February 27, 2019

Colorado Division of Reclamation and Mining Safety Mr. Peter Hays 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, CO 80203

RE: Response to Adequacy Review 2 (TR-07) - S&H Mine (Permit M-2000-158), Weld County, Colorado

Dear Mr. Hays:

Scout Investments offers the following responses to comments in Adequacy Review No. 2 received from the DRMS on February 22, 2019.

Adequacy Review Comment 1: The Operator proposes a revision to the approved Mining and Reclamation Plans to construct and operate a silt pond in the northeast corner of the site. The Operator's response did not provide sufficient detail on the construction of the pond. Please provide the estimated dimensions for the silt pond; including the anticipated length, width, depth, maximum volume and the perimeter slope profile for the pond.

a. The revised reclamation cost estimate includes the cost to backfill the north silt pond with 220,000 cubic yards of material. Please clarify if this volume is the maximum anticipated volume of the silt pond.

Response 1: The proposed silt pond dimensions are approximately 500 feet by 600 feet with an average depth of approximately 20 feet. The sideslopes were assumed to be 2:1 with an average (mid-slope) are of approximately six (6 acres). The just over six acres multiplied times the 20-ft depth available on this lower tier resulted in a conservative estimate of 220,000 cy of backfill.

Adequacy Review Comment 2: Please commit to providing a copy of the approved revised Substitute Water Supply Plan to include the silt pond to the Division when available.

Response 2: Martin-Marietta is responsible for ensuring that the SWSP is current with their active mining and associated depletions. The Owner commits to providing a copy of the approved SWSP to the Division.

Adequacy Review Comment 3: The revised reclamation cost estimate provided by the Operator in Attachment 1 is not sufficient for the Division to accurately calculate the cost of reclamation that would be incurred by the State. Please provide all information necessary to calculate the cost of reclamation broken down into the various major phases of reclamation pursuant to Rule 6.4.12(1). Please include the anticipated equipment types, material volumes and haul distance for each reclamation task, at minimum.

Response 3: The reclamation cost presented is based on generally accepted construction costs assuming stockpiles of overburden would be placed within 1,000 feet of the area(s) to be reclaimed. In order to provide flexibility and allow for the maximum expected roundtrip haul distance would be approximately 3,000 feet if reclamation occurred before the Cell C2 slurry wall is approved and if overburden stockpiles are not within close proximity (which they are planned to be within the D2 area). In consideration of this worst case scenario, the cost per cubic yard was increased to \$2.20 per cubic yard based on scraper operations.



Adequacy Review Comment 4: The revised reclamation cost estimate provided by the Operator removed Item E – Slurry Wall Repair (50% rebuild) and the Operator stated no reclamation for the area is necessary since the slurry wall is approved by the Office of the State Engineer. The Division requires Operator's to post financial warranty, typically 50% of the slurry wall construction cost, to repair the wall due to damage from floods or damage occurring during mining and reclamation of the site. Please revise the cost estimate to include the costs associated with repairing the slurry wall.

Response 4: The cost to repair 50% of the slurry wall was added back to bonding estimate.

The Phase 1 reclamation cost, as the maximum reclamation cost condition, is summarized in the attached table with standard direct and indirect costs added per DRMS requirements.

Sincerely, CIVIL RESOURCES, LLC.

Sad 27 hay

Brad L. Hagen, P.E. Principal Civil Engineer

Cc: Mr. Jason Von Lembke, Scout Investments

Attachments: Bonding – Reclamation Cost Table

J:\United Water & San - 141\Gilcrest Reservoir\DRMS\TR7 Revised reclamation\Response to AdeqRvw1-TR7.doc

Scout Investments Reclamation Costs Permit M-2000-158					
Activity	Quantity	Units	Unit Costs		Cost
 A. Reclamation per TR-06 (ex. Processing Area) 1 Move stockpiles into mined area 2 Final grade and place 6" of topsoil on the entire disturbed area 3 Seed and mulch 4 Scarify ground 	344,330 48,400 60 60	CY CY Acres Acres	\$ 1.10 \$ 1.05 \$ 977.00 \$ 150.00	\$ \$ \$	378,763.00 50,820.00 58,620.00 9,000.00
5 Remove equipment	1	LS	\$ 20,000.00 Subtotal	\$ \$	20,000.00 517,203.00
B. Reclamation of North Silt Pond	T			\$	· · · ·
 Backfill Final grade and place 6" of topsoil on the entire disturbed area Seed and mulch Scarify ground 	220,000 12,100 6 6	CY CY Acres Acres	\$ 2.20 \$ 1.05 \$ 977.00 \$ 150.00 Subtotal	\$ \$ \$ \$	484,000.00 12,705.00 5,862.00 900.00 503,467.00
C. Reclamation of Perimeter Outside of Cells	T	· · · ·	JUDIOLAI	¢	505,407.00
 Final grade and place 6" of topsoil on the entire disturbed area Seed and mulch Scarify ground 	34,690 43 43	CY Acres Acres	\$ 2.20 \$ 977.00 \$ 150.00 Subtotal	\$ \$ \$	76,318.00 42,011.00 6,450.00 124,779.00
D. Backfill & Reclamation of Mined Areas	T			Ţ	,
 Backfill Final grade and place 6" of topsoil on the entire disturbed area Seed and mulch Scarify Ground 	588,800 42,860 53 53	CY CY Acres Acres	\$ 2.20 \$ 1.05 \$ 977.00 \$ 150.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,295,360.00 45,003.00 51,781.00 7,950.00
			Subtotal	\$	1,400,094.00
E. Slurry Wall Repair (50% rebuild) 1 Excavation, Materials and Labor Total Disturbance Costs	275,000	Face Ft	\$ 4.00 Subtotal	\$	1,100,000.00 1,100,000.00 3,645,543.00
Indirect Costs					
Overhead & Profit Performance Bond (2.02%) - Based on DRMS estimate Performance Bond (3.07%) - Based on DRMS estimate Job Superintendent (240 hours @ \$75/hr) - Based on DRMS estimate Contractor Mob and DeMob (3%) - Based on DRMS estimate Contractor Overhead and Profit (10%) - Based on DRMS estimate				\$ \$ \$ \$	73,639.97 111,918.17 18,000.00 109,366.29 364,554.30
Contract Amount (direct + O & P)			Subtotal		677,478.73 4,323,021.73
Legal, Engineering & Project Management Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs) (\$500) Engineering Work and/or contract/bid preparation (4.25%) Reclamation management and/or administration (5%) - Based on DRMS estim Contingency (3%)	ate		Subtotal	\$ \$ \$ \$	500.00 183,728.42 216,151.09 109,366.29 509,745.80
Total Indirect Costs					1,187,224.53
Total Bond Amount (rounded to nearest \$100,000)				\$	4,800,000.00

Attachment 1 Scout Investments Reclamation Costs Permit M-2000-158