

COLORADO Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety Department of Natural Resources

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Solberg Pit	M-1981-044	Sand and gravel	El Paso
INSPECTION TYPE:	INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring	Timothy A. Cazier	August 25, 2016	10:30
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERA	TION:
Solberg Gravel LLC	Wayne Brantley & Jason Ulmer	112c - Construction	Regular Operation
REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:	
Normal I&E Program	Complete Bond	\$72,635.00	
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGE	NCY:

DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:	
NA	None	None	
WEATHER:	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:	
Clear	Thing als=	October 24, 2017	

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Financial Warranty

PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION: Problem: The financial warranty is not adequate to reclaim the site in accordance with the approved reclamation plan. This is a failure to maintain the proper financial warranty amount to complete reclamation of the affected lands pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-117(4)(b) of the Act. **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The operator shall submit adequate financial warranty, as determined by the Division. The Division will be sending a separate surety increase notice to the operator regarding the increase of the financial warranty. The operator will have 60 days from the date on the surety increase notice to post the additional financial warranty.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 12/26/17

INSPECTION TOPIC: Gen. Compliance With Mine Plan

PROBLEM/POSSIBLE VIOLATION: Problem: The current mine plan needs to be updated and clarified pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-112 (1)(c)(VI). The operator must provide sufficient information to describe or identify how the operator intends to conduct the operation, primarily to update the extent of the maximum allowed disturbed area at a given time.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The operator shall submit a Technical Revision, with the required \$216 revision fee, to update and clarify the current approved mine plan to reflect existing and proposed activities by the corrective action date.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 12/26/17

OBSERVATIONS

This inspection was conducted as part of the regular monitoring program. The Permittee (Solberg Gravel) was represented by Messrs. Wayne Brantley and Jason Ulmer during the inspection. The Solberg Pit is accessed from Curtis Rd just south of Garrett Rd (approximately 3 miles east and 1.5 miles south of Falcon. This is a 112c sand and gravel mine. It was operating at the time of the inspection.

<u>Availability of Records:</u> Annual fees are paid through April 2017. The previous inspection was on March 14, 2012. The approved post-mine land use is rangeland. There are no open infractions. The most recent revision (AM-02) was in 2007 to add 140.66 acres and when the last bond was estimated by the Division.

<u>Backfilling and Grading</u>: Sufficient backfill material appeared to be available, primarily as pushing down undisturbed material from the crest. At the time of the inspection, sufficient buffer between the active highwalls and the permit boundary was available to accomplish slope flattening to 3H:1V in this manner. The area to the south where site representatives indicated wash fines were disposed (see **Photo 1**) will need to be graded to drain prior to release from reclamation liability.

<u>Complete Inspection</u>: The entire permit area was inspected. No problems were observed during the inspection. However, subsequent review of the approved permit indicates more land was disturbed (Approximately 83.5 acres) than is allowed under the approved permit (AM-02 allows no more than 25 acres be disturbed at one time). This is discussed in more detail under "Gen. Compliance with Mine Plan" below.

Excess Spoil and Dev. Waste: No overburden piles were observed.

<u>Financial Warranty</u>: The Division holds a \$72,635.00 bond. The disturbed area at the time of the inspection was approximately 84 acres. <u>The bond is deemed inadequate and cited as a problem on page 1 of this report</u>. A task for demolishing the scale house is added as a new task. A revised reclamation cost summary is included in this report as **Attachment A**.

Fish and Wildlife: No impact to wildlife was observed.

<u>Hydrologic Balance</u>: Some stormwater was observed in the pit (see **Photo 2**) and water/mud was observed in the wash ponds (see **Photo 3**). No exposed groundwater was observed.

<u>Gen. Compliance with Mine Plan:</u> The operation has exceeded its approved maximum disturbed area. Amendment 2 allows no more than 25 acres of disturbance at one time. Google Earth imagery from November 2, 2015 (see **Post Inspection Map 2**) indicates approximately 83.5 acres are disturbed and another 10.5 acres (along the north boundary) are fairly well vegetated. However the Division has no record of having received an acreage release or surety adjustment for this northern area of the permit. As such, approximately 94 acres are considered disturbed area. AM-02 further delineates the types of disturbance as being either "major", "moderate", or "minor" (which the Division no longer recognizes) with no more than: 5 acres of major disturbance, 12 acres of moderate disturbance, and 8 acres of minor disturbance at one time. <u>The 83.5 acres of 2015 disturbance is cited as a problem on page 1 of this report.</u> Highwalls were estimated to vary between 10 and 20 feet in height (see **Photo 4**) and stable for the most part. <u>Off-site Damage:</u> A map was created using Google Earth and overlaying Exhibit C from AM-02 (see **Post Inspection Map**). This map indicates all the disturbance is within the permit area.

<u>Processing Waste:</u> Site representatives indicated squeegee is sold off. However, wash fines were being placed south of the active pit in an area estimated to be approximately 6 acres in 2015.

<u>Roads</u>: Site representatives indicated the haul roads are dust controlled as necessary. The material is relatively sandy and is not likely to be tracked offsite.

<u>Reclamation Success</u>: The north slope of the pit area (~10.5 acres) was not seeded according to site representatives, but is apparently self-reclaimed (see **Photo 5**). This is the only area of the pit that might be considered for any reclamation credit at the time of the inspection.

<u>Revegetation:</u> Again, the north slope appeared to have fairly well established vegetation (see **Photo 5**). No noxious weeds were observed.

<u>Sediment Control</u>: No significant erosion problems were observed and no BMPs were needed at the time of the inspection.

Support Facilities On-site: Screens, loaders and conveyors (see Photo 6) were observed during the inspection.

<u>Signs and Markers</u>: The permit sign was properly posted (see **Photo 7**) and the boundary near the active pit was delineated with barbed wire fence (see **Photo 8**).

<u>Permit Stipulations</u>: There are no permit stipulations. Site representatives indicated the power lines along the south and east permit boundaries were added a year to a year and a half prior to the inspection. A review of Google Earth historic images indicates the power line was added after October 2011 and before November 2015. The Permittee should get structures agreements with the utility company before the affected area gets within 200 feet of the power line.

<u>Storm Water MGT Plan:</u> Site representatives stated the site has two discharge points and the stormwater management plan is kept in the North Park Office. No oil or fuel spills were observed.

<u>Topsoil</u>: Site representatives stated topsoil was being stripped and salvaged for reclamation.

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1. Area to south where wash fines were disposed (looking NE).



Photo 2. Stormwater observed in the pit.

PERMIT #: M-1981-044 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: TC1 INSPECTION DATE: August 25, 2016

PHOTOGRAPHS (cont.)



Photo 3. Wash ponds (looking south).



Photo 4. Typical higwalls (west end, looking SW).

PHOTOGRAPHS (cont.)



Photo 5. North slope of the pit area (looking east).



Photo 6. Processing equipment (pit center, looking SW from scale house).

PHOTOGRAPHS (cont.)



Photo 7. Permit sign (near entrance).



Photo 8. Barbed wire fence (looking Easton south side – note powerline in background).

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY PB	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>NA</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>Y</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>Y</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>PB</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>Y</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>Y</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>NA</u>	(CI) COMPLETE INSP Y
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>Y</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>Y</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>NA</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>Y</u>	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>Y</u>

Y = Inspected and found in compliance / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

Inspection Contact Address

Robert Solberg Solberg Gravel LLC 13745 Garrett Rd Peyton, CO 80831

Enclosures

ec: DRMS file Julie Sevier, Pioneer Sand Wayne Brantley, Pioneer Sand



M-1981-044 Post Inspection Map 2

0.5 acres

Highwall B - 752 ft

Highwall C - 471 ft

11/2/2015 Satellite Imagery Affected area boundary based on AM-02 Exhibit C Overlay

> 83.5 acres

.....

3.

Legend

- Dist. Area M-81-44 (11/2/15)
 M-1981-044 Aff. Area Bndry
 Reclaimed? Area M-81-44
 Solberg Pit
- lighwall ~0.5H:1V Highwall
- ~2H:1V Highwall

Google earth

-lighwall F - 450

Garrett Rd

Solbe

N

ATTACHMENT A COST SUMMARY WORK

Т	ask descrip	otion:	Cost Summ	nary				
Site: Solberg Pit			Permit Action:		2017 Update	Permit/Jo	o#: <u>M1981044</u>	
Pl	<u>ROJECT</u>	<u>IDENTIFI(</u>	CATION					
	Task #:	000	St	tate:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
	Date:	10/24/2017	Cou	inty:	El Paso		Filename:	M044-000
	User:	TC1		-			_	

TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)

Task		Form	Fleet	Task	
1 ask	Description	Used	Size	Hours	Cost
010	Knock down 2H:1V Highwalls	DOZER	1	0.81	\$155.00
011	Knock down 0.5H:1V Highwalls	DOZER	1	6.15	\$1,172.00
012	Regrade Approx. 80 acres Disturbed Area	NA	1	120.00	\$24,631.00
020	Place 6" Topsoil over disturbed area	SCRAPER1	1	14.61	\$11,335.00
030	Revegetate 83.5 Acres	REVEGE	1	120.00	\$102,004.00
035	Demo Scale House	DEMOLISH	1	2.00	\$265.00
040	Mob/Demob	MOBILIZE	1	1.57	\$3,790.00
		<u>SUBTO</u>	TALS:	265.14	\$143,352

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$2,895.71
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$1,505.20
Job superintendent:	63.48	Total =	\$4,637.21
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$14,335.20
		TOTAL O & P =	\$23,373.32
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + $O \& P$) =	\$166,725.32

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs):	0.00	Total =	0.00
Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	0.00	Total =	\$0.00
Reclamation management and/or administration:	5.00		\$8,336.27
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0.00
	TOTAL II	NDIRECT COST =	\$31,709.59
TOTAL BO	ND AMOUNT (d	lirect + indirect) =	\$175,061.59
ROUNDED TOTAL BOND A	MOUNT (dire	ct + indirect) =	\$175,100.00
		-	

BULLDOZER WORK

		:1V Highwa	115		
Solberg Pit	Per	mit Action:	2017 Update	Permit/Job#:	M1981044
PROJECT IDENTIF	ICATION				
Task #: 010	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: $10/20/2017$		El Paso		Filename:	M044-010
User: $TC1$	County.	LII asu		Thename.	1044-010
Agency or organ	nization name: DI	RMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	ENT COST				
	t D8T - 8SU				
Horsepower: 310					
•1	ni-Universal				
Attachment: NA					
1	er day				
Data Source: (CH	RG)				
Cost Breakdown:					
			Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$83.81	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:		\$66.17	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$40.52	NA		
MATERIAL QUANT	TITIES				
Initial Volume: 797					
	0				
Swell factor: 1.06					
Swell factor: 1.06	LCY				
Swell factor:1.06Loose volume:845	LCY	 .1 Spreadshe	et		
Swell factor:1.06Loose volume:845Source of estimated volume	LCY me: Task 010	.1 Spreadshe book	et		
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volume	LCY me: Task 010		et		
Swell factor: 1.06	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u>		et		
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> <u>FION</u>		et		
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance:	LCY me: Task 010 l factor: Cat Hand <u>FION</u> 50 feet	book	et		
Swell factor:1.06Loose volume:845Source of estimated volumSource of estimated swell	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> <u>FION</u> ction: <u>50 feet</u> 1,400.0 LC	book Y/hr	et mbankment 0.9		
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency destance	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> <u>FION</u> ction: <u>50 feet</u> 1,400.0 LC scription: <u>Compa</u>	book Y/hr			
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency destance: Average push gradient:	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> <u>FION ction: <u>50 feet</u> tription: <u>Compa</u> 25 %</u>	book Y/hr			
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency dest Average push gradient:	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> <u>FION</u> ction: <u>50 feet</u> 1,400.0 LC scription: <u>Compa</u>	book Y/hr			
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volum Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> FION ction: <u>50 feet</u> <u>1,400.0 LC</u> scription: <u>Compa</u> <u>-25 %</u> <u>6,650 feet</u>	book Y/hr			
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell Source of estimated swell Source HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Material weight:	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> FION ction: <u>50 feet</u> 1,400.0 LC scription: <u>Compa</u> <u>-25 %</u> <u>6,650 feet</u> <u>2,900 lbs/LCY</u>	book Y/hr 			
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell Source of estimated swell Source HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Material weight:	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> FION ction: <u>50 feet</u> <u>1,400.0 LC</u> scription: <u>Compa</u> <u>-25 %</u> <u>6,650 feet</u>	book Y/hr 			
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Iob Condition Correction	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> <u>FION ction: <u>50 feet</u> <u>1,400.0 LC</u> scription: <u>Compa</u> <u>-25 %</u> <u>6,650 feet</u> <u>2,900 lbs/LCY</u> <u>Sand and gravel -</u> <u>Factor</u></u>	book Y/hr Dry	mbankment 0.9		
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> <u>TION</u> ction: <u>50 feet</u> ction: <u>1,400.0 LC</u> scription: <u>Compa</u> <u>-25 %</u> <u>6,650 feet</u> <u>2,900 lbs/LCY</u> <u>Sand and gravel -</u> <u>Factor</u> Skill: <u>0</u>.	book Y/hr cted fill or en Dry 750			
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell Source of estimated swell Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator Material consist Operator	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> TION ction: <u>1,400.0 LC</u> scription: <u>Compa</u> <u>-25 %</u> <u>6,650 feet</u> <u>2,900 lbs/LCY</u> <u>Sand and gravel -</u> <u>Factor</u> Skill: <u>0.</u> ency: <u>0.</u>	book Y/hr cted fill or en Dry 750 900			
Swell factor: 1.06 Loose volume: 845 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swell Source of estimated swell Source of estimated swell HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly product Materials consistency des Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator Material consist Dozing me	LCY me: <u>Task 010</u> l factor: <u>Cat Hand</u> <u>TION</u> ction: <u>1,400.0 LC</u> scription: <u>Compa</u> <u>-25 %</u> <u>6,650 feet</u> <u>2,900 lbs/LCY</u> <u>Sand and gravel -</u> <u>Factor</u> Skill: <u>0.</u> ency: <u>0.</u> thod: <u>1.</u>	book Y/hr cted fill or en Dry 750			

Task # 010

Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	1.000	(DOZ-OC)
Push gradient:	1.516	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correction:	0.7409	
Adjusted unit production: 1,0	037.26 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production: 10	37.26 LCY/hr	

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.184/LCY
tal ich time	0 91 Hours

Total job time:	0.81 Hours
Total job cost:	\$155



Task # 010.1 2H:1V Highwall Backfill Volume Estimate

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Knock down 0.5	H:1V Highw	valls		
Solberg Pit	Per	mit Action:	2017 Update	Permit/Job#:	M1981044
PROJECT IDENTIF	FICATION				
Task #: 011	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: $\frac{011}{10/20/201}$		El Paso		Filename:	M044-011
User: $TC1$	County.			i nonunio.	
Agency or orga	anization name:	RMS			
HOURLY EQUIPM	<u>ENT COST</u>				
	ut D8T - 8SU				
Horsepower: 31					
	mi-Universal				
Attachment: NA					
	per day				
Data Source: (C	RG)				
Cost Breakdown:					
			Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$83.81	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:		\$66.17	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$40.52	NA		
MATERIAL QUAN Initial Volume: <u>6,0</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u>	18				
	79 LCY				
Source of estimated volu	ime: Task 011	.1 Spreadshe	et		
Source of estimated swe					
HOURLY PRODUC	TION				
Average push distance:	50 feet				
riverage publi distance.		Y/hr			
Unadjusted hourly produ					
Unadjusted hourly produ Materials consistency de	escription: <u>Compa</u>	cted fill or en	mbankment 0.9		
Materials consistency de	·	cted fill or en	mbankment 0.9		
Materials consistency de Average push gradient:	-25 % 6,650 feet	cted fill or en	mbankment 0.9		
	-25 %	cted fill or er 	mbankment 0.9		
Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight:	-25 % 6,650 feet		mbankment 0.9		
Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction	-25 % 6,650 feet 2,900 lbs/LCY Sand and gravel - 1 n Factor	Dry	Source		
Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator	-25 % 6,650 feet 2,900 lbs/LCY Sand and gravel - 1 n Factor Skill: 0.	 Dry 750	Source (AVG.)		
Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator Material consis	-25 % 6,650 feet Sand and gravel - 1 <u>n Factor</u> Skill:0. tency:0.	Dry 750 900	Source (AVG.) (CAT HB))		
Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight: Weight description: Job Condition Correction Operator Material consis Dozing mo	-25 % 6,650 feet 2,900 lbs/LCY Sand and gravel - 1 n Factor Skill: 0. tency: 0. 1.	 Dry 750	Source (AVG.)		

Task # 011

Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	1.000	(DOZ-OC)
Push gradient:	1.516	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correction:	0.7409	
Adjusted unit production: 1,	037.26 LCY/hr	
Adjusted fleet production: 10	037.26 LCY/hr	

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.184/LCY

Total job time:	6.15 Hours
Total job cost:	\$1,172



Task # 011.1 0.5H:1V Highwall Backfill Volume Estimate

DOZERGRADER WORK

Task description:	Regrade Approx	. 80 acres D	isturbed Area		
: Solberg Pit	Per	mit Action:	2017 Update	Permit/Job#:	M1981044
PROJECT IDENTI	FICATION				
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Task #:} & 012 \\ \text{Date:} & 10/20/201 \\ \text{User:} & TC1 \end{array}$	State:7County:	Colorado El Paso		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M044-012
Agency or org	anization name: DF	RMS			
HOURLY EQUIPM	ENT COST				
	at D8T - 8SU				
Horsepower:					
Blade Type:	shank ripper				
	per day				
Data Source:	per duy				
Cost Breakdown:					
<u>Cost Broardo ani</u> .			Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$83.81	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:		\$66.17	100		
Ripper own. Cost/Hour:		\$7.55	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$7.21	100		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$40.52	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour:	\$205.26				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$205.26				
IOD TIME AND CO	УСТ				
JOB TIME AND CO	<u>151</u>				
Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)				
Unit cost:	\$205.26/LCY				

 Total job time:
 120.00 Hours *

 Total job cost:
 \$24,631

* Hours based on time to rip 83.5 acres using a multishank ripper with a Cat D8T – 8SU dozer

Page 1 of 2

SCRAPER TEAM WORK

Site: Solberg Pit		Permit Action:	2017 Update	Pern	nit/Job#: <u>M198</u>	1044
PROJECT IDENT	TIFICATION					
Task #:020		State: <u>Colorado</u>)	Abbrev		
Date: <u>10/20/2</u> User: <u>TC1</u>	<u>017</u> Cor	unty: <u>El Paso</u>		File	ename: M044-	020
Agency or o	rganization name:	DRMS				
HOURLY EQUIP	MENT_		COSTS	hift basis: <u>1 per da</u>	ay	
			nent Description			
		Craper: Cat 62 Dozer: NA	3G			
Suppor	t Equipment -Loa	d Area: Cat D8	8T - 8SU			
Road Mai	-Dum ntenance –Motor (p Area: CAT 1 Grader: NA	4M			
			Tanker, 2,500 Gal	•		
	c w	1 00				г .
<u>Cost Breakdown</u> :	Scraper Wor Scraper	rk Team Dozer	Support Equi Load Area	pment Dump Area	Maintenance Motor Grader	Equipm Wate
%Utilization-machine:	100	NA	100	100	NA	
Ownership cost/hour:	\$84.05	NA	\$83.81	\$54.68	NA	
Operating cost/hour:	\$85.92	NA	\$66.17	\$46.99	NA	
%Utilization-ripper:	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Ripper own. cost/hour:	NA	NA	\$0.00	\$0.00	NA	
Ripper op. cost/hour:	NA	NA	\$0.00	\$0.00	NA	
Operator cost/hour:	\$41.46	NA	\$40.52	\$38.16	NA	
Unit Subtotals:	\$211.43	NA	\$190.50	\$139.83	NA	
Number of Units:	2	0	1	1	0	
Group Subtotals:	Work:	\$422.86	Support:	\$330.33	Maint:	\$2
Total work team cost						
MATERIAL QUA		COV	0 11 0	1.420		
Initial volume: Loose volume:	6,736 9,623	CCY LCY	Swell fact	tor: <u>1.429</u>		
	rce of estimated vo		res x 6"			
	f estimated swell f					
HOURLY PRODU	JCTION					
			Scraper Be	owl (volume) Basi	is:	

0.90 Minutes

<u>0.70</u> Minutes

Cycle Time:

Scraper Loading Time: Maneuver and Spread Time:

Job Condition Correction:

Site Altitude: 6650 feet

	Scraper	Push Dozer	Source
Altitude Adj:	1.000	NA	(CAT HB)
Job Efficiency:	0.830	NA	(CAT HB)
Net Correction:	0.830	NA	

Travel Time:

Road Condition: <u>Rutted dirt, little maintenance, no water, 2" tire penetration 5.0</u>

Haul Route:

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	1000.00	0.00	5.00	5.00	1292	0.84

Haul Time: **0.84** minutes

Return Route:

Seg #	Haul Distance (Ft)	Grade (%)	Roll. Res (%)	Total Res (%)	Velocity (fpm)	Travel Time (min)
1	1000.00	0.00	5.00	5.00	2359	0.66
				Return Time:	0.66	minutes
			Total Scrape	er team cycle time:	3.10	minutes
			Adjusted	for job conditions:	329.32	LCY/Hour
			Selected N	umber of Scrapers:	2	Scraper(s)
	Adjuste	d single scrap	er team (unit)	hourly production:	658.65	LCY/Hour
	Adjusted n	nultiple scrape	er team (fleet)	hourly production:	658.65	LCY/Hour
	Unadjusted unit pro	duction/hour:	396.77	LCY/Hour		

Fleet size:	1	Team(s)	Total job time:	14.61	Hours
Unit cost:	\$1.178	/LCY	Total job cost:	\$11,335	

REVEGETATION WORK

Task descri	•	Revegetate 83.5 Acre			
ite: Solberg	Pit	Permit	Action: 2017 Upda	ate Permit/Job	#: <u>M1981044</u>
PROJECT	IDENTIFIC	<u>CATION</u>			
Task #:	030	State: Co	orado	Abbreviation:	None
Date:	10/20/2017	County: El	Paso	Filename:	M044-030
User:	TC1				

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer Materials	
			Cost/Acre	\$0.00

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$106.29
Weed control spraying (MEANS 31 31 16.13 3100)	\$242.00
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$348.29

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Grama - Native	1.00	16.32	\$14.50
Little Bluestem - Native	2.00	11.94	\$31.50
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	4.00	13.13	\$40.00
Intermediate Wheatgrass - Rush	5.00	10.67	\$18.75
Western Wheatgrass - Native	6.00	15.15	\$42.00
Needlegrass, Green - Lodorm	3.00	12.47	\$14.61
Regreen Hybrid	15.00	3.79	\$72.75
Totals Seed Mix	36.00	83.47	\$234.11

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Drill Seeding (DRMS Survey Cost)		\$232.00
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$232.00

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$0.00

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Mulch Application Cost	t/Acre \$0.00

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
	\$0.00				

JOB TIME AND COST

Estimate *Selected Replanti	No. of Acres: ed Failure Rate: ng Work Items:	50%	Cost /Acre: Cost /Acre*:	
Initial Job Cost: Reseeding Job Cost: Total Job Cost: Job Hours:	\$68,002.40 \$34,001.20 \$102,004			

DEMOLITION WORK

Site:	Solberg Pit		Permit Action:	2017 Update	Permit/.	Job#: <u>M1981044</u>
<u> tojec</u>	CT IDENTIFICAT	<u>TION</u>				
Гask #:	035	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date:	10/24/2017	County:	El Paso		Filename:	M044-035
	TC1		-			

UNIT COSTS

Location adjustment: 93.10 %

Structure or Item Description	Dimensions	Demolition Menu Selection	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
ale House	14 ft x 8 ft x 8 ft	Bldg. (SN) demo./on-site disposal in existing pit or cut - Max. 50 ft. push	896.00	CF	\$0.17	\$155.90
ale House undation	18 ft x 12 ft	Demo. and on-site disposal in existing pit, 6 in. thick - Max. 50 ft. push	216.00	SF	\$0.60	\$128.74
		in. thick - Max. 50 ft.			tal Cost	

				Total Cost		
		Subtotal		(adjusted for		
Job Hours:	2.00	(unadjusted):	\$284.64	location):	\$265.00	

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description	: <u>Mo</u>	b/Demob					
Solberg Pit		Permit	Action: 2017	Update]	Permit/Job#	M1981044
PROJECT IDE	NTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
Task #: 04	0	State: Co	olorado		Abbre	viation:	None
Date: 10 User: TC	/24/2017 C1	County: El	Paso		Fi	lename:	M044-040
Agency	or organization	n name: DRMS					
EQUIPMENT '	TRANSPOR	<u>T RIG COST</u>					
				C	Shift ba Cost Data Sour		oer day G Data
Truc	k Tractor Desc	ription: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH		JCK TRACTO (2ND HALF,		ESEL POWERED,
Truc	ck Trailer Desc	ription: G	ENERIC FOLD	ING GOO	SENECK, DF	ROP DECK	EQUIPMENT
			r	FRAILER	(25T, 50T, AN	ND 100T)	
Cost Breakdown:							
	~ • •						
Available Rig C		0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons		Tons		
	p Cost/Hour:	\$16.63	\$18.37		2.33		
	g Cost/Hour:	\$44.38	\$46.13		\$50.07 \$27.66		
	r Cost/Hour:	\$27.66	\$27.66				
	r Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$25.39		25.39		
Total Uni	it Cost/Hour:	\$88.67	\$117.55	\$1.	25.45		
NON ROADAE	BLE EQUIPN	MENT:					
Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return Tr	
Description	Unit	Cost/hr/ unit	Cost/hr/uni	Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/ f	leet Cost/ fleet
-	(TONS)		t		fleet		
Cat D8T - 8SU	53.08	\$91.36	\$125.45	1	\$216.81	\$125.45	\$250.00
Cat 623G	41.35	\$84.05	\$117.55	2	\$403.20	\$235.10	\$500.00
CAT 14M	23.57	\$54.68	\$88.67	1	\$143.35	\$88.67	\$250.00
CAT 450E	9.80	\$20.08	\$88.67	1	\$108.75	\$88.67	\$250.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
Water Tanker, 2,500 Gal.	\$22.62	1	\$22.62	\$22.62
Drill/Broadcast Seeder with	\$30.89	1	\$30.89	\$30.89
Tractor				
		Subtotals:	\$53.51	\$53.51

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region:	FALCON	
Total one-way travel distance:	5.00	miles
Average Travel Speed:	35.00	mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$3,774.97	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$15.29	

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.14	0.14
Return Time (Hours):	0.14	0.14
Loading Time (Hours):	0.25	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.25	NA
Subtotals:	0.79	0.29

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **1.57** Hours

Total job cost: \$3,790