October 6, 2020

Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety 1313 Sherman St. Denver, CO 80203 RE: Application by Peak Ranch Resource (File No. M2020041)

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to seek Party status to testify about the above-mentioned application by Peak Materials to establish a gravel mining operation at the site designated as Peak Ranch Resource.

I am specifically aggrieved by this proposed project for the following reasons:

My home in the Pebble Creek Ranch Subdivision is located approximately one mile west of State Highway 9 off Rock Road (CR 1351) which is in the middle of the six mile corridor within which Peak Material proposes to run 230 truck trips per day connecting the Peak Ranch Resource site and the existing processing plant at Maryland Creek. The disruption I will experience as a result of this project's truck traffic, noise, impacts to wildlife, impacts to recreation resources, and the overall environmental degradation in the Lower Blue Valley, establishing my standing to participate in the DRMS's decisionmaking process.

A few of my specific objections to the project at this time are summarized below for your consideration. At the public hearing on this project I intend to provide testimony on these and other issues.

- An application for the proposed project is premature. A Summit County Conditional Use Permit for the proposed project at the Peak Ranch Resource site must be obtained for this project to go forward. However, the application before DRMS ignores the fact that there is nowhere for the mined material to go for processing. The Maryland Creek processing facility is not allowed under the terms of Resolution No. 2016-08 of the Lower Blue Planning Commission (attached) which specifically provides under "2. Permitted Uses and Activities, L." "No raw materials may be imported onto the site,". Resolution No. 2016-08 goes on to state that the permit shall expire September 1, 2026 unless extended, which I believe has not occurred. Until the material to be extracted at the project site has a place to be processed, consideration by DRMS is premature and should not go forward.
- 2. <u>The application lacks a comprehensive traffic and highway safety analysis.</u> The application before DRMS includes a wildlife impact study which briefly mentions potential impacts to wildlife within the corridor between the Maryland Creek and Peak Ranch Resource site. However, the application does not include a broader traffic and highway safety analysis. I believe this is a significant deficiency. A comprehensive traffic and safety analysis should examine: the impact of adding significant truck traffic from the project to the growing traffic within the corridor; safety concerns associated with turning movements onto the numerous side roads (including Rock Creek Road which is used by myself and my family) in the absence of any

turn lanes; the physical constraints to any future highway improvements associated with the Blue River and other natural features in the Lower Blue Valley; noise from 230 trucks per day passing through the corridor; safety concerns associated with conflicts between the increased truck traffic and recreational users (cyclists, fishermen, etc.) on SH 9; increased roadkill; and, other traffic and highway safety concerns.

I wish to be heard at the public hearing of the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board when the Board takes up this application. Please add me to your email distribution list notifying interested parties of the date, time, and location for this meeting.

Sincerely, and II 0

John J Connor II Address: 0037 County Road 1353 Silverthorne, Colorado 80498

Mailing Address: 1206 Forest Street Denver, Colorado 80220 Phone: 303-335-8927

jjconnor2@msn.com

Number of people in your household 5