



STATE OF
COLORADO

Ebert - DNR, Jared <jared.ebert@state.co.us>

Re: HELP WITH MEDICAL AND ECONOMIC CONCERNS

teresita1@bajabb.com <teresita1@bajabb.com>

Tue, Feb 27, 2018 at 1:37 AM

To: JARED EBERT <jared.ebert@state.co.us>, R K Hancock III <r.hancock@state.co.us>, Lea Schneider <schneils@co.larimer.co.us>, EPA Gail FALLON <fallon.gail@epa.gov>, EPA Scott Whitmore <whitmore.scott@epa.gov>, Robert Helmick <helmicrp@co.larimer.co.us>, "Teresita R." <teresita1@bajabb.com>
Cc: ROBERT N HAVIS <rhavis@msn.com>, Pete Waack <chetek65@yahoo.com>, NolaPortegravel Chairperson Patty McElwaine <pdmcelwaine@yahoo.com>, Amy Maddox <almaddox16@gmail.com>, Erica Daniell <ericadaniell@yahoo.com>, Ruth Wallick <ncstainedglass@gmail.com>, Terry Waters <terrywaters125@msn.com>, Jayme Patrick Tilley <jayme8704@gmail.com>

Good evening to all Respected Authorities,

The proposed Loveland ready Mix (KNOX PIT) stated in their Hazardous Material Impact Analysis Report that:

(pls. see Report attached)

1. They will be processing EPCRA 313 chemicals on site.
2. They will be storing quantities of these EPCRA 313 Chemicals (**above the EPCRA reporting Threshold**) on site

May I respectfully ask:

1. What these Chemicals referenced in the LRM report would be? Would they be Hazardous? Extremely Hazardous?
2. What is the significance on Public Safety of having an EPCRA threshold - when the threshold will be exceeded anyway?
3. What is the impact on Public Safety of exceeding a hazardous chemical threshold - **on site**?
3. What is the implication of storing excess chemicals on site, when homes are so close and the risk of spills and emergency evacuations are real?
4. It is my understanding that EPCRA's purpose is to provide the Public with information about possible chemical hazards in their communities, and if

the LRM had stated on August of 2017, that they will be processing EPCRA chemicals 313 on site - that the Community, for purposes of should have already been

made aware of what the said Chemicals are?

5. If EPCRA's role is to:

- a. Support and encourage emergency planning for responding to chemical accidents and provide local governments and public information

about possible chemical hazards in the community

- c. facilitate cooperation between industry and interested citizens

Please allow me to speak on behalf of the concerned citizens of LaPorte by saying that the Community is yet to receive this benefit from the EPCRA.

6. IF EPCRA is supposed to establish an ongoing forum at the local level called the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC), please allow me to

present that the concerned citizens of LaPorte have no knowledge whether a Local Emergency Planning Committee is existent, and if so, whom to

contact for help in educating us about what hazardous chemicals are coming to the community and what HEALTH RISKS they entail.

e. If **LEPC's** are supposed to be governed by the State Emergency Response Commission (**SERC**)

I just learned that Mr. Greg Stasinis is a SERC contact. However, I assume that now is too premature to connect with him.

We are scheduled for a Division of Mining and Reclamation hearing on the 22nd of March. With all due respect, I do not know if consideration of the

EPCRA 313 is essential to completeness of the DRMS the Permitting process - but I am hoping that I am not mistaken in believing that the

Community has a right to know what Chemicals they stand to be exposed, the risks to health involved and most importantly what their rights are

in asserting a choice against compromise of health.

Thank you,

Tess

On 2018-02-26 08:34, R K Hancock III wrote:

Morning Tess,

Questions 1 through 4 are all water related and should be sent to the Water Quality Division, not the Air Division. I do not know who specifically you should contact there but you can call the WQD at [303-692-3500](tel:303-692-3500).

As for item 5, I believed we have already discussed in detail.

Health assesment questions/requests should be sent to the Disease Control and Environmental Epidemiology Division at the contact I provided in the letter I sent you.

Chip

R K "Chip" Hancock III, P.E.
Construction Permit Unit Supervisor
Stationary Sources Program
Air Pollution Control Division



COLORADO
Air Pollution Control Division
Department of Public Health & Environment

P [303.692.3168](tel:303.692.3168) | F [303.782.0278](tel:303.782.0278)

4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, Denver, CO 80246-1530

r.hancock@state.co.us | www.colorado.gov/cdphe/apcd

Are you curious about ground-level ozone in Colorado? Visit our [ozone webpage](#) to learn more.

On Thu, Feb 22, 2018 at 5:30 PM, <teresita1@bajabb.com> wrote:

Hello Lea, Ms. Fallon, Mr. Whitmore and Mr. Hancock,

I hope you are all doing well. Please accept, some new health concerns related to the proposed pit that have come up. They are as follows:

1. There is concern about the potential for even more disease-carrying mosquitoes in the area owing to an anticipated increase of ponds but also of

increased stagnant water in the immediate environment. (Health and Safety Burden)

2. Members of the community have expressed concerns that threats to health will not only come from dust in the environment and the air but also

from moisture that is expected to seep onto crawlspaces, foundations and insulation of homes. (damage to Health & property- an Economic

burden)

3. Concern regarding potential for well water contamination (bacterial, protozoan etc) had been presented due to the close proximity of homes. Many

residents use well water for watering vegetable gardens.

4. Fort Collins homes by the American Legion are still serviced by Septic Tanks that stand to be adversely affected (floating) by LRM induced changes

in ground water gradient. (Health & Economic Burden)

5. **Constant NON-OCCUPATIONAL exposure** to particulates, dampness and stagnant water expose the community (especially the vulnerable) to

likelihood of illness, hospital visits and lost wages due to illness-related absence from work . (Perpetual Health and economic Issues)

Please allow me to say that the Community of LaPorte strongly believes that the proposed pit will negatively impact not only the Economy and the environment of LaPorte but the health and safety of its people as well.


For purposes of accountability from heavy industry and mining that abound in the area, I am earnestly appealing for a Public Health Assessment in order to help establish a reliable baseline that will accurately determine post-assessment exposure-related illnesses in the distressed and resilience- challenged Community of LaPorte.


Please help us.

Thank you for your time.

Tess

2 attachments

 **EPCRA 313 p.1.pdf**
458K

 **EPCRA 313 p.2.pdf**
438K