

**COLORADO** Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety Department of Natural Resources

# MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:		MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
M & G Pit		M-1986-079	Sand and gravel	Adams
<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b>		INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring		Jared L. Ebert	December 20, 2017	09:20
OPERATOR:		<b>OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:</b>	TYPE OF OPERAT	TION:
Mann Resources LLC		Andrew Blackford and Joe O'Dea, Mann	112c - Construction	Regular Operation
		Lake Holding LLC. and Steve O'Brian		
		with Environment, Inc.		
<b>REASON FOR INSPECTION:</b>		BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	<b>BOND AMOUNT:</b>	
Normal I&E Program		Complete Bond	\$283,500.00	
DATE OF COMPLAINT:		POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:	
NA		None	None	
WEATHER:	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:		SIGNATURE DAT	Е:
Clear	Ja	nd Cott	December 21, 2017	

## **GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS**

This list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each. No problems or possible violations were noted during the inspection. The mine operation was found to be in full compliance with Mineral Rules and Regulations of the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board for the Extraction of Construction Materials and/or for Hard Rock, Metal and Designated Mining Operations. Any person engaged in any mining operation shall notify the office of any failure or imminent failure, as soon as reasonably practicable after such person has knowledge of such condition or of any impoundment, embankment, or slope that poses a reasonable potential for danger to any persons or property or to the environment; or any environmental protection facility designed to contain or control chemicals or waste which are acid or toxic-forming, as identified in the permit.

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>NA</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>NA</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>NA</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION Y
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>N</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>NA</u>	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP Y
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>NA</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>NA</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>Y</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>Y</u>	

Y = Inspected and found in compliance / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

## **OBSERVATIONS**

This was an inspection of the M & G Pit, DRMS permit number M-1986-079. The current permittee of the site is Mann Resources, LLC. On August 14, 2017 the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division or DRMS) received a Transfer of Mineral Permit and Succession of Operators application (SO3). The current permit holder would like to transfer the permit to Mann Lake Holding, LLC. The SO3 application was deemed incomplete for review on August 15, 2017. On December 4th, 2017 the Division received all of the required documents to deem the application complete and filed. This inspection was conducted in order to calculate the required surety for the site and to evaluate the site for compliance with the permit and rules and regulations. I, Jared Ebert with DRMS conducted the inspection. Mr. Andrew Blackford and Joseph O'Dea representing Mann Lake Holding, LLC. and Steve O'Brian with Environment Inc. representing Mann Resources, LLC. accompanied me during the inspection.

## Acid And Toxic Materials:

The currently approved permit allows for the backfilling of the pit with inert material. At the time of the inspection the visible fill material appeared to be clean fill dirt.

## **Backfilling and Grading:**

The current permit holder appears to be working with the proposed successor operator to backfill the existing pit at the site. The current pit has exposed groundwater and is about 17.36 acres in size according to the 2017 annual report. The currently approved reclamation plan calls for leaving two open groundwater ponds at the north end of the site based on the approval of Technical Revision No. 8 (TR08). However, it appears the Operators have elected to backfill the majority of the former pit area. According to Mr. O'Dea they plan to leave a small open water channel through the site. The site currently consists of a long shallow pit on the south side of the site where the water appears to be only 4 to 5 feet deep in places. This southern pit is separated from the northern pit by a berm. A culvert has been installed to allow water from the southern pit to flow into the northern pit.

In the future, the current permit holder or the successor permit holder will need to file a technical revision with the Division to revise the final proposed configuration of the site and supply detail regarding the post mining channel to be left at the site.

The pit slopes range from near the angle of repose to 2H:1V where current inert filling is occurring in portions of the northern pond and southern ponds. The western pit slopes of the southern pond are shallow and less than a 3H:1V. The eastern pit slopes of the south pond appear to be steeper than a 3H:1V.

#### Financial Warranty:

The current permittee has posted a financial warranty in the amount of \$283,500.00. Based on the observations made during the inspection and information contained in the 2017 annual report, I have calculated the remaining liability at the site to be about \$243,300.00, this is about \$40,200.00 less than the current liability posted. The reclamation cost estimate is enclosed with this report. Regarding the SO3 application, the proposed successor operator will need to post the currently required financial warranty of \$283,500.00 in order for the SO3 application to be approved. Or, prior to the approval of the SO3 application, the current permit holder could submit and receive approval of a surety reduction request to lower the required liability amount to \$243,300.00. Subsequently, the successor operator could submit a financial warranty in the \$243,300.00 amount and SO3 application would then be approved.

## Hydrologic Balance:

Water flows into the pit at the southwest end of the site and flows out of the pit at the northwest corner of the pit.

#### **Reclamation Success:**

Reclamation is proceeding at the site but it appears the final site configuration will be different than what is currently approved with TR08. The reclamation plan will need to be revised based on the future plan for the site.

#### **Revegetation:**

Portions of the southern half of the mine site have been revegetated and a dense stand of grasses and forbs have stablished throughout the area. Trees and willows have established along the pond slopes of the southern pond.

## Topsoil:

Topsoil is stored in a berm on the north end of the site.

## **PHOTOGRAPHS**



Figure 1. From the southwest end of the north pond looking north.



Figure 2. From near the southwest corner of the north pond looking east at inert fill area.



Figure 3. From near the southeast end of the north pond looking northwest.



Figure 4. From near the southeast corner of the north pond looking northeast.



Figure 5. Berm between the north pond and south pond.



Figure 6. From northwest corner of the south pond looking south.



Figure 7. View of revegetated area west of the south pond.



Figure 8. Near the southern end of the south pond looking south.



Figure 9. From the south end of the south pond looking north.



Figure 10. From the southwest corner of the site looking north. Inspection Contact Address

Clay Carlson Mann Resources LLC P.O. Box 247 Eastlake, CO 80614

Enclosure: 1.) CIRCES Reclamation Cost Estimate, Dated December 21, 2017, SO3

EC: Andrew Blackford, CEI Constructors Joe O'Dea, Mann Lake Holding LLC. Steve O'Brian, Environment, Inc.

## COST SUMMARY WORK

Т	ask description:						
Site:	M & G Pit	Permit Action:	SO3 2017		Permit/Job#	: M1986079	
<u>PI</u>	ROJECT IDENTIFICAT	ION					
	Task #: 000	State: Colorado		A	bbreviation:	None	
	Date: 12/21/2017	County: Adams			Filename:	M079-000	
	User: JLE						
	Agency or organization	n name: DRMS					
<u><b>T</b></u>	ASK LIST (DIRECT COS	<u>STS)</u>					
			Form	Fleet	Task		
Task	Description		Used	Size	Hours	Cost	
001	Backfill pond		DOZER	2	262.81	\$120,022.00	
002	Spread topsoil over affected	ed area	SCRAPER1	] 1	31.96	\$30,015.00	
003	Seed 44.6 acres		REVEGE	] 1	44.60	\$25,618.00	
004	Weed control entire site		REVEGE	1	0.00	\$6,145.00	
005	Mobilization and Demobil	ization	MOBILIZE	1	3.20	\$7,272.00	
	<u>SUBTOTALS:</u> 342.57 \$189,072						
IN	DIRECT COSTS						
<u>0</u>	VERHEAD AND PROFIT:						
	Liability insurance:	2.02			Total = \$3,8	319.25	
	Performance bond:	1.05				985.26	
	Job superintendent:	171.29				,512.37	
	Profit:	10.00				,907.20	
				TOTAL		,224.08	
		CONTR	ACT AMOUNT	(direct +	O & P) =  \$22	6,296.08	

#### LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation: Reclamation management and/or administration:	0.00 0.00 5.00	Total = Total =	0.00 \$0.00 \$11,314.80
CONTINGENCY:	3.00	– Total =	\$5,672.16
	TOTAL I	NDIRECT COST =	\$54,211.04
TOTAL B	OND AMOUNT	(direct + indirect) =	\$243,283.04
	Required	Surety Amount =	<u>\$243,300.00</u>

## BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Backfill pond			
te: M & G Pit	Permit Action:	SO3 2017	Permit/Jo	o#: <u>M1986079</u>
PROJECT IDENTIF	<u>ICATION</u>			
Task #: 001 Date: 12/21/202 User: JLE	State:Colorado17County:Adams		Abbreviation: Filename:	None NA
	anization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPMI	ENT COST			
	at D9T - 9SU	_		
	05	_		
• •	emi-Universal	-		
		-		
	per day CRG)	_		
<u>Cost Breakdown:</u>		-		
		Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$100.59	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:		100		
Ripper own.		NA		
Cost/Hour:				
Ripper op. Cost/Hour: Operator Cost/Hour:		100 NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$228.34 <b>\$456.68</b>			
MATERIAL QUANT	<u> TITIES</u>			
	2,000			
	000			
Loose volume: 272	2,000 LCY			
Source of estimated vo	lume: About 15,646.5 LCY/A	Acre at 17.36 acres of op	pen water	
Source of estimated sw		,	<u> </u>	
factor:				
HOURLY PRODUC				
Average push distance:				
Unadjusted hourly production:	437.8 LCY/hr			
Materials consistency of	description: Loose stockpile 1.2			
Average push gradient:	-5 %			
Average site altitude:	5,035 feet			
Material weight:	2,100 lbs/LCY		_	
Weight description:	Earth - Loam			

Job Condition Correction Factor		Source
Operator Skill:	0.900	(AB.AVG.)
Material consistency:	1.200	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.200	(SLOT)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.900	(SSD-FC)
Push gradient:	1.115	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	1.095	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

 Net correction:
 1.1820

 Adjusted unit
 517.48 LCY/hr

 production:
 1024.06 LCY/hr

Adjusted fleet **1034.96** LCY/hr

## JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	2 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.441/LCY

 Total job time:
 262.81 Hours

 Total job cost:
 \$120,022

## SCRAPER TEAM WORK

Site: M & G Pit		Permit Actio	n: <u>SO3 2017</u>	I	Permit/Job#: <u>M</u>	1986079
PROJECT IDENTI	<b>FICATION</b>					
Task #: $002$ Date: $12/21/2$ User: JLE		State: <u>Colorado</u> unty: <u>Adams</u>	0		viation: None ename: M079-	-002
HOURLY EQUIPM	0		COST	Shift basis: <u>1 per</u>	day	
		Fauinn	nent Description			
	-5	Scraper: Cat 62	27G w/push-pull			
			9T - 9SU			
Support	Equipment -Loa					
Pood Main	-Dum tenance –Motor	p Area: NA Grader: CAT	1214			
Koau wiam			Tanker, 5,000 Ga	ıl.		
			, ,			
Cost Breakdown:	Scraper Wo	rk Team	Support Equ			ce Equipment
	Scraper	Dozer	Load Area	Dump Area	Motor Grader	Water Truc
%Utilization-machine:	100	100	NA	NA	100	1(
Ownership cost/hour:	\$99.75	\$100.59	NA	NA	\$28.02	\$24.
Operating cost/hour:	\$118.23	\$87.23	NA	NA	\$28.28	\$34.
%Utilization-ripper:	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	N
Ripper own. cost/hour:	NA	\$0.00	NA	NA	\$0.00	\$0.
Ripper op. cost/hour:	NA	\$0.00	NA	NA	\$0.00	\$0.
Operator cost/hour:	\$41.46	\$40.52	NA	NA	\$38.16	\$39.
Unit Subtotals:	\$259.44	\$228.34	NA	NA	\$94.46	\$97.

Total work team cost/hour: \$939.20

#### **MATERIAL QUANTITIES**

Number of Units:

Group Subtotals:

Initial volume: Loose volume:	24,000 <b>24,000</b>	CCY LCY	Swell factor:	1.000
Sourc	e of estimated volume.	44 66 acre	s partially backfilled	1 (2017 ARR)

\$747.22

1

Source of estimated volume: 44.66 acres par Source of estimated swell factor: Cat Handbook

2

Work:

44.66 acres partially backfilled (2017 Al Cat Handbook

0

Support:

#### **HOURLY PRODUCTION**

Material weight:	1,600 lbs/LCY	
Material description:	Top Soil	
Rated Payload:	52,800 pounds	
Payload Capacity:	33.00 LCY	А

#### Scraper Bowl (volume) Basis:

Struck Volume:	15.70	LCY
Heaped Volume:	22.00	LCY
Average Volume:	18.85	LCY
Adjusted Capacity:	18.85	LCY

0

\$0.00

1

Maint:

1

\$191.98

Cycle Time:

Scraper Loading Time: Maneuver and Spread Time: 0.90 Minutes <u>0.60</u> Minutes

Job Condition Correction:

	Scraper	Push Dozer	Source
Altitude Adj:	1.000	1.000	(CAT HB)
Job Efficiency:	0.830	0.830	(CAT HB)
Net Correction:	0.830	0.830	

Travel Time:

Road Condition: Firm, smooth, rolling, dirt/lt. surfaced, watered, maintained 3.0

Haul Route:

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	1000.00	0.00	3.00	3.00	2824	0.53

Haul Time: 0.53 minutes

**Return Route:** 

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	1000.00	0.00	3.00	3.00	2874	0.47
				Return Time:	0.47	minutes
			Total Scraper	team cycle time:	2.50	minutes
			Adjusted for	or job conditions:	750.98	LCY/Hour

Adjusted for job conditions.	150.70	LC 1/110ul
Selected Number of Scrapers:	2	Scraper(s)
Adjusted single scraper team (unit) hourly production:	750.98	LCY/Hour
Adjusted multiple scraper team (fleet) hourly production:	750.98	LCY/Hour

Unadjusted unit production/hour: 904.80 LCY/Hour Optimal Number of Scrapers per push dozer:

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1	Team(s)	Total job time:	31.96	Hours
Unit cost:	\$1.251	/LCY	Total job cost:	\$30,015	

Site Altitude: 5035 feet

## **REVEGETATION WORK**

Ta	isk descrip	tion:	Seed 44.6	acres			
e: _1	M & G Pi	it		Permit Acti	on: SO3 2017	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M1986079</u>
<u>PR(</u>	<b>OJECT</b> ]	IDENTIFI	CATION				
	Task #: Date:	003		State: <u>Colora</u> unty: Adams		Abbreviation: 	None M079-003

# **FERTILIZING**

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer Materials	

# Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Appli	ication Cost/Acre \$0.00

## **TILLING**

Description	Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$106.29
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$106.29

## **SEEDING**

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Switchgrass - Blackwell	0.50	4.47	\$4.79
Blue Grama - Lovington	0.60	9.79	\$9.75
Little Bluestem - Pastura	0.70	4.18	\$11.78
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	0.90	2.95	\$9.00
Slender Wheatgrass - Native	1.10	4.02	\$3.10
Milk Vetch, Cicer - Monarch	1.30	4.33	\$10.66
Streambank Wheatgrass - Sodar	1.10	3.59	\$6.70
Western Wheatgrass - Barton	3.20	8.08	\$24.29
Totals Seed Mix	9.40	41.40	\$80.07

## Application

Description Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		<b>Cost /Acre</b> \$232.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$232.00

## **MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$0.00

## Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Mulch Application Cost/Ac	ere \$0.00

# NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre					\$0.00

## JOB TIME AND COST

No. of Acres:	44.6	Cost /Acre:	\$418.36
Estimated Failure Rate:	50%	Cost /Acre*:	\$312.07
*Selected Replanting Work Items:	SEEDING		

Initial Job Cost:	\$18,658.86
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$6,959.16
Total Job Cost:	\$25,618
Job Hours:	44.60

## **REVEGETATION WORK**

# Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer	
			Materials	<b>\$0.00</b>
			Cost/Acre	\$0.00

# Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fastilizar Application Cost//	
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/A	Acre \$0.00

## **TILLING**

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$0.00

## **SEEDING**

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
			\$
Totals Seed Mix	0.00	0.00	\$0.00

## Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$

## **MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS**

#### Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Herbicide - 2,4D @ 1.0 pt/ac	4.00	ACRE	\$2.74	\$10.96
Herbicide - Glyphosate (Journey)@ 1.0 pt/ac	2.00	ACRE	\$4.16	\$8.32
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$19.28

## **Application**

Description		Cost /Acre
Weed spray, truck, aquatic area, nox. [DMG]		\$68.50
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$68.50

## NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre					\$0.00

## JOB TIME AND COST

	No. of Acres:	70	Cost /Acre:	\$87.78
Estimate	Estimated Failure Rate:		Cost /Acre*:	\$0.00
*Selected Replanti	*Selected Replanting Work Items:			·
Initial Job Cost:	\$6,144.60			
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$0.00			
Total Job Cost:	\$6,145			
Job Hours:	0.00			

## EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description:	Mobilization and D	emobilization	
e: M & G Pit	Permi	t Action: SO3 20	Permit/Job#: <u>M1986079</u>
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION		
Task #:     005       Date:     12/21/2017       User:     JLE		Colorado Adams	Abbreviation:NoneFilename:M079-005
Agency or organ	ization name: DRMS	5	
EQUIPMENT TRANS	PORT RIG COST		
			Shift basis:1 per dayCost Data Source:CRG Data
Truck Tractor	r Description: GENH		AY TRUCK TRACTOR, 6X4, DIESEL POWERED, 400 HP (2ND HALF, 2006)
The Theory			400 III (210D IIALI, 2000)
	r Description: C	GENERIC FOLDIN	AG GOOSENECK, DROP DECK EQUIPMENT AILER (25T, 50T, AND 100T)
Truck Trailer	r Description: C	GENERIC FOLDIN	IG GOOSENECK, DROP DECK EQUIPMENT
Truck Trailer Cost Breakdown:		GENERIC FOLDIN	IG GOOSENECK, DROP DECK EQUIPMENT
Truck Trailer	es 0-25 Tons	GENERIC FOLDIN TR	IG GOOSENECK, DROP DECK EQUIPMENT AILER (25T, 50T, AND 100T)
Truck Trailer Cost Breakdown: Available Rig Capacitie	es 0-25 Tons our: \$16.63	GENERIC FOLDIN TR. 26-50 Tons	IG GOOSENECK, DROP DECK EQUIPMENT AILER (25T, 50T, AND 100T) 51+ Tons
Truck Trailer <u>Cost Breakdown:</u> Available Rig Capacitie Ownership Cost/H	es 0-25 Tons our: \$16.63 our: \$44.38	GENERIC FOLDIN TR. 26-50 Tons \$18.37	IG GOOSENECK, DROP DECK EQUIPMENT AILER (25T, 50T, AND 100T) 51+ Tons \$22.33
Truck Trailer <u>Cost Breakdown:</u> Available Rig Capacitie Ownership Cost/H Operating Cost/H	es 0-25 Tons our: \$16.63 our: \$44.38 our: \$27.66	GENERIC FOLDIN TR. 26-50 Tons \$18.37 \$46.13	IG GOOSENECK, DROP DECK EQUIPMENT         AILER (25T, 50T, AND 100T)         51+ Tons         \$22.33         \$50.07

## **NON ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:**

Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return Trip	DOT Permit
Description	Unit	Cost/hr/ unit	Cost/hr/unit	Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/ fleet
-	(TONS)				fleet		
Cat D9T - 9SU	60.01	\$100.59	\$125.45	2	\$452.08	\$250.90	\$500.00
Cat 627G w/push-	43.48	\$99.75	\$117.55	2	\$434.60	\$235.10	\$500.00
pull							
CAT 12M	16.01	\$28.02	\$88.67	1	\$116.69	\$88.67	\$250.00
Drill/Broadcast	25.00	\$12.22	\$88.67	2	\$201.78	\$177.34	\$500.00
Seeder with							
Tractor							
				G 1 1	¢1 005 15	<b>#==2</b> 01	
				Subtotals:	\$1,205.15	\$752.01	\$1,750.00

**ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:** 

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Water Tanker, 5,000 Gal	\$97.52	1	\$97.52	\$97.52
Water Tanker, 5,000 Gal.	\$69.33	1		1.1.1.1
Light Duty Pickup, 4x4, 3/4 T.	1	1	\$69.33	\$69.33
Fuel Tanker, 6x4, 210 HP	\$74.87	1	\$74.87	\$74.87
		Subtotals:	\$241.72	\$241.72

## **EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time**

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:	BRIGHTON, CO	
Total one-way travel distance:	6.40	miles
Average Travel Speed:	32.00	mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost * '* two round trips with haul rig:	\$7,175.22	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$96.69	

Transportation Cycle Time:

		1
	Non-	
	Roadable	Roadable
	Equipment	Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.20	0.20
Return Time (Hours):	0.20	0.20
Loading Time (Hours):	0.60	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.60	NA
Subtotals:	1.60	0.40

#### JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time:	3.20	Hours

Total job cost: \$7,272