

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Glacier Rock	M-1994-096	Gravel	Larimer
INSPECTION TYPE:	INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring	Amy Eschberger	July 10, 2020	10:00
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERA	TION:
Glacier Rock Company	Roger Hageman	112c - Construction	Regular Operation

REASON FOR INSPECTION:		BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program		Complete Bond	\$20,000.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:		POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA	None		None
WEATHER:	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:		SIGNATURE DATE:
Clear		Clerry Exchanger	July 17, 2020

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Financial Warranty

PROBLEM: The financial warranty is not adequate to reclaim the site in accordance with the approved reclamation plan. This is a failure to maintain the proper financial warranty amount to complete reclamation of the affected lands pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-117(4)(b) and Rule 4.2.1(1).

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The Division has re-evaluated the required financial warranty for reclaiming the site in accordance with the approved reclamation plan (see enclosed cost estimate). The Division will send the operator a notice of surety increase (separate from this report) for the amount provided in the cost estimate. The operator will have 60 days from the date on the surety increase notice to post the additional financial warranty.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 60 days from date of surety increase notice

INSPECTION TOPIC: Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan

PROBLEM: The current mining plan needs to be updated and clarified pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-112(1)(c)(VI). The operator must provide sufficient information to describe or identify how the operator intends to conduct the operation. Specifically, the operator has disturbed more than the approved maximum allowed disturbance of 10 acres.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: By the corrective action date, the operator shall submit a Technical Revision, with the required \$216 revision fee, to update and clarify the current approved mining plan to reflect existing and proposed activities. Specifically, the revision shall propose an increase in the maximum allowed disturbance at any time from 10 acres to at least the current disturbance amount (estimated to be approximately 12.35 acres).

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: September 15, 2020

OBSERVATIONS

This was a corrective action follow up inspection of the Glacier Rock site (Permit No. M-1994-096) conducted by Amy Eschberger of the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division). The purpose of this inspection was to evaluate reclaimed areas and to determine the amount of disturbance at the site which will require reclamation. The operator was represented by Roger Hageman during the inspection. The site is located approximately 5 miles north of Wellington, CO near the eastern edge of Larimer County. The site is situated adjacent to and east of I-25. Access to the site is from the west off the I-25 frontage road. **Photos 1-20** taken during the inspection are included with this report.

This is a 112c operation permitted for 49 acres to mine gravel and fill dirt. Historic mining activities occurred at the site. The site was originally permitted for 6 acres under a 110c permit, then was converted to the current permit in 2005 through Conversion No. 1 (CN-1). The current operator, Glacier Rock Company took over the permit in 2014 through Succession of Operators No. 3 (SO-3). The owner of the affected lands is Dry Gulch Land LLC.

The mining plan includes mining the site in four primary phases, starting just east of the previously mined northwestern area (consisting of approximately 7 acres) and working generally counter-clockwise through the site. Phase 1 consists of approximately 6 acres, and Phases 2 through 4 each consist of approximately 10 acres. The approved affected area totals 43 acres. The approved maximum disturbed area at any time is 10 acres. The site will be dry mined to a maximum depth of approximately 20 feet. Mined material will be crushed and screened on site. No explosives will be used by the operation. Salvaged topsoil and overburden will be stored separately on site for reclamation. The existing access road may be relocated further north prior to final reclamation. Several existing structures are present on site, including a house, a few sheds, and other residential structures located at the northeastern edge of the site, and a transmission tower located at the western edge of the site. The operator committed to maintaining a minimum 100 foot mining buffer from the residence and associated structures, and a minimum 50 foot buffer from the transmission tower.

The approved post-mining land use for the site is rangeland. The reclamation plan calls for grading all disturbed land to 3H:1V or flatter, replacing 6-12 inches of topsoil, and revegetating the land with a grass seed mixture consisting of Western Wheatgrass, Sideoats Grama, Blue Grama, Switchgrass, Green Needlegrass, Big Bluestem, and Indiangrass. In its approval of CN-1, the Division calculated a required financial warranty for the proposed operation in the amount of \$33,900.00. This amount was reduced to \$8,000.00 in 2010, after the Division inspected the site and found all required earthwork to have been completed, and only revegetation remaining. The operator of the site during that time had completed mining and was working to reclaim the site for final release. However, when the current operator took over the permit in 2014, mining operations recommenced at the site (in Phase 2), and a financial warranty of \$20,000.00 was posted with the Division.

In its inspection report sent on March 19, 2020, the Division cited two problems, one of which pertained to the amount of disturbance exceeding the approved 10 acres, and the second of which pertained to the inadequate financial warranty in place to reclaim disturbed areas. The operator's estimate of disturbance requiring reclamation (approximately 8 acres) is far less than what the Division calculated (approximately 19.8 acres). Because the snow cover present during the Division's last inspection made it difficult to fully evaluate reclamation completed in some areas, the Division agreed to conduct a follow-up inspection of the site during dry conditions. The planned inspection was delayed due to the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting shutdowns.

At the time of the inspection, the weather was clear and dry. The site was active during the inspection, including material processing on the pit floor. The pit daylights primarily to the west. Pit walls have an average height of 15 feet and near vertical slopes. The Division estimates the unreclaimed pit wall to be approximately 1,400 feet

in length. The operation is currently mining the southeastern edge of the pit. The current southeastern pit wall is very close to the approved affected land boundary which lies 50 feet from the southern permit boundary. Therefore, the operator should proceed with caution in this area, being careful not to disturb land outside the approved affected land boundary. There is room to extend the pit northward and eastward into mining phases 3 and 4. While the southwestern portion of the pit wall has been reclaimed, there is also some room to extend the pit in this area.

The Division inspected all disturbed areas and found its initial estimate of 26.5 acres to be correct regarding the amount of land that has been disturbed by the operation at some point in time. This includes disturbed land that has been reclaimed. Of the 26.5 acres disturbed, the Division estimates approximately 12.35 acres require reclamation (see enclosed Google Earth image of site). This amount is 7.45 acres less than the Division's initial estimate of 19.8 acres, as areas observed to be reclaimed during the current inspection were removed from the initial estimate. Areas observed to be reclaimed (besides the northwestern 6.7 acre area which was not included in the Division's initial 19.8 acre estimate of disturbance requiring reclamation), include the southwestern portion of the pit, the northern portion of the pit, and the northern portion of the topsoil stockpiling area located at the eastern edge of the site. These reclaimed areas have slopes of 3H:1V or flatter and an established grass cover.

Disturbances requiring reclamation (including areas that will need to be redisturbed for reclamation), include the active pit area, topsoil stockpiles on the pit floor and along the northern and southern edges of the pit, the topsoil stockpile located at the eastern edge of the site, and internal haul roads. An above-ground portable truck scale is present on an old haul road at the northern edge of the pit. The operator indicated this scale has never been used by the operation and will be removed and sold in the near future. Because the scale is portable with no permanent foundation to demolish for removal, the Division will not require financial warranty costs for removing this structure for reclamation.

After conducting the inspection, the Division recalculated the required financial warranty for the site based on a disturbance of 12.35 acres (see enclosed bond estimate revised on 7/17/2020). This estimate includes costs for backfilling the pit wall to 3H:1V slopes, ripping the pit floor, haul roads, and stockpiling areas, retopsoiling and revegetating 12.35 acres, and mobilization/demobilization of equipment. The Division estimates the required financial warranty for the site to be in the amount of \$52,475.00, which is \$32,475.00 more than the currently held financial warranty of \$20,000.00.

The Division would like to point out an error in its last inspection report and bond estimate sent to the operator on March 19, 2020. The Division's initial estimate did not update correctly to include the calculated revegetation costs, meaning the initial required financial warranty amount of \$45,355.00 was inaccurate, as it should have been \$75,442.00 (including costs for revegetating 19.8 acres). It should also be noted, the annual update to the Division's bond calculating software was completed since the date of the Division's last bond estimate, which resulted in some minor changes to costs. Considering these factors, the Division's new bond estimate of \$52,475.00 is actually \$26,967.00 less than its initial bond estimate. Because the required financial warranty is more than the currently held amount, a problem is cited in this report (see page 1) for failure to maintain the proper financial warranty amount to complete reclamation of the affected lands pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-117(4)(b) and Rule 4.2.1(1). A notice of surety increase will be mailed to the operator separately from this report. The operator will have 60 days from the date of the notice to post the additional required financial warranty.

The Division estimates the total disturbed area at the site to consist of approximately 26.5 acres, of which, 14.15 acres have been reclaimed. As mentioned above, 12.35 acres of disturbance will require reclamation, including the topsoil stockpiles which will need to be redisturbed to access the material for reclamation. <u>Current</u>

disturbance at the site exceeds the approved maximum allowed disturbance of 10 acres. Therefore, the Division is re-citing the problem from its March 19, 2020 inspection report (see pages 1 and 2), requiring the operator to submit a Technical Revision (see enclosed form) to increase the maximum allowed disturbed area to include, at a minimum, existing unreclaimed disturbance at the site (estimated to be 12.35 acres).

In its March 19, 2020 inspection report, the Division noted a discrepancy found on the mining and reclamation plan maps submitted with the Conversion application (CN-1) approved in 2005 (see enclosed maps). The approved permit area of 49 acres is shown on the CN-1 maps as the "property boundary", and the approved affected area of 43 acres is shown on the CN-1 maps as the "mining permit boundary". This error should be corrected to prevent any future confusion. This can be done by submitting a Technical Revision to update the mining and reclamation plan maps to reflect the approved permit area and affected area boundaries. Because the operator is already required to submit a Technical Revision to increase the maximum allowed disturbed area, it would be a good opportunity to also submit the updated mining and reclamation plan maps. Otherwise, a separate Technical Revision (and fee) must be submitted in the future to address this issue.

This concludes the report.

Any questions or comments regarding this inspection report should be forwarded to Amy Eschberger at the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety, 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, CO 80203, via telephone at 303-866-3567, ext. 8129, or via email at <u>amy.eschberger@state.co.us.</u>

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1. View looking east across southeastern pit wall approximately 15-18 feet in height with near vertical slopes.



Photo 2. View looking northeast across pit from its southern edge. Note several material stockpiles and portable processing equipment stored on pit floor (in background).



Photo 3. View looking north across pit from its southern edge. Note several material stockpiles and processing equipment stored on pit floor (in background).



Photo 4. View looking east across central portion of pit, showing portable processing plant active on pit floor during inspection.



Photo 5. View looking west across southwestern portion of pit, showing material stockpiles and processing equipment stored on pit floor.



Photo 6. View looking south at southeastern pit wall approximately 15-18 feet in height with near vertical slopes.



Photo 7. View looking east across northeastern portion of pit, showing material stockpiles stored on pit floor.



Photo 8. View looking southeast across northern portion of pit, showing material stockpiles stored on pit floor.



Photo 9. View looking west at topsoil stockpile stored along top of reclaimed southwestern pit wall.



Photo 10. View looking southeast at topsoil stockpile stored along top of southeastern pit wall.



Photo 11. View looking northwest at topsoil stockpile stored on pit floor at western edge of pit.



Photo 12. View looking southeast at topsoil stockpile stored on pit floor at northwestern edge of pit.



Photo 13. View looking northwest at topsoil stockpile stored along top of reclaimed northern pit wall.



Photo 14. View looking northeast at portable above-ground truck scale present on old haul road at northern edge of permit area.



Photo 15. View looking southwest across reclaimed southwestern portion of pit with slopes of 3H:1V or flatter and established grass cover.



Photo 16. View looking east across reclaimed southwestern pit wall with slopes of 3H:1V or flatter and established grass cover.



Photo 17. View looking west across reclaimed northern portion of pit with slopes of 3H:1V or flatter and established grass cover.



Photo 18. View looking northwest across reclaimed northwestern portion of pit with slopes of 3H:1V or flatter and established grass cover.



Photo 19. View looking southeast at large topsoil stockpile stored at eastern edge of site. Areas north of this stockpile which were disturbed by previous stockpiling activities are reclaimed with established grass cover.



Photo 20. View looking north at area north of large topsoil stockpile stored at eastern edge of site. This area was disturbed by previous stockpiling activities and is now reclaimed with established grass cover.

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY PB	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>NA</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>Y</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES Y	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>PB</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION Y
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP Y
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>NA</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>N</u>	

Y = Inspected and found in compliance / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

Inspection Contact Address

Roger Hageman Glacier Rock Company 3501 E. Prospect Rd. Ft. Collins, CO 80525

Encls: Google Earth image of site Mining Plan Map, approved in CN-1 Reclamation Plan Map, approved in CN-1 Division's bond estimate, revised on 7/17/2020 Technical Revision form

CC: Michael Cunningham, DRMS





NOTE

REFER TO EXHIBIT FOR DETAILED NARRATIVE OF MINING PLAN.

SIGNATURE BLOCK

I Ken Merritt, do hereby state that the exhibit shown hereon was created using existing maps provided by the client. It does not represent a By:

Ken Merritt Senior Vice President Landmark Engineering Ltd.

δW		REVISED PER COMMENTS	
Cla Frc Do	rmit #: M-1994 - D9 (Confidental?: N ass: N-OL Type-Seq.: om: May Plains Sand Hundo: c. Name: Minurg_ Plain, May Vensd) c. Date (if no date stamp): 2-10-05		ENGINEERS/ARCHITECTS/PLANNERS/SURVEYORS 3521 West Eisenhower Blvd., Loveland, Colorado 80537 (970) 667-6286 Denver (303) 629-7124 Fax (970) 667-6298
LEGEND	FLD 1 2003	SCALE	SEP. 2004 : 1" = 100' I: JCD
			ED: KM
▲ → 	LINES	CLIENT: BOBBY PITTINGTON	TITLE: RUSSELL GRAVEL PIT MINING PLAN MINING MAP (EXHIBIT C)
$\begin{array}{c c} & & \\ & &$	200 300 NORTH	JOB NO .: HIGHP	 L-4H4B-0I
SCALE " =	00'	SHEET	

-E 1/4 COR. SEC. 3, T9N, R68W

LANDOWNERS: 89020-00-005 MELVIN CRANE

EAST LINE SE 1/4 SECTION 3 & Q LARIMER COUNTY ROAD

-BORROW DITCH



SHEE

Landmark Engineering Ltd.

COST SUMMARY WORK

				Summary	otion: Cost	Task description:	Т
b#: <u>M1994096</u>	Permit/Job#:	7/10/2020 Inspection	rmit Action:	Per	lock	Glacier Rock	Site:
				<u>N</u>	IDENTIFICATIO	ROJECT IDE	PR
None	Abbreviation: N		Colorado	State:	000	Task #: 000	
M096-000	Filename: N		Larimer	County:	7/17/2020	Date: 7/1	
					AME	User: AN	
ne:	Filenar			County:	7/17/2020	Date: 7/1 User: AM	

TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)

Task		Form	Fleet	Task Hours	Cost
-	Description	Used	Size	nours	
001	Grade pit wall to 3H:1V	DOZER	1	6.16	\$1,148
002	Rip pit floor	RIPPER	1	15.77	\$3,141
003	Rip topsoil/overburden stockpiling areas	RIPPER	1	2.21	\$441
004	Retopsoil 12.35 acres at 9 inch depth	SCRAPER1	1	14.90	\$15,926
005	Revegetate 12.35 acres	REVEGE	1	12.35	\$13,784
006	Mobilization/Demobilization	MOBILIZE	1	6.16	\$7,862
	\$42,302				

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$855
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$444
Job superintendent:	24.00	Total =	\$1,669
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$4,230
		TOTAL O & P =	\$7,198
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) = $($	\$49,500

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation: Reclamation management and/or administration:	\$500 0.00 5.00	Total = Total =	\$500 \$0 \$2,475
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0
		TOTAL INDIRECT COST =	\$10,173

TOTAL BOND AMOUNT (direct + indirect) = _____\$52,475

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Grade	pit wall to 3H:1V				
: Glacier Rock		Permit Actio	n: <u>7/10/2020</u>	Inspection	Permit/Job#:	M1994096
PROJECT IDEN	TIFICATIO	<u>N</u>				
Task #: 001		State: Colora	do		Abbreviation:	None
Date: $7/17/2$	2020	County: Larime			Filename:	M069-001
User: AME			•			11009 001
Agency or	organization na	me: DRMS				
HOURLY EQUI	PMENT COS	T				
Basic Machine:	Cat D7R DS	XR Series II				
Horsepower:	240					
Blade Type:	Semi-Univers	al				
Attachment:	NA					
Shift Basis:	1 per day					
Data Source:	(CRG)					
Cost Breakdown:						
			Uti	lization %		
Ownership Cost/H		\$74.6		NA		
Operating Cost/H	our:	\$71.5	5	100		
Ripper own. Cost/H		\$0.0	0	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/H	our:	\$0.0	0	0		
Operator Cost/H	lour:	\$40.0	14	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hou	r: \$186.22		L.			
MATERIAL QU Initial Volume:	4,375					
Swell factor: Loose volume:	1.250 5,469 LCY					
Source of estimated Source of estimated		Approx 1,400' L x Cat Handbook	15' H with nr	vertical slopes	3	
HOURLY PROL	DUCTION					
Average push distar Unadjusted hourly p		0 feet ,022.9 LCY/hr				
Materials consistent	cy description:	Compacted fill of	or embankment	0.9		
Average push gradi Average site altitud		et				
Material weight:	0.650.11	с/I CV				
	2,650 lb	5/LC 1				
Weight description:		posed rock - 25% R	ock, 75% Earth	l		
Job Condition Corre	Decomp ection Factor	oosed rock - 25% Ro	ock, 75% Earth	Source		
Job Condition Corre Ope	Decomp ection Factor_ rator Skill:	oosed rock - 25% Ro 1.000	ock, 75% Earth	Source (EXCL.)		
Job Condition Corre Ope Material co	Decomp ection Factor rator Skill: onsistency:	00sed rock - 25% R 1.000 0.900	ock, 75% Earth	Source (EXCL.) (CAT HB))		
Job Condition Corre Ope Material co	Decomp ection Factor rator Skill: onsistency: ng method:	00sed rock - 25% R 1.000 0.900 1.200	ock, 75% Earth	Source (EXCL.) (CAT HB)) (SLOT)		
Job Condition Corre Ope Material co Dozin	Decomp ection Factor rator Skill: onsistency:	00sed rock - 25% R 1.000 0.900		Source (EXCL.) (CAT HB))		

Task # 001

Spoil pile:	1.000	(DOZ-OC)
Push gradient:	1.115	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.868	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correction:	0.8676	
Adjusted unit production: 88	7.47 LCY/hr	

JOB TIME AND COST

Adjusted fleet production:

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.210/LCY

887.47 LCY/hr

Total job time:	6.16 Hours
Total job cost:	\$1,148

BULLDOZER RIPPING WORK

	description:	Rip pit floor						_
Site: Gla	acier Rock	Perr	nit Action:	7/10/2020 Insp	ection Po	ermit/Job#:	M1994096	
<u>PRO</u>	JECT IDENTIF	ICATION						
Та	sk #: 002	State:	Colorado			reviation:	None	
	Date: 7/17/2020 User: AME	County:	Larimer]	Filename:	M096-002	
		mization name: DR	MS					
ног	IRLY EQUIPMI							
	Basic Machin		Series II		Horsepower:		240	
	Ripper Attachmen	t: 3-Shank Ripper			Shift Basis: Data Source:		er day CRG)	
Cost	Breakdown:				Data Source.	((
00001					Utilization %			
		ership Cost/Hour:		\$74.64	NA			
		rating Cost/Hour: ership Cost/Hour:		\$71.55 \$7.60	100 NA			
		rating Cost/Hour:		\$5.32	100			
		erator Cost/Hour:		\$40.04	NA			
	Tota	l Unit Cost/Hour:		\$199.14				
	Total	Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$19	9.14				
MAT	FERIAL QUAN	<u>FITIES</u>	Sele	ected estimating	method: Area	l		_
Alterr	nate Methods:							
smic: NA			Volume:	NA	BCY		NA	
Area: 10.	<u>00</u> ac	cres Rip I	Depth (ft):	1.50	Volume:	24,200	BC	Y or C
	Sourc	e of estimated quantit	y: DRMS					
HOU	JRLY PRODUC	<u>TION</u>						
Seism	<u>nic:</u>							
		Seismic Velo		27.4	6 /			
Area:			<u> </u>	NA	feet/sec	ond		
			•					
		Average Ripping De	epth:	2.45	feet/pas	S		
		Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi	epth:	2.45 6.50	feet/pas feet/pas	S S		
		Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len	epth: idth: ogth:	2.45 6.50 700.00	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas	s s s		
		Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp	epth: idth: eed:	2.45 6.50 700.00 88.00	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/mir	s s s nute		
		Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len	epth:	2.45 6.50 700.00	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas	s s s nute /pass		
Job C		Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a	epth:	2.45 6.50 700.00 88.00 0.25	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes	s s s nute /pass		
Job C	ondition Correction	Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a	epth: idth: eed: ime: area:	2.45 6.50 700.00 88.00 0.25	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes	s s nute /pass our		
Job C	ondition Correction	Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a <u>n Factors</u> d Hourly Unit Product Site Altit	epth:	2.45 6.50 700.00 88.00 0.25 0.764 0.764 5,430	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes acres/ho	s s nute /pass our		
<u>Job C</u>	ondition Correction	Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a <u>n Factors</u> d Hourly Unit Product Site Altit Altitude	epth:	2.45 6.50 700.00 88.00 0.25 0.764 0.764 5,430 1.00	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes acres/ho Acres/h feet (CAT H	s s nute /pass pur r IB)		
Job C	ondition Correction	Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a <u>n Factors</u> d Hourly Unit Product Site Altit Altitude Job Efficie	epth:	$\begin{array}{r} 2.45 \\ 6.50 \\ \hline 700.00 \\ 88.00 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 0.764 \\ \hline 0.764 \\ \hline 5,430 \\ \hline 1.00 \\ 0.83 \\ \end{array}$	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes acres/ho Acres/h feet (CAT F (1 shift/	s s nute /pass our r (B) day)		
<u>Job C</u>	ondition Correction	Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a <u>n Factors</u> d Hourly Unit Product Site Altit Altitude	epth:	2.45 6.50 700.00 88.00 0.25 0.764 0.764 5,430 1.00	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes acres/ho Acres/h feet (CAT H	s s nute /pass our r (B) day)		
<u>Job C</u>	Condition Correction Unadjusted	Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a <u>n Factors</u> d Hourly Unit Product Site Altit Altitude Job Efficie Net Correct	epth: idth: eed: ime: area: tion: ude: Adj: ncy: tion: Production:	$\begin{array}{r} 2.45 \\ 6.50 \\ \hline 700.00 \\ 88.00 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 0.764 \\ \hline 0.764 \\ \hline 5,430 \\ \hline 1.00 \\ 0.83 \\ \end{array}$	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes acres/ho feet (CAT F (1 shift/ multipli Acres/hr	s s nute /pass our r (B) day)		
<u>Job C</u>	Condition Correction Unadjusted	Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a <u>n Factors</u> d Hourly Unit Product Site Altit Altitude Job Efficie Net Correct	epth: idth: eed: ime: area: tion: ude: Adj: ncy: tion: Production:	$\begin{array}{r} 2.45 \\ 6.50 \\ \hline 700.00 \\ 88.00 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.764 \\ \hline 0.764 \\ \hline 5,430 \\ 1.00 \\ 0.83 \\ 0.83 \\ \hline \end{array}$	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes acres/ho Acres/h feet (CAT H (1 shift/ multipli	s s nute /pass our r (B) day)		
	Condition Correction Unadjusted	Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a <u>n Factors</u> d Hourly Unit Product Site Altit Altitude Job Efficie Net Correct Adjusted Hourly Unit 1 adjusted Hourly Fleet 1	epth: idth: eed: ime: area: tion: ude: Adj: ncy: tion: Production:	$\begin{array}{r} 2.45 \\ 6.50 \\ \hline 700.00 \\ 88.00 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 0.764 \\ \hline 0.764 \\ \hline 5,430 \\ \hline 1.00 \\ 0.83 \\ \hline 0.83 \\ \hline 0.63 \\ \end{array}$	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes acres/ho feet (CAT F (1 shift/ multipli Acres/hr	s s nute /pass our r (B) day)		
JOB	ondition Correction Unadjusted A TIME AND CO	Average Ripping De Average Ripping Wi Average Ripping Len Average Dozer Sp Average Maneuver Ti Production per unit a <u>n Factors</u> d Hourly Unit Product Site Altit Altitude Job Efficie Net Correct Adjusted Hourly Unit 1 adjusted Hourly Fleet 1	epth: idth: eed: ime: area: tion: ude: Adj: ncy: tion: Production:	$\begin{array}{r} 2.45 \\ 6.50 \\ \hline 700.00 \\ 88.00 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 0.764 \\ \hline 0.764 \\ \hline 5,430 \\ \hline 1.00 \\ 0.83 \\ \hline 0.83 \\ \hline 0.63 \\ \end{array}$	feet/pas feet/pas feet/pas feet/min minutes acres/ho Acres/h feet (CAT F (1 shift/ multipli Acres/hr Acres/hr	s s nute /pass our r (B) day)	Hours	

BULLDOZER RIPPING WORK

	Task description:	Rip tops	soil/overburden sto	ckpiling areas				
Site	: Glacier Rock		Permit Action:	7/10/2020 Insp	ection 1	Permit/Job#	: <u>M1994</u>)96
	PROJECT ID	ENTIFICATION						
	Task #: 003	3	State: Colorado)	Ab	breviation:	None	
			County: Larimer			Filename:	M096-00)3
	User: AN		·					
	Agency	or organization nar	ne: DRMS					
	HOURLY EQ	UIPMENT COST	ſ					
	Basic	Machine: Cat D7	R DS XR Series II		Horsepower:		240	
	Ripper Att		k Ripper		Shift Basis:		per day	
	in ppor i m				Data Source:		CRG)	
	Cost Breakdown					·,	,	
	COSt Dieakuowii	<u>-</u>		1	Utilization %			
		Ownership Cost/l	Hour:	\$74.64	NA			
		Operating Cost/I		\$71.55	100			
	Ripp	er Ownership Cost/l		\$7.60	NA	_		
	11	per Operating Cost/l		\$5.32	100			
		Operator Cost/l		\$40.04	NA	_		
		Total Unit Cost/I	Hour:	\$199.14				
		Tetal Elect Ceet/	¢1	00.14				
		Total Fleet Cost/I	10ur: \$1	99.14				
	MATERIAL (<u>)UANTITIES</u>	Se	elected estimating	method: Are	ea		
	Alternate Method	ls∙						
Seismic:	NA		Bank Volume:	NA	BCY	2.267	NA	
Area:	1.35	acres	Rip Depth (ft):	1.50	Volume:	3,267		BCY or CCY
		Source of estimate	ed quantity: DRM	IS				
	HOURLY PR	ODUCTION						
	Seismic:							
		Seis	mic Velocity:	NA	feet/se	cond		
	Area:							
		Average R	ipping Depth:	2.45	feet/pa	ass		
			ipping Width:	6.50	feet/pa			
			pping Length:	300.00	feet/pa			
			Dozer Speed:	88.00	feet/m			
		-	neuver Time:	0.25		es/pass		
		Production	per unit area:	0.734	acres/h	nour		
	Job Condition Co	orrection Factors						
	Un	adjusted Hourly Un	it Production:	0.734	Acres/	'nr		
			Site Altitude:	5,430	feet			
			Altitude Adj:	1.00	(CAT	HB)		
		Je	ob Efficiency:	0.83	(1 shif			
			et Correction:	0.83	multip	-		
		A dinetad II-	urly Unit Production	: 0.61	Acres/hr			
			rly Fleet Production		Acres/hr			
		·						
	JOB TIME AN	ND COST						
	Fleet size:	1 0	rader(s)	Total job time	e:	2.22	Ho	ours
	Unit cost:	\$326.855 P	er acre	Total job cos	st:	\$441		
				J		•		

SCRAPER TEAM WORK

Site: Glacier Rock		Permit	t Action:	7/10/2020 Inspec	ction Peri	mit/Job#: <u>M199</u> 4	4096
PROJECT IDENT	FIFICATION						
Task #: 004 Date: 7/17/20 User: AME			Colorado Larimer			viation: None ename: M096-0	004
Agency or o	organization name:	DRM	S				
HOURLY EQUIP	'MENT			COSTSh	ift basis: <u>1 per d</u>	<u>ay</u>	
			Equipme	ent Description			
		craper:	Cat 631				
Suppo	- rt Equipment -Load	-Dozer: d Area:	NA NA				
	-Dump	p Area:	Cat D7	R DS XR Series II			
Road Ma	intenance –Motor (-Water		CAT 14	4M Tanker, 2,500 Gal.			
		TTUCK.	water	Taiker, 2,300 Gai.			
Cost Breakdown:	Scraper Wor			Support Equip		Maintenance	
	Scraper	Doz	zer	Load Area	Dump Area	Motor Grader	Water Truc
%Utilization-machine:	100		NA	NA	100	100	1
Ownership cost/hour:	\$144.75		NA	NA	\$74.64	\$65.89	\$10.
Operating cost/hour:	\$145.83		NA	NA	\$71.55	\$58.96	\$18.
%Utilization-ripper:	NA		NA	NA	NA	50	N
Ripper own. cost/hour:	NA		NA	NA	\$0.00	\$4.83	\$0.
Ripper op. cost/hour:	NA		NA	NA	\$0.00	\$2.20	\$0.
Operator cost/hour:	\$47.07		NA	NA	\$40.04	\$46.87	\$0.
Unit Subtotals:	\$337.64		NA	NA	\$186.22	\$178.75	\$28.
Number of Units:	2 Worley	¢ (74	0	0	1 \$186.22	1 Mainte	\$207.50
Group Subtotals:	Work:	\$675	5.28	Support:	\$186.22	Maint:	\$207.59
Total work team cost	/hour: <u>\$1,069.09</u>						
MATERIAL QUA	NTITIES						
Initial volume: Loose volume:	14,943 18,156		CCY LCY	Swell facto	or: <u>1.215</u>		
	rce of estimated vo	1					
Sou	of estimated swell f		Cat Han	res x 9 inch depth dbook			
Source of	i estimatea swen i						
Source of HOURLY PROD				<u>Scraper Bo</u>	wl (volume) Bas	i <u>s:</u>	
HOURLY PROD				<u>Scraper Bo</u> Struck V			CY
	<u>UCTION</u>			*	Volume: 24.00 Volume: 34.00	Lo	CY CY CY

0.80 Minutes

<u>0.70</u> Minutes

Cycle Time:

Scraper Loading Time: Maneuver and Spread Time:

Job Condition Correction:

Site Altitude: 5430 feet

	Scraper	Push Dozer	Source
Altitude Adj:	1.000	NA	(CAT HB)
Job Efficiency:	0.830	NA	(CAT HB)
Net Correction:	0.830	NA	

Travel Time:

Road Condition: Firm, smooth, rolling, dirt/lt. surfaced, watered, maintained 3.0

Haul Route:

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	600.00	1.50	3.00	4.50	1667	0.49

Haul Time: **0.49** minutes

Return Route:

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	600.00	-1.50	3.00	1.50	2914	0.38
				Return Time:	0.38	minutes
			Total Scrape	r team cycle time:	2.37	minutes
			Adjusted f	for job conditions:	609.37	LCY/Hour
			Selected Nu	mber of Scrapers:	2	Scraper(s)
	Adjusted	i single scrap	er team (unit) h	ourly production:	1,218.73	LCY/Hour
	Adjusted m	ultiple scrap	er team (fleet) h	ourly production:	1,218.73	LCY/Hour
Optima	Unadjusted unit proo al Number of Scrapers pe			LCY/Hour		
JOB T	IME AND COST					
Flee	t size: 1	Team(s)	T	otal job time:	14.90	Hours

Fleet size:	1	Team(s)	Total job time:	14.90	Hou
Unit cost:	\$0.877	/LCY	Total job cost:	\$15,926	

REVEGETATION WORK

Task descrip	otion:	Revegetate 12.35	acres			
ite: Glacier F	Rock	Per	mit Action:	7/10/2020 Inspection	Permit/Job	#: <u>M1994096</u>
PROJECT Task #:	IDENTIFIC	CATION State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: User:	7/17/2020 AME	County:	Larimer		Filename:	M096-005

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer Materials	
			Cost/Acre	\$0.00

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Chisel plowing {DMG}	\$94.63
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$94.63

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Big Bluestem - Kaw	0.44	1.31	\$5.87
Indiangrass - Cheyenne	0.35	1.07	\$3.96
Switchgrass - Blackwell	0.25	2.23	\$2.88
Blue Grama - Lovington	0.15	2.45	\$2.40
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	0.68	2.23	\$5.70
Western Wheatgrass - Arriba	3.20	8.08	\$20.80
Needlegrass, Green - Lodorm	0.50	2.08	\$5.89
Totals Seed Mix	5.57	19.45	\$47.48

Application

Description Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		Cost /Acre \$232.00
Dim Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$232.00 \$232.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Straw, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	2.00	TON	\$301.00	\$602.00
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$602.00

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$70.17
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$70.17

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
		Totals	Nursery Stoc	k Cost / Acre	\$

JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	12.35	Cost /Acre:	\$1,046.28
Estimated Failure Rate:	25%	Cost /Acre*:	\$279.48
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	SEEDING		

Initial Job Cost:	\$12,921.56
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$862.89
Total Job Cost:	\$13,784
Job Hours:	12.35

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description:	Mo	bilization/Demob	ilization				
te: Glacier Rock		Permit	Action: <u>7/10/2</u>	2020 Insp	ection	Permit/Job#: <u>M</u>	1994096
PROJECT IDEN	TIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #: 006		State: Co	olorado		Abbre	eviation: None	
Date: 7/17. User: AMI	/2020 E	County: La	rimer		F	ilename: M096	5-006
Agency or	r organizatior	n name: DRMS					
EQUIPMENT T	RANSPOR'	<u>T RIG COST</u>					
Truck	Tractor Desc	ription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGHV	WAY TR		rce: <u>CRG Da</u> DR, 6X4, DIESEI	ita
Truck	Trailer Desc	ription: G		ING GOO	2 (2ND HALF, DSENECK, DF (25T, 50T, AN	ROP DECK EQU	IPMENT
Cost Breakdown:			1	KAILLK	(231, 301, A	(D 1001)	
Available Rig Ca	pacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51-	+ Tons		
Ownership		\$17.20	\$29.63		38.69		
Operating		\$26.56	\$47.02		55.69		
	Cost/Hour:	\$23.63	\$23.63		23.63		
	Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$23.53		23.53		
Total Unit		\$67.39	\$123.81		41.54		
NON ROADABL	<u>LE EQUIPN</u>	<u>MENT:</u>					
Machine Description	Weight/ Unit (TONS)	Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	Haul Rig Cost/hr/unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
Cat D7R DS XR Series II	32.01	\$74.64	\$123.81	1	\$198.45	\$123.81	\$250.00
Cat D7R DS XR Series II (w/ripper)	35.93	\$82.24	\$123.81	1	\$206.05	\$123.81	\$250.00
CAT 14M	23.57	\$70.72	\$67.39	1	\$138.11	\$67.39	\$250.00
Cat 631G	52.50	\$144.75	\$141.54	1	\$286.29	\$141.54	\$250.00
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with	25.00	\$6.72	\$67.39	1	\$74.11	\$67.39	\$250.00

Subtotals: **\$903.01 \$523.94 \$1,250.00**

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Tractor

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Water Tanker, 2,500 Gal.	\$28.84	1	\$28.84	\$28.84
		Subtotals:	\$28.84	\$28.84

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance: Average Travel Speed:	FORT COLLINS 16.00 55.00	miles mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$7,845.28	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$16.78	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.29	0.29
Return Time (Hours):	0.29	0.29
Loading Time (Hours):	1.25	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	1.25	NA
Subtotals:	3.08	0.58

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: 6.16 Hours

Total job cost: \$7,862



COLORADO DIVISION OF RECLAMATION, MINING AND SAFETY

1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, Colorado 80203 ph(303) 866-3567

REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL REVISION (TR) COVER SHEET

File No.: M	Site Name:	
County	TR#	(DRMS Use only)
Permittee:		
Operator (If Other than Permittee):		
Permittee Representative:		
Please provide a brief description of	the proposed revision:	

As defined by the Minerals Rules, a Technical Revision (TR) is: "a change in the permit or application which does not have more than a minor effect upon the approved or proposed Reclamation or Environmental Protection Plan." The Division is charged with determining if the revision as submitted meets this definition. If the Division determines that the proposed revision is beyond the scope of a TR, the Division may require the submittal of a permit amendment to make the required or desired changes to the permit.

The request for a TR is not considered "filed for review" until the appropriate fee is received by the Division (as listed below by permit type). Please submit the appropriate fee with your request to expedite the review process. After the TR is submitted with the appropriate fee, the Division will determine if it is approvable within 30 days. If the Division requires additional information to approve a TR, you will be notified of specific deficiencies that will need to be addressed. If at the end of the 30 day review period there are still outstanding deficiencies, the Division must deny the TR unless the permittee requests additional time, in writing, to provide the required information.

There is no pre-defined format for the submittal of a TR; however, it is up to the permittee to provide sufficient information to the Division to approve the TR request, including updated mining and reclamation plan maps that accurately depict the changes proposed in the requested TR.

Required Fees for Technical Revision by Permit Type - Please mark the correct fee and submit it with your request for a Technical Revision.

<u>Permit Type</u>	Required TR Fee	Submitted (mark only one)
110c, 111, 112 construction materials, and 112 quarries	\$216	
112 hard rock (not DMO)	\$175	
110d, 112d(1, 2 or 3)	\$1006	