

Simmons - DNR, Leigh <leigh.simmons@state.co.us>

Tue, F

C1983059, Terror Creek Loadout, RN7, Adequacy Review

Mike Ludlow <Mike.Ludlow@oxbow.com> To: "Simmons - DNR, Leigh" <leigh.simmons@state.co.us>

Cc: Doug Smith < Doug.Smith@oxbow.com>, Pierre Azzi < Pierre Azzi@oxbow.com>, Roy Schorsch < Roy.Schorsch@oxbow.com>, Scott Stewart < Scott. Stewart@oxbow.com>, Jason Musick <jason.musick@state.co.us>

Dear Mr. Simmons,

The rules clearly allow for the bond to be adjusted for good cause. I does not specify only bond increases. Adjusting the bond requirements appears to be different than requesting bo I, Phase II and Phase III. It is the Divisions responsibility to review the bond at permit reviews or not less than every two and half years. The regulations clearly state that the bond shall means up or down. This is not a bond release covered in a separate regulation. The regulations also state "or when the cost of future reclamation changes". Further the regulations are procedures for adjusting the bond (a) through (c) below. There is no requirements for Phase I bond release in regrading.

Unfortunately I will not be in Denver next week. I understand that this maybe different than other bond reductions that the Division has processed, but the regulations clearly allow for Adjustments' are not just increases.

Thanks for your help.

Michael W Ludlow

President

Oxbow Mining, LLC

Elk Creek Mine

P.O. Box 535

Somerset, CO 81434

970-929-5494 Office

970-261-5142 Cell

Page 195 Section 3.02.2

- (4) The amount of the performance bond required shall be adjusted for good cause as affected land acreages are increased or decreased or when the cost of future reclamation changes including the cost of abating any violation for which a notice of violation has been issued. The Division shall review the amount of bond required for a permit area and the terms of acceptance of the bond at the time permit reviews are conducted under 2.08.3 or every two and one-half years, whichever is more frequent. The procedures for any adjustment shall include:
 - (a) Notification of the permittee of any proposed bond adjustment and provide the permittee with an opportunity for an informal conference on the adjustment;
 - (b) Issuance of a written proposed decision by the Division to adjust the bond amount, publication of notice of the proposed decision in a newspaper of general circulation in the locality of the mining operation once a week for two weeks following issuance of the decision, and notification of the permittee, the surety and any person with a property interest in the collateral who has requested notification;



 From: Simmons - DNR, Leigh [mailto:leigh.simmons@state.co.us]

 Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 4:04 PM

 To: Mike Ludlow

 To: Mike Ludlow

 OXBOW.COM>

 C: Doug Smith

 Doug.Smith@OXBOW.COM>; Pierre Azzi

 Pierre.Azzi@OXBOW.COM>; Roy Schorsch

 Musick

 To: Use Smith

 Doug.Smith@OXBOW.COM>; Pierre Azzi

 Pierre.Azzi@OXBOW.COM>; Roy Schorsch @OXBOW.COM>; Scott Stewart

 Subject: Re: FW: C1983059, Terror Creek Loadout, RN7, Adequacy Review

[external email-use caution]

Mr Ludlow,

The snip you quoted from the Division's website is consistent with the Act, the Rules, and the Division's policy and practice:

"The amount of required reclamation performance bond is based on the actual costs to reclaim all permitted disturbance at an operation"

2/20/2019

State.co.us Executive Branch Mail - C1983059, Terror Creek Loadout, RN7, Adequacy Review

If the Division were to remove the costs of demolishing a structure from the Reclamation Cost Estimate simply because the work had been completed, it would in effect be releasing a portion bond release without going through the bond release process, and would be in contravention of the Act and the Rules.

The Reclamation Cost Estimate is calculated from information in the approved Permit Application Packet. The approach I have described has been applied at all sites permitted by the Divisio history. The Division is happy to review any of the line items in the estimate if you think they are in error, but tasks can't simply be removed because the work has been completed.

I understand that you may be in Denver next week for the CMA conference; we could arrange to meet while you're in town if you think it would helpful to talk through this in person.

Leigh Simmons

Environmental Protection Specialist

P 303.866.3567 x 8121 | C 720.220.1180 | F 303.832.8106

1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, CO 80203

leigh.simmons@state.co.us | http://mining.state.co.us/

On Tue, Feb 19, 2019 at 3:15 PM Mike Ludlow <Mike.Ludlow@oxbow.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Simmons,

Thank you for the information on bond release. We have not yet asked for Phase I bond release at Terror Creek or Elk Creek. None of the bond release Phases address the removal o is the case, bond release could be achieved by regrading around and through the existing structures. It is my understanding that it is the Divisions policy to recalculated bonding requ and adequate bond is in place to cover the <u>actual</u> costs of reclamation. Please base the bonding calculations on the actual estimated costs. Oxbow is willing to work with the Division actual costs. The Division inspection reports acknowledge the removal of structure at Terror Creek. The bonding calculation should be based on actual cost to reclaim the property. that a reduction cannot be granted other than through the stated rules, but I also believe that the rules are written to address surface mine disturbances and applied to underground that is in place is more than sufficient to cover the actual cost of reclamation. <u>No bond increase is warranted at this time.</u>

Below is a snip of the Divisions page on bonding. "The amount of required reclamation performance bond is based on the actual costs to reclaim all permitted disturbance at an ope

Thank you for your help in this matter.

Best Regards

Michael W Ludlow

President

Oxbow Mining, LLC

Elk Creek Mine

P.O. Box 535

Somerset, CO 81434

970-929-5494 Office

970-261-5142 Cell

2/20/2019

State.co.us Executive Branch Mail - C1983059, Terror Creek Loadout, RN7, Adequacy Review



2/20/2019

On Tue, Feb 19, 2019 at 10:03 AM Mike Ludlow <Mike.Ludlow@oxbow.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Simmons,

Thank you for the review on the Terror Creek permit. You have attached bonding calculations that I do not agree with. As you know most the coal handling facilities have been rer bonding calculations still include \$134,094 for removal of such facilities. This is in error. Please let me know the proper channels to protest this calculation or how to submit our o this site.

Thank you for your assistance.

Michael W Ludlow

President

Oxbow Mining, LLC

Elk Creek Mine

P.O. Box 535

Somerset, CO 81434

970-929-5494 Office

970-261-5142 Cell

From: Doug Smith Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2019 3:49 PM To: Mike Ludlow <<u>Mike.Ludlow@OXBOW.COM</u>> Subject: FW: C1983059, Terror Creek Loadout, RN7, Adequacy Review

From: Simmons - DNR, Leigh [mailto:leigh.simmons@state.co.us] Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2019 3:25 PM To: Doug Smith Subject: C1983059, Terror Creek Loadout, RN7, Adequacy Review

[external email-use caution]

Attached

Leigh Simmons Environmental Protection Specialist

P 303.866.3567 x 8121 | C 720.220.1180 | F 303.832.8106

1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, CO 80203

leigh.simmons@state.co.us | http://mining.state.co.us/

Attention: This email was sent from outside the company. Please use caution when opening attachments or clicking on links that are unexpected or arrive from unknown senders.