# braun

#### Braun Environmental, Inc.

355 S. Teller St, Suite 200, Lakewood, Colorado 80226

Office: 303-697-0950

Fax 303-697-2140

November 21, 2018

SENT VIA EMAIL

Elliot Russell Division of Reclamation and Public Safety (DRMS) 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, Colorado 80203

### RE: Responses to November 20, 2018 Adequacy Review Letter, Bad Boys Pit M-1996-081, Teller County, Colorado

Dear Mr. Russell:

I am attaching responses to your comments in the November 20, 2018 Adequacy Review Letter. Each comment is listed and followed by a specific response. I have included all the pages and documents which I can put together at this time. Comments 19, 23, and 28 remain open.

You and I talked at about 3:30 pm yesterday afternoon, and I received your email at about 5:00 pm last evening. In the effort to be expedient, we worked after-hours to address the comments, so that I could return responses to you quickly. Thanks for returning my call this morning.

As we discussed, we will send another letter to Mr. Burtis and see what happens. If it does not work this time we might need to rely on you to give him the proper workover. As for bonding, I think you are going to need to have some discussion with the owners. My calculations show that the original engineer's estimate is remains close to the actual cost for reclamation, with some allowance for inflation. Thus, I think the original bond amount is still sufficient and no increase should be necessary.

The owners will not even get your mailed version of your letter until sometime the middle or late next week, which will be well after today's deadline, so we were lucky that you caught me in the office yesterday afternoon. As you and I discussed, let's extend the due date and make it Friday, December 21, 2018. I am hopeful that at Christmas, we have all moved on to other projects.

Call me if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely, BRAUN ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

C. A. Braun, P.E.

C.M.M.M

cc. C. Cross enc.

CAB/rl

#### Response to Elliot Russell Adequacy Review Letter Dated November 20, 2018 Permit No. M-1996-081 By: C. A. Braun, November 21, 2018

## This document is formatted to present the DRMS comment (in italics) followed by the response.

Comment 19: As required by Rule 1.6.2, please submit proof of the notice to Wallace Burtis. Proof of notice may be return receipts of a Certified Mailing (aka the green card) or by proof of personal service.

**Response:** Per your advice, another notice will be sent out to see what happens this time.

Comment 20: The Division received additional comments from the Division of Water Resources and History Colorado regarding the application. These letters are attached for your review. Please acknowledge and address any comments noted in the letters and make changes to the application as necessary.

**Response:** As for the office of the State Water Engineer, there has been no change in the original operations on the site with exception of a slightly larger area affected than was originally planned. This larger area is being from the original permit date with the exception of the movement to a larger area. The operation is being brought back into the original plan and the conclusion of the original engineer still applies. The operation is not anticipated to intercept any underground water, to generate any surface flows, or to use any water, except from an appropriate supplier.

The historic District has been contacted and they have no special interest or cataloged items within the area of the permit boundary. Site 1986 is shown as, eligible but not listed, and refers to the entire Cripple Creek District. Item 149 is the Gold King and COD Mines, which were quite famous, and as reclamation specialist for this district, you should know where they are. These old properties have nothing to do with the permit area.

Comment 21: In accordance with Rule 6.3.2(2) please provide a description of the vegetation in the area of the proposed operation.

**Response:** The site is semi-open and the vegetation present on undisturbed areas consists of grasses, forbes, some shrubs and trees. The vegetation community around the relatively undisturbed portions of the site includes the following: grasses and grass-like plants: oatgrass, various wheatgrasses, smooth brome, fescue, downy bromegrass, muhly, dry sedges, poa and squirreltail. Forbes, shrubs and trees: fringed sage, winterfat, vetch, harebell, scenecio, hairy goldenaster, gilia, waveyleafthistle, mullein, yarrow, beardstongue penstemon, vetch, butter-and-eggs, woods rose, fremont geranium, sand wort, various annual forbes, currant, gooseberry, aspen and pine. Inspection of the site found that much of the reclaimed area had a good cover of smooth brome, which appears to be well suited to the area.

Comment 22: In response to Adequacy Item #6, the Applicant submitted an updated Soil Report which shows the site only includes Soil Map Unit 82 (Quander-Bushpark). Please provide the soil unit description print out for Soil Map Unit 82.

**Response:** Unit description has been printed and is attached.

Comment 23: The Division has completed the Financial Warranty calculation for the operation based on the proposed Mining and Reclamation Plans. The reclamation cost estimate has been calculated in the amount of \$19,600.00, an increase of \$11,096.00 from the \$8,504.00 currently held by the Division. Please review the enclosed figures as soon as possible and contact our office if you have any questions or find any calculation errors.

**Response:** This item will require input from the property owners and will likely take a little more time. I began responding outside of our normal work hours to your late afternoon email to me to rapidly address your comments since our remaining time on this extension is so short. Since the property owner's only way of being notified is by the U.S. Mail, your notice might take a week to reach them. I suspect that the large increase in bond will not go well with them, and this matter will need be discussed between you and them. It is also necessary for you to keep in mind (and the reason DRMS was started) is that the purpose of the bond is only to provide sufficient funds so that it the State has to step in and it can perform the reclamation, that sufficient funds are available. This site has had significant previous disturbance, and that the bond should not include any costs for reclaiming previous disturbance. It is unfortunate that the original Mined Land Reclamation people that were involved in the 1990's have moved on, and the newcomers have to be responsible for doing the right thing for their customers. To me, your proposed bond number seems high.

Comment 24: The revised Exhibit E Map still contains an error within the Additional Notes section. The Division believes there is a typo regarding the statement that the permit boundary "includes a 30 foot wide strip in the northern portion of the Found Claim". The Division believes this should actually be the northern portion of the Florence Claim. Please update this map and re-submit it for further review.

**Response:** Thanks for the detailed review. This error has been corrected.

Comment 25: The Final Reclamation Plan Map depicts the final site configuration and remaining features after all mining and reclamation has been completed. Please remove the Current Excavation and Current Rock/Soil Storage polygons and labels from the Exhibit Map E-1 as these features will not remain after final reclamation.

**Response:** Per you instruction, the words have been removed.

Comment 26: The Division believes there is an error regarding the reclaimed slope gradient labels on the Exhibit Map E-1. The eastern label states "Slope 1(H):3(V) Typical", however based on the approved maximum reclaimed slope gradient of 3H:1V and topographic details of the map, the

Division believes this should state "Slope 3(H): 1(V) Typical". In addition, the western label states "1(H): 1.5(V)", however this does not match the approved maximum reclaimed slope gradient of 3H: 1V nor the topographic details of the map. Please revise these labels accordingly.

**Response:** Thanks for you detailed review. The drafting error has been corrected on the 3(H): 1(V) label, and the 1.5(H): 1(V) label has been removed. The words, "All excavated material will be backfilled into the pit as similar as possible to the pre-mining surface and this could be up to 50 feet thick." have been added to the note section of Exhibit Map E-1.

Comment 27: In accordance with Rule 6.3.5(3)(d), please state, on the Exhibit Map E-1, the average thickness of replaced overburden/waste rock. Please note, in the response to Adequacy Item #15g, the Applicant stated the maximum depth of the excavation is 70 feet and therefore the average thickness of replaced overburden/waste rock would be 35 feet. Please revise this to reflect the maximum approved depth of 50 feet. It may be most appropriate in this case to state on the Exhibit Map E-1, similarly to the approved reclamation plan, that all excavated material will be backfilled into the pit similar to the pre-mining surface and this could be up to 50 feet thick.

**Response:** The wording has been added to Exhibit Map E-1.

Comment 28: Any changes or additions to the application on file with the Division, must also be reflected in the public review copy. Please submit proof that the public review copy has been updated or a copy of the response to this adequacy letter has been added to it.

**Response:** The updated public copy will be updated upon the resolution of Comments 19 and 23.

### Teller-Park Area, Colorado, Parts of Park and Teller Counties

## 82—Quander-Bushpark very gravelly loams, 5 to 40 percent slopes complex

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: k0xv Elevation: 8,500 to 10,900 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 23 inches Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 40 degrees F Frost-free period: 50 to 80 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Quander and similar soils: 60 percent Bushpark and similar soils: 30 percent Minor components: 10 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Quander**

#### Setting

Landform: Mountains Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank, mountainbase Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Colluvium and/or slope alluvium derived from trachyte

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 7 inches: very gravelly loam AB - 7 to 12 inches: extremely gravelly loam Bt1 - 12 to 20 inches: extremely cobbly clay loam Bt2 - 20 to 26 inches: extremely cobbly clay loam Bt3 - 26 to 43 inches: extremely cobbly clay loam BC - 43 to 60 inches: extremely cobbly loam

#### Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 40 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Natural drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Very high Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.20 to 2.00 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 1.7 inches)

JSDA

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: Skeletal Loam (R048AY377CO) Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Bushpark**

#### Setting

Landform: Mountains Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountaintop, mountainflank Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Slope alluvium derived from trachyte and/or volcanic breccia

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 3 inches: very gravelly loam
Bt1 - 3 to 8 inches: very gravelly clay loam
Bt2 - 8 to 16 inches: extremely gravelly clay loam
R - 16 to 60 inches: bedrock

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 10 to 40 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 10 to 20 inches to lithic bedrock
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 to 0.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 0.5 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: Shallow Loam (R048AY230CO) Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Adderton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drainageways Ecological site: Loamy Park (R048AY222CO) Hydric soil rating: No

#### Tellura

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Mountains Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: Skeletal Loam (R048AY377CO) Hydric soil rating: No

#### Platdon, frequently flooded

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Flood plains Ecological site: Mountain Meadow (R048AY241CO) Hydric soil rating: Yes

### **Data Source Information**

Soil Survey Area: Teller-Park Area, Colorado, Parts of Park and Teller Counties Survey Area Data: Version 10, Sep 10, 2018





#### RECLAMATION NOTES:

Special Note: All areas anticipated to require reclamation within permit boundary are shown on map

Historical Aspects - Reclamation of the disturbed areas will occur following completion of the mining operation. The area is currently zoned as A-1 (agricultural), is part of the historic Cripple Creek Mining District, with the Cripple Creek Mining Overlay District (CCMOD) located nearby to the south and east. Thus the historical flavor of the permit area must be retained. The closure of the site must consider both of its historical uses for mining and agriculture.

Reclamation Objectives - At time of closure both old and new disturbances will be evaluted for future need by the land owner, and will be reclaimed or put back in their approximate state prior to modification for prospecting and mining. Roads that are necessary for property access, including maintenance, movement of livestock, fire control, and safety will remain, as will the main access road that traverses the property from south to north. The modifications to the roads that are to remain will include: removing any MSHA mandated berms along their outsides, and installing drain bars in areas which might have steeper grades, and reduction of width to pre-mining specifications, or that desired by landowner. Roads and leveled areas that are not needed or desired for roadways will be stabilized, and vegetated withe emphasis being to maximize vegetative growth. Any excess materials generated by the work will be beneficially wasted on site with the goal of placing soils over rock to maximize flora growth and feed for the fauna.

Underground/Below Ground Access Area - At the time of final closure of the pit area, all mining equipment and structures that are not wanted by the property owner will be removed from the site. Any and all refuse generated in conjunction with the operation will be hauled away and properly disposed of. If any cut banks remain at the end of mining, they will be evaluted for stability and will be sloped accordingly so that no hazards to persons or livestock exist.

Vegetative Details - Areas to be reclaimed will be graded and top soil or growth media added where available and appropriate. Areas outside of the travelways that are to remain will receive seed bed preparation, which may include ripping, disking, and/or harrowing, and will be seeded with a suitable rangeland seed mixture chosen by engineer and approved by DRMS. The seed will be either drilled or hand broadcasted as appropriate. If broadcast, it will be covered by hand raking or by harrow methods. The seed mix will be sowed at a rate of no less than 33.4 pounds of pure live seed per acre, or per supplier's recommendations. In areas with steep hillsides, reclamation mats or netting might also be used to assist vegetation if necessary. Seed will be applied in early spring or late fall to maximize the germination rate and to increase the chances of a successful revegetation. Use of fertilizers is not anticipated to be necessary to obtain reclamation objectives. Monitoring of the site will occur until reclamation objectives have been met. The average thickness of soil within the permit area is estimated to average 6 inches. Any soil stockpiles will be placed in such a manner to minimize erosion, and will be seeded using the standard seed mix as appropriate for conditions and as specified by engineer.

Permit Boundary

 $\nabla$ 

SCALE 1"=100'

Contour Interval = 10 feet

Notes:

Contour (interval 10 feet)

