEANS 32 01 90.13 0120)		\$37.03
	Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$37.03

# **TILLING**

Description	Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$107.16
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$107.16

# **SEEDING**

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Crested Wheatgrass - Fairway	1.50	6.89	\$6.04
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	2.25	7.39	\$18.84
Pubescent Wheatgrass - Luna	4.25	8.78	\$14.45
Western Wheatgrass - Barton	4.00	10.10	\$28.00
Totals Seed Mix	12.00	33.16	\$67.33

# Application

Description	Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)	\$232.00

### **Total Seed Application Cost/Acre**

\$232.00

# **MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$301.00	\$602.00
<b>Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre</b>				\$602.00

#### Application

	Cost /Acre
	\$70.17
<b>Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre</b>	\$70.17
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre

# **NURSERY STOCK PLANTING**

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
		Totals	Nursery Stoc	k Cost / Acre	\$0.00

# JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	0.15	Cost /Acre:	\$1,126.29	
Estimated Failure Rate:	0%	Cost /Acre*:	\$1,126.29	
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	FERTILIZING,TI	LING,SEEDING,MU		
	LCHING			
Initial Job Cost: \$168.94				
Reseeding Job Cost: <b>\$0.00</b>				
Total Job Cost: <b>\$169</b>				
Job Hours: <b>0.00</b>				

# EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task descri	iption:	MOBILIZ	ATION/D	EMOBILIZAT	TION				
e: Lyon King Quarry			Permit	Permit Action: <u>SI</u> Perm		ermit/Job#: <u>M1999064</u>			
PROJECT	<u>IDENTIFIC</u>	CATION							
Task #:	004	S	tate: Co	olorado		Abbrev	iation:	None	
Date:	10/14/2020	Cou	nty: La	y: Larimer I			name:	M064-	-004
User:	RDZ								
Ag	gency or organi	ization name:	DRMS						
-									
EQUIPME	ENT TRANS	PORT RIG	COST						
						Shift basi	s <b>.</b>	l per day	J
					(	Cost Data Source		CRG Dat	
			~~~~						
	Truck Tractor	Description:	GENE	RIC ON-HIGH		JCK TRACTOR		DIESEL	POWERED,
	<b>T</b> 1 <b>T</b> 1	D				(2ND HALF, 20	,	V DOLU	
	Truck Trailer	Description:	G.			SENECK, DRC		K EQUI	PMENT
				1	KAILEK	(25T, 50T, ANI	1001)		
Cost Breakd	own:								
Available	Rig Capacitie	s 0-25	Tons	26-50 Tons	51-	- Tons			
	ership Cost/H		7.20	¢20.62					
Ope	erating Cost/H			\$29.63	3.	38.69			
Ô.	erating Cost/H	our: \$2	5.56	\$29.63 \$47.02		38.69 55.69			
Up	perator Cost/H				\$:				
1		our: \$2	5.56	\$47.02	\$	55.69			
]	perator Cost/H	our: \$2 our: \$0	5.56 3.63	\$47.02 \$23.63	\$1 \$2 \$2	55.69 23.63			
]	perator Cost/H Helper Cost/H	our: \$2 our: \$0	5.56 3.63 .00	\$47.02 \$23.63 \$23.53	\$1 \$2 \$2	55.69 23.63 23.53			
Tota	perator Cost/H Helper Cost/H	our: \$2 our: \$0 our: \$6	5.56 3.63 .00 7.39	\$47.02 \$23.63 \$23.53	\$1 \$2 \$2	55.69 23.63 23.53			
Tota	perator Cost/H Helper Cost/H al Unit Cost/H DABLE EQ	our: \$2 our: \$0 our: \$6 <b>UIPMENT:</b>	5.56 3.63 .00 7.39	\$47.02 \$23.63 \$23.53 \$123.81	\$: \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1	55.69 23.63 23.53 41.54	Return '	Trip	DOT Permit
Tota NON ROA Machine	perator Cost/H Helper Cost/H al Unit Cost/H DABLE EQ Wei	our: \$2 our: \$0 our: \$6 <b>UIPMENT:</b> ght/ Own	5.56 3.63 .00 7.39 ner ship	\$47.02 \$23.63 \$23.53 \$123.81 Haul Rig	\$2 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet	55.69 23.63 23.53 41.54 Haul Trip	Return '		DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Tota	n Wei	our: \$2 our: \$0 our: \$6 UIPMENT: ght/ Own Cos	5.56 3.63 .00 7.39	\$47.02 \$23.63 \$23.53 \$123.81 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni	\$: \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1	55.69 23.63 23.53 41.54 Haul Trip Cost/hr/			
Tota NON ROA Machine	Derator Cost/H Helper Cost/H al Unit Cost/H DABLE EQ N Wei Unit (TO	our: \$2 our: \$0 our: \$6 UIPMENT: ght/ Own Cos NS)	5.56 3.63 .00 7.39 her ship t/hr/ unit	\$47.02 \$23.63 \$23.53 \$123.81 Haul Rig	\$2 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet	55.69 23.63 23.53 41.54 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet		/ fleet	

Subtotals: \$417.98 \$276.32 \$750.00

# **ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:**

Seeder with Tractor

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/	Fleet Size	Haul Trip	Return Trip
	unit		Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/hr/ fleet
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 3/4 T.	\$13.23	1	\$13.23	\$13.23
Fuel Tanker, 4x2, 170 HP	\$28.84	1	\$28.84	\$28.84
Lube Truck, 4x2, 190 HP	\$34.47	1	\$34.47	\$34.47
		California	<b>077 54</b>	Ф <b>ПС Е</b> А
		Subtotals:	\$76.54	\$76.54

# **EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time**

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance: Average Travel Speed:	LONGMONT 10.00 45.00	miles mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$3,480.50	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$34.02	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.22	0.22
Return Time (Hours):	0.22	0.22
Loading Time (Hours):	1.00	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	1.00	NA
Subtotals:	2.44	0.44

# JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **4.89** Hours

Total job cost: \$3,515



**COLORADO** Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety Department of Natural Resources

1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, CO 80203

### **REQUEST FOR FULL OR PARTIAL RELEASE OF PERMIT AREA/SURETY REDUCTION**

Please indicate if you are requesting:

# FULL/FINAL RELEASE OF ENTIRE PERMITTED AREA (per Rule 4.17)

ACREAGE REDUCTION (PARTIAL RELEASE per Rule 4.17)

I wish to release acres at this time.

You will need to submit with this request: a map showing the acreage to be released from the current permit <u>and</u> updated mining and reclamation plan maps that will accurately depict the new permit boundary if the release is approved.

### SURETY (Bond) REDUCTION (per Rule 4.14)

If you are requesting a surety (bond) reduction you will need to include with this request a new estimate of the actual cost to reclaim the site based on what it would cost an independent contractor to complete reclamation, including unit costs for reclamation activities as appropriate to the operation to comply with the provisions of Rule 3.1 and the Permit's approved Reclamation Plan.

File No.:	М	Site Name:		
County:				
Permittee:				
Permittee A	Address:			
	-	(Street Address)		

(City)

(State)

(Zip)



Operator (If Other than Permittee)	):					
Permittee Representative:						
Certified Mail #						
In accordance with Rule $4.17.1(2)$ the	e Operator shall include the names, addresses and p	hone numbers of all				
owners of record to the affected land. Please attach additional sheets for this information if required.						
<u>Name</u>	Address	Phone Number				

In accordance with Rule 4.17.1(4), if requesting a partial acreage release the Operator or their agent MUST sign that they have complied with the following statement: "All applicable portions of the Reclamation Plan requirements have been satisfied in accordance with these Rules and all applicable requirements under the Act."

Signature of Permittee, Operator or their authorized agent

Date

*Important:* In accordance with Rules 4.14.2(a) and 4.17.1(3) This release request must be submitted to the Division via certified mail and separate from any other correspondence to the Division.